Communicating Maths Information

Tamsin Smith

2023-02-07

Contents

Welcome	5
General Course Overview	7
Week by week detail	9
Assessment information	11
Masterclasses	21
School Placements	25

Welcome

This document contains an overview of important dates and details for communicating maths students 2023. This document will update as the semester progresses.

General Course Overview

General Course Overview

Week Begining

Focus

 $6~{\rm Feb}~23$

Input from staff

13 Feb 23

20 Feb 23

 $27~{\rm Feb}~23$

 $6~{\rm Mar}~23$

Output from students

13 mar 23

 $20~{\rm Mar}~23$

 $27~\mathrm{Mar}~23$

 $3~\mathrm{Apr}~23$

Easter break

 $10~{\rm Apr}~23$

17 Apr 23

Mentoring

 $24~{\rm Apr}~23$

Hand in this week

1 May 23

Week by week detail

Week 1 (WB 6/2/23)

Thursday 9/2/2023, 12:15-13:05 in 8W2.30

Welcome.

What is Maths communication?

Course information.

Friday 10/2/2023, 12:15-14:05 in 1W2.104

Exploring the existing maths communication out there.

Setting up the Compare and Contrast formative assessment.

Assessment information

Assessment credit breakdown.

The Assessment of the course will be based around two Mathematical Interactions (MI's).

A Mathematical Interaction (MI) is defined as the communication of mathematics to an audience.

Typically:

- MI1 is an Ri Masterclass, planned and delivered as a group.
- MI2 is an option from video, article or school placement, planned and delivered as an individual or pair.

Each of the two MI's will be summatively assessed in two parts:

- A) The live And recorded delivery; 25% of the overall course mark
- B) The written evaluation; 25% of the overall course mark

Overall this is how the marks for the course will be allocated:

Delivery

Evaluation report

Mathematical Interaction 1

25%

25%

Mathematical Interaction 2

25%

25%

The assessment criteria for each part can be found in Assessment submission topic file on moodle or in the list below titled Assessment Criteria.

Coursework cover sheet.

Set: When issued: 9.2.23 12:15.Due: Deadline: 28.4.23 11:59pm.

Estimated time required: The coursework should take no more than *30 hours* to complete. This is an upper bound and most students will complete the written report in less time.

Submission: Submit to the moodle page as a PDF; Your candidate name and number be included.

Conditions: The report is an individual submission.

Value: This written assignment carries 50% of the total marks for the course. This assignment will be marked out of 50 where 25 marks are available for each section detailed on the assessment criteria.

Length: There is a word count of 2500 words, 1250 words for your evaluation of Mathematical Interaction 1 and 1250 word for your evaluation of Mathemametical Interaction 2.

Support and advice: You can ask you mentor for advice up until the deadline day in the designated mentoring sessions.

Feedback: You will receive your marks for the unit at the same time as your other modules.

Late submission of coursework: If there are valid circumstances preventing you from meeting the deadline, your Director of Studies may grant you an extension to the specified submission date, if it is requested before the deadline. Forms to request an extension are available on SAMIS.

- If you submit a piece of work after the submission date, and no extension has been granted, the maximum mark possible will be the pass mark.
- If you submit work more than five working days after the submission date, you will normally receive a mark of 0 (zero), unless you have been granted an extension.

Academic integrity statement: Academic misconduct is defined by the University as "the use of unfair means in any examination or assessment procedure". This includes (but is not limited to) cheating, collusion, plagiarism, fabrication, or falsification. The University's Quality Assurance Code of Practice, QA53 Examination and Assessment Offences, sets out the consequences of committing an offence and the penalties that might be applied.

Contact details:

Tamsin Smith Room: 6W1.28

E-mail: t.l.smith@bath.ac.uk

Group Contributions.

Group Contribution Rating (GCR)

For the elements of the course where you will present material as a group, group members must agree on one another's relative contribution to their coursework. We will assume that groups agree to an even allocation of marks across the group unless a Group Contribution Form (GCF) is submitted to the unit convener.

The Group Contribution Form (GCF)

The GCF is available on the Moodle page and records how well individual members have contributed to the coursework throughout the coursework period. The final GCF is a one-page document with every group member's name, signature and agreed assessment of their percentage contribution to the coursework over the whole coursework period. In the case that recorded percentage contributions are exceptionally high or low, the GCF document should include a brief paragraph of explanation. (An example can be found Assessment submission topic file). The signed GCF must be submitted to the unit convener after the live delivery. If no agreement can be reached on the contributions of individual members, the group can hand in more than one GCF, but members will be required to produce evidence in a hearing with the unit convener to support their argument. In such an eventuality, the Director of Teaching may also be involved. The GCF will directly inform the allocation of marks to each individual group member.

Assessment Criteria.

Live and recorded delivery descriptors.

 $Grid\ A$ will be used to mark the content of each Mathematical Interaction (which could be one of the below items):

- COMPULSORY Masterclasses: Live delivery in groups
- OPTION Written article suitable for Chalkdust, published on Medium - individual work
- OPTION Video published on YouTube, e.g. similar in style to Numberphile, StandupMaths, 3Blue1Brown. Maximum 2 in group.
- OPTION School placement to be arranged in local school (limited availability)

Written Evaluation descriptors

Grid B will be used to mark the written evaluation of each Mathematical Interaction.

See below for Grid A and B, please note that a one page pdf document of these tables is available on moodle.

 $Grid\ A$

_					
$\frac{\mathrm{Ma}}{5}$	Mathematical Content (e.g. equations, derivations, proofs and correctness/appropria and these) Detailed and consistent	physical props, board use, graphics/animaticahameksuts etc) Fully developed use of	Style and Delivery (e.g technique, audience handling, confidence, clarity, surprise, phumour, variety, tone) Well developed general	Structure and pacing (e.g sections, pace, flow, narrative, coherence) Fully appropriate structure	Appropriateness for Audience Fully developed understanding of the intended
	understanding of the content. Fully correct and	media. Successful, creative, or	style and delivery. Delivery is clear, and engages well with the	and pace. Well chosen quantity and ordering of material	audience and their prior knowledge. Progression of the material, from an
	appropriate mathematics. Awareness of wider context demonstrated.	original demon- strations.	audience, using a range of successful techniques.	gives a complete narrative.	appropriate entry level, is successfully managed and enhances audience inter- est/experience.

Ma	Mathematical Content (e.g. equations, derivations, proofs and correctness/appropriated these)	physical props, board use, graph- ics/animatio	Style and Delivery (e.g technique, audience handling, confidence, clarity, surprise,	Structure and pacing (e.g sections, pace, flow, narrative, coherence)	Appropriateness for Audience
4	Detailed understanding of the content. Mostly correct and appropriate mathematics.	Mostly developed use of media. Mostly success- ful, creative, or original demon- strations.	General style and delivery is well developed in places. Delivery engages well with the audience, using some successful techniques.	Appropriate structure and pace. Quantity and order of material fits structure and aids narrative.	Mostly developed understanding of the intended audience and their prior knowledge. Progression of the material achieved, from an appropriate entry level.
3	Some misunder-standing of the content is apparent. Some mathematical inaccuracies or omissions.	Some media used inappropriately, otherwise resources and media are adequate. Some successful demonstrations.	Some good style and delivery. Occasional problems with clarity or audience engagement.	Some evidence of structure and some sections well paced. Some problems with quantity or ordering of material which affect the narrative.	Some understanding of the intended audience and their prior knowledge. Some progression of material is successfully managed. Some material occasionally inappropriate for the audience.

Ma	Mathematical Content (e.g. equations, derivations, proofs and correctness/appropri-	physical props, board use, graph- ics/animatic	Style and Delivery (e.g technique, audience handling, confidence, clarity, surprise,	Structure and pacing (e.g sections, pace, flow, narrative, coherence)	Appropriateness for Audience
2	Significant misunder-standing of the content is apparent. Significant mathematical inaccuracies or omissions.	Significant problems with use of media, even if some are used well. Significant problems with demonstrations.	Significant problems with style or delivery, with negative consequences for audience engagement (observed or likely).	Minimal structure apparent or significant problems with pacing. Significant problems with quantity or ordering of material which affect the narrative.	Minimal understanding of the audience and their prior knowledge. Significant problems with level of material and progression.
1	Very limited understanding of content Minimal mathematical content.	Very limited use of media. Very limited demonstrations.	Very limited style and delivery throughout, resulting in continued audience disengagement (observed or likely).	Very limited or no structure Very limited pacing. No overarching narrative.	Very limited understanding of the audience. Inappropriate level and progression of material.

_		Communica	tion		
		with			
		media			
		and	Style and		
		demon-	Delivery		
		stration	(e.g)		
	Mathematical	, ,	technique,		
	Content	physical	audience		
	(e.g. equa-	props,	handling,	Structure	
	tions,	board	confidence,	and pacing	
	derivations,	use,	clarity,	(e.g	
	proofs and	graph-	surprise,	sections,	
	correct-	ics/animatio	onhumour,	pace, flow,	
	ness/appropria	a hemess uts	variety,	narrative,	Appropriateness
Ma	and these)	etc)	tone)	coherence)	for Audience
0	No mathematical content.	No use of media or demonstrations.	No presentation or work submitted.	No presentation or work submitted.	No indication or awareness of the intended audience or their level.

 $Grid\ B$

Ma	Mathematical Content (e.g. equations, derivations, proofs and correctness/appropriated these)	physical props, board use, graph- ics/animatic	Style and Delivery (e.g technique, audience handling, confidence, clarity, surprise,	Structure and pacing (e.g sections, pace, flow, narrative, coherence)	Appropriateness for Audience
5	Detailed and consistent understanding of the content. Fully correct and appropriate mathematics. Awareness of wider context demonstrated.	Fully developed use of media. Successful, creative, or original demonstrations.	Well developed general style and delivery. Delivery is clear, and engages well with the audience, using a range of successful techniques.	Fully appropriate structure and pace. Well chosen quantity and ordering of material gives a complete narrative.	Fully developed understanding of the intended audience and their prior knowledge. Progression of the material, from an appropriate entry level, is successfully managed and enhances audience interest/experience.
4	Detailed understanding of the content. Mostly correct and appropriate mathematics.	Mostly developed use of media. Mostly successful, creative, or original demonstrations.	General style and delivery is well developed in places. Delivery engages well with the audience, using some successful techniques.	Appropriate structure and pace. Quantity and order of material fits structure and aids narrative.	Mostly developed understanding of the intended audience and their prior knowledge. Progression of the material achieved, from an appropriate entry level.

Ma	Mathematical Content (e.g. equa- tions, derivations, proofs and correct- ness/appropria add these)	physical props, board use, graph- ics/animatic	Style and Delivery (e.g technique, audience handling, confidence, clarity, surprise,	Structure and pacing (e.g sections, pace, flow, narrative, coherence)	Appropriateness for Audience
3	Some misunder-standing of the content is apparent. Some mathematical inaccuracies or omissions.	Some media used inappropriately, otherwise resources and media are adequate. Some successful demonstrations.	Some good style and delivery. Occasional problems with clarity or audience engage- ment.	Some evidence of structure and some sections well paced. Some problems with quantity or ordering of material which affect the narrative.	Some understanding of the intended audience and their prior knowledge. Some progression of material is successfully managed. Some material occasionally inappropriate for the audience.
2	Significant misunder- standing of the content is apparent. Significant mathematical inaccuracies or omissions.	Significant problems with use of media, even if some are used well. Significant problems with demonstrations.	Significant problems with style or delivery, with negative consequences for audience engagement (observed or likely).	Minimal structure apparent or significant problems with pacing. Significant problems with quantity or ordering of material which affect the narrative.	Minimal understanding of the audience and their prior knowledge. Significant problems with level of material and progression.

		Communica with media			
	Mathematical	and demon- stration	Style and Delivery (e.g technique,		
	Content	physical	audience		
	(e.g. equa-	props,	handling,	Structure	
	tions,	board	confidence,	and pacing	
	derivations,	use,	clarity,	(e.g	
	proofs and correct-	graph-	surprise,	sections,	
	ness/appropris	ics/animatio	variety,	pace, flow, narrative,	Appropriateness
Ma	and these	etc)	tone)	coherence)	for Audience
1	Very limited understanding of content Minimal mathematical content.	Very limited use of media. Very limited demonstrations.	Very limited style and delivery throughout, resulting in continued audience disengagement (observed or likely).	Very limited or no structure Very limited pacing. No overarching narrative.	Very limited understanding of the audience. Inappropriate level and progression of material.
0	No mathematical content.	No use of media or demonstrations.	No presentation or work submitted.	No presentation or work submitted.	No indication or awareness of the intended audience or their level.

Masterclasses

Bath 18.2.23.

Date: 18.2.23.

Time: 10:00-12:30.

Location: Bath University Campus.

Audience: Year 9, approx 100 participants.

University Mentor: Tam.

Masterclass Contact Chris Budd mascjb@bath.ac.uk.

Group Members: Joy Boh, Ashley Higgs, Chloe Howcroft, Lucia Lopez, Ha-

ranja Sivaneswaran, Jodie Young.

Oxford 18.2.23.

Date: 18.2.23.

Time: 10:00-12:30.

Location: Oxford Brookes Campus.

Audience: Sixth form, approx 30 participants.

University Mentor: Ben.

 ${\bf Masterclass} \ {\bf Contact} \ {\it Sam} \ {\it Kamparis} \ s. kamper is @brookes. ac. uk.$

Group Members: Tom Eves, Zoe Fairfax, Alankrit Mata, Anna Quinn, Rhea

Shah.

Holyport College 18.2.23.

Date: 18.2.23. **Time:** 9:30-12:00.

Location: Holyport College.

Audience: Year 9, approx 45 participants.

University Mentor: Waleed.

Masterclass Contact Daniel Hubbard rimasterclasses@holyportcollege.org.uk.
Group Members: Mira Balaji ,Kamilla Bugno,Ewan Partington, Samantha

Perryman, Olivia Wiseman.

Bath 25.2.23.

Date: 18.2.23.

Time: 10:00-12:30.

Location: Bath University Campus.

Audience: Year 9, approx 100 participants.

University Mentor: Ben.

 ${\bf Masterclass} \ {\bf Contact} \ {\it Chris} \ {\it Budd} \ {\it mascjb@bath.ac.uk}.$

Group Members: Abigail Bennett, Tosia Ciszek, Mirren Derby, Timi Fo-

laranmi, Molly Maguire-King, Tali Shear

Exeter 25.2.23.

Date: 18.2.23.

Time: 9:30-12:00.

Location: Exeter School of Maths.

Audience: Year 9, approx 30 participants.

University Mentor: Tam.

 ${\bf Masterclass} \ {\bf Contact} \ {\it Sophie Brown @exeterms.ac.uk}.$

Group Members: Sam Cheung, Lana Gregory, Ella Hidveghy, Rebecca Knight, Andrew McGrath.

School Placements

A list of the opportunities that you can opt into for your second Mathematical Interaction will appear below.

Please note that you need to have opted to do this, by emailing t.l.smith@bath. ac.uk by 11:15 am on 17.2.23