

LECTURE ON INTERSECTION THEORY (V)

ZHANG

ABSTRACT. This is a private note taken from the course ‘Topics in Algebraic Geometry’. The note taker is responsible for any inaccuracies.

Instructor: Qizheng YIN [BICMR, Peking University]

Time: Thu 10:10–12:00, 2017–03–09

Place: Room 302, No.4 Science Building, Peking University

CONTENTS

1. Chern class of vector bundles (continued)	1
1.1. Properties of Chern class	1
1.2. Grothendieck’s Riemann-Roch	3
2. Intersection with 0 of vector bundle	4
References	6

In this lecture, we talk about the following topics.

- Properties of Chern class.
- Intersection with zero-section of vector bundles.

1. CHERN CLASS OF VECTOR BUNDLES (CONTINUED)

1.1. Properties of Chern class. Recall our set-up first: let X be a scheme and $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle over X of rank $r = e + 1$, we can associate it a projective bundle $p : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ over X of rank e .

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \mathcal{O}_E(-1) & \\
 & \swarrow \quad \downarrow & \\
 p^*(E) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(E) \\
 \uparrow & & \downarrow p \\
 E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X
 \end{array}$$

Proposition 1.1. *Here are some basic properties of Chern class.*

- (1) $c_i(E) = 0$ if $i > r = \text{rank}(E)$.
- (2) (Whitney sum) If $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of vector bundles over X , then

$$c_t(E) = c_t(E')c_t(E'')$$

i.e.,

$$c_k(E) = \sum_{i+j=k} c_i(E')c_j(E'')$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B35; 46E30; 47B38; 30H25.

Key words and phrases. Chern class, GRR, Zero-section.

The author is supported by ERC Advanced Grant 226257.

(3) (Grothendieck's formula) Denote by $\xi := c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1))$, then

$$\xi^r + c_1(p^*E)\xi^{r-1} + c_2(p^*E)\xi^{r-2} + \cdots + c_r(p^*E) = 0$$

Proof. By Splitting construction (regarding the injective part), we may assume that there is a filtration

$$E = E_r \supseteq \cdots \supseteq E_1 \supseteq E_0 = 0$$

such that $E_i/E_{i-1} =: L_i$ are line bundles.

Lemma 1.2. Suppose E is as above and $s : X \rightarrow E$ is a section. Let

$$Z := \{x \in X : s(x) = 0\} \subset X$$

a closed subset. Then for any $\alpha \in \text{CH}_k(X)$, there exists $\beta \in \text{CH}_{k-r}(Z)$, such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^r c_1(L_i) \cap \alpha = \beta \text{ holds in } \text{CH}_{k-r}(X)$$

In particular, if $Z = \emptyset$, i.e., s nowhere vanish, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^r c_1(L_i) = 0$$

Remark 1.3. If E itself is a line bundle, then we can explicitly construct such β as follows

$$c_1(E) \cap \alpha = j_*(D.\alpha) \in \text{CH}_{k-1}(X)$$

where $D = (E, Z, s)$ is a persodu-divisor on X and $j : Z \hookrightarrow X$ is the inclusion.

Re-consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{O}_E(-1) & \\ & \swarrow \quad \downarrow & \\ p^*(E) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{P}(E) \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow p \\ E & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X \end{array}$$

and tensoring with $\mathcal{O}_E(1)$ on both sides of $\mathcal{O}_E(-1) \hookrightarrow p^*(E)$, we get

$$\mathcal{O}_E \hookrightarrow p^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(1)$$

- (1) $p^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(1)$ has a nowhere vanish section and
- (2) there is a natural filtration

$$p^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(1) = p^*(E_r) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(1) \supseteq \cdots \supseteq p^*(E_0) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(1) = 0$$

such that

$$p^*(E_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(1) / p^*(E_{i-1}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(1) = p^*(L_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(1)$$

are line bundles.

Apply Lemma 1.2 to $p^*(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(1)$ and the nowhere vanish section yields that

$$\prod_{i=1}^r c_1(p^*(L_i) \otimes \mathcal{O}_E(1)) = 0$$

i.e.,

$$\prod_{i=1}^r [c_1(p^*(L_i)) + \xi] = 0$$

hence

$$(1.1) \quad \xi^r + p^*(\sigma_1)\xi^{r-1} + p^*(\sigma_2)\xi^{r-2} + \cdots + p^*(\sigma_r) = 0$$

where σ_k is the k -th elementary symmetric polynomial in $c_1(L_i)$. Multiplied equation (1.1) by some power of ξ and then push-forward, we obtain

$$(1 + \sigma_1 t + \sigma_2 t^2 + \cdots + \sigma_r t^r) s_t(E) = 1$$

so $\sigma_i = c_i(E)$ by definition, which is equivalent to say

$$c_t(E) = \prod_{i=1}^r \underbrace{[1 + c_1(L_i)t]}_{c_t(L_i)}$$

Hence (1) and (3) are both okay. \square

Remark 1.4 (Splitting principal). We have a few words about the splitting principal, which will be frequently used in our course. In particular, we can use this principal to do the following.

- (1) Use split case to test

$$c_i(E^\vee), c_i(E_1 \otimes E_2), c_i(\wedge^k E)$$

- (2) Formally we can consider Chern class as

$$c_t(E) = \prod_{i=1}^r (1 + \alpha_i t)$$

where α_i 's are the so-called *Chern roots*. Then any symmetric polynomials in α_i can be written as polynomials in Chern class.

1.2. Grothendieck's Riemann-Roch.

1.2.1. *Chern class and Todd class.* Let X be a scheme and $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle over X of rank r .

Definition 1.5 (Chern character). The *Chern character* of E is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(E) &:= \sum_{i=1}^r \exp(\alpha_i) \\ &= r + c_1(E) + \frac{1}{2}[c_1(E)^2 - c_2(E)] + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients.

Remark 1.6. The Chern character $\text{ch}(-)$ defines a ring homomorphism

$$\text{ch}(-) : K(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}[c_i(-)]$$

i.e., we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ch}(E \oplus E') &= \text{ch}(E) + \text{ch}(E') \\ \text{ch}(E \otimes E') &= \text{ch}(E) \cdot \text{ch}(E') \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.7 (Todd class). The *Todd class* of E is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{td}(E) &:= \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{\alpha_i}{1 - \exp(-\alpha_i)} \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2}c_1(E) + \frac{1}{12}[c_1^2(E) + c_2(E)] + \frac{1}{24}c_1(E)c_2(E) + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

with \mathbb{Q} -coefficients.

Proposition 1.8. If $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of vector bundles on X , then

$$\text{td}(E) = \text{td}(E') \cdot \text{td}(E'')$$

Recall that under the same condition, $\text{ch}(E) = \text{ch}(E') + \text{ch}(E'')$

1.2.2. *Statement of G-R-R.* Let X be a non-singular variety, we write

$$c_i(E) := c_i(E) \cap [X] \in \mathrm{CH}_{n-i}(X) =: \mathrm{CH}^i(X)$$

Definition 1.9. The *Grothendieck ring* of vector bundles on X is defined by

$$K.(X) := \mathbb{Z}\{\text{vector bundles on } X\} / [E] = [E'] + [E'']$$

where $0 \rightarrow E' \rightarrow E \rightarrow E'' \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of vector bundles on X . One can similarly define the Grothendieck ring $K.(X)$ of coherent sheaves on X .

Fact 1.10 (Functoriality of $K.(X)$). Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism between non-singular varieties, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_! : K.(X) &\rightarrow K.(Y) \\ \mathcal{F} &\mapsto \sum_i (-1)^i [\mathcal{R}^i f_*(\mathcal{F})] \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.11 (Grothendieck's Riemann-Roch). *We have the following commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K.(X) & \xrightarrow{f_!} & K.(Y) \\ \text{ch}(-). \mathrm{td}(T_X) \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ch}(-). \mathrm{td}(T_Y) \\ \mathrm{CH}_*(X)_{\mathbb{Q}} & \xrightarrow{f_*} & \mathrm{CH}_*(Y)_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$

i.e., for any $\mathcal{F} \in K.(X)$ a coherent sheaf \mathcal{F} on X

$$\mathrm{ch}(f_! \mathcal{F}). \mathrm{td}(T_Y) = f_*(\mathrm{ch}(\mathcal{F}). \mathrm{td}(T_X))$$

2. INTERSECTION WITH 0 OF VECTOR BUNDLE

Theorem 2.1. *Let X be a scheme, $\pi : E \rightarrow X$ a vector bundle over X of rank $r = e + 1$ and $p : \mathbb{P}(E) \rightarrow X$ its associated projective bundle, then*

- (1) $\pi^* : \mathrm{CH}_{k-r}(X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{CH}_k(E)$ is an isomorphism.
- (2) The morphism

$$\theta : \bigoplus_{i=0}^e \mathrm{CH}_{k-e+i}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_k(\mathbb{P}(E))$$

given by

$$\theta[(\alpha_i)_i] = \sum_{i=0}^e c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1))^i \cap p^*(\alpha_i)$$

is an isomorphism.

Remark 2.2. This theorem allows us to define the intersection with zero-section of vector bundles via

$$0^* : \mathrm{CH}_k(E) \rightarrow \mathrm{CH}_{k-r}(E)$$

by $0^* = (\pi^*)^{-1}$. It's the so-called *Gysin pull-back* along the zero section.

Proof. The injectivity of (1) relies on that of (2).

- (1) – Surjective: Already proved before (using localization sequence).
- Injective: If $\pi^*(\alpha) = 0$, i.e., $j^*(q^*(\alpha)) = 0$. By exactness of the first row

$$q^*(\alpha) = i_* \left(\sum_{i=0}^e c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1))^i \cap p^*(\alpha_i) \right)$$

then

$$q^*(\alpha) = \sum_{i=0}^e c_1(\mathcal{O}_F(1))^{i+1} \cap q^*(\alpha_i)$$

but this implies that $\alpha = 0$ by the injectivity of (2).

- (2) – Surjective: similarly to that of (1), we can reduce to the trivial bundle case and then to trivial line bundle case, i.e.,

$$E = X \times \mathbb{A}^1$$

So we only need to prove that

if $F = E \oplus I$, then θ_E surjective $\Rightarrow \theta_F$ surjective.

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{P}(E) & \xrightarrow{i} & \mathbb{P}(F) & \xleftarrow{j} & E \\ & \searrow p & \downarrow q & \swarrow \pi & \\ & & X & & \end{array}$$

and then by the functoriality of Chow group, we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{CH}_k(\mathbb{P}(E)) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \mathrm{CH}_k(\mathbb{P}(F)) & \xrightarrow{j^*} & \mathrm{CH}_k(E) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & \nwarrow p^* & \uparrow q^* & \nearrow \pi^* & \\ & & \mathrm{CH}_{k-i}(X) & & \end{array}$$

where only the right triangle is commutative but the left is in general not. Then for each $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_{k-i}(X)$

$$i_*(p^*\alpha) = c_1(\mathcal{O}_F(1)) \cap q^*(\alpha)$$

But for each $\beta \in \mathrm{CH}_k(\mathbb{P}(F))$, we have

$$j^*(\beta) = \pi^*(\alpha) = j^*q^*(\alpha)$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathrm{CH}_{k-i}(X)$: π^* is surjective, then

$$j^*(\beta - q^*(\alpha)) = 0$$

so by exactness of first row

$$\beta - q^*(\alpha) = i_* \left(\sum_{i=0}^e c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1))^i \cap p^*(\alpha_i) \right)$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= q^*(\alpha) + i_* \left(\sum_{i=0}^e c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1))^i \cap p^*(\alpha_i) \right) \\ &= q^*(\alpha) + i_* \left(\sum_{i=0}^e c_1(i^*\mathcal{O}_F(1))^i \cap p^*(\alpha_i) \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{proj formula}}{=} q^*(\alpha) + \sum_{i=0}^e [c_1(\mathcal{O}_F(1))^i \cap i_*p^*(\alpha_i)] \\ &= q^*(\alpha) + \sum_{i=0}^e [c_1(\mathcal{O}_F(1))^i \cap c_1(\mathcal{O}_F(1)) \cap q^*(\alpha_i)] \\ &= q^*(\alpha) + \sum_{i=0}^e [c_1(\mathcal{O}_F(1))^{i+1} \cap q^*(\alpha_i)] \end{aligned}$$

– Injective: If

$$\sum_{i=0}^e c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1))^i \cap p^*(\alpha_i) = 0$$

multiplied by some power of $c_1(\mathcal{O}_E(1))$ and then push-forward, we obtain that $\alpha_i = 0$.

□

REFERENCES

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICA, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEM SCIENCES, CHINESE
ACADEMY OF SCIENCE, BEIJING 100190, CHINA
E-mail address: **zhangxucheng15@mails.uas.cn**