

Math Remark

Algebraic Structure

X

ElegantL^AT_EX Program

Update: January 27, 2025

Contents

1	Number System	3
1.1	From \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{Z}	3

1 Number System

Without talking some basic knowledge of Mathematics logic, we generally define the object we want to study: Number System is a set of "number" and equipped by certain operations. Here "number" is not necessary a real number like 1,2,3 we face daily in calculation, later we will aware that "number" is actually a represent of a system, or using the language of the category, a normal system like \mathbb{N} is just a represent object we choose in a category $Cat(\mathbb{N})$ (The collection of the system same as \mathbb{N}).

The main goal of this part is to construct the different number system begin from the natural number \mathbb{N} , the procedure often can be found in the textbook and the exercise, and the extension of distinct system inspire us to define the new algebra object.

1.1 From \mathbb{N} to \mathbb{Z}

The common idea is to add a new element -1 to the system such that

$$1 + (-1) = 0$$

which refers to the completion of the unit of \mathbb{N} . We should notice that \mathbb{Z} is a typical commutative ring with 1 identity, by comparison $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ is even not an abelian group, so by add a new element to the system we can clearly get the another "direction", which means $\{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$ also forms a number system like \mathbb{N} loosely speaking. we can caulate that $-2 = (-1) + (-1)$ by

$$2 + (-1) + (-1) = 1 + 1 + (-1) + (-1) = 0$$

so we can define that $-k$ is the sum of k same number -1 .

Here we reconsider the negative number from the inspiration of the substraction, we can know that

$$-1 = 1 - 2 = 2 - 3 = 3 - 4 = \dots$$

and

$$1 = 2 - 1 = 3 - 2 = 4 - 3 = \dots$$

so we can define a binary relation on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ by

$$(a, b) \sim (c, d) \iff a + d = b + c$$

In fact we should notice that we want to write $a - b = c - d$, but we do not still define substraction formally, so we do the change. we can define that the relation is equivalent, which is easily to verify:

- **reflexivity:** $(a, b) \sim (a, b) \iff a + b = b + a.$
- **Symmetry:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a, b) \sim (c, d) &\iff a + d = b + c \\
 &\iff c + b = b + c = a + d = d + a \\
 &\iff (c, d) \sim (a, b)
 \end{aligned}$$

- **transitivity:**

$$\begin{aligned}
 (a, b) \sim (c, d) \wedge (c, d) \sim (e, f) &\iff a + d = b + c \wedge c + f = d + e \\
 &\iff a + (d + c) + f = b + (c + d) + e \\
 &\iff a + f = b + e \\
 &\iff (a, b) \sim (e, f)
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we can use this equivalence relation to construct the integer.

Proposition 1.1 *Suppose $X = \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, and we put $[a, b]$ to be the equivalence class of the class containing $(a, b) \in X$, then following result can be verified:*

(1) *the following operation is well-defined.*

$$[a, b] + [c, d] = [a + c, b + d]$$

$$[a, b] \cdot [c, d] = [ac + bd, ad + bc]$$

(2) the system $(X/\sim, +, \cdot)$ form a commutative ring with multiplicative identity.

(3) The map $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}, n \mapsto [n, 0]$ is injective and additive

$$f(n + m) = f(n) + f(m)$$

(4) If $X_+ = \{[n, 0] : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and $X_- = \{[0, n] : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then

$$X/\sim = X_+ + X_-$$