The complete FY 2018 State Profiles comprise individual state-specific documents along with four other accompanying documents. The Executive Summary details the current state of sex education across the country, highlighting trends observed over the past few decades. Additionally, it is critical to examine the information from each state within the larger context of the laws and federal funding streams across the country. Please reference the following documents to inform and contextualize broader sex education trends:

- Executive Summary
- <u>Federal Funding Overview</u> compared to <u>Texas's federal funding</u>
- Sex/Sexuality and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and other sexually transmitted infections
  (STIs) Education Laws by State compared to Texas's education laws
- Descriptions of Curricula and Programs across the United States.

# **TEXAS**

For the last 15 years, SIECUS has released the SIECUS State Profiles to provide an overview of federally funded adolescent sexual health promotion and abstinence-only-until-marriage (AOUM) programs in the United States. Indeed, the SIECUS State Profiles' annual reporting provide invaluable insight into 15 years' worth of data documenting how funds for these programs are used and implemented in every state. Prior to this year, SIECUS has worked with every federal administration – Democrat and Republican – to collect and report this data.

Unfortunately, the 2018 SIECUS State Profiles do not include this standard level of information that readers have come to expect. The Trump administration has repeatedly ignored requests for information detailing federal funds issued through the Department of Health and Human Services' (HHS) Office of Adolescent Health (OAH) and Federal Youth Service Bureau (FYSB). In fact, the 2018 SIECUS State Profiles were delayed in publication because SIECUS staff engaged in repeated, but ultimately futile, good-faith efforts to work with these agencies under the Trump administration to obtain this expected data.

The lack of information provided in this year's State Profiles is just one more example of the Trump administration's lack of transparency, willingness to ignore evidence and research, and disregard for Congressional intent of Obama-era federal programs—particularly those designed to ensure the sexual health and well-being of young people. In place of the data that readers have come to expect, this report will instead highlight some of the adverse, and potentially unlawful, actions that these agencies under the Trump administration have taken to subvert the commitment to comprehensive sexual health information that this country has come to expect.

While severely disappointing, this omission of information reinforces the need to broadcast this well-documented truth: AOUM programs (now being called "Sexual Risk Avoidance") are ineffective.<sup>1</sup>

Furthermore, despite the best efforts of agencies under the Trump administration to obscure their illegitimate intent to misuse public funding for sex education, SIECUS will continue to hold this

administration accountable, to seek full transparency in reporting; push Congress to assert its oversight authority; and ensure that policymakers and the public continue to receive accurate, up-to-date information needed to inform appropriate and effective use of public resources to advance the health and well-being of our nation's youth.

#### SEXUALITY EDUCATION LAW AND POLICY

#### STATE LAW

Neither sexuality education nor education on human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and other sexually transmitted infections (STIs) are statutorily mandated in Texas. However, Texas Education Code §7.102(c)(11) requires the State Board of Education to "adopt rules to carry out the curriculum required or authorized under §28.002," which includes "health." This means all school districts must adhere to the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills for Health Education standards. Accordingly, Texas Education Code §§ 28.004, Texas State Board of Education Administrative Code §§ 115.22, 115.23, 115.32, and 115.33 require that all "course materials and instruction relating to human sexuality" must:

- 1. Present abstinence from sexual activity as the preferred choice of behavior in relationship to all sexual activity for unmarried persons of school age;
- 2. Devote more attention to abstinence from sexual activity than to any other behavior;
- 3. Emphasize that abstinence from sexual activity, if used consistently and correctly, is the only method that is 100% effective in preventing pregnancy, sexually transmitted diseases (STDs), infection with HIV or acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS), and the emotional trauma associated with adolescent sexual activity;
- 4. Direct adolescents to a standard of behavior in which abstinence from sexual activity before marriage is the most effective way to prevent pregnancy, STDs, and infection with HIV or AIDS; and
- 5. Teach contraception and condom use in terms of human-use reality rates instead of theoretical laboratory rates, if instruction on contraception and condoms is included in curriculum content.<sup>3</sup>

School districts may not distribute condoms and are allowed to "separate students according to sex for instructional purposes." Each school district must also have a local health advisory council established by the school district's board of trustees. The council must make recommendations to the school district about changes in that district's curriculum and "appropriate grade levels and methods of instruction for human sexuality instruction." This council also must "assist the district in ensuring that local community values are reflected in the district's health education instruction."

Parents or guardians may remove their children from any part of sexuality education instruction if it conflicts with their "religious or moral beliefs" by submitting a written request to the teacher. This is referred to as an "opt-out" policy.

#### STATE STANDARDS

The <u>Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills for Health Education</u> provides standards for what the health curriculum should look like if provided. These standards include teaching students to "analyze the effectiveness and ineffectiveness of barrier protection and other contraceptive methods," "analyze the importance of abstinence from sexual activity," "summarize the facts related to HIV infection and [STDs]," and to understand "the emotional trauma associated with adolescent sexual activity."

#### STATE LEGISLATIVE SESSION ACTIVITY

SIECUS tracks all state legislative session activity in our state legislative reports. For more information on bills related to school-based sex education that were introduced or passed by May 31, 2018, please see the most recent analysis of state legislative activity, <u>SIECUS' 2018 Sex Ed State Legislative Mid-Year Report</u>.

#### YOUTH SEXUAL HEALTH DATA

Young people are more than their health behaviors and outcomes. For those working to support the sexual health and well-being of young people, it is important to utilize available data in a manner that tracks our progress and pushes policies forward while respecting and supporting the dignity of all young lives.

While data can be a powerful tool to demonstrate the sex education and sexual health care needs of young people, it is important to be mindful that these behaviors and outcomes are impacted by systemic inequities present in our society that affect an individual's sexual health and well-being. That is, the context in which a young person's health behavior and decision-making happens is not reflected in individual data points. Notably, one example demonstrating such inequities are the limitations as to how and what data are currently collected; please be mindful of populations who may not be included in surveys or who may be misrepresented by the data. The data categories and any associated language are taken directly from the respective surveys and are not a representation of SIECUS' positions or values. For more information regarding SIECUS' use of data, please read the FY 2018 Executive Summary, <u>A Portrait of Sex Education in the States</u>.

# TEXAS TEEN PREGNANCY, HUMAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS (HIV)/ACQUIRED IMMUNODEFICIENCY SYNDROME (AIDS), AND OTHER SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASE (STD) DATA

The following data from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and the Guttmacher Institute represent the most recent, uniform, state-specific statistics documenting teen pregnancy, birth, abortion, HIV/AIDS, and other STDs. <sup>10</sup> While certain individual states may have more recent teen pregnancy or abortion data available, the data provided here represent cohesive information available for states across the nation. For those supporting the sexual health and well-being of young people, it is important to use the data to advance their access to comprehensive education, resources, and services. However, the data are not intended to be used in a manner that is stigmatizing or shaming: Young people have the right to make informed decisions about their health and well-being, but this right must be accompanied by the ability to access and understand all available choices. Therefore, the following data should be used to advance a young person's right to make informed decisions about their body and health.

#### Teen Pregnancy, Birth, and Abortion

- o In 2013, Texas had the 3rd highest reported teen pregnancy rate<sup>11</sup> in the United States, with a rate of 58 pregnancies per 1,000 young women ages 15–19, compared to the national rate of 43 per 1,000.<sup>12</sup> There were a total of 53,150 pregnancies among young women ages 15–19 reported in Texas in 2013.<sup>13</sup>
- o In 2016, Texas had the 4th highest reported teen birth rate in the United States, with a rate of 31 births per 1,000 young women ages 15–19, compared to the national rate of 22.3 per 1,000.<sup>14</sup> There were a total of 29,765 live births to young women ages 15–19 reported in Texas in 2016.<sup>15</sup>

o In 2013, Texas had the 24th highest reported teen abortion rate<sup>16</sup> in the United States, with a rate of 8 abortions per 1,000 young women ages 15–19, compared to the national rate of 11 per 1,000.<sup>17</sup> There were a total of 7,380 abortions among young women ages 15–19 reported in Texas in 2013.<sup>18</sup>

#### HIV and AIDS

- In 2016, the reported rate of diagnoses of HIV infection among adolescents ages 13–19 in Texas was 8.0 per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 5.7 per 100,000. 19
- In 2016, the reported rate of AIDS diagnoses among adolescents ages 13–19 in Texas was 1.0 per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 0.8 per 100,000.<sup>20</sup>
- In 2016, the reported rate of diagnoses of HIV infection among young adults ages 20–24 in Texas was 40.1 per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 30.2 per 100,000.<sup>21</sup>
- In 2016, the reported rate of AIDS diagnoses among young adults ages 20–24 in Texas was 8.5 per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 5.6 per 100,000.<sup>22</sup>

#### **STDs**

- In 2016, Texas had the 21st highest rate of reported cases of chlamydia among young people ages 15–19 in the United States, with an infection rate of 1,983.3 cases per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 1,929.2 per 100,000. In 2016, there were a total of 38,482 cases of chlamydia among young people ages 15–19 reported in Texas.<sup>23</sup>
- In 2016, Texas had the 15th highest rate of reported cases of gonorrhea among young people ages 15–19 in the United States, with an infection rate of 450.5 cases per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 379.8 per 100,000. In 2016, there were a total of 8,742 cases of gonorrhea among young people ages 15–19 reported in Texas.<sup>24</sup>
- In 2016, Texas had the 17th highest rate of reported cases of primary and secondary syphilis among young people ages 15–19 in the United States, with an infection rate of 5.5 cases per 100,000, compared to the national rate of 6.1 per 100,000. In 2016, there were a total of 106 cases of syphilis reported among young people ages 15–19 in Texas.<sup>25</sup>

Visit OAH's <u>Texas Adolescent Health Facts</u> for additional information.

# TEXAS YOUTH RISK BEHAVIOR SURVEY (YRBS) DATA<sup>26</sup>

The following sexual health behavior and outcome data represent some of the most recent information available on the health of young people who attend high schools in Texas. Though not perfect—for instance, using broad race and ethnicity categories can often distort and aggregate the experiences of a diverse group of respondents—the Youth Risk Behavior Survey (YRBS) is a critical resource for understanding the health behaviors of young people when used carefully and with an awareness of its limitations. Any missing data points indicate either a lack of enough respondents for a subcategory or the state's decision not to administer a question on the survey. SIECUS commends the CDC for conducting decades' worth of field studies to improve the accuracy and relevancy of the YRBS. Like the CDC, SIECUS underlines that "school and community interventions should focus not only on behaviors but also on the determinants of those behaviors."<sup>27</sup>

#### Reported ever having had sexual intercourse

- In 2017, 38.3% of female high school students and 40.3% of male high school students in Texas reported ever having had sexual intercourse, compared to 37.7% of female high school students and 41.4% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 48.5% of lesbian, gay, or bisexual (LGB) high school students, 30.9% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 38.5% of heterosexual high school students in Texas reported ever having had sexual intercourse, compared to 48.4% of LGB high school students, 28.4% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 39.1% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 39.6% of black high school students, 41.1% of Hispanic high school students, and 38.9% of white high school students in Texas reported ever having had sexual intercourse, compared to 45.8% of black high school students, 41.1% of Hispanic high school students, and 38.6% of white high school students nationwide.

#### Reported having had sexual intercourse before age 13

- In 2017, 1.5% of female high school students and 5.1% of male high school students in Texas reported having had sexual intercourse before age 13, compared to 2% of female high school students and 4.8% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 6.1% of LGB high school students, 1.9% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 2.9% of heterosexual high school students in Texas reported having had sexual intercourse before age 13, compared to 6.1% of LGB high school students, 4.1% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 3% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 3.5% of black high school students, 3.6% of Hispanic high school students, and 2.1% of white high school students in Texas reported having had sexual intercourse before age 13, compared to 7.5% of black high school students, 4% of Hispanic high school students, and 2.1% of white high school students nationwide.

# Reported being currently sexually active

- In 2017, 26.9% of female high school students and 28.2% of male high school students in Texas reported being currently sexually active, compared to 28.8% of female high school students and 28.6% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 27.1% of LGB high school students, 18.3% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 28% of heterosexual high school students in Texas reported being currently sexually active, compared to 33.7% of LGB high school students, 19.8% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 28.5% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 30.4% of black high school students, 27.8% of Hispanic high school students, and 28.2% of white high school students in Texas reported being currently sexually active,

compared to 31.3% of black high school students, 29.2% of Hispanic high school students, and 28.8% of white high school students nationwide.

# Reported not using a condom during last sexual intercourse

- In 2017, 57.4% of female high school students and 47.4% of male high school students in Texas reported not using a condom during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 53.1% of female high school students and 38.7% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 65.3% of LGB high school students and 50.5% of heterosexual high school students in Texas reported not using a condom during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 60.1% of LGB high school students and 43.9% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 55.5% of Hispanic high school students and 53.3% of white high school students in Texas reported not using a condom during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 45.1% of Hispanic high school students and 45.9% of white high school students nationwide.

# Reported not using any method to prevent pregnancy during last sexual intercourse

- In 2017, 24.5% of female high school students and 21.7% of male high school students in Texas reported not using any method to prevent pregnancy during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 16.7% of female high school students and 10.5% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 37.9% of LGB high school students and 21.5% of heterosexual high school students in Texas reported not using any method to prevent pregnancy during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 27.4% of LGB high school students, 25% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 11.5% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 27.7% of Hispanic high school students and 20.4% of white high school students in Texas reported not using any method to prevent pregnancy during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 19% of Hispanic high school students and 10% of white high school students nationwide.

# Reported having had alcohol or used drugs during last sexual intercourse<sup>28</sup>

- In 2017, 18.2% of female high school students and 20% of male high school students in Texas reported having had alcohol or used drugs during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 15.9% of female high school students and 21.6% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 18.1% of LGB high school students and 18.5% of heterosexual high school students in Texas reported having had alcohol or used drugs during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 20.3% of LGB high school students and 18% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.

• In 2017, 18.2% of Hispanic high school students and 18.5% of white high school students in Texas reported having had alcohol or used drugs during their last sexual intercourse, compared to 17.7% of Hispanic high school students and 18.7% of white high school students nationwide.

# Reported never having been tested for HIV

- In 2017, 85.9% of female high school students and 87.2% of male high school students in Texas reported never having been tested for HIV, compared to 89.5% of female high school students and 91.9% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 80.8% of LGB high school students, 81.8% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 87.5% of heterosexual high school students in Texas reported never having been tested for HIV, compared to 86% of LGB high school students, 92.6% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 90.9% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 78.3% of black high school students, 86% of Hispanic high school students, and 90.4% of white high school students in Texas reported never having been tested for HIV, compared to 84.8% of black high school students, 91.1% of Hispanic high school students, and 92.1% of white high school students nationwide.

# Reported having been physically forced to have sexual intercourse

- In 2017, 14% of female high school students and 6.8% of male high school students in Texas reported having been physically forced to have sexual intercourse, compared to 11.3% of female high school students and 3.5% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 16.2% of LGB high school students, 10.9% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 9.3% of heterosexual high school students in Texas reported having been physically forced to have sexual intercourse, compared to 21.9% of LGB high school students, 13.1% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 5.4% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 12.7% of black high school students, 9.8% of Hispanic high school students, and 10.9% of white high school students in Texas reported having been physically forced to have sexual intercourse, compared to 7.6% of black high school students, 7.3% of Hispanic high school students, and 7.3% of white high school students nationwide.

# Reported experiencing sexual dating violence

- In 2017, 8.8% of female high school students and 3.1% of male high school students in Texas reported experiencing sexual dating violence in the prior year, compared to 10.7% of female high school students and 2.8% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 8.4% of LGB high school students, 18.7% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 4.9% of heterosexual high school students in Texas reported experiencing sexual dating violence in the prior year, compared to 15.8% of LGB high school students, 14.1% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 5.5% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.

• In 2017, 5.9% of Hispanic high school students and 6.2% of white high school students in Texas reported experiencing sexual dating violence in the prior year, compared to 6.9% of Hispanic high school students and 6.9% of white high school students nationwide.

### Reported experiencing physical dating violence

- In 2017, 7.6% of female high school students and 6.1% of male high school students in Texas reported experiencing physical dating violence in the prior year, compared to 9.1% of female high school students and 6.5% of male high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 10.3% of LGB high school students, 17% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 5.9% of heterosexual high school students in Texas reported experiencing physical dating violence in the prior year, compared to 17.2% of LGB high school students, 14.1% of high school students who were unsure of their sexual orientation, and 6.4% of heterosexual high school students nationwide.
- In 2017, 7.7% of Hispanic high school students and 6.8% of white high school students in Texas reported experiencing physical dating violence in the prior year, compared to 7.6% of Hispanic high school students and 7% of white high school students nationwide.

Visit the CDC's Youth Online database for additional information on sexual behaviors.

# TEXAS SCHOOL HEALTH PROFILES DATA<sup>29</sup>

In 2017, the CDC released the School Health Profiles, which measure school health policies and practices and highlight which health topics were taught in schools across the country. Since the data were collected from self-administered questionnaires completed by schools' principals and lead health education teachers, the CDC notes that one limitation of the School Health Profiles is bias toward the reporting of more positive policies and practices.<sup>30</sup> In the School Health Profiles, the CDC identifies 19 sexual education topics that it believes are critical to a young person's sexual health. Texas did not report information as to instruction on the 19 sexual education topics in secondary schools for the 2015–2016 school year.

Visit the CDC's <u>School Health Profiles</u> report for additional information on school health policies and practices.

#### 19 CRITICAL SEXUAL EDUCATION TOPICS IDENTIFIED BY THE CDC

- 1) Communication and negotiation skills
- 2) Goal-setting and decision-making skills
- 3) How to create and sustain healthy and respectful relationships
- 4) Influences of family, peers, media, technology, and other factors on sexual risk behavior
- 5) Preventive care that is necessary to maintain reproductive and sexual health
- 6) Influencing and supporting others to avoid or reduce sexual risk behaviors
- 7) Benefits of being sexually abstinent
- 8) Efficacy of condoms
- 9) Importance of using condoms consistently and correctly
- 10) Importance of using a condom at the same time as another form of contraception to prevent both STDs and pregnancy
- 11) How to obtain condoms
- 12) How to correctly use a condom
- 13) Methods of contraception other than condoms
- 14) How to access valid and reliable information, products, and services related to HIV, STDs, and pregnancy
- 15) How HIV and other STDs are transmitted
- 16) Health consequences of HIV, other STDs, and pregnancy
- 17) Importance of limiting the number of sexual partners
- 18) Sexual orientation
- 19) Gender roles, gender identity, or gender expression.

Source: School Health Profiles, 2016

# FEDERAL FUNDING FOR SEX EDUCATION, UNINTENDED TEEN PREGNANCY, HUMAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS (HIV) AND OTHER SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED DISEASE (STD) PREVENTION, AND ABSTINENCE-ONLY-UNTIL-MARRIAGE (AOUM) PROGRAMS

Congress provides funding for evidence-based and innovative approaches to sex education through the CDC, OAH, and FYSB. These programs support the implementation of comprehensive sexuality education components and prioritize prevention of unintended pregnancy, HIV, and other STIs among young people. The following is an overview of the federal programs and funding awarded to this state. Throughout this section, all programs are identified as they appear in official, federal documentation. However, SIECUS believes that AOUM, or so-called "Sexual Risk Avoidance," programs are not to be identified as "educational." These programs' practice of withholding information from young people is not education but is, rather, the absence of education.

### FEDERAL FUNDING IN TEXAS

I EDERALI GRADING IN TEXAS				
Grantee	FY17 Award	FY18 Award		
Division of Adolescent and School Health (DASH)				
Houston Independent School District	\$378,750	\$360,000		
Fort Worth Independent School District	\$378,750	\$391,543		
Texas Department of State Health Services	\$64,955	\$100,000		
TOTAL	\$822,455	\$851,543		
Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program (TPPP)				
TPPP Tier 1A				

The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio		\$750,000	N/A*
The Chireling of Texas Health belief Center at bail Millonio	TOTAL	\$750,000	N/A*
TPPP Tie		Ψ130,000	-1,12
Community Action Corporation of South Texas		\$749,999	N/A*
The Dallas Foundation		\$987,500	N/A*
Project Vida Health Center		\$796,297	N/A*
The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio		\$2,000,000	N/A*
	TOTAL	\$4,533,796	N/A*
TPPP Tie	er 2A	•	
The Texas A&M University Health Science Center		\$1,500,000	N/A
	TOTAL	\$1,500,000	N/A
TPPP Tie	er 2B		
Healthy Futures of Texas		\$869,902	N/A
	TOTAL	\$869,902	N/A
TPPP Tie	er 2C		
Promundo		\$500,000	N/A
	TOTAL	\$500,000	N/A
TPPP T	ier 2		
University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio		N/A	\$375,000
	TOTAL	N/A	\$375,000
Personal Responsibility Edu	cation Program	(PREP)	
Competitive Personal Responsibility	y Education Prog	ram (CPREP)	
Ambassadors for Christ Youth Ministries, Inc.		\$668,764	Data withheld
Center for Success and Independence, Inc.		\$642,296	Data withheld
Change Happens		\$668,764	Data withheld
Future Leaders Outreach Network		\$667,687	Data withheld
Healthy Futures of Texas		\$668,764	Data withheld
Seasons of Change, Inc.		\$467,796	Data withheld
Texas A&M University		\$250,001	Data withheld
	TOTAL	\$4,034,072	Data withheld
Personal Responsibility Education	Innovative Strate	gies (PREIS)	
Bee Busy Learning Academy, Inc.		\$852,022	Data withheld
	TOTAL	\$852,022	Data withheld
Title V Sexual Risk Avoidance Edu	cation Program	(Title V SRAE)	
Texas Department of Health and Human Services (federal gran	t)	\$7,448,450	\$6,537,312
	TOTAL	\$7,448,450	\$6,537,312
Sexual Risk Avoidance Educati	ion Grant Progra	am (SRAE)	_
BCFS Health and Human Services		\$548,103	\$442,019

Ambassadors for Christ Youth Ministries, Inc.		\$548,103	\$442,019
	TOTAL	\$1,096,206	\$884,038
	GRAND TOTAL	\$22,406,903	\$8,647,893

<sup>\*</sup> See Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program section.

# DIVISION OF ADOLESCENT AND SCHOOL HEALTH (DASH)

The CDC's school-based HIV prevention efforts include funding and technical assistance to state and local education agencies through several funding streams to better student health, implement HIV/STD prevention programs, collect and report data on young people's risk behaviors, and expand capacity-building partnerships. In FY 2018, through the CDC's Division of Adolescent and School Health (DASH), 28 school districts received funding to help the districts and schools strengthen student health through sexual health education (SHE) that emphasizes HIV and other STD prevention, increases access to key sexual health services (SHS), and establishes safe and supportive environments (SSEs) for students and staff. DASH funded six national, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) to help state and local education agencies achieve these goals.

• In FY 2018, there were two DASH grantees in Texas funded to strengthen student health through SHE, SHS, and SSEs (1807 Component 2): The Houston Independent School District (\$300,000) and the Fort Worth Independent School District (\$337,993).

DASH also provides funding for state, territorial, local, and tribal education agencies and state health agencies to establish and strengthen systematic procedures to collect and report YRBS and School Health Profiles data for policy and program improvements.

• In FY 2018, there were three DASH grantees in Texas funded to collect and report YRBS and School Health Profiles data (1807 Component 1): Houston Independent School District (\$60,000), Fort Worth Independent School District (\$53,550), and the Texas Department of State Health Services (\$100,000).

#### TEEN PREGNANCY PREVENTION PROGRAM (TPPP)

OAH, within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), administers the Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program (TPPP), which, according to FY 2018 appropriations language, funds evidence-based (Tier 1) or innovative evidence-informed (Tier 2), medically accurate, and age-appropriate programs to reduce teen pregnancy. In FY 2018, total funding for TPPP was \$101 million. OAH also provides program support, implementation evaluation, and technical assistance to grantees and receives an additional \$6.8 million in funding for evaluation purposes. For detailed information on the current status of TPPP funding, please refer to the explanation below.

<u>Tier 1</u>: Replicating programs – evidence-based,<sup>31</sup> medically accurate, and age-appropriate programs to reduce teen pregnancy.

• OAH, under the Trump administration, has refused to fund TPPP Tier 1 grantees in accordance with the law.

<u>Tier 2</u>: New and innovative strategies – evidence-informed, medically accurate, and age-appropriate programs to reduce teen pregnancy.

• In FY 2018, there was one TPPP Tier 2 grantee in Texas: University of Texas Health Science Center San Antonio (\$375,000).<sup>32</sup>

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS HEALTH SCIENCE CENTER SAN ANTONIO, \$375,000 (FY 2018)

The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio will deliver the Need 2 Know (N2K) Foster Care Curriculum to youth ages 10-15 in the Bexar County foster care system, as well as to caregivers. In 10 interactive modules, N2K will address unhealthy relationships, dating violence, and risky sexual behavior. The curriculum enhances knowledge of physical development by providing medically accurate information about puberty, anatomy, and pregnancy, and emphasizes the role caregivers play in attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors. The 90-minute caregiver component, Parents Need 2 Know (PN2K), teaches caregivers about adolescent brain development, developmental assets, and communication skills. A summative evaluation will test the effectiveness of the N2K Foster Care Curriculum.<sup>33</sup>

#### Trump Administration Attempts to Undermine Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program

The Trump administration has subjected the Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program (TPPP) to a wide variety of unlawful attacks, attempting to transform the program into an additional funding stream for abstinence-only-until-marriage (AOUM) (now being called "Sexual Risk Avoidance") programs. Attacks to TPPP have largely been led by Trump-appointed ideologues who are known to be leading opponents of comprehensive sexuality education, despite objections of career staff at HHS.

Since taking office, the Trump administration has called for the elimination of TPPP through the president's initial budget request, attempted to illegally shorten TPPP grant periods, and violated Congressional intent in attempts to shift programmatic guidelines—all in an effort to prioritize their abstinence-only ideology over evidence of what works best to ensure the sexual health and well-being of young people.

In June and July 2017, all 84 TPPP grantees were notified, without cause or explanation, that their five-year project periods would be shortened to three. Four legal challenges were filed against the Trump administration in response to the early termination of the TPPP grants. The courts ruled in favor of the plaintiffs, stating that the Trump administration's action was unlawful.

In April 2018, the Office of Adolescent Health (OAH) released new funding opportunity announcements (FOAs) for TPPP Tier 1 (Replicating Programs) and Tier 2 (New and Innovative Strategies). The new FOAs represented a significant shift from funding evidence-based programs with a focus on evaluation toward the prioritization of abstinence-only ideology. Like the unlawful grant termination, the Tier 1 FOA was also challenged in court and ruled illegal for violating Congressional intent. The Tier 2 FOA, however, was not vacated by the courts, and SIECUS was able to obtain FY 2018 data for the Tier 2 grantees.

Fortunately, the Trump administration's unlawful efforts to subvert TPPP funding have been consistently constrained by federal courts. However, HHS recently announced a list of grantees that, they claim, would have been awarded a total of \$19.4 million in FY 2018 TPPP Tier 1 funding – had the courts not determined it was an illegal attempt to subvert the will of Congress. The same announcement also attempted to blame the plaintiffs who sued the administration over its act of subterfuge. Furthermore, SIECUS' attempts to identify how the missing \$19.4 million in designated TPPP Tier 1 funds have been reallocated or otherwise used have been blocked by the Trump administration. Currently, Congress is reasserting its oversight authority over the program, particularly since any use of these funds beyond what TPPP requires would be unlawful. Because information regarding the Tier 1 funds are being withheld, this year's *State Profiles* only contain Tier 2 data.

# PERSONAL RESPONSIBILITY EDUCATION PROGRAM (PREP)

FYSB, within the Administration for Children and Families (ACF) division of HHS, administers the Personal Responsibility Education Program (PREP), which was re-authorized for a total of \$75 million in FY 2018 and FY 2019. PREP funds a state-grant program, the Personal Responsibility Education Innovative Strategies (PREIS) program, which supports research and demonstration projects that implement innovative strategies for preventing pregnancy; and the Tribal Personal Responsibility Education Program (Tribal PREP), which funds tribes and tribal organizations. In addition, a provision within the PREP statute, called the Competitive Personal Responsibility Education Program (CPREP), enables community- and faith-based organizations within states and territories that do not directly seek PREP state grants to apply for funding through a competitive application process.

Similar to other programs highlighted in the State Profiles, the grants for the various PREP programs are awarded throughout the year, with several awarded in the final month of the fiscal year for use and implementation throughout the following year. SIECUS reports on funding amounts appropriated in FY 2018 and any programmatic activities that occurred during FY 2018 (October 1, 2017–September 30, 2018). It is important to remember, however, that reported programmatic activities for this period may have utilized FY 2017 funds. Details on the state grants, PREIS, Tribal PREP, and CPREP are included below. Please see below for detailed information on the PREP grant data withheld by FYSB.

#### PREP Program at Risk of Misuse

While PREP has not faced the same scrutiny as TPPP, the Trump administration is suspected of attempting to transform this evidence-based program into an additional funding stream for abstinence-only programs.

PREP grantee funding data from FYSB are public and have been successfully acquired by SIECUS for the past 15 years—working with both Republican and Democrat administrations—prior to the Trump administration. Yet, for the first time ever, FYSB has ignored SIECUS' many requests for grantee funding data this year.

With a five-year reauthorization of PREP slated for early 2019, SIECUS remains highly concerned that the Trump administration, and its ideologically-driven political appointees, will pursue another opportunity to eliminate evidence-based programming in order to further increase abstinence-only funding.

#### Personal Responsibility Education Program (PREP) State-Grant Program

The PREP state-grant program supports evidence-based programs that provide young people with medically accurate and age-appropriate information for the prevention of unintended pregnancy, HIV, and other STDs. Funded programs must discuss abstinence and contraception and place substantial emphasis on both. Programs must also address at least three of the following adulthood preparation subjects: healthy relationships, positive adolescent development, financial literacy, parent-child communication skills, education and employment skills, and healthy life skills. PREP programs target young people who are experiencing homelessness, are in foster care, are living in rural areas or areas with high rates of adolescent births, and are from minority groups.

# Personal Responsibility Education Innovative Strategies (PREIS)

PREIS funds local entities through a competitive grant program to support research and demonstration programs to develop, replicate, refine, and test innovative models for preventing unintended teen pregnancy, HIV, and other STDs among young people ages 10-19.

#### Tribal Personal Responsibility Education Program (Tribal PREP)

Tribal PREP supports the development and implementation of pregnancy-, HIV-, and other STD-prevention programs among native young people within tribes and tribal communities. Tribal PREP programs are designed to honor tribal needs, traditions, and cultures.

#### Competitive Personal Responsibility Education Program (CPREP)

CPREP grants support evidence-based programs that provide young people with medically accurate and age-appropriate information for the prevention of unintended pregnancy, HIV, and other STDs. Only organizations and institutions in states and territories that did not apply for PREP state grants are eligible to submit competitive applications for CPREP grants.

#### TITLE V SEXUAL RISK AVOIDANCE EDUCATION GRANT PROGRAM

The Title V Sexual Risk Avoidance Education Grant program ("Title V SRAE"), previously called the Title V AOUM program,<sup>34</sup> is administered by FYSB, within ACF of HHS, and was authorized at \$75 million for FY 2018. This state-based program must exclusively promote that "the unambiguous and primary emphasis and context" for each topic required to be taught in the new A–F definition<sup>35</sup> of "education on sexual risk avoidance" is a "message to youth that normalizes the optimal health behavior of avoiding nonmarital sexual activity." While grantees were required from FYs 1998–2017 to provide three state-raised dollars, or the equivalent in services, for every four federal dollars received, the state-match provision is no longer required. In FY 2018, FYSB withheld detailed information about Title V SRAE grantees and provided only the dollar amount awarded to each state.

Unlike TPPP and PREP, the Title V SRAE grant program was always intended to promote failed<sup>36</sup> abstinence-only programs, or so-called "Sexual Risk Avoidance" programs, rather than evidence-based sex education. However, what began as a tiny sliver of the federal budget has been funded at exponentially higher levels every year. As evidence-based programs like TPPP face continued threats of elimination, SRAE has seen a seven-fold increase in funding since its inception in 2012 (when it was known as the Competitive Abstinence Education program). The Trump administration claims that the government does not have funds to spend on adolescent sexual health. However, the numbers prove the baselessness of this claim: To date, more than \$2.2 *billion* have been wasted on failed AOUM programs like Title V SRAE.

• In FY 2018, the Texas Department of Health and Human Services received \$6,537,312 in federal Title V SRAE funding.<sup>37</sup>

#### SEXUAL RISK AVOIDANCE EDUCATION (SRAE) PROGRAM

Administered by FYSB within ACF of HHS, the SRAE program—a rebranding of the Competitive Abstinence Education program—provides funding for public and private entities for programs that "teach young people to voluntarily refrain from non-marital sexual activity and prevent other youth risk behaviors." These programs are also required by statute to "teach the benefits associated with self-regulation; success sequencing for poverty prevention; healthy relationships; goal setting and resisting sexual coercion; dating violence; and other youth risk behaviors, such as underage drinking or illicit drug use, without normalizing teen sexual activity." In FY 2018, \$25 million was appropriated for the SRAE grant program, and \$11.9 million was awarded to 27 grantees in 15 states through a competitive application process.

• In FY 2018, there were two SRAE grantees in Texas: Ambassadors for Christ Youth Ministries, Inc., (\$442,019) and BCFS HHS (\$442,019).<sup>38</sup>

Ambassadors for Christ Youth Ministries, Inc., \$442,019 (FY 2018)

Ambassadors for Christ Youth Ministries is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization founded in 2006 that provides programming to Houston's "at-risk, underprivileged, displaced youth." The organization uses the *Promoting Health Among Teens (PHAT) – Abstinence Only* curriculum to serve African American and Hispanic young people ages 10-14 in Harris County area schools. At the time of publication, more information related to use of SRAE program funds was unknown.

# BCFS HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES (HHS), \$442,019 (FY 2018)

BCFS Health and Human Services (HHS) is a global network of non-profit organizations that aim to meet the needs of at-risk populations. BCFS HHS collaborates with corporations, non-profit organizations, and other entities to develop programs and service models to address challenges in health and human services. <sup>41</sup> BFCS HHS uses the *Promoting Health Among Teens (PHAT) – Abstinence Only* curriculum to serve Hispanic young people ages 11 to 14 in middle schools in Hidalgo County. <sup>42</sup> At the time of publication, more information related to BCFS HHS' use of SRAE program funds was unknown.

# POINTS OF CONTACT

#### **DASH Contacts**

Elaine Gomez Program Manager Houston Independent School District 4400 West 18th St. Houston, TX 77092-8501 Phone: (713) 556-6000

Email: egomez1@houstonisd.org

Brooke Sharples Program Manager Ft. Worth Independent School District 100 N. University Dr. Fort Worth, TX 76107 Phone: (817) 814-2894

Email: Brooke.Sharples@fwisd.org

#### **TPPP Contacts**

Kristen Plastino, M.D.

The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio

Phone: (210) 567-7036 Email: <u>Plastino@uthscsa.edu</u>

#### **PREIS Contact**

Darcy Padget, Project Lead Bee Busy Learning Academy Phone: (713) 774-8300 Email: darcy@beebusy.org

#### **CPREP Contacts**

Diana Clemons Executive Director

Future Leaders Outreach Network

Phone: (817) 678-4695 Email: dclemons@flon.org

Marylou Erbland Clinical Director

Center for Success and Independence, Inc.

Phone: (713) 426-4545 Email: merbland@tcsi.org

Larry Evans Officer

Seasons of Change, Inc. Phone: (817) 277-9600

Email: sofchangeinc@aol.com

Janet Realini President Healthy Future

Healthy Future of Texas Phone: (210) 223-4589 Email: <u>IRealini@HF-TX.org</u>

Helen Stagg Senior Director Change Happens Phone: (713) 374-1285

Email: hstagg@changehappenstx.org

Kelly Wilson

Professor, Department of Health and Kinesiology

Texas A&M University Phone: (979) 845-3503 Email: kwilson@tamu.edu

Sherrie Young-Sams Executive Director

Ambassadors for Christ Youth Ministries, Inc.

Phone: (713) 885-4163

Email: SYoung@afcyouth.org

# Title V SRAE Program Contact

Amy Ross Women's Education Services Health and Human Services Commission

Phone: (214) 435-2734

Email: amy.ross@hhsc.state.tx.us

#### **SRAE Program Contacts**

Heather Chavez BCFS Health and Human Services 211 N. 15th St. McAllen, TX 78232 Phone: (956) 630-0010

Horacha Jones Ambassadors for Christ Youth Ministries, Inc. 5120 Woodway Drive, Suite 9015 Houston, Texas 77056

Phone: (713) 885-4163

Email: Hc0414@bcfs.net

Email: horacha jones@yahoo.com

<sup>12</sup> Arpaia, A., Kost, K., and Maddow-Zimet, I., Pregnancies, Births and Abortions Among Adolescents and Young Women in the United States, 2013: State Trends by Age, Race, and Ethnicity (New York: Guttmacher Institute, 2017),

https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report\_downloads/us-adolescent-pregnancy-trends-2013\_tables.pdf, Table 2.5. <sup>13</sup> Ibid., Table 2.6.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Chin, H, et al. Community Preventive Services Task Force. The Effectiveness of Group-based Comprehensive Risk-reduction and Abstinence Education Interventions to Prevent or Reduce the Risk of Adolescent Pregnancy, Human Immunodeficiency Virus, and Sexually Transmitted Infections: Two Systematic Reviews for the Guide to Community Preventive Services. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2012;42(3):272-94; Trenholm, C, et al. Impacts of Four Title V, Section 510 Abstinence Education Programs: Final Report. Mathematica Policy Research Inc. April 2007.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Tex. Ed. Code \(\)7.102(c)(11) and 28.002(2)(B), www.statutes.legis.state.tx.us/Docs/ED/htm/ED.7.htm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Tex. Ed. Code §§ 28.004(e)(1)–(5), www.statutes.legis.state.tx.us/Docs/ED/htm/ED.28.htm#28.004.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Tex. Ed. Code §§ 28.004(f) and (g).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Tex. Ed. Code §§ 28.004(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Tex. Ed. Code §§ 28.004(c)(3).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Tex. Ed. Code §§ 28.004(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Tex. Ed. Code §§ 26.010(a), www.statutes.legis.state.tx.us/Docs/ED/htm/ED.26.htm#26.010.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills for Health Education, (Austin, Texas: Texas Education Agency) http://ritter.tea.state.tx.us/rules/tac/chapter115/index.html.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> SIECUS uses the term "sexually transmitted infections" (STIs). However, because the CDC uses "sexually transmitted diseases" (STDs), this report uses "STDs" when referencing their work for clarity purposes.

<sup>11</sup> Teen pregnancy rates are reported as a whole and without distinction between unintended and intended pregnancies rates. At the time of publication, updated information on unintended teen pregnancy rates categorized by state and age was unavailable.

<sup>14 &</sup>quot;Teen Birth Rate Comparison, 2016 Among Girls Age 15-19," Power to Decide, https://powertodecide.org/what-wedo/information/national-state-data/teen-birth-rate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> United States Department of Health and Human Services (U.S. DHHS), Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS), Division of Vital Statistics, Natality public-use data 2007-2016, on CDC WONDER Online Database, February 2018. Accessed at http://wonder.cdc.gov/natality-current.html.

<sup>16 &</sup>quot;Abortion" used in this context refers to legally induced abortions. This rate does not include abortions that occur outside of health care facilities or are unreported. Unfortunately, there is no reliable source of information for actual rates of abortion.

- <sup>17</sup> Arpaia, A., Kost, K., and Maddow-Zimet, I., *Pregnancies, Births and Abortions Among Adolescents and Young Women in the United States, 2013: State Trends by Age, Race, and Ethnicity* (New York: Guttmacher Institute, 2017), <a href="https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report\_downloads/us-adolescent-pregnancy-trends-2013\_tables.pdf">https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report\_downloads/us-adolescent-pregnancy-trends-2013\_tables.pdf</a>, Table 2.5.
- https://www.guttmacher.org/sites/default/files/report\_downloads/us-adolescent-pregnancy-trends-2013\_tables.pdf, Table 2.5 lbid., Table 2.6.
- <sup>19</sup> Slide 17: "Rates of Diagnosis of HIV Infection among Adolescents Aged 13–19 Years 2016—United States and 6 Dependent Areas," *HIV Surveillance Adolescents and Young Adults* (Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/slidesets/cdc-hiv-surveillance-adolescents-young-adults-2016.pdf.
- <sup>20</sup> Slide 20: "Rates of Diagnosed HIV Infection Classified as Stage 3 (AIDS) among Adolescents Aged 13–19 Years, 2016—United States and 6 Dependent Areas," *HIV Surveillance Adolescents and Young Adults* (Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), <a href="https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/slidesets/cdc-hiv-surveillance-adolescents-young-adults-2016.pdf">https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/slidesets/cdc-hiv-surveillance-adolescents-young-adults-2016.pdf</a>.
- <sup>21</sup> Slide 18: "Rates of Diagnoses of HIV Infection among Young Adults Aged 20–24 Years 2016—United States and 6 Dependent Areas," *HIV Surveillance Adolescents and Young Adults* (Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/slidesets/cdc-hiv-surveillance-adolescents-young-adults-2016.pdf.
- <sup>22</sup> Slide 21: "Rates of Diagnosed HIV Infection Classified as Stage 3 (AIDS) among Young Adults Aged 20–24, 2016—United States and 6 Dependent Areas," *HIV Surveillance Adolescents and Young Adults* (Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/slidesets/cdc-hiv-surveillance-adolescents-young-adults-2016.pdf.
- <sup>23</sup> NCHHSTP Atlas, "STD Surveillance Data" (Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention), <a href="http://gis.cdc.gov/GRASP/NCHHSTPAtlas/main.html">http://gis.cdc.gov/GRASP/NCHHSTPAtlas/main.html</a>.
- <sup>24</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>25</sup> Ibid.
- <sup>26</sup> "Youth Online," Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, https://nccd.cdc.gov/youthonline/App/Default.aspx.
- <sup>27</sup> "Methodology of the Youth Risk Behavior Surveillance System 2013," pg. 17, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, www.cdc.gov/mmwr/pdf/rr/rr6201.pdf.
- <sup>28</sup> It is critical to examine social determinants when analyzing potentially stigmatizing data. Accounting for differences in people's lived experiences based on race, ethnicity, sexual orientation, socioeconomic status, etc., is a vital part of understanding the context in which the data exist. We encourage readers to exercise caution when using the data and warn readers against using the data in a manner that conflates correlation with causation. Please visit the FY 2018 Executive Summary, <u>A Portrait of Sex Education in the States</u>, for more context.
- <sup>29</sup> "School Health Profiles 2016," Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, https://www.cdc.gov/healthyyouth/data/profiles/pdf/2016/2016\_Profiles\_Report.pdf.
- <sup>30</sup> Ibid., pg. 61.
- <sup>31</sup> Evidence-informed curricula are intended to educate youth, building knowledge and skills, while evidence-based programs and interventions are focused solely on reducing "negative" health outcomes.
- <sup>32</sup> "Current Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program (TPP) Grantees," Office of Adolescent Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, <a href="https://www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/grant-programs/teen-pregnancy-prevention-program-tpp/current-grantees/index.html">https://www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/grant-programs/teen-pregnancy-prevention-program-tpp/current-grantees/index.html</a>.
- <sup>33</sup> "University of Texas Health Science Center San Antonio," Office of Adolescent Health, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, <a href="https://www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/grant-programs/teen-pregnancy-prevention-program-tpp/current-grantees/university-of-texas-health-science-center-san-antonio/index.html">https://www.hhs.gov/ash/oah/grant-programs/teen-pregnancy-prevention-program-tpp/current-grantees/university-of-texas-health-science-center-san-antonio/index.html</a>.
- <sup>34</sup> In the FY 2018 reauthorization, the "Title V State Abstinence Education Grant Program" was renamed the "Title V Sexual Risk Avoidance Education" (SRAE) program. The definition of the Title V program was changed to mandate that grantees adhere to a new A-F definition as opposed to the <u>old A-H definition</u> for Title V programs.
- <sup>35</sup> 42 U.S.C. 710, Title V, Section 510 of the Social Security Act, the authorization for the Title V Sexual Risk Avoidance Education grant program, requires that "education on sexual risk avoidance" programs address each of the following topics: (A) the holistic individual and societal benefits associated with personal responsibility, self-regulation, goal setting, healthy decision-making, and a focus on the future;
  - (B) the advantage of refraining from nonmarital sexual activity in order to improve the future prospects and physical and emotional health of youth;
  - (C) the increased likelihood of avoiding poverty when youth attain self-sufficiency and emotional maturity before engaging in sexual activity;
  - (D) the foundational components of healthy relationships and their impact on the formation of healthy marriages and safe and stable families:
  - (E) how other youth risk behaviors, such as drug and alcohol usage, increase the risk for teen sex; and
  - (F) how to resist and avoid, and receive help regarding, sexual coercion and dating violence, recognizing that, even with consent, teen sex remains a youth risk behavior.
    - Regarding contraception, Title V programs must also ensure that "students understand that contraception offers physical risk reduction, but not risk elimination" and that "the education does not include demonstrations,

simulations, or distribution of contraceptive devices."

http://uscode.house.gov/view.xhtml?req=(title:42%20section:710%20edition:prelim).

- <sup>36</sup> Chin, H, et al. Community Preventive Services Task Force. The Effectiveness of Group-based Comprehensive Risk-reduction and Abstinence Education Interventions to Prevent or Reduce the Risk of Adolescent Pregnancy. Human Immunodeficiency Virus, and Sexually Transmitted Infections: Two Systematic Reviews for the Guide to Community Preventive Services. American Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2012;42(3):272-94; Trenholm, C, et al. Impacts of Four Title V, Section 510 Abstinence Education Programs: Final Report. Mathematica Policy Research Inc. April 2007.
- <sup>37</sup> "Title V State Sexual Risk Avoidance Education Awards FY2018," Family and Youth Services Bureau, Administration for Children & Families, U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, <a href="https://www.acf.hhs.gov/fysb/title-v-state-sexual-risk-avoidance-education">https://www.acf.hhs.gov/fysb/title-v-state-sexual-risk-avoidance-education</a>.
- <sup>38</sup> "Sexual Risk Avoidance Education Program Grantee Profiles," Family and Youth Services Bureau, Administration for Children & Families, U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, <a href="https://www.acf.hhs.gov/fysb/resource/srae-profiles">https://www.acf.hhs.gov/fysb/resource/srae-profiles</a>.
- <sup>39</sup> "About Us," Ambassadors for Christ Youth Ministries, <a href="http://www.afcyouth.org/about-afc">http://www.afcyouth.org/about-afc</a>.
- <sup>40</sup> "Sexual Risk Avoidance Education Program Grantee Profiles," Family and Youth Services Bureau, Administration for Children & Families, U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, <a href="https://www.acf.hhs.gov/fysb/resource/srae-profiles">https://www.acf.hhs.gov/fysb/resource/srae-profiles</a>. <sup>41</sup> "About BCFS," BCFS Health and Human Services, <a href="https://www.bcfs.net/about-bcfs">www.bcfs.net/about-bcfs</a>.
- <sup>42</sup> "Sexual Risk Avoidance Education Program Grantee Profiles," Family and Youth Services Bureau, Administration for Children & Families, U.S. Department of Health & Human Services, <a href="https://www.acf.hhs.gov/fysb/resource/srae-profiles">https://www.acf.hhs.gov/fysb/resource/srae-profiles</a>; Information provided by Heather Chavez, Program Director, BCFS HHS, May 10, 2018.