Endpoints in Subscreen Package Subgroup Analysis

July 11, 2025

The subscreen package example uses **two survival endpoints** for comprehensive subgroup analysis of treatment effects.

1 Primary Endpoints

1.1 PFS (Progression-Free Survival)

```
template.event.pfs <- sample(c(0, 1), dim(pbcdat)[1], replace = TRUE)
template.timepfs <- sample(1:5000, dim(pbcdat)[1], replace = TRUE)</pre>
```

- Event: event.pfs (0 = no progression/death, 1 = progression/death)
- Time: timepfs (time to progression or death)
- Source: Randomly generated for demonstration
- Range: 1-5000 time units

1.2 OS (Overall Survival)

```
template.event.os <- pbcdat$event # Original PBC event data
template.timeos <- pbcdat$time # Original PBC time data
```

- Event: event.os (0 = alive, 1 = death)
- Time: timeos (time to death)
- Source: Original PBC dataset (real survival data)
- Purpose: Realistic survival analysis

2 Endpoint Usage in Analysis

2.1 Hazard Ratio Calculation

```
hazardratio <- function(D) {</pre>
     # PFS hazard ratio
     HRpfs <- tryCatch(</pre>
       exp(coxph(Surv(D$timepfs, D$event.pfs) ~ D$trt)$coefficients[[1]]),
       warning = function(w) {NA}
6
    # OS hazard ratio
     HRos <- tryCatch(
9
       exp(coxph(Surv(D$timeos, D$event.os) ~ D$trt)$coefficients[[1]]),
       warning = function(w) {NA}
11
12
13
     data.frame(HR.pfs = round(1/HRpfs, 2), HR.os = round(1/HRos, 2))
14
15 }
```

2.2 Funnel Plot Specification

```
results_factorial_complement_true <- subscreenfunnel(
    data = pbcdat,
    H = results_factorial_complement_true1,
    eval_function = hazardratio,
    endpoints = c("timepfs", "event.pfs", "timeos", "event.os")
}</pre>
```

3 Endpoint Summary

Endpoint	Event Variable	Time Variable	Data Source	Purpose
PFS	event.pfs	timepfs	Synthetic	Demonstration
OS	event.os	timeos	Original PBC	Realistic analysis

4 Clinical Interpretation

4.1 Hazard Ratio Interpretation

For each subgroup, the analysis calculates hazard ratios where:

- HR < 1: Treatment benefit (reduces risk)
- HR > 1: Treatment harm (increases risk)
- HR = 1: No treatment effect

4.2 Dual-Endpoint Advantages

Using both PFS and OS endpoints allows:

- 1. Consistency assessment: Compare treatment effects across outcomes
- 2. **Differential effects**: Identify subgroups benefiting for one endpoint only

- 3. Methodological demonstration: Show multi-endpoint handling
- 4. Clinical realism: Reflect actual trial practice

5 Key Definitions

- **PFS**: Time from treatment start to disease progression or death (whichever occurs first). Common primary endpoint in oncology trials.
- **OS**: Time from treatment start to death from any cause. Gold standard endpoint in survival analysis.

This dual-endpoint approach provides a comprehensive framework for subgroup analysis that mirrors real clinical trial methodology.