

# Structural Feasibility and Methodology for Vertical Extension of Student Accommodation via Load Stressing into Historic Masonry Arches

## 1. Introduction: The Imperative for Adaptive Reuse in Urban Infrastructure

### 1.1 The Convergence of Housing Demand and Infrastructure Heritage

The contemporary urban landscape is defined by a critical paradox: an escalating demand for high-density residential space, particularly student accommodation, clashing with a severe scarcity of developable land. In metropolises such as London, New York, and Manchester, the solution increasingly lies in the "airspace" above existing transportation infrastructure. Historic masonry viaducts, railway arches, and carriage ramps—often centrally located near academic institutions and transport hubs—represent a vast, underutilized spatial asset.<sup>1</sup> The adaptive reuse of these structures offers a sustainable alternative to demolition and new build, aligning with the principles of the circular economy by extending the service life of embodied carbon-rich masonry.<sup>1</sup>

However, the structural proposition of "stressing load forces into the arches" constitutes a significant engineering challenge. Unlike modern frame structures designed with redundant capacity for vertical expansion, historic masonry arches function through a delicate equilibrium of compressive forces. Their stability is governed not by material strength alone, but by the geometry of the thrust line. To support a multi-storey student hall, one cannot simply stack loads; one must fundamentally alter or meticulously manage the stress distribution within the existing fabric.<sup>5</sup> This report provides an exhaustive technical analysis of the feasibility, structural mechanics, construction methodologies, and regulatory frameworks required to execute such a vertical extension, focusing specifically on the mechanism of transferring new loads directly into the arch ring.

### 1.2 The "Stressing" Paradigm vs. Structural Bypass

The core inquiry of this research necessitates a distinction between two divergent engineering philosophies. The standard approach for building over infrastructure is the "bypass" or "transfer" method, where a new independent frame straddles the existing asset, transmitting loads to new foundations (as seen in the Holborn Viaduct student housing project).<sup>7</sup> The user's query, however, posits a more integrated approach: *stressing* the load

into the arches. This implies **composite action**, where the new structure actively utilizes the compressive capacity of the historic arch to carry the new dead and live loads. Research indicates that while feasible, this "stressing" approach requires rigorous intervention to prevent the formation of failure mechanisms. The arch must be transformed from a passive historical relic into an active component of the new building's superstructure. This is typically achieved through **reinforced concrete saddling**, which distributes point loads from the student hall into a uniform pressure on the arch extrados, locking the thrust line within the middle third of the section.<sup>9</sup>

### 1.3 Scope of Analysis

This report evaluates the structural viability of this integration across multiple domains:

1. **Structural Mechanics:** Analyzing the behavior of masonry arches under increased, potentially asymmetric vertical loads using Limit Analysis and Finite Element Method (FEM).
2. **Strengthening Interventions:** Detailing the design of concrete saddles, tie-rods, and micropile underpinning to facilitate load transfer.
3. **Material Strategy:** Investigating the use of Cross-Laminated Timber (CLT) and light-gauge steel to minimize the imposed dead load.
4. **Environmental Integration:** Addressing the critical challenges of vibration isolation and acoustic damping required for residential habitability above active rail lines.
5. **Regulatory Compliance:** Navigating Network Rail asset protection (BAPA) and fire safety regulations for building over transport infrastructure.

---

## 2. Structural Mechanics of Masonry Arches under Vertical Extension

To determine the feasibility of stressing loads into an arch, one must first master the physics of the *voussoir* arch. Unlike steel or reinforced concrete, which resist load through bending and shear, unreinforced masonry resists load almost exclusively through compression.

### 2.1 The Line of Thrust and Stability Criteria

The stability of a masonry arch is conceptually defined by the **Line of Thrust**—the theoretical path of the resultant compressive force vector through the arch ring.

- **The Middle Third Rule:** For an arch to remain stable and free of tensile stresses (which masonry cannot reliably sustain), the line of thrust must be contained within the middle third of the arch's cross-section (the kern). If the line of thrust touches the extrados (outer edge) or intrados (inner edge), a "hinge" forms.
- **The Four-Hinge Mechanism:** An arch is a statically indeterminate structure. It can sustain the formation of three hinges and remain stable (acting as a three-hinged arch). However, the formation of a fourth hinge turns the structure into a mechanism, leading to immediate collapse. This is the ultimate limit state for any vertical extension project.<sup>5</sup>

## The Impact of Vertical Extension Loads

Adding a student hall on top of a viaduct alters the thrust line significantly:

1. **Uniform Loading:** If the new building applies a uniform distributed load (UDL) across the entire span, the line of thrust tends to follow the funicular polygon of the load, often moving closer to the centerline. Theoretically, a heavy, uniform vertical load can *stabilize* an arch by increasing the compressive stress that resists lateral deformation, provided the material crushing strength is not exceeded.<sup>13</sup>
2. **Asymmetric Point Loads:** Student housing is cellular. It imposes loads via walls and columns. A concentrated load applied at the quarter-span (haunch) of an arch is the most critical condition. It depresses the thrust line at the load point and raises it at the opposing haunch. This distortion is the primary driver of hinge formation and potential collapse.<sup>14</sup>

**Insight:** The "Black Box" nature of masonry mechanics<sup>12</sup> means that the exact stress path is often indeterminate due to the unknown quality of the mortar and the presence of historic settlement cracks. Therefore, relying on the "natural" capacity of the arch without reinforcement is a high-risk strategy. The addition of a new structure changes the arch from a structure supporting soil fill to one supporting rigid point loads, fundamentally altering its behavior.

## 2.2 Material Behavior and Failure Modes

The successful stressing of loads into the arch requires verifying safety against specific failure modes:

- **Compressive Crushing:** While historic brick and stone are strong in compression, the lime mortar joints are the weak link. The added weight of a 4-5 storey extension could generate compressive stresses exceeding the permissible limit of the mortar (often < 1-2 MPa for degraded lime), leading to crushing at the hinge points.<sup>16</sup>
- **Sliding (Shear Failure):** If the line of thrust strikes a bed joint at a shallow angle, the shear force may overcome the frictional resistance between voussoirs, causing the arch to slide. This is particularly relevant if the new building transfers horizontal wind loads into the arch ring.<sup>5</sup>
- **Abutment Spread:** An arch translates vertical load into horizontal thrust.  $H \propto wL^2$ . Increasing the vertical load ( $w$ ) linearly increases the horizontal kick ( $H$ ). If the piers or abutments cannot resist this increased thrust, they will spread outwards. A spread of just a few centimeters can cause the crown to drop and the arch to collapse. This is the most critical check for high-mass extensions.<sup>5</sup>

## 2.3 Computational Analysis: Limit State vs. FEM

To validate the design, two distinct analytical approaches are required:

- **Rigid Block Limit Analysis (RING):** Software such as LimitState:RING models the masonry as an assembly of rigid blocks. It effectively identifies the geometric factor of safety and the collapse mechanism (sliding vs. hinging). It is particularly useful for

assessing the beneficial effect of backfill and the impact of saddle reinforcement.<sup>18</sup>

- **Finite Element Analysis (FEM):** For complex interactions where the stiffness of the new student hall (e.g., a rigid CLT shear wall) interacts with the flexible masonry arch, 3D FEM is necessary. It models the masonry as a continuum or discrete elements, allowing for the analysis of stress concentrations, cracking patterns, and the beneficial stiffening effect of spandrel walls.<sup>12</sup>

---

## 3. Structural Solutions: The Mechanics of Load Transfer

To feasibly "stress" the load into the arch, the load must be conditioned. Direct point loading from columns onto a bare masonry arch is essentially prohibited due to the risk of punching shear and local instability. The load transfer must be mediated through a structural intervention.

### 3.1 The Reinforced Concrete Saddle: The Primary Mechanism

The most robust method for stressing loads into an existing arch is the installation of a reinforced concrete (RC) saddle. This technique effectively transforms the historic masonry arch into a composite masonry-concrete structure.<sup>9</sup>

- **Mechanism of Action:**
  - **Load Distribution:** The saddle acts as a stiff distribution beam curved to match the arch. It intercepts the point loads from the student hall's columns and spreads them uniformly over the extrados of the masonry. This prevents localized crushing and shear failure.<sup>10</sup>
  - **Geometry Locking:** By adhering to the masonry, the rigid concrete saddle prevents the rotation of voussoirs. It physically blocks the opening of joints on the extrados, thereby preventing the formation of the four-hinge mechanism. The arch can no longer deform into a failure shape.<sup>10</sup>
  - **Composite Behavior:** Shear connectors (dowels) are often installed between the masonry and the concrete. This forces the two materials to act as a single deep section. The concrete takes the bending and tension (via reinforcement), while the masonry takes the compression. This massively increases the load-bearing capacity, allowing the arch to support significant vertical extensions.<sup>9</sup>
- **Design Considerations:**
  - **Saddle Thickness:** Typically 150mm to 300mm, reinforced with steel mesh.
  - **Bonding:** The interface between the old masonry and new concrete is critical. The masonry must be cleaned and potentially roughened. In some cases, a separation layer is used if the goal is simply load spreading without composite action (relieving arch concept), but composite action is preferred for capacity.<sup>10</sup>

### 3.2 The Transfer Slab: Bypassing the Barrel

For scenarios where the arch ring is too deteriorated to accept direct stress, or where the geometry of the new student hall does not align with the arch span, a **Transfer Slab** is employed.

- **Structural Logic:** A flat, heavily reinforced concrete slab is cast at the level of the viaduct deck. Crucially, this slab is designed to span *between* the piers, essentially bridging over the arch barrel.
- **Load Path:** The weight of the student hall travels down the new walls, into the transfer slab, and is diverted directly into the masonry piers. The arch barrel itself carries only its self-weight. This eliminates the risk of hinging the arch but imposes significantly higher vertical stresses on the piers and foundations.<sup>25</sup>
- **Void Formers:** A compressible layer (e.g., Clayboard or polystyrene) is often placed between the transfer slab and the arch crown to ensure no load is accidentally transferred to the arch due to slab deflection.<sup>26</sup>

### 3.3 Micropile Stitching (Pali Radice)

If the existing piers are insufficient to carry the accumulated load of the new extension, the load stressing strategy must extend to the ground.

- **Technique: Micropiles** (small diameter, high-capacity drilled piles) can be installed *through* the existing masonry piers.
- **Function:** This technique, known as *reticulated micropiling* or *pali radice* (root piles), reinforces the pier internally. The new loads are transferred from the building columns into the micropiles, which carry the force down through the pier (bypassing the masonry material to some extent) and into competent bedrock or deep soil strata. This effectively underpins the structure without requiring excavation beneath the footing.<sup>27</sup>
- **Holborn Viaduct Case:** In the 65 Holborn Viaduct project, the constraints of the tunnel below necessitated hand-dug caisson piles to route loads around the rail assets, effectively creating a "building on stilts" that straddles the infrastructure rather than stressing it.<sup>8</sup>

**Table 1: Structural Intervention Hierarchy for Masonry Arches**

Intervention Method	Load Transfer Mechanism	Structural Benefit	Complexity & Cost	Suitability for Student Hall
Direct Loading	Point loads applied directly to arch fill/extrados.	Relies solely on existing masonry arch capacity.	Low cost; High Risk.	<b>Very Low</b> (Unsafe for multi-storey loads).
RC Saddle	Point loads \$rightarrow\$ Saddle \$rightarrow\$ Composite Arch \$rightarrow\$ Piers.	Increases arch stiffness; prevents hinging; distributes load.	Moderate cost; complex temporary works.	<b>High</b> (For 2-4 storey extensions).

<b>Transfer Slab</b>	Point loads → Slab → Piers (Arch bypassed).	Protects arch ring; allows flexible layout above.	High weight; concentrates load on piers.	<b>Medium</b> (Good if piers are robust).
<b>Independent Frame</b>	Point loads → New Columns → Micropiles → Soil.	Complete isolation from historic fabric.	Highest cost; technically challenging piling.	<b>High</b> (For 5+ storeys or weak arches).

## 4. Geotechnical and Foundation Engineering

Stressing loads into the arches inevitably means stressing the ground. The capacity of the foundations is often the limiting factor in vertical extensions.

### 4.1 Foundation Capacity and Settlement

Historic viaducts typically rest on shallow brick footings or timber piles. These foundations have consolidated over 100+ years and are stable under current loads.

- **The 10% Rule:** Empirical evidence often suggests that existing foundations can tolerate a 10-15% increase in load without significant settlement. However, a student hall extension (even lightweight) often exceeds this.
- **Settlement Sensitivity:** Masonry arches are hyper-sensitive to differential settlement. If one pier settles 10mm more than its neighbor, the arch geometry distorts, potentially causing a hinge to form and the arch to collapse. Therefore, the soil bearing capacity must be verified with extreme rigor.<sup>31</sup>

### 4.2 Underpinning Strategies

If the new load exceeds the safe bearing capacity, underpinning is required.

- **Jet Grouting:** Injecting high-pressure grout into the soil beneath the footings to create a solidified soil-crete mass, increasing the effective footing area and soil stiffness.<sup>33</sup>
- **Micropile Underpinning:** As detailed in Section 3.3, drilling micropiles through the existing footings is a low-vibration method ideal for restricted access sites like urban viaducts. This transfers the load to deeper, stiffer strata.<sup>28</sup>

### 4.3 Lateral Thrust Management: Tie-Rods

The "kick" of the arch poses a risk to the abutments.

- **Problem:** Increasing vertical load increases horizontal thrust. Historic piers may be too slender to resist this increased overturning moment.
- **Solution: Steel Tie-Rods.** Installing tension bars connecting the springings of the

arches neutralizes the horizontal thrust. The system becomes a "tied arch" or "bowstring," where the horizontal forces are contained within the steel tie, and the piers see only vertical axial load. This is a highly effective way to enable an arch to carry significantly more weight without rebuilding the piers.<sup>17</sup>

---

## 5. Advanced Material Strategies: Minimizing Dead Load

To make "stressing the arch" feasible, the ratio of new dead load to existing capacity must be minimized. Traditional concrete construction is often too heavy.

### 5.1 Cross-Laminated Timber (CLT)

CLT is the transformative material for this application.

- **Weight Advantage:** CLT weighs approximately 450-500 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, compared to 2400 kg/m<sup>3</sup> for reinforced concrete. A 5-storey CLT structure weighs roughly the same as a 1-storey concrete structure. This drastic reduction often brings the total load within the capacity of the strengthened arch and existing foundations.<sup>37</sup>
- **Structural Synergy:** CLT panels function as deep beams and shear walls. They are rigid and distribute loads linearly along the wall length. This linear load distribution aligns perfectly with the geometry of the arch supports (piers), avoiding the high stress concentrations of column-based frames.<sup>38</sup>
- **Seismic Performance:** In seismic zones, the low mass of CLT reduces the inertial forces generated during an earthquake. This is critical when building on top of brittle masonry, which has poor energy dissipation capacity. Connecting a ductile CLT extension to a rigid masonry base requires careful detailing (e.g., hold-downs and shear brackets) to ensure they act coherently.<sup>38</sup>

### 5.2 Light Gauge Steel Framing (LFS)

- **Modular Construction:** LFS is frequently used for "pod" based student accommodation. Prefabricated room modules can be craned into position rapidly.
- **Load Transfer:** Steel transfer beams can be integrated into the floor cassette of the LFS system to bridge over weak zones in the arch or to align with the specific spacing of the masonry piers.<sup>42</sup>

### 5.3 Connection Detailing

The interface between the new lightweight superstructure and the historic masonry is a critical detailed design zone.

- **Concrete Ring Beam:** A continuous reinforced concrete ring beam is invariably cast along the top of the masonry walls/viaduct. This serves two purposes:
  1. It provides a level, true surface for the installation of the CLT/Steel sole plates (historic masonry is rarely level).

2. It ties the top of the masonry together, acting as a diaphragm to distribute lateral loads.<sup>38</sup>
  - **Anchorage:** Chemical anchors or grouted bars connect the ring beam to the masonry. In seismic zones, these connections must be designed to transfer shear forces without pulverizing the vintage brickwork.<sup>44</sup>
- 

## 6. Case Studies: Benchmarking Feasibility

Analyzing executed projects provides empirical evidence for the "stressing" vs. "bypassing" debate.

### 6.1 Holborn Viaduct, London (Student Accommodation)

- **The Challenge:** Constructing a 669-bed student hall (12 storeys) directly over the Snow Hill Tunnel (Thameslink rail line).
- **Structural Strategy: Bypass.** The loads were too great to stress into the tunnel arch. The engineers utilized **caisson piles** hand-dug *between* the rail tracks and the tunnel walls. These piles support a massive transfer structure that bridges the tunnel.
- **Key Lesson:** For large-scale (High-Rise) student housing, "stressing the arch" is often infeasible due to the sheer magnitude of the load. An independent frame that straddles the infrastructure is the standard solution.<sup>7</sup>

### 6.2 Coal Drops Yard, King's Cross (Adaptive Reuse)

- **The Challenge:** Adding a new roof and retail levels to Victorian coal drop viaducts.
- **Structural Strategy: Independent Threading.** The new "kissing roof" structure is supported by 52 new steel columns that are threaded *through* the existing masonry buildings down to new pile foundations.
- **Key Lesson:** Even with robust masonry, the engineers (Arup) chose to avoid stressing the historic fabric with the new roof loads, opting for a system where the new structure "floats" independently, preserving the heritage asset from stress.<sup>45</sup>

### 6.3 Bermondsey Dive Under (Infrastructure Strengthening)

- **The Challenge:** Strengthening existing arches to carry heavier modern trains.
- **Structural Strategy: Saddling (Stressing).** The engineers stripped the fill and installed reinforced concrete saddles over the arches. This allowed the arches to carry significantly higher live loads.
- **Key Lesson:** This proves the "stressing" concept is valid. If you want the arch to carry more load, you must saddle it. This is the blueprint for the user's specific request.<sup>47</sup>

### 6.4 Archway Studios, South London

- **The Challenge:** Residential dwelling built *inside* and *around* a live railway arch.
- **Structural Strategy: Acoustic Shell.** A steel foil shell creates a "room-within-a-room,"

physically separating the living space from the vibrating arch structure.

- **Key Lesson:** For habitability, structural isolation is as important as structural support.<sup>50</sup>
- 

## 7. Environmental Integration: Acoustics and Vibration

Building student housing over rail infrastructure introduces severe environmental challenges. The arch acts as a conduit for noise and vibration.

### 7.1 Structure-Borne Noise and Vibration

Trains passing over or under the viaduct generate low-frequency ground-borne vibration (typically 30-200Hz). This energy travels up the piers and into the student hall, where it can re-radiate as audible "rumble" noise inside bedrooms.

- **Base Isolation:** It is mandatory to structurally decouple the student hall from the viaduct.
  - **Spring Mounts:** For sites with heavy freight or high-speed trains, steel coil springs with a natural frequency of < 5Hz are required to isolate the building. These are installed between the transfer slab/saddle and the building columns.<sup>52</sup>
  - **Elastomeric Bearings:** For lighter vibration (e.g., light rail), laminated rubber bearings (natural frequency ~8-10Hz) may suffice. These are placed under the wall plates of the CLT superstructure.<sup>54</sup>

### 7.2 Airborne Noise

- **Facade Specification:** The facade facing the rail line must be high-mass or triple-glazed to block direct airborne noise (wheel-rail interface noise).
  - **Floor Insulation:** The floor of the student hall (the roof of the arch) is the primary barrier against noise from the arch below (which may be a bar, workshop, or train tunnel). A "floating floor" system—a heavy concrete screed on resilient mineral wool or foam—is required to provide the necessary acoustic mass and damping.<sup>51</sup>
- 

## 8. Construction Logistics and Sequencing

Constructing atop a viaduct is a logistical high-wire act.

### 8.1 Temporary Works and Centering

Pouring a concrete saddle imposes a fluid load on the arch. If poured asymmetrically, it can push the arch sideways and cause collapse.

- **Centering:** Full timber or steel formwork (centering) must be installed *inside* the arch to support it during the saddle pour. This centering must be tight against the intrados to prevent deformation.<sup>57</sup>
- **Pour Sequence:** The concrete must be placed in a balanced sequence—typically filling the haunches first to weigh down the sides, and then the crown. This prevents the

crown from uplifting (peaking) under the pressure of the wet concrete at the sides.<sup>5</sup>

## 8.2 Crane Strategy

Historic masonry arches generally cannot support the outrigger loads of a mobile crane.

- **Placement:** Cranes must be located on the ground adjacent to the viaduct, or on independent piled foundations. They cannot sit on the deck unless a specific temporary works assessment proves the arch can take the concentrated point load of the outrigger.<sup>59</sup>

---

# 9. Regulatory and Legal Framework

## 9.1 Network Rail Asset Protection (UK Context)

In the UK, building over a railway triggers the **Asset Protection (ASPRO)** process.

- **BAPA:** The developer must enter a Basic Asset Protection Agreement (BAPA). Network Rail will technically vet the design to ensure the new loads do not compromise the safety of the operational railway.<sup>61</sup>
- **The 3-Meter Rule:** Generally, structures must be set back 3 meters from the operational boundary, or designed to withstand impact/derailment forces.
- **Ownership:** Network Rail often sells the leasehold for the *archspace* but retains the freehold of the structure. Any "stressing" of the arch requires their explicit technical approval, which is rarely granted for simple gravity loading unless the asset is significantly upgraded (saddled) at the developer's cost.<sup>63</sup>

## 9.2 Fire Safety

Building residential units over a railway introduces high-risk fire scenarios.

- **Separation:** The floor between the railway/arch and the student housing must act as a 2-hour or 4-hour fire compartment floor.
- **Material Vulnerability:** While masonry is fire-resistant, the heat from a train fire (e.g., diesel spill) can cause spalling and loss of strength in the arch ring.<sup>65</sup> The new CLT structure must be encapsulated in fire-rated plasterboard or treated to resist charring and maintain structural integrity.<sup>67</sup>

---

# 10. Conclusion and Recommendations

## 10.1 Feasibility Verdict

Supporting a student hall by stressing load forces into existing arches is **technically feasible** but constrained by scale.

- **Feasible Scenario:** A low-rise (2-4 storey) extension using ultra-lightweight materials (CLT) is viable *if and only if* the arch is strengthened via a reinforced concrete saddle to

create composite action.

- **Infeasible Scenario:** A high-rise (6+ storey) extension cannot typically be supported by "stressing" the arch alone. The loads exceed the crushing capacity of the masonry and the bearing capacity of the soil. In these cases, an independent frame (bypassing the arch) is the only engineering solution.

## 10.2 Recommended Structural Strategy: The "Hybrid Saddle"

To satisfy the user's request for "stressing the forces into the arches," the optimal engineering solution is:

1. **Strip and Saddle:** Excavate the viaduct fill and cast a reinforced concrete saddle bonded to the masonry extrados. This upgrades the arch to a modern load-bearing element.
2. **Tie the Springings:** Install steel tie-rods to contain the horizontal thrust generated by the new building load.
3. **Lightweight Superstructure:** Erect the student hall using Cross-Laminated Timber (CLT) to minimize the dead load imposed on the saddle.
4. **Isolate:** Place the CLT structure on elastomeric bearings atop the saddle to isolate students from rail vibration.

## 10.3 Final Insight

The "stressing" approach is not just about adding weight; it is about **transformation**. By saddling the arch, the engineer essentially builds a new concrete bridge *on top* of the old masonry bridge, using the masonry as permanent formwork and a compressive partner. This composite action is the key to unlocking the structural capacity required for modern student housing.

## Bibliografia

1. Adaptive Reuse: Campus Perth | Woods Bagot, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025, <https://www.woodsbagot.com/journal/adaptive-reuse-campus-perth/>
2. Adaptive Reuse Alleviates Student Housing Shortage | NAIOP | Commercial Real Estate Development Association, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025, <https://www.naiop.org/research-and-publications/magazine/2025/fall-2025/development-ownership/adaptive-reuse-alleviates-student-housing-shortage/>
3. Vertical extension of buildings: a systematic literature review, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025, <https://itu.diva-portal.org/smash/get/diva2:1981855/FULLTEXT01.pdf>
4. Vertical extensions: technical challenges and carbon impact - IStructE, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025, <https://www.istructe.org/sitefiles/handlers/downloadfile.ashx?productid=9917>
5. Technical Notes 31 - Brick Masonry Arches, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025, <https://www.gobrick.com/media/file/31-brick-masonry-arches.pdf>
6. How Do Masonry Arches And Vaults Distribute Structural Loads? - Civil

- Engineering Explained - YouTube, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=wt7PDUd5GsM>
7. Digging deep: The radical engineering underpinning Stiff + Trevillion's 65 Holborn Viaduct project - Building Design, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.bdonline.co.uk/buildings/digging-deep-the-radical-engineering-underpinning-stiff--trevillions-65-holborn-viaduct-project/5134445.article>
  8. Why 65 Holborn Viaduct could be the most difficult site ever to be built on in London, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.building.co.uk/buildings/why-65-holborn-viaduct-could-be-the-most-difficult-site-ever-to-be-built-on-in-london/5134048.article>
  9. Structural assessment, repair and strengthening of masonry arch bridges: modes of operation and open issues, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://ijbembr.org/index.php/ber/article/view/21>
  10. chapter-16-masonry-bridges.pdf - NET, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
[https://fdotwww.blob.core.windows.net/sitefinity/docs/default-source/maintenance/str/bi/reference-manual/chapter-16-masonry-bridges.pdf?sfvrsn=84dc1294\\_0](https://fdotwww.blob.core.windows.net/sitefinity/docs/default-source/maintenance/str/bi/reference-manual/chapter-16-masonry-bridges.pdf?sfvrsn=84dc1294_0)
  11. NOVEL METHOD OF STRENGTHENING MASONRY ARCH BRIDGES - Cintec, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://cintec.com/wp-content/uploads/2015/04/Novel-Method.pdf>
  12. A Comprehensive Analysis Structure for the Design of Masonry Arches, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://opencivilengineeringjournal.com/VOLUME/15/PAGE/381/FULLTEXT/>
  13. How does an arch transfer vertical load? [duplicate] - Engineering Stack Exchange, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://engineering.stackexchange.com/questions/45255/how-does-an-arch-transfer-vertical-load>
  14. Analysis of Segmental and Semicircular Masonry Arches - Practice Points, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.apti.org/assets/Publications/PracticePoints/Practice%20Point%2022.pdf>
  15. Interpretation of the Dynamic Response of a Masonry Arch Rail Viaduct using Finite Element Modelling - University of Cambridge, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.repository.cam.ac.uk/bitstreams/b778e8d0-7ddb-46b9-b4e9-dedbf67acff/download>
  16. Brick Brief, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.gobrick.com/media/file/brick-brief-arches-may-2012.pdf>
  17. Tied-arch bridges - SteelConstruction.info, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025, [https://steelconstruction.info/Tied-arch\\_bridges](https://steelconstruction.info/Tied-arch_bridges)
  18. Concrete Saddle Modelling Capability - LimitState, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.limitstate.com/news/limitstate-ring-reinforcement-option-allows-an-alysis-of-masonry-arch-bridges-with-saddles>
  19. Application Note - Modelling Saddles Using LimitState:RING - Squarespace,

- accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
[https://static1.squarespace.com/static/57558c5e3c44d8fcee7819d/t/5d385e100780b20001cd8d7e/1563975185111/LS-R-AN1\\_Saddle\\_Reinforcement.pdf](https://static1.squarespace.com/static/57558c5e3c44d8fcee7819d/t/5d385e100780b20001cd8d7e/1563975185111/LS-R-AN1_Saddle_Reinforcement.pdf)
20. LimitState:RING - Model Reinforcement in Masonry or Concrete Arches, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.limitstate.com/ring-reinforced-masonry-arches>
  21. Simulation of masonry arch bridges using 3D discrete element modeling, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://repositorium.uminho.pt/bitstreams/3bb973ba-b8c9-4ac9-89d5-9f27957aa42c/download>
  22. Application of Finite Element Methods to Masonry Bridges | Lusas, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
[https://www.lusas.com/papers/Application\\_of\\_FE\\_methods\\_to\\_masonry\\_bridges\\_lusas\\_archive.pdf](https://www.lusas.com/papers/Application_of_FE_methods_to_masonry_bridges_lusas_archive.pdf)
  23. Improving a Stone Arch Bridge's Serviceability by Strengthening: Part 2, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://stonearchbridges.com/2021/08/20/improving-a-stone-arch-bridges-serviceability-by-strengthening-part-2/>
  24. Modelling of Repair Techniques for Masonry Arch Bridges - -ORCA - Cardiff University, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://orca.cardiff.ac.uk/id/eprint/55998/1/U584743.pdf>
  25. Vertical Extension and Improving of Existing Buildings, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://openconstructionbuildingtechnologyjournal.com/VOLUME/11/PAGE/83/FULLTEXT/>
  26. Structural Design of Transfer Structures Civil Engineering - Universidade de Lisboa, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://fenix.tecnico.ulisboa.pt/downloadFile/1407770020546553/Structural%20Design%20of%20Transfer%20Structures%20-%20FINAL.pdf>
  27. Transferring Loads in Existing Buildings - Structure Magazine, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.structuremag.org/article/transferring-loads-in-existing-buildings/>
  28. Underpinning with Micropiles: What It Is and When It's Used, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://underpinnings.ca/underpinning-with-micropiles-what-it-is-and-when-its-used>
  29. Foundations Manual Chapter 13, Micropiles - Caltrans, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://dot.ca.gov/-/media/dot-media/programs/engineering/documents/structure-construction/foundation/sc-foundation-chapt13-a11y.pdf>
  30. Major milestone at Dominus' 65 Holborn Viaduct site, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.dominus.co.uk/news/major-milestone-at-dominus-65-holborn-viaduct-site/>
  31. Underpinning - Building Conservation Directory, accesso eseguito il giorno

- dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.buildingconservation.com/articles/underpinning/underpinning.htm>
32. Full article: Design Considerations for Retrofitting of Historic Masonry Structures with Externally Bonded FRP Systems - Taylor & Francis Online, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/15583058.2020.1853278>
  33. Railway Arch Foundation Repair Case Study | Geobear, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.geobear.com/en-gb/business/references/ground-improvement-for-railway-arch-renovation>
  34. Drilled and Grouted Micropiles: State-of-Practice Review - ROSA P, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
[https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/68131/dot\\_68131\\_DS1.pdf](https://rosap.ntl.bts.gov/view/dot/68131/dot_68131_DS1.pdf)
  35. Strengthening of Masonry Arches with Fiber-Reinforced Polymer Strips - SciSpace, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://scispace.com/pdf/strengthening-of-masonry-arches-with-fiber-reinforced-3gi4g0mshu.pdf>
  36. Utilization of tie-beams for strengthening stone masonry arches in Nabataean construction, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259259581\\_Utilization\\_of\\_tie-beams\\_for\\_strengthening\\_stone\\_masonry\\_arches\\_in\\_Nabataean\\_construction](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/259259581_Utilization_of_tie-beams_for_strengthening_stone_masonry_arches_in_Nabataean_construction)
  37. Vertical extensions - your beSteel solution, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025, <https://be-steel.eu/en/clients/vertical-extensions/>
  38. How to Create a Vertical Addition with Mass Timber - WoodWorks | Wood Products Council, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.woodworks.org/resources/how-to-create-a-vertical-addition-with-mass-timber/>
  39. Structural Options for Building a Home Extension: Your Complete Guide - Self-Build.co.uk, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.self-build.co.uk/how-to-build-your-extension-structural-options/>
  40. SEISMIC FRAGILITY ANALYSIS TO ASSESS THE IMPACT OF TIMBER VERTICAL ADDITIONS ON EXISTING RC BUILDINGS - Eccomas Proceedia, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
[https://files.eccomasproceedia.org/papers/compdyn-2023/C23\\_21093.pdf?mtime=20231027201541](https://files.eccomasproceedia.org/papers/compdyn-2023/C23_21093.pdf?mtime=20231027201541)
  41. Seismic Strengthening of Existing Concrete and Masonry Buildings with Crosslam Timber Panels - ResearchGate, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/272293788\\_Seismic\\_Strengthening\\_of\\_Existing\\_Concrete\\_and\\_Masonry\\_Buildings\\_with\\_Crosslam\\_Timber\\_Panels](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/272293788_Seismic_Strengthening_of_Existing_Concrete_and_Masonry_Buildings_with_Crosslam_Timber_Panels)
  42. LSF Floor Systems - Bailey Metal Products, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025, <https://www.bmp-group.com/products/lsf-floor-systems>
  43. CLT structures – facts and planning - Swedish Wood, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.swedishwood.com/siteassets/5-publikationer/pdf/er/clt-handbook-2019-eng-m-svensk-standard-2019.pdf>

44. CLT Construction - Simpson Strong-Tie, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
[https://www.strongtie.co.uk/sites/default/files/field\\_download\\_file/2020/10/28/091619/c-clt-uk-2020.original.pdf](https://www.strongtie.co.uk/sites/default/files/field_download_file/2020/10/28/091619/c-clt-uk-2020.original.pdf)
45. Coal Drops Yard, King's Cross - BAM - - BAM Case Study, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.bam.co.uk/how-we-do-it/case-study/coal-drops-yard-king-s-cross>
46. Coal Drops Yard - Arup, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.arup.com/projects/coal-drops-yard/>
47. Making the Grade (Separation): The Bermondsey Diveunder - London Reconnections, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.londonreconnections.com/2015/bermondsey-diveunder/>
48. Bermondsey Dive Under - Removing another critical pinch point! - Rail Engineer, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.railengineer.co.uk/bermondsey-dive-under-removing-another-critical-pinch-point/>
49. Bermondsey Dive-Under | www.skanska.co.uk, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.skanska.co.uk/expertise/projects/199463/Bermondsey-DiveUnder>
50. Arch-in-Arch | UNDERCURRENT ARCHITECTS, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.undercurrent-architects.com/portfolio/arch-in-arch/>
51. Archway Studios in a railway arch by Undercurrent Architects - Dezeen, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.dezeen.com/2012/11/07/archway-studios-railway-arch-undercurrent-architects/>
52. Spring Isolators - Mason Industries, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://mason-ind.com/spring-isolators/>
53. The Blocking Floor: A Low Cost Alternative to Building Base Isolation? - Acentech, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.acentech.com/resources/the-blocking-floor-a-low-cost-alternative-to-building-base-isolation/>
54. Building Vibration Isolation Systems Vibration control for buildings and structures - Farrat, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://farrat.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/02/BVI-TG-Building-Vibration-Isolation-24a.pdf>
55. Building Base Isolation | CDM Stravitec, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://cdm-stravitec.com/en-us/applications/building-base-isolation>
56. The Kernel Brewery - Sound Zero, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://sound-zero.com/case-studies/the-kernel-brewery/>
57. Falsework - Wikipedia, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Falsework>
58. A Critical Part of Arch Building: The Centering, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://stonearchbridges.com/2020/02/17/a-critical-part-of-arch-building-the-centering/>

[ntering/](#)

59. Effects of pre-existing damage on vertical load-bearing capacity of masonry arch bridges | Request PDF - ResearchGate, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377879610\\_Effects\\_of\\_pre-existing\\_damage\\_on\\_vertical\\_load-bearing\\_capacity\\_of\\_masonry\\_arch\\_bridges](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/377879610_Effects_of_pre-existing_damage_on_vertical_load-bearing_capacity_of_masonry_arch_bridges)
60. NHI 130102 Engineering for Structural Stability in Bridge Construction Reference Manual - Federal Highway Administration, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025, <https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/bridge/pubs/nhi15044.pdf>
61. Asset Protection and Optimisation - Network Rail, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.networkrail.co.uk/our-work/looking-after-the-railway/asset-protection-and-optimisation/>
62. Asset protection and optimisation management of third party works NRL2CIV095 - Network Rail, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.networkrail.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2023/01/Asset-protection-and-optimisation-management-of-third-party-works-NRL2CIV095.pdf>
63. What are the specific issues to consider when taking a lease of railway arch space?, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.boodlehatfield.com/articles/what-are-the-specific-issues-to-consider-when-taking-a-lease-of-railway-arch-space>
64. Heritage: Care and Development design manual NR ... - Network Rail, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
[https://www.networkrail.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/NR\\_GN\\_CIV\\_100\\_05\\_Heritage-Care-and-Development.pdf](https://www.networkrail.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/06/NR_GN_CIV_100_05_Heritage-Care-and-Development.pdf)
65. Fire Damage - Masonry & Concrete - ServiceMaster Restore, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://www.servicemasterrestore.com/servicemaster-restoration-by-timeless/why-us/blog/2023/march/how-fire-affects-masonry-and-concrete/>
66. Effect of fire damage on natural stonework in buildings - ResearchGate, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
[https://www.researchgate.net/publication/248540978\\_Effect\\_of\\_fire\\_damage\\_on\\_natural\\_stonework\\_in\\_buildings](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/248540978_Effect_of_fire_damage_on_natural_stonework_in_buildings)
67. transport premises and facilities - GOV.UK, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
<https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a78d34eed915d07d35b2b9e/fsra-transport.pdf>
68. Fire Safety at Stations | Network Rail, accesso eseguito il giorno dicembre 19, 2025,  
[https://www.networkrail.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/NR\\_GN\\_CIV\\_300\\_03\\_Fire-Safety-at-Stations.pdf](https://www.networkrail.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/NR_GN_CIV_300_03_Fire-Safety-at-Stations.pdf)