Group: Manish Batkiri and Valliyappan Senthil Kumar

Technical deliverables (70%) marking scheme:

Feature	Max marks	Marks	Comments
Part 1: Problem discussion	10	10	• Very 'dry'. A few small figures, but good enough.
Part 2: Result of config.ini	15	15	• Good, but screenshots are too small and too pixelated. Highlight with ppts drawing tools what you want the ppts reader to see.
Part 3: Modification of source code (clarity and correctness)	15	13	• Confusing section. Here you do not need to show any results, just annotate the changes made in the code to specify the different BPs. You do not need to compare all three BPs for the helloWorld benchmark. This needs to be done for the 2 other benchmarks in part 4
Part 4: BP exploration	60	45	• You do not need to show the gem5 simulation result report screenshots → cleaner just showing the graphs. • Overview slide with what parameters you will change for each BP would have been nice. • You only analyzed the tournament BP. Why?
TOTAL	**100**	**83**	

Presentation Marking Scheme (30%):

Feature	Max marks	Marks	Comments
Quality of PowerPoint slides and clarity of results shown	100	75	• Some slides have too much text. Add figures to make it easier to understand • Screenshots used often not clean/too stretched or too pixelated • For every figure that you did not draw include the reference. • Graphs in part 4: legend and axis descriptions too small

EE/CE/CS 6304: Computer Architecture
Prof. Benjamin Carrion Schaefer

Project # 1
Date Assigned: October 20, 2021
Due Date: November 7, 2021, by 11:59 pm

TOTAL	**100**	**75**	

Total = 0.7 x 83   + 0.3 x 75   = 81/100