Priority Fixes and Improvements for subscreenfunnel Code

July 10, 2025

Based on the paper and the code analysis, here are the **priority fixes** and **realistic improvements** for the subscreenfunnel code:

1 Priority 1: Critical Statistical Fixes (Must Fix)

1.1 1. Fix the Fundamental Permutation Logic

Why this is critical: The current implementation invalidates the entire statistical foundation of the method described in the paper.

1.2 2. Add Missing LOESS Smoothing

Why this is critical: The paper explicitly states this is essential for creating the funnel boundaries.

2 Priority 2: Parameter Validation and Error Handling

2.1 3. Robust Parameter Validation

```
validate_funnel_parameters <- function(data, treat, endpoints, nperm,</pre>
      alpha) {
     # Check data columns
     if (!treat %in% colnames(data)) {
       stop("Treatment column '", treat, "' not found. Available: ",
            paste(colnames(data), collapse = ", "))
5
6
     # Validate sample sizes
     treatment_counts <- table(data[,treat])</pre>
9
     if (any(treatment_counts < 10)) {</pre>
10
11
       warning("Small treatment groups detected. Results may be unstable."
     }
12
     # Validate permutation parameters
14
     if (nperm < 1000) {</pre>
15
       warning("nperm < 1000 may produce unstable confidence intervals.</pre>
16
                             1000 .")
          Paper recommends
     }
17
18
     if (alpha < 0.001 || alpha > 0.2) {
19
20
       warning("Unusual alpha value. Typical range: 0.001 to 0.1")
     }
21
22 }
```

2.2 4. Fix Support Point Generation

3 Priority 3: Performance and Memory Optimization

3.1 5. Memory-Efficient Permutation

```
# Instead of storing all permuted datasets
  efficient_permutation <- function(data, treat, eval_function, support_
      points, nperm) {
3
     results <- vector("list", length(support_points))</pre>
4
     for (i in seq_along(support_points)) {
6
       n_size <- support_points[i]</pre>
       perm_results <- numeric(nperm)</pre>
       for (perm in 1:nperm) {
         # Sample subset first, then permute
11
         subset_indices <- sample(nrow(data), min(n_size, nrow(data)))</pre>
12
         subset_data <- data[subset_indices, ]</pre>
14
         # Permute treatment in subset
         subset_data[,treat] <- sample(subset_data[,treat])</pre>
         # Calculate result immediately (don't store data)
         perm_results[perm] <- eval_function(subset_data)[[1]] # Assuming</pre>
19
              single endpoint
20
21
       results[[i]] <- quantile(perm_results, probs = c(alpha/2, 1-alpha/
22
          2), na.rm = TRUE)
23
24
     return(results)
25
26 }
```

4 Priority 4: Realistic Enhancements

4.1 6. Better Default Parameters

```
subscreenfunnel_improved <- function(</pre>
    data,
    Η,
    eval_function,
                            # Increased from 2 (more stable)
    min_start = 10,
    n_support_points = 50, # Fixed to match paper exactly
6
    nperm = 1000,
                            # Increased from 200 (paper recommendation)
    alpha = 0.05,
                            # More practical than 0.001
    stratified = TRUE,
    treat = "treatment",
                            # More standard name
10
11
    endpoints = NULL,
                            # Auto-detect from eval_function
12
    verbose = TRUE,
    nkernel = min(4, parallel::detectCores() - 1) # Better default
```

```
14 ) {
15  # Implementation...
16 }
```

4.2 7. Add Convergence Diagnostics

```
check_convergence <- function(perm_results, nperm) {</pre>
     # Check if we have enough permutations for stable quantiles
     if (nperm < 1000) {</pre>
3
       warning("Consider increasing nperm for more stable results")
5
6
    # Check for too many NAs
    na_prop <- mean(is.na(perm_results))</pre>
     if (na_prop > 0.1) {
9
       warning("High proportion of NA results (", round(na_prop*100, 1), "
10
          %). Check eval function.")
    }
11
     # Simple stability check (compare first and second half)
     if (nperm >= 500) {
14
       half1 <- quantile(perm_results[1:(nperm/2)], c(0.025, 0.975), na.rm
15
           = TRUE)
       half2 <- quantile(perm_results[(nperm/2+1):nperm], c(0.025, 0.975),
16
           na.rm = TRUE)
       if (max(abs(half1 - half2)) > 0.1) {
         warning("Quantiles appear unstable. Consider increasing nperm.")
19
20
    }
21
22 }
```

4.3 8. Improved Output Structure

```
# Return more informative results
create_funnel_output <- function(H, support_points, quantiles_smooth,</pre>
      alpha, nperm) {
     funnel_data <- data.frame(</pre>
3
       n = support_points,
4
       lower_bound = quantiles_smooth$lower_smooth,
       upper_bound = quantiles_smooth$upper_smooth,
6
       alpha = alpha
7
     )
8
     H$funnel <- list(</pre>
11
       bounds = funnel_data,
       parameters = list(
12
         alpha = alpha,
13
         nperm = nperm,
14
         method = "permutation_loess",
15
         span = 0.25
16
```

```
17
        diagnostics = list(
18
          n_support_points = length(support_points),
19
          min_subgroup_size = min(support_points),
20
          max_subgroup_size = max(support_points)
21
       )
22
     )
23
24
     class(H$funnel) <- "SubScreenFunnel"</pre>
     return(H)
26
   }
27
```

5 Implementation Priority Order

- 1. Week 1: Fix permutation logic (#1) This is critical for validity
- 2. Week 2: Add LOESS smoothing (#2) Essential for matching paper
- 3. Week 3: Parameter validation (#3) Prevents user errors
- 4. Week 4: Fix support points (#4) Ensures correct implementation
- 5. Week 5: Memory optimization (#5) Improves scalability
- 6. Week 6: Better defaults and diagnostics (#6-8) Improves usability

6 Quick Win Implementation

For immediate improvement, focus on this minimal fix:

```
# Minimal critical fix
   subscreenfunnel_quickfix <- function(data, H, eval_function, treat = "</pre>
      treatment",
                                           endpoints = NULL, nperm = 1000,
3
                                              alpha = 0.05, ...) {
     # 1. Validate inputs
     if (!treat %in% colnames(data)) {
       stop("Treatment column not found: ", treat)
9
     # 2. Fix permutation (most critical)
     permuted_results <- replicate(nperm, {</pre>
12
       perm_data <- data
13
       perm_data[,treat] <- sample(data[,treat]) # Correct permutation</pre>
       eval_function(perm_data)[[1]] # Get first endpoint
14
     })
15
16
17
     # 3. Calculate quantiles
     bounds <- quantile(permuted_results, c(alpha/2, 1-alpha/2), na.rm =
18
        TRUE)
19
```

```
# 4. Add to results
H$funnel_bounds <- bounds
return(H)
}</pre>
```

This addresses the most critical statistical flaw while being implementable immediately.