# Integral solutions of $x^3 - 2y^3 = 1$

# $\begin{array}{c} {\bf BMST~2025} \\ {\bf p\text{-}adic~numbers~and~applications} \\ {\bf Copenhagen} \end{array}$

Xi Feihu \* Noemi Gennuso † April 20, 2025

<sup>\*</sup>Sorbonne University

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger} \text{University of Milan}$ 

# Contents

1	p-adic Analytic funnction	•
2	Unit theorem	7
3	Interpolation Method	ę
4	Other method(not formally)	11

### 1 p-adic Analytic funnction

The main goal of this part is to completely solve the equation by p-adic method, p-adic analytic function and Strassman's theorem will be the key, in particular logarithm and exponential function.

**Proposition 1.1.** Let K be a complete non-archimdean field, a series  $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n$  of K converges if and only if  $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$  converges to zero.

Similarly, we can study the convergence of power series in a non-archimdean field by considering the radius convergence

$$R = 1/\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}$$

Since ultrametric is still a metric. We can formally define

**Definition.** Let  $B_p(a,r) = \{x \in \mathbb{C}_p | |x-a|_p < r\}$  be a subset of  $\mathbb{C}_p$ .

- p-adic logarithm is the p-adic analytic function  $\log_p : B_p(1,1) \to \mathbb{C}_p$  defined by

$$\log_p(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(x-1)^n}{n}$$

- p-adic exponential is the p-adic analytic function  $\exp_p: B_p(0, p^{-1/(p-1)}) \to \mathbb{C}_p$  defined by

$$\exp_p(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

We will verify the statement is well-defined. For the reader who is familiar with p-adic analytic function, this section can be skipped.

It is easier to check logarithm by observing  $v_p(n) \leq \log_p(n)$  for any integer  $n \geq p$  and here logarithm is defined in real line, because any integer between  $p^k$  and  $p^{k+1}$  has valuation 1 and logarithm in real line is increasing. then for any  $|x-1|_p = p^{-r} < 1$  we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |1/n|_p |x - 1|_p^n = \lim_{n \to \infty} p^{v_p(n) - nr} = p^{\lim_{n \to \infty} n(\frac{v_p(n)}{n} - r)} = 0$$

When  $|x-1|_p = 1$ , notice that sequence  $|1/n|_p$  diverges, so the  $B_p(1,1)$  is the domain of convergence. Similarly,we will check exponentials and it will be a little complicated.

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $S_n$  denotes the sum of the digits of n in base p, then

$$v_p(n!) = \frac{n - S_n}{p - 1}$$

*Proof.* Firstly we prove  $v_p(p^n!) = \frac{p^n-1}{p-1}$  for any positive integer n by recurrence. when n=1,  $v_p(p)=1$ ; for integer  $n \geq 2$  we assume that  $v_p(p^{n-1}!) = \frac{p^{n-1}-1}{p-1}$ , then  $p^n! = p^{n-1}! \cdot \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} A_k$  with

$$A_k = (kp^{n-1} + 1) \times (kp^{n-1} + 2) \times \dots \times (k+1)p^{n-1}$$

then clearly by valuation

$$v_p(p^n!) = v_p(p^{n-1}!) + \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} v_p(A_k)$$

$$= v_p(p^{n-1}!) + pv_p(p^{n-1}!) + 1$$

$$= \frac{p^{n-1} - 1}{p - 1} + p \cdot \frac{p^{n-1} - 1}{p - 1} + 1$$

$$= \frac{p^n - 1}{p - 1}$$

Hence we finish our recurrence. And if we tkae  $a \in 1, ..., p-1$ , then the formula can be generalized

$$v_p(ap^n!) = \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} v_p[(k \cdot p^n + 1) \times (k \cdot p^n + 2) \times \dots \times (k \cdot p^n + p^n)]$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} v_p(p^n!) = a \frac{p^n - 1}{p - 1}$$

Finally we prove it by recurrence. Assuming that for any integer n-1 the identity holds, and  $n = ap^r + m$  with  $a \in \{1, ..., p-1\}$  and  $m < p^r < n-1$ , then

$$v_p(n!) = v_p(ap^r!) + \sum_{k=1}^m v_p(ap^r! + k)$$

$$= v_p(ap^r!) + v_p(m)$$

$$= a \cdot \frac{p^r - 1}{p - 1} + \frac{m - S_m}{p - 1}$$

$$= \frac{(ap^r + m) - (a + S_m)}{p - 1} = \frac{n - S_n}{p - 1}$$

By above lemma, the exponentials converges in given domain. For any  $|x|_p < p^{-1/p-1}$ , we estimate

$$v_p(x^n/n!) = nv_p(x) - v_p(n!) > \frac{S_n}{p-1} \xrightarrow{n \to \infty} +\infty$$

which means the definition is well-defined. When  $|x| = p^{-1/p-1}$ , we notice that for  $n = p^k$ , we have

$$\left|\frac{x^n}{n!}\right|_p = p^{-p^k/p-1} \cdot p^{p^k-1/p-1} = p^{1/p-1}$$

Hence the series diverges and the domain of the convergence is  $B_p(0, p^{-1/(p-1)})$ .

Some properties about the power series will be needed here for the following proof.

**Lemma 1.3** (analytic continuation). Let f(X) and g(X) be two formally power series over a complete non-archimdean field K, and they all converge on the domain D If there exists a non-stationary convergent sequence  $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  of D such that  $f(a_n)=g(a_n)$ , then f(X)=g(X).

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the classical proof. It is sufficient to consider the case that D is a disc containing zero and  $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges to zero. Then we have

$$h(X) = f(X) - g(X) = \sum_{k>1} c_k X^k$$

with  $h(a_n) = 0$  for any n. Assuming that h(X) is not zero, then we take  $r = \{\min n \in \mathbb{N} | c_n \neq 0\}$  the smallest non-zero index, then  $h(X) = X^r h_1(X)$ , here  $h_1$  is defined by a power series with the non-zero constant cofficient, and it also converges on D. Then by continuity, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} h_1(a_n) = h_1(\lim_{n \to \infty} a_n) = h_1(0) = c^r \neq 0$$

Hence for a large N,  $h_1(a_N) \neq 0$ . Moreover, non-stationary sequence  $(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  implies  $a_N \neq 0$ , so  $h(a_N) = a_N^r h_1(a_N) \neq 0$ , absurd.

**Lemma 1.4** (composition). Let  $f(X) = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_n X^n$  and  $g(X) = \sum_{m\geq 1} b_m X^m$  be two formal power series, let R be the radius convergence of f. If x is an element of a complete non-archimdean field K which satisfies

- (1) g(x) converges.
- (2)  $|b_m x^m| < R \text{ for any } m \ge 1.$

then the formal power series  $h(X) = f \circ g(X)$  converges at x with h(x) = f(g(x)).

*Proof.* The proof can be founded in [1, Chapter 4].

Logarithm and exponetial function keeps the same algebraic properties in p-adic context, here we just need several properties for applications to the solution of the equation.

**Proposition 1.5.** Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}_p$  with  $|a|_p, |b|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$ , then

- $(1) \exp(a+b) = \exp(a) \exp(b)$
- (2)  $|\log(1+a)|_p = |a|_p$
- (3)  $\exp(\log(1+a)) = 1+a$

*Proof.* (1)  $|a+b| \le max\{|a|,|b|\} < p^{-1/p-1}$ , so  $\exp(a+b)$  exists. By a manipulation of power series

$$\exp(a) \exp(b) = (\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^m}{m!}) (\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^n}{n!})$$

$$= \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{m+n=k} \frac{k!}{m! \cdot n!} a^m b^n$$

$$= \sum_{k \ge 0} \frac{1}{k!} (a+b)^k = \exp(a+b)$$

we finish the proof.

(2) Notice that  $v_p(n!) = v_p(n) + v_p((n-1)!)$  and  $v_p(n!) \ge 0$ , which implies  $|n!|_p \le |n|_p$ . and we can estimate that

$$v_p(\frac{a^{n-1}}{n!}) = (n-1)v_p(a) - v_p(n!) > \frac{n-1}{p-1} - \frac{n-S_n}{p-1} = \frac{S_n - 1}{p-1} \ge 0$$

Hence we can conclude that

$$\left|\frac{a^n}{n}\right|_p \le \left|\frac{a^n}{n!}\right|_p = \left|\frac{a^{n-1}}{n!}\right|_p \cdot |a|_p < |a|_p$$

for any  $n \geq 2$ . Therefore by the inequality of ultrametric, we can conclude the result.

(3) Firstly we will check the condition of the lemma 1.4. Let  $f(X) = \exp(X)$  and  $g(X) = \log(1+X)$ , then  $|a| < p^{-1/p-1} < 1$  implies that g(a) converges. Notice that each term  $(-1)^{m+1} \frac{x^m}{m}$  in g(a), we have estimated in the proof of (2), the absolute value is strictly less than the radius  $R = p^{-1/p-1}$ , hence we by composition we proved that  $\exp(\log(1+a))$  converges. Let  $x_k = \frac{p^k}{p^k+1} < 1$  be the sequence of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , caculate its p-adic absolute value  $|x_k|_p = p^{-k} < R$  (to avoid the equality here, we convente  $k \geq 2$ ), hence  $x_k$  is a non-stationary sequence converging to zero by p-adic absolute value. Finally by lemma 1.3, we can conclude that  $\exp(\log(1+a)) = 1 + a$  since formally power series  $\exp(\log(1+X))$  has the same cofficient with 1 + X.

*Remark.* The method of proof (3) is to avoid discussing too much formal power series. Generally, we can prove the permanence of algebraic form

$$\exp(\log(1+X)) = 1 + X$$

without considering the convergence over a fromal power series ring R[[X]] with R as a commutative  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra. The proof without analytic method is not easy, it needs some combinatorial trick, a method via formal derivative can be found in [2].

Applying (1) to (2), then we can get the identity

$$(1+a)^n = \exp(n\log(1+a)), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

For extending the definition for interpolation, i.e. let  $(1+a)^x$  makes sense for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , a traditional definition is based on the Newton's binoimal theorem (see [3, Chapter 5]), which needs some work and here we will not use binoimal, so we consider the extension by p-adic exponentials and logarithm.

**Definition.** Let  $a \in \mathbb{C}_p$  with  $|a|_p < p^{-1/(p-1)}$ , then the binoimal interpolation can be defined by a p-adic analytic function

$$f_a: \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p, \quad x \mapsto \exp(x \log(1+a))$$

This construction satisfies  $f_a(n) = (1+a)^n$  for any integr n.

When fixing a, we can estimate for any  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ 

$$|x \log(1+a)|_p = |x|_p |a|_p < p^{1-/p-1}$$

that means  $f_a$  is well-defined, and by convention we denote  $f_a(x) = (1+a)^x$ .

Strassman's Theorem will be the crucial part in the proof, we give a version which is easy to use here:

Theorem 1.6 (Strassman's Theorem).

Let f(X) be a non-zero power series of Tate algebra over  $\mathbb{C}_p$  as following

$$f(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n X^n = a_0 + a_1 X + a_2 X^2 + \dots$$

Let  $N = \max\{m \in \mathbb{N} : |a_m|_p \ge |a_n|_p \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , then  $f : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p$  has at most N zeros.

*Proof.* It is rewritten from corollary 16.14.

#### 2 Unit theorem

**Theorem 2.1** (Dirichlet's unit theorem).

Let K be a number field with r real embeddings and s pairs complex embeddings, and let  $\mathcal{O}_K$  be its integer ring, then its unit group has isomorphic structure:

$$\mathcal{O}_K^{\times} \cong \mu(K) \times \mathbb{Z}^{r+s-1}$$

where  $\mu(K)$  is the group of roots of unity in K, and it is a finite cyclic gourp.

*Proof.* A standard proof can be founded in [4], here we just consider the case of r=1 and s=1.

Although unit theorem can show us the structure of the unit, but it is difficult to give a perfect algorithm to how to exactly compute the fundamental unit, here it is a criterion about the fundamental unit.

**Lemma 2.2.** Let K be a cubic extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  with negative discriminant, and let u be the fundamental unit with u > 1, then

$$|\Delta_K| < 4u^3 + 24$$

Proof.

A more strong estimation about the upper bound of the fundamental unit in a cubic field can be founded in Box's thesis [5, Theorem 1.82], that shows for a cubic field  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{a})$  with  $d = |\Delta_K|$ , a element u > 1 can be choosen as a fundamental unit if and only if

$$u < (\frac{d - 32 + \sqrt{d^2 - 64d + 960}}{8})^{2/3}$$

Now for solve the equation, we take  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$  be the extension field of the raitional number, and we denote  $\theta = \sqrt[3]{2}$ , then each element in its has the form

$$a + b\theta + c\theta^2$$
 with  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$ 

Then we prove some properties of the field:

**Proposition 2.3.** in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$  we have

- The unit group is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ .

$$-N_{\mathbb{O}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{O}}(a+b\theta+c\theta^{2}) = a^{3} + 2b^{3} + 4c^{3} - 6abc$$

-  $u = -1 + \theta$  is a fundamental unit.

*Proof.* Firstly we suppose that  $\sigma: \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a field embedding, then surely  $\sigma(1) = 1$ . Let  $f(X) = X^3 - 2$  be a polynoimal, and notice that  $f(\theta) = 0$ , then

$$0 = \sigma(f(\theta)) = f(\sigma(\theta))$$

Clearly  $\sigma(\theta)$  must be the root of f in  $\mathbb{C}$ , so we can conclude the roots are  $\theta, \theta w, \theta w^2$ , where  $w = e^{2i\pi/3}$ . Hence the unique real embedding is  $\sigma = id$  and there are two conjugate complex embedding, which means r = 1 and s. For the group of roots of unity, we notice that  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) \subset \mathbb{R}$  as a subfield, and  $x^n = 1$  only has possible solutions  $\{\pm 1\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $\mu_K = \{\pm 1\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ .

For the norm we consider the Q-linear map  $l_x$  with  $x = a + b\theta + c\theta^2$ , then

$$l_x(1) = a + b\theta + c\theta^2, l_x(\theta) = 2c + a\theta + b\theta^2, l_x(\theta^2) = 2b + 2c\theta + a\theta^2$$

so we can conclude the norm by

$$det[l_x]_{\{1,\theta,\theta^2\}} = \begin{vmatrix} a & 2c & 2b \\ b & a & 2c \\ c & b & a \end{vmatrix} = a^3 + 2b^3 + 4c^3 - 6abc$$

and we take  $u = -1 + \theta$ , then N(u) = -1 + 2 = 1, so it is a unit.

Finally we prove that u is exactly a fundamental unit by contradiction. Assuming that  $\eta > 1$  is a fundamental unit, and notice that 0 < u < 1, so there exists a integer  $k \ge 1$  such that  $u = \eta^{-k}$ . In this case we have negative discriminant  $\Delta = -108$ , then by lemma 2.2 we can estimate  $\eta > \sqrt[3]{21}$ , then

$$-1 + \sqrt[3]{2} = \eta^{-k} < (\sqrt[3]{21})^{-k}$$

It only holds for k = 1, which means u is the largest positive unit less than one, so u can be choosen as a fundamental unit.

Return to the original equation, now we can give a equivalent statement:

**Proposition 2.4.** The integral solution of the equation  $x^3 - 2y^3 = 1$  is

$$\{(x,y)\in\mathbb{Z}|x-y\theta=u^k, \text{ for some } k\in\mathbb{Z}\}$$

*Proof.* We notice that  $x^3 - 2y^3 = 1$  can be rewritten as  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}}(x - y\theta) = 1$ . And by the Dirichlet's unit theorem, its unit group is of the form  $\pm u^k$ . Notice that  $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}}(-1) = -1$ , so

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}}(-u^n) = N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}}(-1)N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}}^n(u) = -1, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Hence the integral solution is of the form  $u^k$  in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ .

Notice that if k = 0 we can get the trival solution (1,0); if k = 1, we can find another solution (-1,-1); By known result, we need to prove that for any other k,  $x - y\theta = u^k$ 

has no solution, one possible method is to prove that for any other  $u^k$ , the cofficient with respect to base vector  $\theta^2$  is non-zero. For the case k < 0, we denote  $v = u^{-1} = 1 + \theta + \theta^2$  and use multinomial formula then

$$(1 + \theta + \theta^2)^k = \sum_{i+j+k=n} \frac{n!}{i!j!k!} \theta^{j+2k}$$

with  $\theta^3 = 2$  we can rewrite it to get a linear combination of  $\{1, \theta, \theta^2\}$ , clearly here the cofficient of  $\theta^2$  will not be zero so the choice of k will be limited to be less than zero. However, when  $k \geq 2$  we will find that it is difficult to analyse, for example

$$u^{2} = 1 - 2\theta + \theta^{2}$$

$$u^{3} = 1 + 3\theta - 3\theta^{2}$$

$$u^{4} = -7 - 2\theta + 6\theta^{2}$$

The problem here is difficult to formulate  $u^k$  since there exists negative cofficient in  $-1 + \theta$ , it is not easy to deduce that whether the cofficient of  $\theta^k$  will vanish in a certain k or not, the argument here will be not clear, a pure algebraic method can be founded in [6, Chapter 24] by discussing binoimal units.

# 3 Interpolation Method

Now we will solve the equation by using p-adic interpolation method. Firstly, we notice that  $\sqrt[3]{2} \notin \mathbb{Q}_3$  by locally observing that  $x^3 = 2 \mod 9$  has no solution, so we still consider the finite extension by adjoining  $\theta = \sqrt[3]{2}$  to construct, then we have the similar result.

**Proposition 3.1.** In  $\mathbb{Q}_3(\theta)$  we have

- This field is a complete non-archimdean field with the absolute value:

$$|a + b\theta + c\theta^2| = \sqrt[3]{|a^3 + 2b^3 + 4c^3 - 6abc|_3}$$

In this field, observing that  $|u-1|=1>3^{-1/2}$  prevents us from directly using interpolation, and notice that  $|u^3-1|=3^{-1}<3^{-1/2}$ , hence we will interpolate on  $u^3$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** The only solutions to the integral equation on

$$x^3 - 2y^3 = 1$$

are (x, y) = (1, 0) and (x, y) = (-1, -1).

*Proof.* Let  $f: \mathbb{Z}_3 \to \mathbb{Q}_3(\theta)$  be the p-adic analytic function defined by  $f(x) = \exp(x \log u^3)$ , and  $f|_{\mathbb{Z}}(n) = u^{3n}$ , it is well-efined by **lemma...**. In particular

$$\log u^3 \equiv 3\theta - 3\theta^2 \mod 9\mathbb{Z}_3$$

hence

$$\exp(x \log u^3) = 1 + (3\theta - 3\theta^2)x + 9xh(x) \tag{1}$$

for some convegrent power series h(X) with cofficient on  $\mathbb{Z}_3(\theta)$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}_3(\theta)$  is a vector space under basis  $\{1, \theta, \theta^2\}$ , then f(x) can be denoted by three power series with respect to basis as following

$$f(x) = (\sum_{k \ge 0} a_k x^k) + (\sum_{k \ge 0} b_k x^k) \theta + (\sum_{k \ge 0} c_k x^k) \theta^2$$

and we will study the cofficient with respect to  $\theta^2$  to show that  $u^n$  can not be of the form  $x - y\theta$  unless n = 0, 1. we take  $f_r(x) = u^r f(x)$  with r = 0, 1, 2.

-When r = 0, the equation (1) can be rewritten as

$$f_0(x) = 1 + 3x \cdot \theta + (-3\theta^2 x + 9xh(x))$$

In detail, by writing h(x) as the form of linear combination

$$h(x) = h_1(x) + h_2(x) \cdot \theta + h_3 \cdot \theta^2$$

with  $h_1, h_2, h_3$  the convegrent power series defined on  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , so again

$$f_0(x) = (1 + 9xh_1(x)) + (3x + 9xh_2(x)) \cdot \theta + (-3x + 9xh_3(x)) \cdot \theta^2$$

we apply Strassman's theorem to  $-3x + 9xh_1(x) = 0$ , and notice that the cofficient of x is  $a_1 \equiv 3 \mod 9\mathbb{Z}_p$  and the other cofficients are  $a_i \equiv 0 \mod 9\mathbb{Z}_p$ , hence we can conclude that N = 1 and x = 0 is the unique solution.

-When r = 1, similarly the equation can be rewritten as

$$f_1(x) = [-1 - 6x - 9xh_3(x) + 18xh_1(x)] + [1 - 3x - 9xh_2(x) + 9xh_3(x)] \cdot \theta + [6x + 9xh_2(x) - 9xh_1(x)] \cdot \theta^2$$

applying Strassman's theorem to  $6x + 9x(h_1 + h_2)(x) = 0$ , we can conclude that N = 1 and x = 0 is the unique solution.

- When r=2, similarly the cofficient with respect to  $\theta^2$  is

$$1 - 9x + 9(h_3(x) - 2h_2(x) + h_3(x))$$

notice that the constant cofficient |1| = 1, which strictly greater than any other cofficient, hence no solution for x such that the cofficient turns zero by Strassman's theorem.

In conclusion, we can conclude the solution of the integral equation  $x^3 - 2y^3 = 1$  by proposition 2.4, when  $n \equiv 0 \mod 3$ , the only solution is (1,0) which corresponds to r = 0, x = 1; when  $n \equiv 1 \mod 3$ , the only solution is (-1, -1) which corresponds to r = 1, x = 0; when  $n \equiv 2 \mod 3$ , no solution will exists.

# 4 Other method(not formally)

Now we consider the other possible method corresponding to the integral solution. We founded that if 2 is a perfect cubic number, then the solution will be very easy, but unfortunately we can not do like that. However, p-adic number system gives us a method to extend the field, surely we consider a prime p (for example,p =5?) such that  $\sqrt[3]{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ , then we just need to study the the p-adic Integral equation

$$x^3 + y^3 = 1$$

with  $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

For example we take the set S as the solution of the equation, then for any  $x, y \in S$ , there exists sequences  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  and  $(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  with  $x_n, y_n \in \mathbb{Z}_p/p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$  such that

$$\begin{cases} x_n \equiv x_{n+1} \mod p^n \\ y_n \equiv y_{n+1} \mod p^n \\ x_n^3 + y_n^3 \equiv 1 \mod p^n \end{cases}$$

Fix n, and we take  $(x_n, y_n)$  to form a sequence  $S_n$ , the space of the solution can be derived by the inverse limit as following

$$S = \varprojlim_{n} S_{n}$$

By computing the case p=3, we take  $A_n=\{(x_n,y_n)|x_n^3+y_n^3\equiv 1\mod 3^n\}$ , some example as following

$$A_{1} = \{ (1,0), (0,1), (2,2) \}$$

$$A_{2} = \{ (0,1), (3,4), (6,7), (1,0), (4,3), (7,6) \}$$

$$A_{3} = \{ (0,1), (9,10), (18,19), \}$$

$$(3,4), (12,13), (21,22),$$

$$(6,7), (15,16), (24,25),$$

$$(1,0), (10,9), (19,18),$$

$$(4,3), (13,12), (22,21),$$

$$(7,6), (16,15), (25,24)$$

With that we can do selecting: notice that the possible original solution for lifting are just three possible, so there will exist three path to consider, so we can do lifting as following -starting from (1,0):

starting from (0,1) is symmetrical as above, but pay attention that there exists no lifting when starting from (2,2). Then we should consider all possible lifting, that is motivated

from Hensel's lemma, for example (1,0) is exactly a solution for the equation  $x^3 + y^3 = 1$ , and we can find the a lifting

$$(1,0) \to (1,0) \to (1,0) \to \dots$$

The choice of the prime p=3 is really terrible here, since for  $f(x,y)=x^3+y^3-1$ , the partial derivative  $f_x\equiv f_x\equiv 0\mod 3$ , that means the algebraic curve we consider is not smooth? so we may consider the other prime.

For example we take p = 5 and we take  $f(x, y) = x^3 + y^3 - 1$ , we can actually compute that there exists exactly 4 zeros lifting from

Back to the equation

$$x^3 - 2y^3 = 1$$

we see that in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  and by hensel's lemma, we can know that  $\sqrt[3]{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_5$  and  $x^3 = 2$  has exactly only one solution in 5-adic integr, in particular we can compute that

$$u = \sqrt[3]{2} = 3 + 2 \cdot 25 + 125 + \dots = [\dots 1203]_5$$

Hence actually the equation is equivalent to

$$x^3 + (-uy)^3 = 1$$

in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ . hence we can conclude the 4 possible solutions (mod 5)

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 0 \\ -uy \equiv 1 \end{cases}, \begin{cases} x \equiv 1 \\ -uy \equiv 0 \end{cases}, \begin{cases} x \equiv 3 \\ -uy \equiv 4 \end{cases}, \begin{cases} x \equiv 4 \\ -uy \equiv 3 \end{cases}, \begin{cases} x \equiv 2 \\ -uy \equiv 2 \end{cases}$$

We know that (1,0) and (-1,-1) are the all possible  $\mathbb{Z}$ -integer solution, so here we just need to prove that in case 1 and case 3, we can not get the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -integer solution of (x,y).

For case 1, (0,1) is a solution to  $x^3+y^3=1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ , so that means -uy=1 has  $\mathbb{Z}$ -intger solution for y. Notice that  $u\equiv 3$  implies  $u\in \mathbb{Z}_5^{\times}$ , so  $y^3=\frac{1}{-u^3}=\frac{-1}{2}$ , so no solution in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

For case 3, the integral solution of  $x^3 + y^3 = 1$  are (1,0) and (0,1), so the solution  $x \equiv 3$  is not in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Hence we can conclude that all solution are (1,0) and (-1,-1).

Remark. A little remark to case 4 here, actually  $x = -1 \equiv 4 \mod 5$  here, so the solution of  $x^3 + y^3 = 1$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  here is equivalent to consider  $y^3 = 1 - x^3$ , so when  $1 + a^3$  is a cubic number in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  or  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for a integer a?

We consider above process from the view of scheme (i am not very fimilar to that). we consider a algebraic variety by letting  $f = (X^3 + Y^3 - 1)$ 

$$X = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{Z}_n[X,Y]/f)$$

so all solution in  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  can be denoted by  $X(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , consider the inverse limit

$$X(\mathbb{Z}_p) = \varprojlim_n X_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$$

where  $X_n = \operatorname{Spec}((\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})(X,Y)/f)$  the affine scheme defined in a finite ring. In particular, when n = 1,  $X_n$  defines a algebraic curve in  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . So our question is to find  $X(\mathbb{Z}_p) \cap \mathbb{Z}$ .

## References

- [1] H. Cohen, S. Axler, and K. Ribet, Number theory: Volume I: Tools and diophantine equations. Springer, 2007.
- [2] B. Sambale, "An invitation to formal power series," *Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung*, vol. 125, no. 1, pp. 3–69, 2023.
- [3] F. Q. Gouvêa and F. Q. Gouvêa, p-adic Numbers. Springer, 1997.
- [4] J. Neukirch, Algebraic number theory. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013, vol. 322.
- [5] J. Box, "An introduction to skolem's p-adic method for solving diophantine equations," Bachelor thesis, Korteweg-de Vries Instituut voor Wiskunde Faculteit der Natuurwetenschappen, Wiskunde en Informatica, Universiteit van Amsterdam, 2014.
- [6] L. J. Mordell, Diophantine Equations: Diophantine Equations. Academic press, 1969, vol. 30.