

# CSE431 – Translation of Computer Languages

- SLR(1)
- LALR(1)
- LR(1)

*Doug Shook*

# Conflict review

- What are the two types of conflicts that can arise when creating a parse table?
- What are the potential causes of these conflicts?

# SLR(k)

- Simple LR with k tokens of lookahead
  - k is typically 1
- Process:
  - Generate LR(0) as normal
  - For inadequate states:
    - Verify that the inadequacy is not due to ambiguity
    - Examine the reductions
      - We should take the reduction if the lookahead symbol is in the FOLLOW set

# Example:

- Let's construct the CFSM for the following grammar:

Start  $\rightarrow E \$$   
E  $\rightarrow E \text{ plus } T$   
    |  $T$   
T  $\rightarrow T \text{ times num}$   
    |  $\text{num}$

- Identify the states with conflicts
  - Convince yourself that they are not due to ambiguity
  - Construct the FOLLOW sets as needed
  - Create the table
  - Try parsing “num plus num times num \$”

# LALR(k)

- SLR is still not powerful enough for all grammars
- Craft the CFSM for the following grammar:

Start  $\rightarrow S \$$   
S  $\rightarrow AB$   
    | a c  
    | x A c  
A  $\rightarrow a$   
B  $\rightarrow b$   
    |  $\lambda$

- What happens when you try to use SLR?

# LALR(k)

- Still based on LR(0)
  - Will have as many states as LR(0)
- Includes two new ideas
  - ItemFollow
  - Lookahead propagation graph
- Main idea: instead of using FOLLOW from the grammar, compute ItemFollow using CFSM states

# ItemFollow

- Each vertex in the graph contains information about the state (from CFSM) and the item
  - Represented as 2-tuple  $\langle \text{state}, \text{item} \rangle$
- ItemFollow(v)
  - What symbols could follow this item after a reduction from this state?

# ItemFollow

- What will ItemFollow be for items that arrive via closure?
  - How about the kernel?
  - What about lambda?
- The propagation graph is generated during the same pass that ItemFollow is initialized
  - Propagation comes afterwards



# Pseudocode

## ■ Create CFSM

- Each  $\langle \text{state}, \text{item} \rangle$  pair becomes a vertex
- Initialize  $\text{ItemFollow}(\langle 0, \text{Start} \rangle) = \{\$ \}$

For each state  $s$

For each item of form  $A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet B \beta$

vertex  $u = \langle s, A \rightarrow \alpha \bullet B \beta \rangle$

vertex  $v = \langle s', A \rightarrow \alpha B \bullet \beta \rangle$ , successor of  $u$  on  $\text{shift}(B)$

Add edge  $(u, v)$

For each vertex  $w$  of form  $\langle s, B \rightarrow \bullet \delta \rangle$

Add  $\text{FIRST}(\beta)$  to  $\text{ItemFollow}(w)$

if  $\beta$  derives  $\lambda$

add edge  $(u, w)$

(pseudocode provided by Michael Wilson)

# Propagation

- Once the last step is complete, propagate information along graph edges
  - Propagate symbols down edges until no further changes are made
- Once this is complete, we can construct the parse table
  - Shift is handled normally
  - Each reduction should only be taken if lookahead is in ItemFollow

# LR(k)

- LALR(k) is not the most powerful form of parse table creation
  - LR(k) is
- We've seen LR(0)
  - LR(k) ( $k > 0$ ) uses the same process but with lookahead
  - In theory, can handle more grammars than LALR
  - In practice, it is not often used.
    - Aren't many grammars that are LR(k) but not LALR
    - LR(k) has a lot more states, more space

# Practice Problems

- Construct the SLR(1) parse table for the following:

$S \rightarrow A\$$

$A \rightarrow aBc$

$\quad \mid abb$

$B \rightarrow b$

# Practice Problems

- Is the following grammar SLR(1)? Is it LALR(1)?
  - Construct the parse table

$P \rightarrow S\$$

$S \rightarrow L = R$

$\mid R$

$L \rightarrow id$

$\mid * R$

$R \rightarrow L$