## 1 Introduction

To measure the fractal dimension of the percolating cluster in a square site lattice, both the Sandbox Algorithm and the Box Counting algorithm as described in [?] were implemented. The largerst cluster found by the Hoshen-Kopelman algorithm [?] was used as percolating cluster.

# 2 Algorithm Description

The Hoshen-Kopelman algorithm implementation was taken over from the previously submitted exercise 03.

Both the Sandbox and the Box Counting algorithm were implemented as described in the course lecture notes [?].

For the box counting method, the choice was made to double the cell size at each step until reaching the lattice size L, i.e.  $\epsilon \in \{1, 2, 4, 8, \dots, L\}$ .

### 3 Results

The program was implemented as described above and submitted with this report. A square site lattice of side length L=1024 and occupation probabilities  $p \in \{0.58, p_c=0.592746, 0.61\}$  were used. As in the previous exercise, C++'s Mersenne Twister mt19937 was used to initialize the lattices.

### 3.1 Experiment 1: Sandbox Algorithm

The box masses M(R) where M equals the numbers of sites occupied by the largest (i.e. percolating) cluster at occupation probability p in a box of increasing side length R on a site lattice with side length L = 1024, were recorded for  $R \in \{3, 4, \dots, L\}$ . The results were plotted as  $\log(M(R))$  versus  $\log(R)$  as shown in figure ??.




Figure 1: Sandbox Algorithm Results for  $p \in \{0.58, p_c, 0.61\}$ .

### 3.2 Experiment 2: Box Counting Algorithm

The number of non-empty grid cells  $N(\epsilon)$  where  $\epsilon$  is the grid constant were recorded for L=1024 and  $\epsilon \in \{1,2,4,8,\ldots,L\}$  as described above. The results were plotted as  $\log(N(\epsilon))$  versus  $\log(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$  as shown in figure ??.

# 4 Discussion

The slope of 1.88 of the line for  $p=p_c$  in figure ?? obtained from the Sandbox algorithm is well in line with the theoretical value of  $d_f=\frac{91}{48}\approx 1.89$  for a 2D lattice and thus as expected. For some reason reason, gnuplot couldn't be brought to fit a slope to the line for  $p=p_c$  in figure ?? obtained from




Figure 2: Box Counting Algorithm Results for  $p \in \{0.58, p_c, 0.61\}$ .

the Box Counting algorithm. However, a visual inspection reveals that the slope is just a tad under 2 and thus in line with both the sandbox algorithm results and theoretical expectations.

## References

- Herrmann, H. J., Singer, H. M., Mueller L., Buchmann, M.-A., Introduction to Computational Physics - Lecture Notes, ETH Zurich, 2017.
- [2] Hoshen, J., Kopelman, R., Percolation and cluster distribution. I. Cluster multiple labeling technique and critical concentration algorithm, Phys. Rev. B 14, 3428, 1976.