Estimating the Best Commute: Trial Design and Execution

Naima Alam FACTS Working Group 10/13/2023



Introduction

- ➤ Reducing amount of time spent driving from home to work can help maximize productivity in the work place.
- ➤ Compare designs to determine the optimal driving route from Dr. Gajewski's home to his work place at KUMC.
- > Three route option: Plaza (control), Rainbow, Stateline.



Design Proposed by DIAS group

- Deterministic accrual.
- > Final endpoint = 0.01 weeks.
- No dropout rate.
- > Externally predefined accrual file.
- > Burn-in allocation ratio: 2:1:1 then RAR.
- > 25% allocation to the control.
- \triangleright Block size = 4
- Interims 3 at 8,16,24 and final analysis at 33.



Design Proposed by Starfish group

- Continuous recruitment.
- Weeks means days.
- ➤ Dropout rate 0.05.
- > Burn-in allocation ratio 2:2:2.
- > Interims 2 at 12, 24 and final analysis at 33.
- \triangleright Block size = 6
- Optimistic prior.



Design Proposed by Lauren & Elena

- Arm dropping.
- One interim at 15 and final analysis at 33.
- Only futility criteria.
- Optimistic prior.



Fixed Design Proposed by JZ

- Continuous recruiting.
- Dropout rate 0.1.
- > 1:1:1 fixed allocation.
- No interims, final analysis at 33.
- Delta is about -1 minute.
- Zero type I error rate.
- Changed the continuous to deterministic.
- Use externally predefined accrual file from the 'DIAS' group.
- Changed dropout rate to 0.



Two RAR Designs Proposed by Dr. Gajewski

1. Fixed control allocation throughout the trial:

- Deterministic accrual.
- Final endpoint 0.01 weeks.
- No dropout rate.
- > Burn-in allocation ratio 4:3:3 then RAR.
- > 40% allocation to the control.
- \triangleright Block size = 10
- > Interims 5 at 10,15,20,25,30 and final analysis at 33.
- 2. No fixed control: Everything is same except no fixed allocation for control.



Initial Designs & Results

Team	Design Type	Time to final endpoint	Hypothesis	Mean subjects	Type 1 error rate/ Power
DIAS	Adaptive, RAR, deterministic accrual	0.01	Null	23.55	0.024
			Expected	23.59	0.980
			Best	25.11	0.944
TheStarFish	Adaptive, continuous	1 ("weeks" means "days")	Null	29.48	0.024
			Expected	32.89	0.949
			Best	31.93	0.897
Lauren&Elena	Adaptive, continuous, arm dropping	1 ("weeks" means "days")	Null	30.13	0.020
			Expected	33	0.987
			Best	32.98	0.937
JZ	Fixed, deterministic	0.15	Null	33	0.015
			Expected	33	0.979
			Best	33	0.926
Byron	RAR, deterministic (fixed allocation to control)	0.01	Null	25.55	0.020
			Expected	22.53	0.978
			Best	24.11	0.935
Byron	RAR, deterministic (No fixed control arm)	0.01	Null	25.67	0.026
			Expected	24.34	0.902
			Best	25.39	0.886



Final Design: Design Proposed by Team DIAS

- > Best operating statistics.
- > Less interim analysis.
- The power of the one best scenario is 94.4%, which is superior to any other design's power.
- The expected number of drives under all scenarios are the smallest (23.546, 23.587, and 25.113), which reduces the trial duration.

