Course	Code	and Name	CSF366	Artificial	Intelligence
Course	Coue	and mame.	CSESUU	Arunciai	Intemgence

Section: 02

Pacman

Semester and Year: Fall 2024

Name of Student, ID

Md. Bayzid Ohin (2021-3-60-288)

Course Instructor information:

Dr. Raihan Ul Islam

Associate Professor

Department of Computer Science &

Engineering

Pacman Search Algorithms Report

Introduction

This report investigates the implementation and performance of three search algorithms – Depth-First Search (DFS), Breadth-First Search (BFS), and Uniform Cost Search (UCS) – within the Pacman environment. The algorithms were tested on different maze layouts to analyze their efficiency in terms of execution time, nodes explored, and the cost of the path found.

Overview of the Algorithms

- 1. **Depth-First Search (DFS):** DFS explores as far as possible along a branch before backtracking. It uses a stack to manage the frontier.
- 2. **Breadth-First Search (BFS):** BFS explores all nodes at the present depth level before moving on to nodes at the next depth level. It employs a queue to manage the frontier.
- 3. **Uniform Cost Search (UCS):** UCS expands the node with the lowest total cost first. It uses a priority queue to manage the frontier.

Implementation of the Code

Below are the implementations of the three algorithms as used in this project:

Depth-First Search (DFS):

```
def depthFirstSearch(problem):
    """
    Search the deepest nodes in the search tree first.
    """
    from util import Stack
    import time

# Initialize timer
    start_time = time.time()

# Initialize the frontier with the start state
    start_state = problem.getStartState()
    if problem.isGoalState(start_state): # Check if the start state is the goal
```

```
return []
frontier = Stack() # Use a stack for DFS
frontier.push((start_state, [])) # (state, path)
explored = set() # A set to track explored states
nodes explored = 0
while not frontier.isEmpty():
  # Pop the most recently added state and path
  state, path = frontier.pop()
  nodes explored += 1 # Increment node counter
  if state in explored: # Skip states we've already explored
     continue
  # Mark the state as explored
  explored.add(state)
  # Check if the current state is a goal state
  if problem.isGoalState(state):
     end time = time.time()
     time taken ms = (end time - start time) * 1000
     print(f"Time taken: {time_taken_ms:.2f} ms")
     print(f"Nodes explored: {nodes explored}")
     return path # Return the path that leads to the goal
  # Get successors of the current state
  for successor, action, step_cost in problem.getSuccessors(state):
     if successor not in explored:
       # Push the successor to the frontier with the updated path
       frontier.push((successor, path + [action]))
# No solution found
end time = time.time()
time_taken_ms = (end_time - start_time) * 1000
print(f"Time taken: {time_taken_ms:.2f} ms")
print(f"Nodes explored: {nodes_explored}")
return []
```

Breadth-First Search (BFS):

```
def breadthFirstSearch(problem):
  Search the shallowest nodes in the search tree first.
  from util import Queue
  import time
  # Initialize timer
  start_time = time.time()
  # Initialize the frontier with the start state
  start state = problem.getStartState()
  if problem.isGoalState(start state): # Check if the start state is the goal
     return []
  frontier = Queue()
  frontier.push((start_state, [])) # (state, path)
  explored = set() # A set to track explored states
  nodes explored = 0
  while not frontier.isEmpty():
     # Pop the shallowest state and path
     state, path = frontier.pop()
     nodes explored += 1 # Increment node counter
     if state in explored: # Skip states we've already explored
       continue
     # Mark the state as explored
     explored.add(state)
     # Check if the current state is a goal state
     if problem.isGoalState(state):
       end time = time.time()
       time_taken_ms = (end_time - start_time) * 1000
       print(f"Time taken: {time taken ms:.2f} ms")
       print(f"Nodes explored: {nodes_explored}")
       return path # Return the path that leads to the goal
     # Get successors of the current state
     for successor, action, step cost in problem.getSuccessors(state):
       if successor not in explored:
```

```
# Push the successor to the frontier with the updated path frontier.push((successor, path + [action]))
```

```
# No solution found
end_time = time.time()
time_taken_ms = (end_time - start_time) * 1000
print(f"Time taken: {time_taken_ms:.2f} ms")
print(f"Nodes explored: {nodes_explored}")
return []
```

Uniform Cost Search (UCS):

```
def uniformCostSearch(problem):
  Search the node of least total cost first.
  from util import PriorityQueue
  import time
  # Initialize timer
  start time = time.time()
  # Initialize the frontier with the start state
  start state = problem.getStartState()
  if problem.isGoalState(start_state): # If the start state is the goal
     return []
  frontier = PriorityQueue() # Priority queue for UCS
  frontier.push((start_state, []), 0) # Push (state, path) with priority = 0
  explored = set() # Track explored states
  nodes_explored = 0
  while not frontier.isEmpty():
     # Pop the state with the lowest cost
     state, path = frontier.pop()
     nodes explored += 1 # Increment node counter
     if state in explored:
       continue
     explored.add(state) # Mark as explored
```

```
# Check if it's the goal state
  if problem.isGoalState(state):
    end_time = time.time()
     time_taken_ms = (end_time - start_time) * 1000
     print(f"Time taken: {time_taken_ms:.2f} ms")
     print(f"Nodes explored: {nodes_explored}")
     return path
  # Add successors to the frontier
  for successor, action, step_cost in problem.getSuccessors(state):
     if successor not in explored:
       new path = path + [action]
       total_cost = problem.getCostOfActions(new_path)
       frontier.push((successor, new_path), total_cost)
# No solution found
end time = time.time()
time_taken_ms = (end_time - start_time) * 1000
print(f"Time taken: {time taken ms:.2f} ms")
print(f"Nodes explored: {nodes_explored}")
return []
```

Experimental Results

The following table summarizes the results of the experiments conducted using DFS, BFS, and UCS on three different maze layouts:

Algorithm	Maze	Time Taken (ms)	Nodes Explored	Path Cost	Score
DFS	mediumMaze	8	147	130	380
DFS	bigMaze	9	391	210	300
DFS	tinyMaze	1.01	16	10	500
BFS	mediumMaze	8	276	68	442
BFS	bigMaze	14	621	210	300
BFS	tinyMaze	1	17	8	502
UCS	mediumMaze	13.03	276	68	442
UCS	bigMaze	47	621	210	300
UCS	tinyMaze	1.01	17	8	502

Observations

1. **DFS**:

- Performed efficiently on smaller mazes like tinyMaze but explored unnecessary nodes in larger mazes.
- Path cost was higher compared to BFS and UCS in some cases.

2. **BFS**:

- Explored more nodes than DFS but found shorter paths.
- Performed consistently across all maze sizes.

3. **UCS**:

- Found optimal paths similar to BFS but incurred higher computational time due to the priority queue.
- o Performed well in finding paths with minimal costs.

Conclusion

- DFS is suitable for smaller problems or when memory usage is a concern.
- BFS ensures shorter paths but may consume more memory.
- UCS is ideal for cost-sensitive scenarios but is computationally expensive.

Recommendations

- Use UCS or BFS when optimal solutions are required.
- DFS can be employed for quick, approximate solutions in smaller environments.
- Further experiments with heuristic-based searches like A* may provide insights into more efficient solutions.

References

- Berkeley Al Search Project
- Berkeley Al Project Overview