Group 9: Aashna Rungta, Sandhya N, Eshna Reza, Sneha Batchu, Sony Kumari

### Part I: Maximizing The Number of Exposures of Ads

#### Questions to be answered:

- Determine the decision variables
- Formulate the optimization model with all the constraints
- Coding in R, find the optimal number of advertisements to run in each media that maximize the expected number of exposures while satisfying all the constraints
  - 1. What is the optimal profit value?
  - 2. What are the optimal values for the variables?

#### Variables taken are defined as follows:

- tv = No. of TV Ads
- m = No. of Magazine Ads
- n = No. of Newspaper Ads

### Below are the target segments for the ads:

- y = No. of people viewing in the young age range 18 30 years
- ma = No. of people viewing in middle aged range 30 55 years

#### Constraints that are considered:

- tv >=0
- m >=0, and
- n >=0

#### Framing the equation for the Ad Costs:

\$300,000 \* tv + \$150,000 \* m + 100,000 \* n <= \$4,000,000

### Framing the equation for the Planning Costs:

\$90,000 \* tv + \$30,000 \* m + 40,000 \* n <= \$1,000,000

#### **Available Spots for TV Commercials:**

tv <= 5

#### **Expected Exposures are as below:**

- y >= 5M
- ma >= 5M

Group 9: Aashna Rungta, Sandhya N, Eshna Reza, Sneha Batchu, Sony Kumari

Using Table 2, we translated to expected exposures based on the optimal ads information,

- 1.2M\*tv + 0.2M\*m >= 5M (For y)
- 0.5M\*tv + 0.2M\*m + 0.2M\*n >= 5M (For ma)

We know the expected exposures for each medium from Table 1 as follows:

- tv \* (1.2M\*y + 0.5M\*ma) >= 1.3M
- m \* (0.2M\*y + 0.2M\*ma) >= 0.6M
- n \* (0.2M\*ma) >= 0.5M

Thus,

Expected Total Exposures = 1.3M \* tv + 0.6M \* m + 0.5M \* n

#### Cost of promotions can be formulated as below:

\$40,000\*m + \$120,000\*n = \$1,490,000

Maximizing the equation  $\rightarrow$  1.3M \* tv + 0.6M \* m + 0.5M \* n

Which is subject to:

- \$40,000\*m + \$120,000\*n = \$1,490,000
- 1.2M\*tv + 0.2M\*m >= 5M
- 0.5M\*tv + 0.2M\*m + 0.2M\*n >= 5Mand,
- 0<=tv<=5, m>=0, n>=0

Using a two phase method, we found the initial value for optimization with dummy variables. The initial values we found were - 3.0245999, 8.886762, 9.4544127, which we finally used for the actual optimization.

The optimal values for the TV, Magazine and Newspaper Ads are respectively: 2.3181818, 17.6818182, 6.5227273

We used R to come up with the values of the framed equations and the same has been submitted for reference.

Group 9: Aashna Rungta, Sandhya N, Eshna Reza, Sneha Batchu, Sony Kumari

### **Part II: Maximizing the Profits**

#### Using the optimal values we got from Part 1 for the number of advertisements:

tv = the number of TV commercials = 2.318182 m = the number of magazine ads = 17.681818 n = the number of newspaper ad 6.522727

#### Questions to be answered:

• Using your results from Part I, formulate the total profit (as defined by Vijay).

As per Vijay, Approximation of profit ~ Exposures = 1300000\*tv + 600000\*m + 500000\*n

- Use R (or any other language) to determine the optimal number of ads to run in each medium to maximize the total profit while satisfying all the constraints in Part I.
- b) What are the optimal values for the variables?

```
tv = the number of TV commercials = 2.318182
m = the number of magazine ads = 17.681818
n = the number of newspaper ad = 6.522727
```

We will use the fractions itself

a) What is the optimal profit value?

We calculated the first time visits, using the given equations:

```
#First time visits after watching TV Ads

tv_first = -0.1*(tv^2)+ 1.13*tv - 0.04

#First time visits after viewing Magazine Ads

m_first = -0.002*(m^2) + 0.124*m + 0.14

#First time visits after viewing Newspaper Ads
```

Group 9: Aashna Rungta, Sandhya N, Eshna Reza, Sneha Batchu, Sony Kumari

n first =  $-0.0321*(n^2) + 0.706*n - 0.09$ 

first visit=(tv first+m first+n first)\*1000000

Given that, Profit earned per customer is \$5, hence, Total Profit = first visit \* 5

From Part 1, we have the costs for running the Advertisements and Planning. ad\_cost = 300000\*tv + 150000\*m + 100000\*n planning cost = 90000\*tv + 30000\*m + 40000\*n

Since these costs are not included in the Total Profit, we need to account for them to get the Net Profit earned by Amber India.

Net profit = Total Profit - Ad Costs - Planning Costs

\$29,493,603 is the Net Profit based on the detailed calculations in R using the optimal values of variables we achieved in Part 1.

c) Based on your calculation, what can you conclude about the accuracy of Vjay's approximation in Part I (i.e., finding the optimal variables by using the expected number of exposures)?

Comparing Vijay's approximation of Profit: The expected number of exposures is a rough approximation for profit. The expected exposures is given in Part 1:

Exposures = 1300000\*tv + 600000\*m + 500000\*n = 16884090.9

Plugging in the values, we get 16884090.9, which would mean approximate profit is \$16,884,091

The \$16.8M profit is way off from the \$29.5M optimal profit we got in part 2a. Thus, while the approximation may work for a small number, its error gets magnified when we are dealing with numbers in millions.

Group 9: Aashna Rungta, Sandhya N, Eshna Reza, Sneha Batchu, Sony Kumari

### Part III: Managing Demand for Amour du jour

- a) Formulate the profit function for Vijay's ordering problem.
- b) What is the decision variable?
- c) Determine the optimal number of gallons of Amour du jour for which Amber must order raw materials each week to maximize the restaurant's profit. What is the optimal profit?

### a) Formulate the profit function for Vijay's ordering problem.

Decision variables:

q = order qty of Amour du jour (in gallons)

X = customer demand of of Amour du jour (in gallons)

a = lower bound of weekly customer demand = 200

b = upper bound of weekly customer demand = 500

**Profit Function:** 

Profit = P = Revenue - Cost

$$P(X,q) = 12*25*X - 75*q$$
; when  $X \le q$   
=  $12*25*q - 75*q$ ; when  $X > q$ 

Given that we have a uniform distribution of the demand, the probability density function of the demand X is f(X). Using the formula

$$f(X) = 1/(b-a) = 1/(500-200) = 1/300$$
, if  $200 \le X \le 500$   
0, otherwise

Below, we calculate the expectation of profit, E(P)

Group 9: Aashna Rungta, Sandhya N, Eshna Reza, Sneha Batchu, Sony Kumari

$$E(P) = \int_{200}^{q} (12 * 25 * X - 75 * q) * f(X) dX + \int_{q}^{500} (12 * 25 * q - 75 * q) * f(X) dX$$

$$E(P) = \int_{200}^{q} (300 * X - 75 * q) * \frac{1}{300} dX + \int_{q}^{500} (225 * q) * \frac{1}{300} dX$$

$$E(P) = \int_{200}^{q} (X - \frac{q}{4}) dX + \int_{q}^{500} (q * \frac{3}{4}) dX$$

Solving the integral, we get,  $E(P) = \frac{-1}{2} * q^2 + 425 * q - \frac{1}{2} * 200^2$ 

To get maximum profit,  $\frac{dE(P)}{dX} = 0$ 

$$\frac{dE(P)}{dq} = -q + 425 = 0$$

$$q = 425$$

Using the above quantity (425 gallons/week), we get the following optimal profit value:

$$p = 12 * 25 * X - 75 * q$$

$$p = 12 * 25 * \left[\frac{200 + 425}{2} \int_{200}^{425} f(X)dX + 425 * \int_{425}^{500} f(X)dX\right] - 75 * 425$$

$$p = 300 * \left[312.5 * \left(\frac{425}{300} - \frac{200}{300}\right) + 425 * \left(\frac{500}{300} - \frac{425}{300}\right)\right] - 31875$$

$$p = 102187.5 - 31875 = \$70312.5$$

The above equations show that the optimal number of gallons is 425 gallons per week.

Therefore, optimal profit by Amour du Jour = \$70312 per week