EECS 159A - INDIVIDUAL EXAM (FEEDBACK SURVEY)

Name: _Ricardo Martinez_____

Date: _12-09-24

Project Name: _Elderly Care Amazon Sidewalk (Life Alert Prime)_____

Primary Advisor Name: __Mohamed Benomar El Kait_____

CONSIDERATIONS:

Consider your experience with teammates and your primary advisors during Fall Quarter. This portion of the exam is participation based. You will not be penalized for any answers here – it's just required that you participate. Your answers will only be reviewed by the instructional team. Sharing this feedback with your teammates and advisor is optional; it is also highly recommended so that any issues that you have experienced during Fall may be addressed during Winter.

May we share this evaluation with your teammates?   __Yes_

May we share this evaluation with your primary advisor?   __Yes_

RUBRIC:

OVERALL TEAM ASSESSMENT:

Teamwork	Sophisticated	Competent	**Needs Work**
Content/Creativity	Sophisticated	**Competent**	Needs Work

What can you adjust as a team during Winter to help you make more progress on your project?

 We need to be better about communication. For my part I should communicate most of my issues directly into the group chat instead of to one person, but in general our group chat often goes ignored or takes too long for replies for effective communication. Planning and completing assigned tasks such as the fall project report are complicated due to lack of clear communication. As a team we also feel a little aimless at times with what is supposed to be being worked on immediately due to this poor coordination.

INDIVIDUAL ASSESSMENTS:

Member Name: __Khushi Gupta_____

Notes: Usually took the initiative to reach out and email professor, Completed all tasks well.

Contribution	**Sophisticated**	Competent	Needs Work
Supporting Material	**Sophisticated**	Competent	Needs Work

Member Name: _Farrah Galal_

Notes: On latter half of quarter was less responsive on group chat making it difficult to gauge work completed, despite disadvantage she was given by lack of proper resources (wrong microcontroller being used by the team) she is still on track to develop amazon sidewalk integration so only penalty is for the communication/responsiveness aspect. Would have liked a little more help on the fall project report.

Contribution	Sophisticated	**Competent**	Needs Work
Supporting Material	**Sophisticated**	Competent	Needs Work

Member Name: ___N/A_____

Notes:

Contribution	Sophisticated	Competent	Needs Work
Supporting Material	Sophisticated	Competent	Needs Work

Member Name: ___N/A_____

Notes:

Contribution	Sophisticated	Competent	Needs Work
Supporting Material	Sophisticated	Competent	Needs Work

Advisor Name: __Mohamed Benomar El Kati _____

Notes: Is usually very helpful, makes sure to recommend ideas, help with solutions (designing LoRa pcb) but is sometimes slow to respond or unresponsive to emails that are time sensitive (new meeting time etc.)

What was the agreed upon meeting frequency with your advisor at the beginning of the quarter?

__We agreed to meet once a week for the quarter, usually on a Wednesday _

How often did you end up meeting with your advisor & under what format (in-person, Zoom, email, etc)?

_With some exceptions due to availability, we met mostly one a week in person. We also contacted frequently on email both to arrange times to meet, ask questions for clarification. ___

What was the most helpful part of these meetings with your primary advisor?

_Getting direct feedback from the advisor meetings on how to proceed next was very helpful. It was good to get a second opinion on direction for the project such as pivoting to a new microcontroller, reprioritizing our sections, and redefining project goals ___

What can be adjusted in these meetings during Winter Quarter to be more useful for your team?

_More timely replies to emails would be appreciated as it would help with being able to be certain about when we meet and when we can't meet. Mostly this applies to no replies when rescheduling a meeting or late replies regarding issues that arise. ___

Overall, how would you rate your experience with your advisor?

Meeting Interactions   Sophisticated   Competent   Needs Work

ADDITIONAL NOTES:

TEAMWORK

SOPHISTICATED

The team worked well together to achieve objectives. Each member contributed in a valuable way to the project. All data sources indicated a high level of mutual respect and collaboration.

COMPETENT

The team worked well together most of the time, with only a few occurrences of communication breakdown or failure to collaborate when appropriate. Members were mostly respectful of each other.

NEEDS WORK

Team did not collaborate or communicate well. Some members would work independently, without regard to objectives or priorities. A lack of respect and regard was frequently noted.

CONTENT/CREATIVITY

SOPHISTICATED

The demonstration was imaginative and effective in conveying ideas to the audience.

COMPETENT

The demonstration techniques used were effective in conveying main ideas, but a bit unimaginative.

NEEDS WORK

The demonstration failed to capture the interest of the audience and/or is confusing in what was communicated.

CONTRIBUTION

SOPHISTICATED

All requirements and objectives are identified, evaluated and competed. The deliverable offered new information or approach to the topic under discussion. Likewise, the application is based on stated criteria, analysis and constraints.

COMPETENT

All requirements are identified and evaluated but some objectives are not completed. The deliverable offered some new information or approach to the topic under discussion. The application is reasonable; further analysis of some of the alternatives or constraints may have led to a different recommendation.

NEEDS WORK

Many requirements and objectives are not identified, evaluated and/or completed. The deliverable offered no new information or approach to the topic under discussion. Few application considerations are analyzed and other factors were ignored or incompletely analyzed.

SOPHISTICATED

All relevant information was obtained and information sources were valid. Analysis and design considerations were well supported by the information.

COMPETENT

Sufficient information was obtained and most sources were valid. Analysis and design considerations were mostly supported by the information

NEEDS WORK

Insufficient information was obtained and/or sources lack validity. Analysis and design considerationswere not supported by the information collected.