

# LECTURE ON INTERSECTION THEORY (XVI)

ZHANG

ABSTRACT. This is a private note taken from the course ‘Topics in Algebraic Geometry’. The note taker is responsible for any inaccuracies.

Instructor: Qizheng YIN [BICMR, Peking University]

Time: Thu 10:10–12:00, 2017–05–18

Place: Room 302, No.4 Science Building, Peking University

## CONTENTS

1. Two conjectures and progress	1
2. Finiteness result	2
2.1. Important consequence	3
2.2. Conservativity conjecture	4
3. Naïve mixed motive	4
References	5

## 1. TWO CONJECTURES AND PROGRESS

We report two important conjectures and some known results.

**Conjecture 1.1** (Bloch-Beilinson). *Let  $X \in \mathbf{Var}$ , then there exists a functorial filtration on  $\mathrm{CH}^k(X)$ , denoted by*

$$\mathrm{CH}^k(X) = F^0\mathrm{CH}^k(X) \supset F^1\mathrm{CH}^k(X) \supset \cdots$$

*such that*

(1) (Setup)

$$F^1\mathrm{CH}^k(X) = \mathrm{CH}^k(X)_{\mathrm{hom}}$$

(2) (Bounded)

$$F^{k+1}\mathrm{CH}^k(X) = 0$$

(3) (Cohomology controls Chow group)

$$\mathrm{Gr}_F^i\mathrm{CH}^k(X) := F^i\mathrm{CH}^k(X)/F^{i+1}\mathrm{CH}^k(X)$$

*is controlled by  $H^{2k-i}(X)$ .*

**Conjecture 1.2** (Murre). *Let  $X \in \mathbf{Var}$  of dim  $2d$  and*

$$h(X) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2d} h^i(X)$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 42B35; 46E30; 47B38; 30H25.

*Key words and phrases*. Conjecture, Mixed Motive.

The author is supported by ERC Advanced Grant 226257.

(1) (Chow-Kunneth decomposition)<sup>1</sup>

$$h(X) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2d} h^i(X) \text{ with } h^i(X) = (X, \pi^i, 0)$$

(2)

$$\pi_*^i = 0 \text{ on } \mathrm{CH}^k(X) \text{ for } i \in \{0, \dots, k-1\} \cup \{2k+1, \dots, 2d\}$$

or equivalently

$$\pi_*^i \neq 0 \text{ on } \mathrm{CH}^k(X) \text{ can only for } i \in \{k, \dots, 2k\}$$

(3) (Independent of choices)

$$F^i \mathrm{CH}^k(X) = \ker \pi_*^{2k} \cap \ker \pi_*^{2k-1} \cap \dots \cap \ker \pi_*^{2k-i+1}$$

(4)  $F^1 \mathrm{CH}^k(X) = \mathrm{CH}^k(X)_{\mathrm{hom}}$ .

The two conjectures are equivalent due to the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3** (Jannsen). *Upon standard conjecture, we have*

*Bloch-Beilinson conjecture 1.1*

$\Updownarrow$

*Murre conjecture 1.2*

## 2. FINITENESS RESULT

**Theorem 2.1** (Kimure finiteness). *For any motive  $M = (X, p, m)$ ,  $H^*(M)$  is a finite-dim'l vector space.*

As a consequence,  $\wedge^N H^*(M) = 0$  for  $N \gg 0$ .

Goal: work out a motive version.

**Definition 2.2.** For any motive  $M = (X, p, m)$  and integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we define

$$M^{\otimes n} := (X^n, p^{\times n}, m \times n) \in \mathrm{Corr}^0(X^n, X^n)$$

$$S^n(M) := \left( X^n, \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \sigma(p^{\times n}), m \times n \right)$$

$$\wedge^n(M) := \left( X^n, \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma(p^{\times n}), m \times n \right)$$

and  $M$  is said to be

(1) *even* (resp., *odd*) if there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\wedge^N M = 0 \text{ (resp., } S^N(M) = 0)$$

(2) *finite-dim'l* if there is a decomposition

$$M = M^{\mathrm{even}} \oplus M^{\mathrm{odd}}$$

such that  $M^{\mathrm{even}}$  is even and  $M^{\mathrm{odd}}$  odd. Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}^{<\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}$  be the category consisting of finite-dim'l motives.

**Example 2.3.** For any curve  $C$ , we have  $h(C) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{rat}}^{<\infty}$ . In fact

$$h(C) = h^0(C) \oplus h^1(C) \oplus h^2(C)$$

<sup>1</sup>Assume standard conjecture  $C(X)$ : the projectors

$$\pi^i \in H^{2d-i}(X) \otimes H^i(X) \subset H^{2d}(X \times X)$$

lifts to  $\pi^i \in \mathrm{CH}^d(X \times X)$  such that  $\pi^i \circ \pi^j = \delta_{ij} \circ \pi^i$ .

(1) even part

$$h^0(C) \oplus h^2(C) =: \mathbb{I} \oplus \mathbb{L}$$

(2) odd part

$$h^1(C) = h^1(J(C)) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2g} h^i(J(C))$$

where  $h^i(J(C)) = S^i(h^1(J(C)))$  and  $g = g(C)$ . Hence  $S^{2g+1}(h^1(J(C))) = 0$ .

**Conjecture 2.4** (Kimure).  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^{<\infty} = \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}$

**Fact 2.5.**  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^{<\infty} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}$  is a sub-tensor category.

Let  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^{ab} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^{<\infty}$  be the subcategory generated by the motive of curves.

**Fact 2.6.**  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^{ab}$  is very small in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}$ .

**Remark 2.7.** Deligne: general surface in  $\mathbb{P}^3$  of degree  $\geq 5$  (via Hodge structure).

(1)  $\deg = 2, 3$ : O.K.;

(2)  $\deg = 4$ : unknown (K3 surface O.K. if some conjecture holds).

**Fact 2.8.** Not a single example known in  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^{<\infty}$  outside  $\mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^{ab}$ .

### 2.1. Important consequence.

**Theorem 2.9** (Kimure). *Let  $M = (X, p, m) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^{<\infty}$  be a motive and  $f \in \text{End}(M) \subset \text{Corr}^0(X, X)$ , then*

$$f \sim_{\text{num}} 0 \Rightarrow \underbrace{f \circ \cdots \circ f}_N = 0 \text{ for } N \gg 0$$

Recall we have the following conjecture

**Conjecture 2.10** (Voevodsky).

$$f \sim_{\text{num}} 0 \Rightarrow \underbrace{f \times \cdots \times f}_N = 0$$

and we know

$$\underbrace{f \times \cdots \times f}_N = 0 \Rightarrow \underbrace{f \circ \cdots \circ f}_N = 0$$

*Proof.* Let  $M = (X, p, m)$  be an even motive and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{pr}_i : X^N \times X^N &\rightarrow X \times X \\ ((x_1, \dots, x_N), (y_1, \dots, y_N)) &\mapsto (x_i, y_i) \end{aligned}$$

Now the formula  $\wedge^N M = 0$  implies that

$$\text{pr}_1^* \left[ \frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma(p^{\times N}) \cdot \text{pr}_2^*(f) \cdots \text{pr}_N^*(f) \right] = 0$$

on  $X^N \times X^N$ , since

$$\frac{1}{N!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \sigma(p^{\times N}) = 0$$

On the other hand, we express

$$\text{LHS} = \underbrace{\text{constant}}_{\neq 0} \cdot \underbrace{(f \circ \cdots \circ f)}_N + \underbrace{\text{terms containing } \deg(p \cdot f)}_{=0}$$

as

(1) the first term corresponding to  $\sigma = (12 \cdots N)$ .

(2) the second term corresponding to  $\sigma \neq (12 \cdots N)$  and it's 0 since  $f \sim_{\text{num}} 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.11.** *If  $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}^{<\infty}$ , then*

$$H^*(M) = 0 \Rightarrow M = 0$$

*Proof.* One writes  $M = (X, p, m)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(M) = 0 &\Leftrightarrow p \in \text{CH}^*(X \times X)_{\text{hom}} \\ &\Rightarrow p \sim_{\text{num}} 0 \\ &\Rightarrow \underbrace{p \circ \cdots \circ p}_N = 0 \text{ for some } N \text{ (by Theorem 2.9)} \\ &\Rightarrow p = 0 \text{ (since } p \text{ is a projector)} \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Remark 2.12.** Recall: if  $X = S$  is a surface, then

$$h(S) = \underbrace{h^0(S)}_{\text{even}} \oplus \underbrace{h^1(S)}_{\text{odd}} \oplus \underbrace{h_{\text{alg}}^2(S)}_{\mathbb{L}^{\dim \text{NS}(S)}; \text{ even}} \oplus \underbrace{h_{\text{tr}}^2(S)}_{?} \oplus \underbrace{h^3(S)}_{\text{odd}} \oplus \underbrace{h^4(S)}_{\mathbb{L}; \text{ even}}$$

At least we know

$$H^*(h_{\text{tr}}^2(S)) = H_{\text{tr}}^2(S) \text{ and } \text{CH}^*(h_{\text{tr}}^2(S)) = \ker(\text{alb})$$

then

$$H^{2,0}(S) = 0 \Leftrightarrow H_{\text{tr}}^2(S) = 0 \xrightarrow{(*)} h_{\text{tr}}^2(S) = 0 \Rightarrow \ker(\text{alb}) = 0$$

where  $(*)$  holds by Kimure theorem if  $S$  is finite (for example, covered by product of curves).

## 2.2. Conservativity conjecture.

**Conjecture 2.13** (Torelli-type). *Let  $M, N \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}}$  be two motives and  $f : M \rightarrow N$ . If  $f_* : H^*(M) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^*(N)$ , then  $f : M \xrightarrow{\sim} N$ .*

## 3. NAÏVE MIXED MOTIVE

**Definition 3.1.** Define

$$K_0(\text{All Var}) := \mathbb{Z} \cdot \{\text{varieties}\} / \langle [X] = [X \setminus Z] + [Z] \rangle$$

for any  $Z \subset X$  closed subvariety.

There is a well-defined map

$$\begin{aligned} \chi : K_0(\text{All Var}) &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \\ [X] &\mapsto \chi(X) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 3.2** (Looijeege-Bitternar, holds for  $\text{char} = 0$  since resolution of singularities). *There is a surjective map*

$$K_0(\text{SmProVar}) \twoheadrightarrow K_0(\text{All Var})$$

and the kernel generated by

$$[\mathfrak{B}l_Y X] - [X] - [E] + [Y]$$

This implies a well-defined map

$$\begin{aligned} \underbrace{K_0(\text{All Var})}_{\text{bad}} &\rightarrow \underbrace{K_0(\mathcal{M}_{\text{rat}})}_{\text{good}} \\ \underbrace{[X]}_{\text{sm proj}} &\mapsto [h(X)] \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.3.** Let  $\mathbb{L} = [\mathbb{A}^1] \in K_0(\mathbf{All\ Var})$ , does there exist  $0 \neq \alpha \in K_0(\mathbf{All\ Var})$  such that

$$\mathbb{L} \cdot \alpha = 0?$$

The answer is: yes, so the following map isn't injective

$$K_0(\mathbf{All\ Var}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathbf{All\ Var})[\mathbb{L}^{-1}]$$

#### REFERENCES

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICA, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEM SCIENCES, CHINESE  
ACADEMY OF SCIENCE, BEIJING 100190, CHINA  
*E-mail address:* `zhangxucheng15@mails.ucas.cn`