Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking Volume 20, Number 6, 2017

© Mary Ann Liebert, Inc.

DOI: 10.1089/cyber.2016.0758

# Time Spent on Social Network Sites and Psychological Well-Being: A Meta-Analysis

Chiungjung Huang, PhD

#### **Abstract**

This meta-analysis examines the relationship between time spent on social networking sites and psychological well-being factors, namely self-esteem, life satisfaction, loneliness, and depression. Sixty-one studies consisting of 67 independent samples involving 19,652 participants were identified. The mean correlation between time spent on social networking sites and psychological well-being was low at r=-0.07. The correlations between time spent on social networking sites and positive indicators (self-esteem and life satisfaction) were close to 0, whereas those between time spent on social networking sites and negative indicators (depression and loneliness) were weak. The effects of publication outlet, site on which users spent time, scale of time spent, and participant age and gender were not significant. As most included studies used student samples, future research should be conducted to examine this relationship for adults.

Keywords: meta-analysis, psychological well-being, social networking site

# Introduction

COCIAL NETWORK SITES (SNS), defined as Web sites "that allow individuals to (1) construct a public or semi-public profile within a bounded system; (2) articulate a list of other users with whom they share a connection, and (3) view and traverse their list of connections and those made by others within the system," have had an unprecedented impact on society, not only because they have billions of users but also because users spend vast amounts of time on them.<sup>2</sup> For example, Facebook had more than one billion users<sup>3</sup> and about 28 percent of online time was spent on SNS.<sup>4</sup> Due to the popularity and prominence of SNS, several studies have been conducted to examine the relationship between SNS use and correlates. One popular topic is the relationship between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being. Examining this relationship has both research and practical implications. From a theoretical standpoint, theoretical models have been proposed to explain the relationship between SNS use and psychological well-being. Findings about the relationship between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being can provide insight for refining theoretical models. From a practical perspective, the effect of SNS was not clear. If SNS has a harmful effect, then intervention programs should be designed to prevent their overuse. If the effect is beneficial, then SNS use should be promoted.

The relationship between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being

Researchers investigating the relationship between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being hold one of four positions. The first hypothesis is that the main purpose of SNS use is to maintain offline relationships, instead of interacting with strangers. Hence, the use of SNS can solidify pre-existing offline relationships, creating a positive effect on psychological well-being. Chen et al. found that the correlation between time spent on Facebook and self-esteem was r = 0.08, supporting the augmentation model.

The second position is that the SNS use can replace face-to-face interaction, and time spent on communication with family and friends can be replaced by browsing the profiles of complete strangers. Strong ties are replaced by weak ties, leading to the negative effect of SNS use. Hill<sup>6</sup> found that the correlation between time spent on Facebook and self-esteem was r=-0.31, supporting this replacement hypothesis.

The third position is a social compensation model,<sup>2</sup> which purports that the relationship between SNS use and psychological well-being is moderated by personality traits. This hypothesis was supported by Kraut et al.,<sup>7</sup> who found that the effect of SNS use was positive for extroverts, and negative for introverts. Specifically, they sampled 406 new computer and television purchases, and found that Internet use was

related to high self-esteem and a low level of loneliness for extroverts, but with low self-esteem and a high level of loneliness for introverts.

The fourth position claims that SNS use is not related to psychological well-being. Some research evidence supports this position. For example, Lee et al.<sup>8</sup> surveyed 217 college students in South Korea, and found that the correlation between time spent on SNS and life satisfaction was r=0.

# Empirical findings about the relationship between time spent and well-being

Findings for the magnitude and direction of correlation between time spent on SNS and well-being are inconclusive. For example, Lemieux et al. examined the relationship between time spent on Facebook and loneliness, and found a negative effect with a moderate-to-large correlation at r=0.41. Hill<sup>6</sup> found a moderately negative relationship (r=-0.31) between time spent on Facebook and self-esteem. Schwartz  $^{10}$  found small and negative relationships (r=-0.12for self-esteem and r=0.13 for loneliness), whereas Lee et al. 8 found a zero relationship between time spent on SNS and life satisfaction. A small number of studies found a positive correlation between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being. For example, Burke<sup>11</sup> found that the effect of Facebook was positive and moderate. Specially, the correlation between time spent on Facebook and loneliness was r = -0.25, whereas that between time spent on Facebook and self-esteem was r = 0.26. As the magnitude and direction of the relationship between time spent on SNS and wellbeing varies by research work, moderator analyses were needed.

# Moderators

Since the relationship between time spent on SNS and well-being varied among studies, it may be affected by some moderators. This study examined the following potential moderators: publication outlet, sites on which users spent time, scale of time spent, indicator of psychological well-being, and participant gender and age.

#### Publication outlet

Studies reporting significant or large results are more likely to be published than those reporting non-significant or small findings. <sup>12</sup> A meta-analysis that includes only published articles may overestimate the mean effect size. The present meta-analysis comprises both published and unpublished articles, and it compares the mean effect sizes among different publication outlets to examine whether the relationship between time spent on SNS and well-being was related to the publication outlet.

# The site on which users spent time

Empirical studies rarely examined the effect of the site on which users spent time. Ward<sup>13</sup> was an exception who examined the relationship of Facebook and Twitter use with life satisfaction for adults with autism spectrum disorder. The effect of the site on which users spent time seemed noticeable. Specifically, the correlation between time spent on Twitter and life satisfaction was r=0.01, and that between time spent on Facebook and life satisfaction was r=0.11.

## Scale of time spent

Time spent on SNS can be measured on a ratio or ordinal scale. A ratio variable is usually assessed by asking an openended question, such as, "What is the average number of hours you spent on SNS?" An ordinal variable was obtained by providing responses on a Likert scale. For example, "What is the average hours you spent on SNS? 1=0-5 hours; 2=6-10 hours; 3=11-15 hours, and 4= more than 15 hours." The variability of variables is a factor that affects the magnitude of correlation coefficient. <sup>14</sup> Specifically, the correlation increases with the variability among variables. As the variance of time spent may depend on whether it is measured on a ratio or ordinal scale, the relationship between time spent on SNS and well-being may be related to the scale of time spent.

# Indicator of well-being

Well-being can be represented by the level of self-esteem, life satisfaction, loneliness, and depression. Multiple wellbeing indicators can test whether the relationship between time spent on SNS and well-being depends on the indicators of well-being. Guo et al. 15 examined the relationship of time spent on SNS with life satisfaction and loneliness for a sample of Chinese international students in Japan, and they found that the chosen indicator of well-being seemed to have a noticeable effect on the correlation. The correlation between time spent on SNS and life satisfaction was minor at r=-0.03, whereas that between time spent on SNS and loneliness was small at r=0.14. Conversely, the effect was nil in Skues et al., 16 who sampled 393 Australian college students. They found that the correlation between time spent on Facebook and self-esteem was small at r = -0.10, and that between time spent on Facebook and loneliness was r = 0.10. The findings based on research conducted in the United States seemed to support an association between the magnitude of the relationship between time spent on SNS and well-being and the chosen well-being indicator. For example, Locatelli et al.<sup>17</sup> examined these relationships for a sample of college students, and they found that the correlation between time spent on Facebook and life satisfaction was again nil at r=-0.01, and yet the correlation between time spent on Facebook and depression was small at r = 0.09.

#### Participant gender

Some studies have found noticeable differences across genders in the relationship between time spent on SNS and well-being. For example, Steers et al. 18 compared the relationship between time spent on Facebook and depression for undergraduate students. The relationship was 0.32 for 107 women, and 0.57 for 26 men. Similarly, Turner-August 19 found that the relationship between time spent on SNS and self-esteem was -0.05 for women, and -0.36 for men. Tran 20 investigated this relationship for a sample of 60 female undergraduate students who had recently experienced a breakup, and found that the relationship between time spent on Facebook and depression was low at r=0.06.

## Participant age

No studies to date have compared the relationship between time spent on SNS and well-being across life stages. Because the present meta-analysis includes many studies with diverse 348 HUANG

sample ages, it could examine the effect of participant age on the relationship between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being.

# Previous meta-analyses

Huang<sup>21</sup> analyzed 15 studies on the relationship between SNS use (i.e., time spent on SNS, frequency of use of SNS, intensity of SNS use, and compulsive use of SNS) and wellbeing, and identified 17 independent samples. The weighted mean correlation was r=-0.02, a small effect size. The major shortcoming of the Huang meta-analysis is that it does not include studies conducted after April 2001.

Song et al.<sup>22</sup> examined the relationship of Facebook use (compulsive use of SNS, time spent on SNS, and visiting frequency) with anxiety, shyness, loneliness, and extraversion. Eight studies consisting of 18 effects were identified. The weighted mean correlation was r=0.17, indicating that greater Facebook use was associated with a higher level of anxiety, shyness, or loneliness. The mean correlation (k=4) between time spent and shyness, loneliness, and extraversion was r=0.07. Their study was limited in at least three ways. First, because it used a narrow range of terms, it only identified eight studies, and relevant studies were not included. Second, the measure of Facebook use was the only moderator examined. Third, the work only used studies examining Facebook use, and it excluded studies examining other SNS.

Liu and Baumeister<sup>23</sup> examined the relationship of SNS use with self-esteem, narcissism, and loneliness. The SNS use was represented by time spent on SNS, frequency of use, Facebook addiction, and intensity of use. Thirty-three samples examining the relationship between SNS use and self-esteem were identified, and the weighted mean correlation was –0.09. Their study identified 23 samples to examine the relationship between SNS use and loneliness, and it obtained a weighted mean correlation of .017. That study was limited because it did not include studies examining some important aspects of psychological well-being, such as depression and life satisfaction.

Although previous meta-analyses have obtained valuable data, their most salient limitation was that they used various indicators to index SNS use, such as duration, visiting frequency, tendency of SNS addiction, and intensity of SNS use. The inclusion of studies examining the intensity of SNS use or Facebook addiction was especially debatable. The use intensity was usually measured by Ellison et al.'s scale,<sup>24</sup> which taps various concepts, such as the duration of Facebook use, number of Facebook friends, the extent that a participant connects to SNS, and the degree that Facebook was a part of their daily life. Facebook addiction measures the symptoms of addiction, such as salience, mood modification, tolerance, withdrawal, conflict, and relapse, as usually measured by the Bergen Facebook Addiction Scale.<sup>25</sup> These symptoms are not good indicators of use of SNS. To avoid the combination of non-comparable studies that may confuse the interpretation, this study focused on studies examining the relationship between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being. Strict criteria about SNS use were applied to yield meaningful findings. Second, some previous meta-analyses<sup>22,23</sup> focused on certain indicators of psychological well-being, and they did not consider a full range of indicators. To address this issue, the present meta-analysis estimated the relationship between time spent on SNS and various psychological well-being indicators. Third, previous meta-analyses included small numbers of studies, <sup>21,22</sup> and, thus, limited the generalizability of their conclusions. Fourth, the current meta-analysis includes several moderators to clarify the inconsistent findings about the relationship between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being.

#### Methods

To identify relevant studies, the PsycINFO, Communication and Mass Media Complete, and ProQuest Dissertations and Theses databases were searched by using terms related to social networking sites (namely, Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, MySpace, social media, online social network\*, and social network\* site\*) and psychological well-being (self-concept, self-esteem, self-worth, depress\*, loneliness, life satisfaction, and well-being) through October 2016. A wildcard character (\*) was used to match zero or more characters in each search. The reference lists for all relevant articles and previous review articles<sup>21–23,26,27</sup> were subsequently examined for additional studies that were not identified in computer-based searches.

Inclusion criteria were as follows. First, studies should report at least one of the correlations of time spent on SNS with self-esteem, life satisfaction, loneliness, and depression. Studies examining the visiting frequency, number of logins, Facebook use intensity (usually measured by Ellison et al.'s<sup>24</sup> scale), and SNS addiction were excluded. Furthermore, studies that measured collective self-esteem were excluded. Second, studies should report sample size to compute the weighted mean correlations. Third, studies must be published in English.

#### Analysis

The sampling distribution of r is not symmetrical. Specifically, the distribution of correlation coefficients becomes increasingly skewed as the correlation coefficient r increases above  $0.^{28}$  To address this issue, the correlation coefficient r between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being was converted to a normalized correlation by using the equation of Fisher's transformation of r to  $Z_r$ . The inverse variance was used to compute weighted mean correlation coefficients. The means and confidence intervals of  $Z_r$  were then transformed back to the correlation coefficient. The random-effects model was adopted.

For positive indicators of psychological well-being, namely self-esteem and life satisfaction, a positive correlation coefficient indicated a conducive effect, that is, more time spent on SNS being associated with higher self-esteem or life satisfaction. When psychological well-being was measured by loneliness and depression, a positive correlation coefficient indicated a detrimental effect, that is, more time spent on SNS use was associated with higher levels of loneliness or depression. To compute the weighted mean correlation across positive and negative indicators, the directions of correlations between time spent on SNS and negative indicators of psychological well-being (i.e., loneliness and depression) were reversed. Thus, a positive correlation, indicating more time spent on SNS, was associated with high scores on positive indicators, or with low scores on negative indicators.

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF STUDIES ON THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TIME SPENT ON SOCIAL NETWORK SITES AND PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING

Study	Mean Measure Name of SNS of time	z	Mean age	Pfemale	WB indicator	Name of SNS	Measure of time	8.1
Abarado <sup>32</sup>	Ireland, Austria, India, Iceland, Philippines,	69	NA	NA	Self-esteem	Facebook	Likert	0.02
Acar <sup>33</sup> Apaolaza et al. <sup>34</sup>	and United States United States Spain	427 344	19.50 14.50	$0.51 \\ 0.52$	Self-esteem Self-esteem, Ioneliness, and	Facebook Tuenti	NA Likert	0.01 -0.06, <sup>b</sup> 0.02, <sup>b</sup> -0.02 <sup>b</sup>
Arianna <sup>35</sup>	United Kingdom, Romania, Estonia, Canada, United States, Germany, Italy, Austria, Ireland, Netherlands, Macedonia, Slovenia, Poland, Mexico, India, France,	147	25.16	0.70	life satisfaction Self-esteem, loneliness	Facebook	NA	0.08, -0.01
Aubrey et al. <sup>36</sup>	United States	207	19.68	0.62	Self-esteem	Facebook and	Ratio	-0.04
Baker and Oswald <sup>37</sup> Baker et al. <sup>38</sup> Balci and Olkun <sup>39</sup> Banjanin et al. <sup>40</sup>	United States United States Foreign students in Turkey Serbia	207 386 259 336	19.19 21.98 21.80 18	0.67 0.81 0.32 0.66	Loneliness Depression Loneliness Depression	Myspace Facebook SNS Facebook SNS	NA Ratio NA	0.04 0.09 0.15 0.05
Bevan-Dye <sup>+1</sup> Bourke <sup>42</sup> Brusilovskiy et al. <sup>43</sup>	South Africa Ireland United States	346 204 232	13.66 NA	NA 0.57 0.61	Self-esteem Self-esteem, loneliness Loneliness	Facebook Facebook SNS	NA Ratio Likert	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01 \\ -0.20, \ 0.17 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}$
Burke'' Chen et al. <sup>5</sup>	Ireland Indonesia, Thailand, Toirean and Vietnam	98 352	NA 25	0.63	Loneliness, self-esteem Self-esteem	Facebook Facebook	Likert NA	-0.25, 0.26 0.09
Chop <sup>44</sup>	Tanwan, and Vietham United States	143	NA	0.49	Depression, life	Facebook	NA	0.13, -0.09
Cramer et al. <sup>45</sup> Davila et al. <sup>46</sup> No. 1 Davila et al. <sup>46</sup> No. 2 Denti et al. <sup>47</sup> Doğan and Çolak <sup>48</sup> Feinstein et al. <sup>49</sup>	United States United States United States Sweden Turkey United States	267 384 334 1,011 475 301	23.63 20.22 19.44 32.60 17	0.67 0.68 0.62 0.67 0.64 0.64	Self-esteem Depression Depression Self-esteem Loneliness Depression	Facebook Facebook Facebook Facebook SNS Facebook	NA NA NA NA Ratio NA	-0.08 0.03 0.14 -0.08 0.03
Frison et al. <sup>50</sup>	Belgium	1,621	14.76	0.48	Depression, life	MySpace Facebook	Likert	0.15, -0.13
Giota and Kleftaras <sup>51</sup> Glynn et al. <sup>52</sup> Guo et al. <sup>15</sup>	Greece United States Chinese international students in Japan	143 228 142	23.80 38.90 NA	0.58 0.61 0.61	saustaction Depression Life satisfaction Life satisfaction, lonelinese	SNS Facebook SNS	NA NA Ratio	0.13 0.07 -0.03, 0.14
Hill <sup>6</sup> Hong et al. <sup>53</sup>	United States Taiwan	56 241	NA 20	0.41	Self-esteem Self-esteem	Facebook Facebook	Likert Ratio	-0.31 0.05

TABLE 1. (CONTINUED)

Measure of time r <sup>a</sup>	NA  Likert  -0.06  Likert  -0.09  Likert  -0.03  NA  NA  0.23  NA  0.23  NA  0.23  NA  0.41  Likert  -0.01, 0.09  Likert  -0.07  Likert  -0.10, 0.10  Likert  -0.01  NA  -0.10, 0.10  Likert  -0.01  NA  -0.01  NA  -0.01  NA  -0.01  NA  -0.01  NA  -0.03  Ratio  -0.03  Ratio  -0.03  Ratio  -0.03  Ratio  -0.04  NA  -0.03  NA  -0.04  NA  -0.03	
Name of SNS	litter	Facebook
WB indicator	Loneliness Self-esteem Self-esteem Self-esteem Self-esteem Self-esteem Self-esteem Self-esteem Depression Life satisfaction Life satisfaction Loneliness Loneliness Loneliness Loneliness Loneliness Self-esteem Depression Loneliness Self-esteem Self-esteem Self-esteem Self-esteem Life satisfaction Self-esteem Life satisfaction Loneliness Depression Loneliness Depression Loneliness Loneliness Depression Loneliness Depression Loneliness Depression Loneliness Depression Loneliness Loneliness	Selt-esteem
Pfemale	0.50 0.67 0.67 0.67 0.68 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.88 0.70	0.57
Mean age	34 18.31 19.64 19.64 19.64 19.50 19.50 19.20 19.	33.74
Z	\$38 \$38 \$33 \$33 \$33 \$33 \$33 \$33	123
Country	THE BEALTER POSTE REFERENCE REFERENCE REFERENCE	Ireland
Study	Kalpidou et al. 55 No. 1 Kalpidou et al. 55 No. 1 Kalpidou et al. 56 Krishnan 57 Labrague 58 Lee et al. 8 Lee et al. 9 Lemieux et al. 9 Lecatelli et al. 17 Mersin and Acılar 59 Michikyan et al. 60 Morin-Major et al. 64 Pantic et al. 64 Petrocchi et al. 64 Ryan and Xenos 65 Schufreider 66 Schwartz 10 Schwartz 10 Schwartz 10 Steers et al. 18 Stee	Zabawska

<sup>a</sup>The direction of correlation between time spent on SNS and depression and that between time spent on SNS and loneliness were not reversed in this table. <sup>b</sup>Effect sizes were obtained from the authors.

NA, not available; Pfemale, proportion of females; SNS, social network sites; WB indicator, well-being indicator.

#### Independence

All correlation coefficients between time spent on SNS and indicators of psychological well-being were coded. For instance, if two indicators of psychological well-being (e.g., self-esteem and loneliness) were examined for a sample, then two correlation coefficients were coded (i.e., the correlation between time spent on SNS and self-esteem and that between time spent on SNS and loneliness). To address the issue of non-independence, the mean correlation was computed for each sample, except when examining the effect of indicators of psychological well-being, for which the multiple effect sizes were considered independent.

#### Results

The meta-analysis comprised 19,652 participants analyzed in 61 studies, consisting of 67 independent samples that reported correlation between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being. Table 1 presents the summary of included studies. The mean sample size was 293.31 participants (range, 26–1,621 participants). The mean age was available for 58 samples, and it was 21.91 years old (range, 13.17–39 years old) across these 58 samples.

The correlation between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being was -0.07. This relationship significantly differed from 0 in that the 95% confidence interval, ranging from -0.09 to -0.04, excluded 0. The correlation was low according to Cohen's<sup>29</sup> guidelines.

To examine the possible file-drawer problem, the trim and fill method<sup>30</sup> was used. No effect size was imputed, and the file-drawer problem was not an issue in the present meta-analysis.

# Moderator analyses

Publication outlet. Separate means were computed for each publication outlet. As shown in Table 2, the effect of publication outlet was not statistically significant (p=0.68). Nevertheless, the mean correlation between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being for bachelor's theses was r=-0.01, whereas that for conference papers was r=-0.12.

Sites on which users spent time. Most studies (k=50) measured the time spent on Facebook, and some studies (k=13) measured the time spent on all SNS. The mean correlations did not significantly differ, with  $Q_B = 0.52$  (p = 0.47).

Scale of time spent. Twenty-five studies measured time spent on SNS on a Likert scale, whereas 15 studies were on a ratio scale. The mean correlation between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being for studies using a Likert scale was r=-0.09, whereas that for studies using a ratio scale was r=-0.06. The effect of scale time spent on SNS was not statistically significant (p=0.43).

Indicator of psychological well-being. As previously mentioned, multiple correlation coefficients were coded if studies measured multiple indicators of psychological well-being. Coding the effect sizes yielded 82 correlation coefficients, comprising 30 data points for self-esteem, 20 for loneliness, 8 for life satisfaction, and 24 for depression. The indicator of psychological well-being had a statistically significant effect (p=0.04). The mean correlations for pos-

Table 2. Moderator Analyses

			95%	6 CI	
Indicator	k	Mean	Upper	Lower	$Q_{\mathrm{B}}$
Publication outlet					2.29
Journal	45	-0.07	-0.10	-0.03	
Bachelor	5	-0.01	-0.16	0.14	
Master	5	-0.05	-0.19	0.09	
Doctor	8	-0.10	-0.20	0.00	
Conference	3	-0.12	-0.35	0.13	
Site					0.52
Facebook	50	-0.08	-0.11	-0.05	
SNS	13	-0.05	-0.12	0.01	
Scale of time spent					0.62
Likert	25	-0.09	-0.13	-0.04	
Ratio	15	-0.06	-0.11	-0.01	
PWB indicator					8.28*
Self-esteem	30	-0.04	-0.08	-0.00	
Loneliness	20	-0.08	-0.13	-0.04	
Life satisfaction	8	-0.03	-0.11	0.05	
Depression	24	-0.11	-0.15	-0.07	
Gender					6.04
Mixed	56	-0.06	-0.09	-0.04	
Female	4	-0.13	-0.32	0.08	
Male	3	-0.27	-0.59	0.13	

The direction of correlation between time spent on SNS and depression and that between time spent on SNS and loneliness were reversed in this table.

\*p < 0.05.

ČI, confidence interval; PWB indicator, indicator of psychological well-being.

itive indicators (i.e., self-esteem and life satisfaction) were close to 0, whereas those for negative indicators (i.e., lone-liness and depression) were close to weak (-0.08 and -0.11).

Participant gender. The proportion of female users was available in 63 samples. This study used weighted regression analysis to test the gender effect. The regression coefficient represented by b (0.05) was not significant (p=0.55). Some studies reported the correlations between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being for male and female participants separately. Table 2 presents the mean correlations for female-only, male-only, and mixed-gender samples. Of these 63 samples, 56 were mixed-gender, 4 were female-only, and 3 were male-only samples. The mean correlations were -0.06 for mixed-gender, -0.13 for female-only, and -0.27 for male-only samples.

Participant age. The mean age was available for 58 samples, and the regression coefficient b (0.00) was not significant (p=0.56). The mean sample age was not related to the relationship between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being.

#### **Discussion**

Due to the popularity of SNS and the current concern for users' mental health, the investigation of the relationship of time spent on SNS and psychological well-being is pivotal. Although previous meta-analyses<sup>21–23</sup> have examined the relationship between SNS use and correlates, they aggregated

352 HUANG

various indicators of SNS use, making interpretations difficult. To address this issue, the current meta-analysis estimated the relationship between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being.

Based on Cohen's<sup>29</sup> guidelines, the mean correlation between time spent and psychological well-being was low at r=-0.07. The mean correlation in the present meta-analysis was similar to the estimate of time spent with various loneliness measures (r=0.07) in Song et al.<sup>22</sup> Conversely, the mean correlation derived by the present meta-analysis was lower than the mean correlation between SNS use and self-esteem (r=-0.09) and that between SNS use and loneliness (r=0.17) in Liu and Baumeister.<sup>23</sup> Nevertheless, the mean correlation (r=-0.02) found in Huang<sup>21</sup> was near 0. Differences in the magnitudes of the relationship between SNS use and psychological well-being may be due to the differential inclusion criteria in the meta-analyses.

The relationship between time spent on SNS and life satisfaction has attracted less research attention than that between time spent on SNS and self-esteem, loneliness, or depression. As the indicator of psychological well-being was related to the relationship between time spent and psychological well-being, further research is needed to examine the relationship between SNS and life satisfaction.

Although the mean correlation between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being was weak, the correlations of time spent on SNS with positive and negative indicators varied. More specifically, the correlations for positive indicators were close to 0, whereas those for negative indicators were weak. These findings were consistent with Liu and Baumeister, <sup>23</sup> who found that the mean correlation between SNS use and loneliness was somewhat higher than that between SNS use and self-esteem. Given the evidence that positive and negative indicators of psychological well-being had differential correlations with SNS use, future studies examining the consequences of SNS use should incorporate both positive and negative indicators to better understand the relationship between SNS use and mental health.

Previous meta-analyses rarely examined the effect of gender on the relationship between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being. Although the effect of proportion of women in the sample was not related to the correlation between time spent on SNS and psychological well-being, the mean correlation for studies using male-only participants was moderate. However, the correlation for male-only samples should be interpreted with caution, due to the small number of samples (k=3).

This study has some limitations. First, some moderators were not examined due to insufficient samples. For example, the effect of the self-esteem scale could not be examined, because most studies used the Rosenberg Self-Esteem Scale, <sup>31</sup> and a few studies used other scales. Second, most included studies used student samples. Only a few studies examined the relationship between time spent and psychological well-being for adults. Future research should focus on adults to examine whether this relationship changes over lifetime.

# **Acknowledgment**

This work was funded, in part, by the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of China, Taiwan, under Grant No. MOST 105-2410-H-018-023.

#### **Author Disclosure Statement**

No competing financial interests exist.

#### References

- Boyd DM, Ellison NB. Social network sites: definition, history, and scholarship. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication 2008; 13:210–230.
- Tzavela EC, Mavromati FM. Online social networking in adolescence: associations with development, well-being and internet addictive behavior. International Journal of Child and Adolescent Health 2013; 6:411–420.
- 3. Statista.com. Leading social networks worldwide as of August 2015, ranked by number of active users (in millions). www .statista.com/statistics/272014/global-social-networks-ranked-by-number-of-users (accessed Aug. 12, 2015).
- Globalwebindex.com. Daily time spent on social networks rises to 1.72 hours. www.globalwebindex.net/blog/dailytime-spent-on-social-networks-rises-to-1-72-hours (accessed Aug. 12, 2015).
- Chen JV, Widjaja AE, Yen, DC. Need for affiliation, need for popularity, self-esteem, and the moderating effect of big five personality traits affecting individuals' self-disclosure on Facebook. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction 2015; 31:815–831.
- Hill CL. An investigation of the connections between use of Facebook and the self-esteem/well-being of students with disabilities in the University of Iowa REACH program. Doctoral dissertation, the University of Iowa, 2014.
- 7. Kraut R, Kiesler S, Boneva B, et al. Internet paradox revisited. Journal of Social Issues 2002; 58:49–74.
- Lee G, Lee J, Kwon S. Use of social-networking sites and subjective well-being: a study in South Korea. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking 2011; 14:151–155.
- Lemieux R, Lajoie S, Trainor NE. Affinity-seeking, social loneliness, and social avoidance among Facebook users. Psychological Reports 2013; 112:545–552.
- Schwartz M. The usage of Facebook as it relates to narcissism, self-esteem and loneliness. Doctoral dissertation, Pace University, 2010.
- Burke V. The relationship between Facebook use, gender, emotional attachment, connection strategies, online vs real world relationships, self-esteem and loneliness. Bachelor thesis, Dublin Business School, 2013.
- 12. Borenstein M, Hedges LV, Higgins JPT, et al. (2009) *Introduction to meta-analysis*. New York: Wiley.
- Ward DM. Happiness and life satisfaction predicted by social media use in adults with autism spectrum disorder. Doctoral dissertation, Fielding Gradate University, 2016.
- Goodwin LD, Leech NL. Understanding correlation: factors that affect the size of r. The Journal of Experimental Education 2006; 74:251–266.
- Guo Y, Li Y, Ito N. Exploring the predicted effect of social networking site use on perceived social capital and psychological well-being of Chinese international students in Japan. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking 2014; 17:52–58.
- Skues JL, Williams B, Wise L. The effects of personality traits, self-esteem, loneliness, and narcissism on Facebook use among university students. Computers in Human Behavior 2012; 28:2414–2419.
- Locatelli SM, Kluwe K, Bryant FB. Facebook use and the tendency to ruminate among college students: testing mediational hypotheses. Journal of Educational Computing Research 2012; 46:377–394.

- 18. Steers MN, Wickham RE, Acitelli LK. Seeing everyone else's highlight reels: how Facebook usage is linked to depressive symptoms. Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology 2014; 33:701–731.
- Turner-August SM. The relationship between social networking and self-esteem. Doctoral dissertation, Alliant International University, 2014.
- Tran TB. Rumination and emotional adjustment: the role social networking sites. Doctoral dissertation, the University of Miami, 2012.
- Huang C. (2012). Internet use and psychological wellbeing. In Yan Z, ed. *Encyclopedia of cyber behavior*. Hershey, PA: IGI Global, pp. 301–313.
- 22. Song H, Zmyslinski-Seelig A, Kim J, et al. Does Facebook make you lonely? A meta-analysis. Computers in Human Behavior 2014; 36:446–452.
- 23. Liu D, Baumeister RF. Social networking online and personality of self-worth: a meta-analysis. Journal of Research in Personality 2016; 64:79–89.
- 24. Ellison NB, Steinfield C, Lampe C. The benefits of Facebook "friends:" Social capital and college students' use of online social network sites. Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication 2007; 12:1143–1168.
- Andreassen CS, Torsheim T, Brunborg GS, et al. Development of a Facebook addiction scale. Psychological Reports 2012; 110:501–517.
- Baker DA, Algorta GP. The relationship between online social networking and depression: a systematic review of quantitative studies. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking 2016; 19:638–648.
- Best P, Manktelow R, Taylor B. Online communication, social media and adolescent wellbeing: a systematic narrative review. Children and Youth Services 2014; 41:27–36.
- Rosenthal R. (1994) Parametric measures of effect size. In Cooper H, Hedges LV, eds. *The handbook of research* synthesis. New York: Russell Sage Foundation, pp. 231–244.
- 29. Cohen J. A power primer. Psychological Bulletin 1992; 112:155–159.
- 30. Duval S, Tweedie R. Trim and fill: a simple funnel-plot-based method of testing and adjusting for publication bias in meta-analysis. Biometrics 2000; 56:455–463.
- 31. Rosenberg M. (1965) Society and the adolescent self-image. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- 32. Abarado ML. A study of the relationships between selfesteem, narcissism and social anxiety with Facebook and Internet use among college students. Bachelor thesis, Dublin Business School, 2015.
- Acar A. Antecedents and consequences of online social networking behavior: the case of Facebook. Journal of Website Promotion 2008; 3:62–83.
- 34. Apaolaza V, Hartmann P, Medina E, et al. The relationship between socializing on the Spanish online networking site Tuenti and teenagers' subjective wellbeing: the roles of self-esteem and loneliness. Computers in Human Behavior 2013; 29:1282–1289.
- Arianna P. Online self-presentation: examining the relationship between Facebook use, narcissism, social anxiety, loneliness and self-esteem. Master thesis, Glasgow Caledonian University, 2014.
- 36. Aubrey JS, Chattopadhyay S, Rill LA. (2008) Are Face-book friends like face-to-face friends: investigating relations between the use of social networking websites and social capital. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the

- International Communication Association, TBA, Montreal, Ouebec, Canada.
- Baker LR, Oswald DL. Shyness and online social networking services. Journal of Social and Personal Relationships 2010; 27:873–889.
- 38. Baker ZG, Krieger H, LeRoy AS. Fear of missing out: relationships with depression, mindfulness, and physical symptoms. Translational Issues in Psychological Science 2016; 2:275–282.
- 39. Balci ES, Olkun E. (2015) The relation between loneliness in social life and Facebook usage. In *Proceedings of 16th International Academic Conferences*. Amsterdam: International Institute of Social and Economic Sciences, pp. 84–100.
- Banjanin N, Banjanin N, Dimitrijevic I, et al. Relationship between internet use and depression: focus on physiological mood oscillations, social networking and online addictive behavior. Computers in Human Behavior 2015; 43: 308–312.
- 41. Bevan-Dye AL. Relationship between self-esteem and Facebook usage amongst black Generation Y students. African Journal for Physical, Health Education, Recreation and Dance 2012; S1:33–49.
- 42. Bourke N. Online social networking and well-being in adolescents. Bachelor thesis, Dublin Business School, 2013.
- 43. Brusilovskiy E, Townley G, Snethen G, et al. Social media use, community participation and psychological well-being among individuals with serious mental illnesses. Computers in Human Behavior 2016; 65:232–240.
- 44. Chop AB. Effects of Facebook usage and how it relates to personality, life satisfaction, perceived social support, and depression. Doctoral dissertation, Alliant International University, 2014.
- 45. Cramer EM, Song H, Drent AM. Social comparison on Facebook: motivation, affective consequences, self-esteem, and Facebook fatigue. Computers in Human Behavior 2016; 64:739–746.
- 46. Davila J, Hershenberg R, Feinstein BA, et al. Frequency and quality of social networking among young adults: associations with depressive symptoms, rumination, and corumination. Psychology of Popular Media Culture 2012; 1:72–86.
- 47. Denti L, Barbopuolos I, Nilsson I, et al. (2012) Sweden's largest Facebook study. Gothenburg Research Institute. http://hdl.handle.net/2077/28893 (accessed Oct. 30, 2016).
- 48. Doğan D, Çolak TS. Self-concealment, social network sites usage, social appearance anxiety, loneliness of high school students: a model testing. Journal of Education and Training Studies 2016; 4:176–183.
- 49. Feinstein BA, Bhatia V, Hershenberg R, et al. Another venue for problematic interpersonal behavior: the effects of depressive and anxious symptoms on social networking experience. Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology 2012; 31:356–382.
- 50. Frison E, Subrahmanyam K, Eggermont S. The short-term longitudinal and reciprocal relations between peer victimization on Facebook and adolescents' well-being. Journal of Youth and Adolescence 2016; 45:1755–1771.
- 51. Giota KG, Kleftaras G. The role of personality and depression in problematic use of social networking sites in Greece. Cyberpsychology 2013; 7. DOI: 10.5817/CP201336.
- 52. Glynn CJ, Huge ME, Hoffman LH. All the news that's fit to post: a profile of news use on social networking sites. Computers in Human Behavior 2012; 28:113–119.

354 HUANG

- 53. Hong FY, Huang DH, Lin HY, et al. Analysis of the psychological traits, Facebook usage, and Facebook addiction model of Taiwanese university students. Telematics and Informatics 2014; 31:597–606.
- 54. Jin B. How lonely people use and perceive Facebook. Computers in Human Behavior 2013; 29:2463–2470.
- 55. Kalpidou M, Costin D, Morris J. The relationship between Facebook and the well-being of undergraduate college students. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking 2011; 14:183–189.
- 56. Košir K, Horvat M, Aram U, et al. Does being on Facebook make me (feel) accepted in the classroom? The relationships between early adolescents' Facebook usage, classroom peer acceptance and self-concept. Computers in Human Behavior 2016; 62:375–384.
- 57. Krishnan A. Individual differences in users of online networking sites: the interplay between personality traits, communication and social motives, attitudes and level of activity. Doctoral dissertation, University of Connecticut, 2011.
- 58. Labrague JL. Facebook use and adolescents' emotional states of depression, anxiety, and stress. Health Science Journal 2014; 8:80–89.
- 59. Mersin S, Acılar A. Exploring the relationship between Facebook and self-esteem among Turkish university students. International Journal of Cyber Behavior, Psychology and Learning 2015; 5:62–72.
- Michikyan M, Dennis J, Subrahmanyam K. Can you guess who I am? Real, ideal, and false self-presentation on Facbook among emerging adults. Study of Emerging Adulthood 2015; 3:55–64.
- 61. Moorman J. How Facebook gave me 'friends': the impact of Facebook importance on engagement and psychological well-being. Master thesis, Carleton University, 2012.
- Morin-Major JK, Marin M-F, Durand N, et al. Facebook behaviors associated with diurnal cortisol in adolescents: is befriending stressful? Psychoneuroendocrinology 2016; 63:238–246.
- 63. Pantic I, Damjanovic A, Todorovic J, et al. Association between online social networking and depression in high school students: behavior physiology viewpoint. Psychiatria Danubina 2012; 24:90–93.
- 64. Petrocchi N, Asnaani A, Martinez AP, et al. Differences between people who use only Facebook and those who use Facebook plus Twitter. International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction 2015; 15:157–165.
- 65. Ryan T, Xenos S. Who uses Facebook? An investigation into the relationship between the big five, shyness, narcissism, loneliness, and Facebook usage. Computers in Human Behavior 2011; 27:1658–1664.
- Schufreider MM. Relationship of Facebook usage and Facebook belongingness to emerging adulthood's self-esteem and social identity. Master thesis, Northern Illinois University, 2015.
- 67. Seto E. Associations between self-reported narcissism, self-esteem, and social-emotional functions of Facebook. Bachelor thesis, Baylor University, 2012.

68. Shaw AM, Timpano KR, Tran TB, et al. Correlates of Facebook usage patterns: the relationship between passive Facebook use, social anxiety symptoms, and brooding. Computers in Human Behavior 2015; 48:575–580.

- Simoncic TE, Kuhlman KR, Vargas I, et al. Facebook use and depressive symptomatology: investigating the role of neuroticism and extraversion in youth. Computers in Human Behavior 2014; 40:1–5.
- Tandoc EC, Ferrucci P, Duffy M. Facebook use, envy, and depression among college students: is Facebooking depressing? Computers in Human Behavior 2015; 43:139–146.
- 71. Tang JCM, Livingston MG. (2012) Correlation between Facebook usage and loneliness and depression. Poster presented at the Hong Kong psychological society annual conference, Hong Kong.
- 72. Teppers E, Luyckx K, Klimstra TA, et al. Loneliness and Facebook motives in adolescence: a longitudinal inquiry into directionality of effect. Journal of Adolescence 2014; 37:691–699.
- Toledo SM. A measurement of self-esteem and social comparison among Facebook users. Master thesis, University of Pacific, 2015.
- Tucker JR. It's complicated: the role of Facebook in romantic relationships concerning relational certainty, attachment, and self-esteem. Master thesis, Portland State University, 2014.
- Wilson K, Fornasier S, White KM. Psychological predictors of young adults' use of social networking sites. Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking 2010; 13:173–177.
- Wohn DY, LaRose R. Effects of loneliness and differential usage of Facebook on college adjustment of first-year students. Computers & Education 2014; 76:158–167.
- Wright KB, Rosenberg J, Egbert N, et al. Communication competence, social support, and depression among college students: a model of Facebook and face-to-face support network influence. Journal of Health Communication 2013; 18:41–57.
- Yu S, Wu AMS, Pesigan IJA. Cognitive and psychosocial health risk factors of social networking addiction. International Journal of Mental Health and Addiction 2016; 14: 550–564.
- Zabawska M. Like it or not. The relationship between personality traits, narcissism, self-esteem, self-monitoring and Facebook usage. Bachelor thesis, Dublin Business School, 2013.

E-mail: chiung@cc.ncue.edu.tw