

(https://profile.intra.42.fr)

Remember that the quality of the defenses, hence the quality of the school on the labor market depends on you. The remote defenses during the Covid crisis allows more flexibility so you can progress into your curriculum, but also brings more risks of cheat, injustice, laziness, that will harm everyone's skills development. We do count on your maturity and wisdom during these remote defenses for the benefits of the entire community.

SCALE FOR PROJECT AVAJ-LAUNCHER (/PROJECTS/42CURSUS-AVAJ-LAUNCHER)

You should evaluate 1 student in this team



Git repository

`git@vogsphere.msk.21-school.ru:vogsphere/intra-uuid-d3e05495-2b8e-47'`



Introduction

To ensure this evaluation goes smoothly, please respect the following set of rules :

- Please remain courteous, polite, respectful and constructive at all times during this exchange. The trust bond between the school's community and yourself depends on it.
- Should you notice any malfunctions within the submitted project, make sure you take the time to discuss those with the student (or group of students) being graded.
- Keep in mind that some subjects can be interpreted differently. If you come across a situation where the student you're grading has interpreted the subject differently than you, try and judge fairly whether their interpretation is acceptable or not, and grade them accordingly. Our peer-evaluation system can only work if you both take it seriously.

Guidelines


- You may only evaluate whatever is in the GiT submission directory of the student you are grading.
- Make sure to check whether the GiT submission directory belongs to the student (or group) you're grading, and that it's the right project.
- Make sure no mischievous aliases have been used to trick you into correcting something that is not actually in the official submitted directory.
- Any script created to make this evaluation session easier -


whether it was produced by you or the student being graded - must be checked rigorously in order to avoid bad surprises.

- If the student who is grading this project hasn't done the project him/herself yet, he/she must read the whole topic before starting the evaluation session.

- Use the flags available to you on this scale in order to report a submission directory that is empty, non-functional, that contains a norm errors or a case of cheating, etc... In this case, the evaluation session ends and the final grade is 0 (or -42, in case of cheating). However, unless the student has cheated, we advise you to go through the project together in order for the two (or more) of you to identify the problems that may have led for this project to fail, and avoid repeating those mistakes for future projects.

Attachments

 subject.pdf (<https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/10986/en.subject.pdf>)

 avaj_uml.png (/uploads/document/document/1770/avaj_uml.png)

 scenario.txt (/uploads/document/document/1771/scenario.txt)

Preliminaries

Compilation

Compile the program with the commands described in the subject.
Does the project compile?

☒ Yes

☐ No

Program design

Does project respect the UML class diagram to the letter? It is not a problem if there are additional classes or private attributes and methods added by the student.

☒ Yes

☐ No

Functionality

Validation

Check if the program validates the input file. Try running the program without an input file present, with inconsistent data, missing values or out of range values. Does the validation work?

☒ Yes☐ No

Simulation

Is the simulation.txt file generated according to the specifications? Check to see if the ID is unique and if all messages are logged correctly.

☒ Yes☐ No

Bonus

Exception handling

Does the project contain custom exceptions for treating abnormal behaviour specific to the program? Are they relevant? Do they have good messages?

☒ Yes☐ No

Security

Feed the program with a valid input file, where the contents were previously encrypted with MD5. Does the program have the same behaviour as with the non-encrypted file?

☒ Yes☐ No

Ratings

Don't forget to check the flag corresponding to the defense

☒ Ok☐ ★ Outstanding project☐ Empty work☐ Incomplete work☐ No author file☐ Invalid compilation☐ Norme☐ Cheat☐ Crash☐ Forbidden function

Conclusion

Leave a comment on this evaluation

Пир хорошо рассказал об архитектуре приложения. Нормально разобрался в UML. Однако всё-таки мы обнаружили границы познания пира, благо они лежат за пределами сабджекта.

Finish evaluation

General term of use of the site (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/6>)
Privacy policy (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/5>)
Legal notices (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/3>)
Declaration on the use of cookies (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/2>)
Rules of procedure (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/4>)
Terms of use for video surveillance (<https://signin.intra.42.fr/legal/terms/1>)