Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)

15/15 points (100%)

Quiz, 15 questions

✓ Congratulations! You passed!

Next Item



1/1 points

1.

Problem Statement

This example is adapted from a real production application, but with details disguised to protect confidentiality.



You are a famous researcher in the City of Peacetopia. The people of Peacetopia have a common characteristic: they are afraid of birds. To save them, you have **to build an algorithm that will detect any bird flying over Peacetopia** and alert the population.

The City Council gives you a dataset of 10,000,000 images of the sky above Peacetopia, taken from the city's security cameras. They are labelled:

y = 0: There is no bird on the image

• y = 1: There is a bird on the image

Bird recognition in the with of Peacetopias (rase study taken by

15/15 points (100%)

Quiz, 15 questions

security cameras from Peacetopia.

There are a lot of decisions to make:

- · What is the evaluation metric?
- How do you structure your data into train/dev/test sets?

Metric of success

The City Council tells you the following that they want an algorithm that

- 1. Has high accuracy
- 2. Runs quickly and takes only a short time to classify a new image.
- 3. Can fit in a small amount of memory, so that it can run in a small processor that the city will attach to many different security cameras.

<u>Note</u>: Having three evaluation metrics makes it harder for you to quickly choose between two different algorithms, and will slow down the speed with which your team can iterate. True/False?

0	True			
Corı	rect			
	False			

/

1/1 points

2.

After further discussions, the city narrows down its criteria to:

Bird recognition in the land in the land to pion (2008 & Still by) Peacetopia 15/15 points (100%)

Quiz, 15 questions

as accurately as possible."

- "We want the trained model to take no more than 10sec to classify a new image."
- "We want the model to fit in 10MB of memory."

If you had the three following models, which one would you choose?

les	st Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
979	%	1 sec	3МВ

Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
99%	13 sec	9MB

Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
97%	3 sec	2MB

0	Test Accuracy	Runtime	Memory size
	98%	9 sec	9MB

Correct

Correct! As soon as the runtime is less than 10 seconds you're good. So, you may simply maximize the test accuracy after you made sure the runtime is <10sec.



1/1 points

3.

Based on the city's requests, which of the following would you say is true?

Accuracy is an optimizing metric; running time and memory size are a satisficing metrics.

Correct



1/1 points

Structuring your data

Before implementing your algorithm, you need to split your data into train/dev/test sets. Which of these do you think is the best choice?

Train	Dev	Test
6,000,000	1,000,000	3,000,000

0	Train	Dev	Test
	9,500,000	250,000	250,000

Correct

Yes.

Train	Dev	Test
3,333,334	3,333,333	3,333,333

Train	Dev	Test
6,000,000	3,000,000	1,000,000

4/13

1/1 points 5.

Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopla (case Study) another 1,000,000 images, called the "citizens" data". Apparently the citizens 15/15 points (100%)

Quiz, 15 questions

of Peacetopia are so scared of birds that they volunteered to take pictures of the sky and label them, thus contributing these additional 1,000,000 images. These images are different from the distribution of images the City Council had originally given you, but you think it could help your algorithm.

You should not add the citizens' data to the training set, because this will cause the training and dev/test set distributions to become different, thus hurting dev and test set performance. True/False?

	True
0	False

Correct

Adding this data to the training set will change the training set distribution. However, it is not a problem to have different training and dev distribution. On the contrary, it would be very problematic to have different dev and test set distributions.



1/1 points

6.

One member of the City Council knows a little about machine learning, and thinks you should add the 1,000,000 citizens' data images to the test set. You object because:

A bigger test set will slow down the speed of iterating because of
the computational expense of evaluating models on the test set.

Un-selected is correct

This would cause the dev and test set distributions to become
different. This is a bad idea because you're not aiming where you
want to hit.

Correct

12/4/2017	1. Coursera Online Courses From Top Universities. Join for Free Coursera The 1,000,000 citizens' data images do not have a consistent x>y	
Bird recognition	mapping as the rest of the data (similar to the New York inty baraity with Parasetapia (casetaldy)	15/15 points (100%)
Quiz, 15 questions		
Un-s	elected is correct	
Corre	The test set no longer reflects the distribution of data (security cameras) you most care about.	



1/1 points

7.

You train a system, and its errors are as follows (error = 100%-Accuracy):

Training set error	4.0%
Dev set error	4.5%

This suggests that one good avenue for improving performance is to train a bigger network so as to drive down the 4.0% training error. Do you agree?

	Yes, because having 4.0% training error shows you have high bias.
	Yes, because this shows your bias is higher than your variance.
	No, because this shows your variance is higher than your bias.
0	No, because there is insufficient information to tell.

Correct

1/1 points

You ask a few people to label the dataset so as to find out what is human-level performance. You find the following levels of accuracy:

Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)

15/15 points (100%)

Quiz, 15 questions

Bird watching expert #1	0.3% error
Bird watching expert #2	0.5% error
Normal person #1 (not a bird watching expert)	1.0% error
Normal person #2 (not a bird watching expert)	1.2% error

If your goal is to have "human-level performance" be a proxy (or estimate) for Bayes error, how would you define "human-level performance"?

0.0% (because it is impossible to do better than this)

0.3% (accuracy of expert #1)

Correct

0.4% (average of 0.3 and 0.5)

0.75% (average of all four numbers above)

9.

Which of the following statements do you agree with?

A learning algorithm's performance can be better than humanlevel performance but it can never be better than Bayes error.

Correct

points

A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance but it can be better than Bayes error.
A learning algorithm's performance can never be better than human-level performance nor better than Bayes error.

A learning algorithm's performance can be better than human-level performance and better than Bayes error.

Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)

15/15 points (100%)

Quiz, 15 questions



1/1 points

10.

You find that a team of ornithologists debating and discussing an image gets an even better 0.1% performance, so you define that as "human-level performance." After working further on your algorithm, you end up with the following:

Human-level performance	0.1%
Training set error	2.0%
Dev set error	2.1%

Based on the evidence you have, which two of the following four options seem the most promising to try? (Check two options.)

•		
Train a b	pigger model to try to do better on the training set.	
Correct		
Try decr	easing regularization.	
	gger training set to reduce variance.	
Un-selected is correct		
	easing regularization.	
Un-selected is correct		



1/1 points

Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)

15/15 points (100%)

Quiz, 15 questions

11.

You also evaluate your model on the test set, and find the following:

Human-level performance	0.1%
Training set error	2.0%
Dev set error	2.1%
Test set error	7.0%

What does this mean? (Check the two best options.) You should get a bigger test set. **Un-selected** is correct You should try to get a bigger dev set. Correct You have overfit to the dev set. Correct You have underfit to the dev set. **Un-selected** is correct

/

1/1 points

12.

After working on this project for a year, you finally achieve:

Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)

15/15 points (100%)

Quiz, 15 questions

Training set error	0.05%
Dev set error	0.05%

DC	V 3Ct CITOI 0.0070
What c	an you conclude? (Check all that apply.)
	If the test set is big enough for the 0.05% error estimate to be accurate, this implies Bayes error is ≤ 0.05
Corre	ect
	It is now harder to measure avoidable bias, thus progress will be slower going forward.
Corre	ect
	This is a statistical anomaly (or must be the result of statistical noise) since it should not be possible to surpass human-level performance.
Un-s	elected is correct
	With only 0.09% further progress to make, you should quickly be able to close the remaining gap to 0%
Un-s	elected is correct
	1/1

13.

points

It turns out Peacetopia has hired one of your competitors to build a system as well. Your system and your competitor both deliver systems with about

Bird recognition in the city of Reace topio (case of tydy) has higher 15/15 points (100%)

Quiz, 15 questions

accuracy! However, when Peacetopia tries out your and your competitor's systems, they conclude they actually like your competitor's system better, because even though you have higher overall accuracy, you have more false negatives (failing to raise an alarm when a bird is in the air). What should you do?

	Look at all the models you've developed during the development process and find the one with the lowest false negative error rate.
	Ask your team to take into account both accuracy and false negative rate during development.
	Rethink the appropriate metric for this task, and ask your team to tune to the new metric.
Corr	ect
	Pick false negative rate as the new metric, and use this new metric to drive all further development.



1/1 points

14.

You've handily beaten your competitor, and your system is now deployed in Peacetopia and is protecting the citizens from birds! But over the last few Rind recognition in the city of Peacetopia. (case estudy) area so the

Bird recognitions in the peits of Peacetopian (caise to the area, so the 15/15 points (100%)

Quiz, 15 questions

performance of your system slowly degrades because your data is being tested on a new type of data.



You have only 1,000 images of the new species of bird. The city expects a better system from you within the next 3 months. Which of these should you do first?

\bigcirc	Use the data you have to define a new evaluation metric (using a
	new dev/test set) taking into account the new species, and use that
	to drive further progress for your team.

Correct

Put the 1,000 images into the training set so as to try to do better on these birds.
Try data augmentation/data synthesis to get more images of the new type of bird.
Add the 1,000 images into your dataset and reshuffle into a new train/dev/test split.



Bird recognition in the city of Peacetopia (case study)
The City Council thinks that having more Cats in the city would help scare of 15/15 points (100%) birds. They are so happy with your work on the Bird detector that they also Quiz, 15 questions hire you to build a Cat detector. (Wow Cat detectors are just incredibly useful aren't they.) Because of years of working on Cat detectors, you have such a huge dataset of 100,000,000 cat images that training on this data takes about two weeks. Which of the statements do you agree with? (Check all that agree.) Having built a good Bird detector, you should be able to take the same model and hyperparameters and just apply it to the Cat dataset, so there is no need to iterate. **Un-selected** is correct Buying faster computers could speed up your teams' iteration speed and thus your team's productivity. Correct If 100,000,000 examples is enough to build a good enough Cat detector, you might be better of training with just 10,000,000 examples to gain a \approx 10x improvement in how quickly you can run experiments, even if each model performs a bit worse because it's trained on less data. Correct Needing two weeks to train will limit the speed at which you can iterate. Correct





