

# A Formal Description of NoBeard

v 01.01.00

P. Bauer

HTBLA Leonding Limesstr. 14 - 18 4060 Leonding Austria

# Contents

1	Intr	roduction	2		
<b>2</b>	The	e Programming Language	3		
	2.1	Lexical Structure	3		
		2.1.1 Character Sets	3		
		2.1.2 Keywords	3		
		2.1.3 Token Classes	3		
		2.1.4 Single Tokens	3		
		2.1.5 Semantics	3		
	2.2	Sample Program	4		
	2.3	Syntax	4		
3	The	e NoBeard Machine	6		
•	3.1	Overview	6		
	3.2	Runtime Structure of a NoBeard Program			
	3.3	Instructions			
	3.4	List of Assembler Instructions	8		
4	Syn	abol List	9		
5	Son	ne Translations by Example	11		
	5.1	Reserving Space for Local Variables	11		
	5.2				
	5.3	_	11		
	5.4				
6	Erro	or Handling	<b>13</b>		
7	Attributed Grammar 14				

## Introduction

According to a web article (see [1]) the popularity of programming languages is strongly related to the fact whether its inventor(s) is/are are bearded m[ae]n or not. Well, the main aim of the programming language NoBeard is not to be popular, moreover it should give the reader a clear insight how the main principles of compiler construction are.

This report aims to give a formal description of the programming language NoBeard. Please note that only the parts necessary for your work can be trusted. In the next versions, more and more information relevant for your assignments will be available.

In case of typos, misleading wording or other problems, please feel free to contact me. Thanks for your help.

# The Programming Language

### 2.1 Lexical Structure

NoBeard programs are written in text files of free format, i.e., there is no restriction concerning columns or lines where the source text has to be. In this section the scanner relevant terms for NoBeard are denoted in the form of regular expressions with the extension that we allow "definitions" of non-terminals. This means in particular that if we define a term (e.g. *letter* as it can be seen in the next section) this term can be used in subsequent definitions and is rewritten as given in its original definition.

#### 2.1.1 Character Sets

```
letter '[A-Za-z]'
digit '[0-9]'
```

### 2.1.2 Keywords

There is only one keyword, namely PUT.

### 2.1.3 Token Classes

```
ident ['letter(letter | digit)*']
number ['digit digit*]
```

### 2.1.4 Single Tokens

The characters "+", "-", "\*", "/", ":=", ";", "(", and ")" are mapped to single tokens.

#### 2.1.5 Semantics

• NoBeard is a case sensitive language. For example, the names "myVar", "myvar", and "MYVAR" denote three different identifiers.

- Constants may only be between 0 and 65535  $(2^{16} 1)$ .
- No symbol may span over more than one line.

## 2.2 Sample Program

```
unit ComplexExpr;
# _____ ComplexExpr.nb _____
# ___ A syntactically correct NoBeard program
# _____
do

int l = 10;
int b = 5;
int h= 170;
    int unused = l;
int x=1001 + l * b - h / (b * h);

put ("Evaluating 1001 + l * b - h / (b * h)");
putln;
put ("Result is ");
put (x); # result should be 1051
done ComplexExpr;
```

### 2.3 Syntax

The following context free grammar gives the syntax of NoBeard. The well-known EBNF notation is used.

```
NoBeard
                  "unit" ident ";" Block ident ";".
```

Block "do" StatSeq "done".

Stat {Stat}. StatSeq Stat = VarDecl ";" | Assignment ";" | PutStat ";"

 $|\varepsilon.$ 

VarDecl Type ident ["=" Expr]. SimpleType [ArraySpec]. Type

ArraySpec

"[" number "]".
"int" | "char" | "bool". SimpleTypeReference "=" Expr . Assignment

"put" "(" Expr ["," Expr] ")" PutStat

| "putln".

Expr SimExpr [RelOp SimExpr]. [AddOp]Term {AddOp Term}. SimExpr

Term = Fact {MulOp Fact}.

Reference | number | string Fact

| "(" Expr ")".

ident [ "[" Expr "]"]. Reference

"<" | "<=" | "!=" | ">=" | ">". RelOp

"+" | "-". AddOp

"\*" | "/" | "%". Mulop

## The NoBeard Machine

### 3.1 Overview

The virtual machine being target for NoBeard programs is a stack machine with instructions of variable length. The word width of the NoBeard machine is 4 bytes.

dat[MAX\_DATA] The data memory is byte addressed and is separated into three parts: String constants, activation records, and expression stack. Figure The expression stack is addressed word-wise only.

## 3.2 Runtime Structure of a NoBeard Program

The NoBeard Machine follows the following fixed execution cycle:

- 1. Fetch instruction
- 2. Decode instruction
- 3. Execute instruction

The very first instruction is fetched from prog[startPc] where startPc has to be provided as an argument when starting the program. From this point of time onwards the program is executed until the machine state changes from *run*.

```
runProg(startPc) {
  db = start byte of first free word in dat;
  top = db + 28;
  pc = startPc;
  ms = run;

while (ms == run) {
  fetch instruction (opcode and operands) which starts at prog[pc];
  pc = pc + length of instruction;
```

```
\begin{array}{c} \text{execute instruction} \\ \} \\ \end{array} \}
```

## 3.3 Instructions

NoBeard instructions have a variable length. Every instruction has one opcode and either zero, or one, or two operands. When describing the instructions we use the following conventions:

Name Range Size Description

Name	Range	Size	Description
$\mathbf{n}$	$0 \dots 65535$	2 Bytes	Unsigned number
b	$0 \dots 65535$	2 Bytes	Code address (absolute number)

## 3.4 List of Assembler Instructions

Op code	Mnem onic	n-ops	Description	Size
0	LIT	n	Load Literal  Push(n);	3 Bytes
1	LA	d, a	Load Address  base = db; for (i= 0; i < d; i++) {   base = dat[base base + 3]; } Push(base + a);	4 Bytes
2	LV	d, a	<pre>base = db; for (i = 0; i &lt; d; i++) {   base = dat[base base + 3]; } adr = base + a; Push(dat[addr addr + 3]);</pre>	4 Bytes
3	LC	d, a	Load Character  base = db; for (i = 0; i < d; i++) {  base = dat [base base + 3]; } lw = 000 dat [base + a]; Push(lw);  The local word to be pushed onto the stack is filled with three trailing binary zeros following the character to be loaded from dat.	4 Bytes

# Symbol List

```
unit M;
1
2
     function A(int a);
        int b;
3
4
        int function B(char c);
5
6
          int d;
7
        do
           \# some code
8
9
        done B;
10
11
        char function C;
12
         int e;
13
        do
14
          \#\ some\ more\ code
15
        done C;
16
17
       \# some code on A
18
     done A;
19
   do
     \# this is the main of unit M
20
   done M;
```

After parsing line 1 the symbol list looks as follows:

name	kind	type	size	$\operatorname{addr}$	level
M	PROCKIND	UNITTYPE	0	0	0
After pa	arsing line 2 a s	napshot on the	symb	ol list l	looks like
name	kind	type	size	$\operatorname{addr}$	level
M	PROCKIND	UNITTYPE	0	0	0
A	PROCKIND	UNITTYPE	0	0	1
a	PARKIND	SIMINT	4	32	2
After parsing line 3					

name	kind	type	size	$\operatorname{addr}$	level
M	PROCKIND	UNITTYPE	0	0	0
A	PROCKIND	PROCTYPE	4	0	1
a	PARKIND	SIMINT	4	32	2
b	VARKIND	SIMINT	4	36	2

b	VARKIND	SIMINT	4	36	2	
After parsing line 6 being somewhere between line 7 and the end of line 9.						
name	kind	type	size	$\operatorname{addr}$	level	
M	PROCKIND	UNITTYPE	0	0	0	
A	PROCKIND	PROCTYPE	4	0	1	
a	PARKIND	SIMINT	4	32	2	
b	VARKIND	SIMINT	4	36	2	
В	PROCKIND	PROCTYPE	0	0	2	
$\mathbf{c}$	PARKIND	SIMCHAR	1	32	3	
d	VARKIND	SIMINT	4	36	3	

# Some Translations by Example

### 5.1 Reserving Space for Local Variables

### 5.2 Assignments

## 5.3 Boolean Expressions

We show the translation of a boolean expression a || b || c where a, b, and c are variables of type bool. The sequence of several relational expressions or boolean variables connected via a boolean or is realized by a so-called or-chain. In particular, after evaluation of each single relational expression (or boolean variable) and this evaluation yields true all further evaluations are skipped and the program flow is continued at the end of the complete boolean expression. Figure 5.1 shows this principle. In order to keep the program flow simple, the load value parts in front of each evaluation are skipped. The more detailed NoBeard assembler code for this sequence is given in listing 5.1. Note that, for the sake of simplicity, the addresses given as operands to the JMP and TJMP instructions are the line numbers here. Of course, the "real" code generates the memory addresses of the targeted assembler instruction.

When generating this kind of code, we have to deal with the situation that the final addresses we have to jump to are not known in prior. Therefore, we have to construct

```
1
2 LV 0, 32
               ; load value a
3 TJMP 8
               ; if true, jump to the end
4 LV 0, 36
               ; load value b
               ; if true, jump to the end
5 TJMP 8
 LV 0, 40
               ; load value c
7
 JMP 9
               ; result is determined by c only
8 LIT 1
  . . .
```

Listing 5.1: Assembler code of or-chain




Figure 5.1: Program flow of an or chain

a so-called or-chain, which work as follows. While parsing a conditional expression, we maintain an int variable holding the

The translation of and-expressions works analogously.

## 5.4 Jumps in If- and While-Statements

# **Error Handling**

 ${\bf Error Handler.get Instance(). raise(new\ ...));}$ 

# **Attributed Grammar**

# **Bibliography**

[1] T. Khason. Computer languages and facial hair – take two. http://khason.net/blog/computer-languages-and-facial-hair--take-two/, April 2008.