

Notes Series

Shilong.Lu

Notes Of Self-learning Complex Geometry Notes

First Edition



Springer

Notes Series

Notes Of Self-learning

Shilong.Lu

Springer

Simple Introduction

This book is my notes of Complex Geometry!

This book is a summary of the final examination review materials of complex analysis, mainly including the proof question types of the exam and various knowledge points, such as Riemann mapping theorem, generalized Schwarz lemma and so on. This book was written by me at the end of the semester and is for review only.

Cataloging books in Print (CIP) data

Complex Geometry Notes/(en) Etan Lu –Guangdong; xx press,2023.01

(bookseries)

ISBN: 978-7-03-002970-6

I.xx..... ① Ethan.....

CIP data kernel word of China Edition Library(2023) The 00022893 number

editor in charge: Ethan Lu / Responsibility proofreading: Ethan Lu

Responsibility printing: Ehtan Lu

Springerpublish

290 Xinyi City, Maoming City, Guangdong Province

Etan Lu

Guangdong Printing Co. LTD painting

xx press Xinhua bookstore distribution around

*

2023-02 version I page: 850 × 1168 1/32

2023-05 version II number: 185/8

words:493 000

price: 89.00

(If there is any printing problem, we will replace it)



Preface

As my first english book, i'm happy.

— Ethan Lu

2023-01-11



Contents

Preface	I
I xx	1
1 local theory	3
1.1 Holomorphic functions of several Variables	3
1.1.1 Hartogs' Phenomenon and Hartogs' Theorem	3
2 Complex Manifolds	6
2.1 Remaining Problems Of Reading	6
2.1.1 The Expression of the Fundamental Form	6
2.2 Complex and Hermitian Structure	7
2.3 Differential Forms	14
2.4 Some examples of complex manifolds	22
References	23



Part I



XX



1

local theory

Sec 1.1 Holomorphic functions of several Variables

1.1.1 Hartogs' Phenomenon and Hartogs' Theorem

Example 1.1.1.

Let

$$G = \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z| < 1, \beta < 1, \beta < |w| < 1\} \\ \cup \{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 : |z| < \alpha < 1, |w| < 1\}.$$

then every holomorphic function on G can be expanded to double cylindrical domain $\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z| < 1, |w| < 1\}$.

Solution. Now let we see the Figure 1 and let S denote the shadow part of \mathbb{R}^2 . Define map φ by

$$\varphi: \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2; (z, w) \mapsto (|z|, |w|),$$

then $G = \varphi^{-1}(S)$. Next we will show that the conclusion above is true.

Step I. Taking Laurent expansion of $f(z, w)$. For every fixed $|z| < 1$, $f(z, w)$ can be expressed as Laurent series

$$f(z, w) = \sum_{v=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_v(z) w^v.$$

,where $a_v(z)$ is holomorphic on $D_z(0, 1)$. For fixed $|z| < 1$, φ^{-1} transfers into an single variable complex function $\varphi_{|z|<1}^{-1}(|w|)$, $|w| < 1$, then f is holomorphic about w and posses Laurent series expansion on $D_w(0, 1)$. Owing to $a_v(z)$ being holomorphic on $D_z(0, 1)$, when $|z| < \alpha$, the Laurent series has no term with negative power, in other words, $a_v(z) = 0, \forall v < 0$.

Because $a_v(z)$ is holomorphic on $D_z(0, 1)$ and $a_v(z) = 0, \forall v < 0$, then according to Identity theorem, we yield $a_v(z) \equiv 0$ on $D_z(0, 1)$. It is clear that $f(z, w)$ is holomorphic on $\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z| < 1, |w| < 1\}$.

Step II. The expression of $f(z, w)$ analytic continuation is obtained by using Cauchy Integral theorem. On step I, we have shown that $f(z, w)$ is holomorphic on $\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z| < 1, |w| < 1\}$, then on step II, our purpose is to ascertain the expression of the expanded function.

Let $\beta' < \beta < 1$. Define a function by using Cauchy Integral theorem, we gain

$$\tilde{f}(z, w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi|=\beta'} \frac{f(z, \xi)}{\xi - w} d\xi. \quad (1.1)$$

Where $\tilde{f}(z, w)$ is holomorphic function on $\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z| < 1, |w| < \beta'\}$. In particular, $\tilde{f}(z, w) = f(z, w)$ on $\{(z, w) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z| < 1, |w| < 1\}$. So $\tilde{f}(z, w)$ dose the expanded function what we find. \square

Lemma 1.1.1.

Let $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be an open subset and let $V \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an open neighbourhood of the boundary of $B_\varepsilon(0) \subset \mathbb{C}$. Assume that $f: V \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a holomorphic function. Then

$$g(z) := g(z_1, \dots, z_n) := \int_{|\xi|=\varepsilon} f(\xi, z_1, \dots, z_n) d\xi$$

is holomorphic function on U .

Theorem 1.1.1. Hartogs' Theorem

Suppose $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$ and $\varepsilon' = (\varepsilon'_1, \dots, \varepsilon'_n)$ are given such that for all i one has $\varepsilon'_i < \varepsilon_i$. If $n > 1$, then any holomorphic map $f: B_\varepsilon(0) \setminus \overline{B_{\varepsilon'}(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be uniformly extended to a holomorphic map $\tilde{f}: B_\varepsilon(0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon = (1, \dots, 1)$ and $\exists \delta > 0$ such that

$$V = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 1 - \delta < |z_1| < 1, |z_{i \neq 1}| < 1\} \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 1 - \delta < |z_2| < 1, |z_{i \neq 2}| < 1\}.$$

is contained in $B_\varepsilon(0) \setminus \overline{B_{\varepsilon'}(0)}$. So f is holomorphic on V . Thus, for any $w := (z_2, \dots, z_n)$ with $|z_j| < 1, j = 2, \dots, n$, there exists a holomorphic function $f_w(z_1) := f(z_1; z_2, \dots, z_n)$ on annulus $1 - \delta < |z_1| < 1$.

Remark. For the Lemma 1.1.1, $V \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is open subset and let $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 1 - \delta < |z_1| < 1, |z_{i \neq 1}| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$ be an neighbourhood of the boundary of $B_1(0) \subset \mathbb{C}$. Because f is holomorphic on $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 1 - \delta < |z_1| < 1, |z_{i \neq 1}| < 1\} \times V$, so $g(z_1; z_2, \dots, z_n) := f(z_1, \dots, z_n) := f_w(z_1)$ is holomorphic on $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 1 - \delta < |z_1| < 1, |z_{i \neq 1}| < 1\}$.

Now due to $f_w(z_1)$ is holomorphic on $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 1 - \delta < |z_1| < 1, |z_{i \neq 1}| < 1\} \subset \mathbb{C}$, then $f_w(z_1)$ can be expanded to Laurent series by $f_w(z_1) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_n(w) z_1^n$ with the coefficient $a_n(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|\xi|=1-\delta/2} \frac{f(\xi)}{\xi^{n+1}} d\xi$.

1.1. HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS OF SEVERAL VARIABLES

By Lemma 1.1.1 , $a_n(w)$ is holomorphic for w in the unit polydisc of \mathbb{C}^{n-1} .

On the other hand, the function $f_w: z_1 \mapsto f_w(z_1)$ is holomorphic on the unit disc for fixed w such that $1 - \delta < |z_1| < 1$.

Remark. On above description, we have shown that $f_w: z_1 \mapsto f_w(z_1)$ is holomorphic on $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 1 - \delta < |z_1| < 1, |z_{i \neq 1}| < 1\}$, so it's obviously that f_w is also holomorphic on

$$\begin{aligned} & \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 1 - \delta < |z_1| < 1, 1 - \delta < |z_2| < 1, |z_{i \neq 1,2}| < 1\} \\ & \subset \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 1 - \delta < |z_1| < 1, |z_{i \neq 1}| < 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $a_n(w) = 0$, for $n < 0$ and $1 - \delta < |z_2| < 1$.

Remark. $a_n(w)$ is holomorphic for w on the unit disc of \mathbb{C}^n , so $a_n(w) = 0, \forall n < 0$.

By the Identity theorem , we show that $a_n(w) \equiv 0$ for $n < 0$. But then we define the holomorphic extension \tilde{f} of f by the power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(w)z_1^n$. (without terms of negative power)

Remark. Also, we could use the Cauchy Integral theorem, which is equivalent to that.

This series converges uniformly ,as $a_n(w)$ are holomorphic and attain maximum at the boundary.

Remark. For $\tilde{f} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(w)z_1^n, 1 - \delta < |z_1| < 1$, if we want the series converges uniformly, it just need $a_n(w)$ are bounded on $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 1 - \delta < |z_2| < 1, |z_{i \neq 2}| < 1\}$. By $a_n(w)$ be holomorphic on $\{z \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid 1 - \delta < |z_2| < 1, |z_{i \neq 2}| < 1\}$, then use Maximum principle, $a_n(w)$ attain their maximum at the boundary.

So the convergence of the Laurent series on the annulus yields the uniformly convergence everywhere. Clearly, the holomorphic function given by the series (the power series) glues with f to give the desired holomorphic function. \square

2



Complex Manifolds

Sec 2.1

Remaining Problems Of Reading

2.1.1 The Expression of the Fundamental Form

1. By definition of (\cdot, \cdot) , i.e. $(\cdot, \cdot) := \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle - i \cdot \omega$, one has $\omega = -\text{Im}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle = \text{Re}(\cdot, \cdot)$. Hence,

$$\omega(x_i, x_j) = \omega(y_i, y_j) = -\text{Im}(h_{ij}), \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\omega(x_i, y_j) = \text{Re}(h_{ij}), \quad (2.1b)$$

$$\langle x_i, x_j \rangle = \langle y_i, y_j \rangle = \text{Re}(h_{ij}), \quad (2.1c)$$

$$\langle x_i, y_j \rangle = \text{Im}(h_{ij}). \quad (2.1d)$$

Thus

$$\omega = - \sum_{i < j} \text{Im}(h_{ij})(x^i \wedge x^j + y^i \wedge y^j) + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \text{Re}(h_{ij})x^i \wedge y^j.$$

Proof. Let Hermite structure be written as $H(x, y) = F(x, y) + iG(x, y)$, where $F(x, y)$ is real value bilinear symmetry function and $G(x, y)$ is real value bilinear anti-symmetry function. Then the fundamental form $\omega = \widehat{H}(x, y)$ can be expressed as the form

$$\begin{aligned} G(x, y) &= -\frac{i}{2}(H(x, y) - \overline{H(x, y)}) \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j,k} h_{j\bar{k}} (\lambda^j(x)\overline{\lambda^k}(y) - \lambda^j(y)\overline{\lambda^k}(x)) \\ &= -\left\langle x \wedge y, \frac{i}{2} \sum h_{j\bar{k}} \lambda^j \wedge \overline{\lambda^k} \right\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

As

$$\langle x \wedge y, \widehat{H} \rangle = -G(x, y),$$

thus

$$\omega = \widehat{H} = \frac{i}{2} h_{j\bar{k}} \lambda^j \wedge \overline{\lambda^k} \in \Lambda^2 V^* \cap \Lambda^{1,1} V^*.$$

Then let $\lambda^s = x^s + iy^s, s = j, k$, a calculation yields the conclusion[1]. \square

2. Using an orthogonal basis $x_1, y_1 = I(x_1), \dots, x_n, y_n = I(x_n)$, we have

$$n! \cdot \omega^n = \text{vol}.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\omega^n &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x^i \wedge y^i \right)^n \\ &= \underbrace{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x^i \wedge y^i \right) \wedge \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x^i \wedge y^i \right) \cdots \wedge \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x^i \wedge y^i \right)}_n \\ &= \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n=1}^n (x^{i_1} \wedge y^{i_1}) \wedge (x^{i_2} \wedge y^{i_2}) \wedge \cdots (x^{i_n} \wedge y^{i_n}) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} (x^1 \wedge y^1) \wedge (x^2 \wedge y^2) \wedge \cdots (x^n \wedge y^n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n!} \cdot \text{vol}.\end{aligned}$$

, where items that are not naturally arranged (i.e. i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) can always be changed into a natural arrangement by swapping them with an even number of inversions i.e. their coefficients can be written as $(-1)^{2 \cdot m}$. \square

Sec 2.2

Complex and Hermitian Structure

Definition 2.2.1. Lefschetz Operator L

Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an euclidian vector space and let I be a compatible almost complex structure. Furthermore, let ω be the associated fundamental form. Then the Lefschetz operator $L : \bigwedge^* V_{\mathbb{C}}^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^* V_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is given by $\alpha \mapsto \omega \wedge \alpha$.

Remark. The following properties are easy to verify:

- i) L is the \mathbb{C} -linear extension of the real operator $\bigwedge^* V^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^* V^*$; $\alpha \mapsto \omega \wedge \alpha$.
- ii) The Lefschetz operator is of bidegree $(1, 1)$, i.e.

$$L(\bigwedge^{p,q} V^*) \subset \bigwedge^{p+1, q+1} V^*$$

Furthermore the Lefschetz operator induces bijections

$$L^k : \bigwedge^k V^* \xrightarrow{\cong} \bigwedge^{2n-k} V^*$$

Definition 2.2.2. Hodge *-operator

The Hodge *-operator is defined by

$$\alpha \wedge * \beta = \langle \alpha, \beta \rangle \cdot \text{vol}.$$

for $\alpha, \beta \in \bigwedge^* V$. This determines $*$, for exterior product defines a nondegenerate pairing $\bigwedge^k V \times \bigwedge^{d-k} V \rightarrow \bigwedge^d V = \text{vol} \cdot \mathbb{R}$. One easily sees that $*: \bigwedge^k V \rightarrow \bigwedge^{d-k} V$.

Proposition 2.2.1.

Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be an oriented euclidian vector space of dimension d . Let e_1, \dots, e_d be an orthonormal basis of V and let $\text{vol} \in \bigwedge^d V$ be the orientation of norm one given by $e_1 \wedge \dots \wedge e_d$. The Hodge *-operator associated to $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \text{vol})$ satisfies the following conditions:

i) If $\{i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1, \dots, j_{d-k}\} = \{1, \dots, d\}$ one has

$$*(e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_k}) = \varepsilon \cdot e_{j_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{j_{d-k}},$$

where $\varepsilon = \text{sgn}(i_1, \dots, i_k, j_1 \dots j_{d-k})$. In particular, $*1 = \text{vol}$.

ii) The *-operator is self-adjoint up to sign: For $\alpha \in \bigwedge^k V$ one has

$$\langle \alpha, * \beta \rangle = (-1)^{k(d-k)} \langle * \alpha, \beta \rangle.$$

iii) The *-operator is involutive up to sign:

$$(*|_{\bigwedge^k V})^2 = (-1)^{k(d-k)}$$

iv) The Hodge *-operator is an isometry on $(\bigwedge^* V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

Definition 2.2.3. Dual Lefschetz Operator Λ

The dual Lefschetz operator Λ is the operator $\Lambda: \bigwedge^* V^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^* V^*$ that is **adjoint** to L with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, i.e. $\Lambda \alpha$ is uniquely determined by the condition

$$\langle \Lambda \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha, L \beta \rangle, \quad \text{for all } \beta \in \bigwedge^* V^*.$$

Proposition 2.2.2.

The dual Lefschetz operator Λ is of degree -2 , i.e.

$$\Lambda(\bigwedge^k V^*) \subset \bigwedge^{k-2} V^*.$$

Moreover, one has $\Lambda = *^{-1} \circ L \circ *$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from the fact that L is of degree 2 and that $\bigwedge^* V^* = \bigoplus \bigwedge^k V^*$ is orthogonal.

By definition of the Hodge $*$ -operator one has $\langle \alpha, L\beta \rangle \cdot \text{vol} = \langle L\beta, \alpha \rangle \cdot \text{vol} = L\beta \wedge *\alpha = \omega \wedge \beta \wedge *\alpha = \beta \wedge (\omega \wedge *\alpha) = \langle \beta, *^{-1}(L(*\alpha)) \rangle \cdot \text{vol}$. \square

Lemma 2.2.2.

Let $\langle , \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$, Λ , and $*$ be as above. Then

- i) The decomposition $\bigwedge^k V_{\mathbb{C}}^* = \bigoplus \Lambda^{p,q} V^*$ is orthogonal with respect to $\langle , \rangle_{\mathbb{C}}$.
- ii) The Hodge $*$ -operator maps $\bigwedge^{p,q} V^*$ to $\bigwedge^{n-q,n-p} V^*$, where $n = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V, I)$.
- iii) • The dual Lefschetz operator Λ is of bidegree $(-1, -1)$, i.e.

$$\Lambda\left(\bigwedge^{p,q} V^*\right) \subset \Lambda^{p-1,q-1} V^*.$$

Definition 2.2.4. Counting Operator H

Let $H: \bigwedge^* V \rightarrow \bigwedge^* V$ be the counting operator defined by $H|_{\bigwedge^k V} = (k-n) \cdot \text{id}$, where $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} V = 2n$. Equivalently,

$$H = \sum_{k=0}^{2n} (k-n) \cdot \prod^k.$$

Proposition 2.2.3.

Let (V, \langle , \rangle) be an euclidian vector space endowed with a compatible almost complex structure I . Consider the following linear operators on $\bigwedge^* V^*$: The associated Lefschetz operator L , its dual Λ , and the counting operator H . They satisfy:

- i) $[H, L] = 2L$,
- ii) $[H, \Lambda] = -2\Lambda$,
- and iii) $[L, \Lambda] = H$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \bigwedge^k V^*$. Then $[H, L](\alpha) = (k+2-n)(\omega \wedge \alpha) - \omega \wedge ((k-n)\alpha) = 2\omega \wedge \alpha$. Analogously, $[H, \Lambda](\alpha) = (k-2-n)(\Lambda\alpha) - \Lambda((k-n)\alpha) = -2\Lambda\alpha$.

The third assertion is the most difficult one. We will prove it by induction on the dimension of V . Assume we have a decomposition $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ which is compatible with the scalar product and the almost complex structure, i.e. $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, I) = (W_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, I_1) \oplus (W_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2, I_2)$. Then $\bigwedge^* V^* = \bigwedge^* W_1^* \otimes \bigwedge^* W_2^*$ and in particular $\bigwedge^2 V^* = \bigwedge^2 W_1^* \oplus \bigwedge^2 W_2^* \oplus W_1^* \otimes W_2^*$. Since $V = W_1 \oplus W_2$ is orthogonal, the fundamental form ω on V decomposes as $\omega_1 \oplus \omega_2$, where ω_i is the fundamental form on W_i (no component in $W_1^* \otimes W_2^*$). Hence the Lefschetz operator L on $\bigwedge^* V^*$ is the direct sum of the Lefschetz operators L_1 and L_2 acting on $\bigwedge^* W_1^*$ and $\bigwedge^* W_2^*$, respectively, i.e. $L = L_1 + L_2$ with L_1 and L_2 acting as $L_1 \otimes 1$ respectively $1 \otimes L_2$ on $\bigwedge^* W_1^* \otimes \bigwedge^* W_2^*$.

Let $\alpha, \beta \in \bigwedge^* V^*$ and suppose that both are split, i.e. $\alpha = \alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2$, $\beta = \beta_1 \otimes \beta_2$, with $\alpha_i, \beta_i \in \bigwedge^* W_i^*$. Then $\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = \langle \alpha_1, \beta_1 \rangle \cdot \langle \alpha_2, \beta_2 \rangle$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha, L\beta \rangle &= \langle \alpha, L_1(\beta_1) \otimes \beta_2 \rangle + \langle \alpha, \beta_1 \otimes L_2(\beta_2) \rangle \\ &= \langle \alpha_1, L_1\beta_1 \rangle \langle \alpha_2, \beta_2 \rangle + \langle \alpha_1, \beta_1 \rangle \langle \alpha_2, L_2\beta_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_1\alpha_1, \beta_1 \rangle \langle \alpha_2, \beta_2 \rangle + \langle \alpha_1, \beta_1 \rangle \langle \Lambda_2\alpha_2, \beta_2 \rangle \\ &= \langle \Lambda_1(\alpha_1) \otimes \alpha_2, \beta_1 \otimes \beta_2 \rangle + \langle \alpha_1 \otimes \Lambda_2(\alpha_2), \beta_1 \otimes \beta_2 \rangle, \\ &= \langle (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)(\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2), \beta_1 \otimes \beta_2 \rangle = \langle \Lambda\alpha, \beta \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2$, where Λ_i is the dual Lefschetz operator on $\bigwedge^* W_i^*$. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} [L, \Lambda](\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2) &= (L_1 + L_2)(\Lambda_1(\alpha_1) \otimes \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \otimes \Lambda_2(\alpha_2)) \\ &\quad - (\Lambda_1 + \Lambda_2)(L_1(\alpha_1) \otimes \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \otimes L_2(\alpha_2)) \\ &= [L_1, \Lambda_1](\alpha_1) \otimes \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \otimes [L_2, \Lambda_2](\alpha_2). \end{aligned}$$

By induction hypothesis $[L_i, \Lambda_i] = H_i$ and, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} [L, \Lambda](\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2) &= H_1(\alpha_1) \otimes \alpha_2 + \alpha_1 \otimes H_2(\alpha_2) \\ &= (k_1 - n_1)(\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2) + (k_2 - n_2)(\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2) \\ &= (k_1 + k_2 - n_1 - n_2)(\alpha_1 \otimes \alpha_2), \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha_i \in \bigwedge^{k_i} W_i^*$ and $n_i = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(W_i, I_i)$.

It remains to prove the case $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V, I) = 1$. With respect to a basis x_1, y_1 of V one has

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge^* V^* &= \bigwedge^0 V^* \oplus \bigwedge^1 V^* \oplus \bigwedge^2 V^* \\ &= \mathbb{R} \oplus (x^1 \mathbb{R} \oplus y^1 \mathbb{R}) \oplus \omega \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $L : \bigwedge^0 V^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^2 V^*$ and $\Lambda : \bigwedge^2 V^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^0 V^*$ are given by $1 \mapsto \omega$ and $\omega \mapsto 1$, respectively. Hence, $[L, \Lambda]|_{\bigwedge^0 V^*} = -\Lambda L|_{\bigwedge^0 V^*} = -1$, $[L, \Lambda]|_{\bigwedge^1 V^*} = 0$, and $[L, \Lambda]|_{\bigwedge^2 V^*} = 1$. \square

Corollary 2.2.1.

Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, I)$ be an euclidian vector space with a compatible almost complex structure. The action of L , Λ , and H defines a natural $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -representation on $\bigwedge^* V^*$

Proof. Recall, that $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ is the three-dimensional (over \mathbb{C} or over \mathbb{R}) Lie algebra of all 2×2 -matrices of trace zero. A basis is given by

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

A quick calculation shows that they satisfy

$$[B, X] = 2X, [B, Y] = -2Y, \text{ and } [X, Y] = B.$$

Thus mapping

$$X \mapsto L, Y \mapsto \Lambda, \text{ and } B \mapsto H$$

defines a Lie algebra homomorphism $\mathfrak{sl}(2) \rightarrow \text{End}(\bigwedge^* V^*)$. The $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -representation is obtained by tensorizing with \mathbb{C} . \square

Corollary 2.2.2.

$$[L^i, \Lambda](\alpha) = i(k - n + i - 1)L^{i-1}(\alpha) \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Lambda^k V^*.$$

Proof. This is easily seen by induction on i as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [L^i, \Lambda](\alpha) &= L^i \Lambda \alpha - \Lambda L^i \alpha \\ &= L(L^{i-1} \Lambda \alpha - \Lambda L^{i-1} \alpha) + L \Lambda L^{i-1} \alpha - \Lambda LL^{i-1} \alpha \\ &= L[L^{i-1}, \Lambda](\alpha) + [L, \Lambda](L^{i-1} \alpha) \\ &= (i-1)(k - n + (i-1) - 1)L^{i-1}(\alpha) + (2i - 2 + k - n)L^{i-1}(\alpha) \\ &= i(k - n + i - 1)L^{i-1}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

 \square **Definition 2.2.5. primitive element**

Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, I)$ and the induced operators L , Λ , and H be as before. An element $\alpha \in \bigwedge^k V^*$ is called primitive if $\Lambda \alpha = 0$. The linear subspace of all primitive elements $\alpha \in \bigwedge^k V^*$ is denoted by $P^k \subset \bigwedge^k V^*$.

Accordingly, an element $\alpha \in \bigwedge^k V_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is called primitive if $\Lambda \alpha = 0$. Clearly, the subspace of those is just the complexification of P^k .

Proposition 2.2.4.

Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, I)$ be an euclidian vector space of dimension $2n$ with a compatible almost complex structure and let L and Λ be the associated Lefschetz operators.

1) There exists a direct sum decomposition of the form:

$$\bigwedge^k V^* = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} L^i (P^{k-2i}) \quad (2.2)$$

This is the **Lefschetz decomposition**. Moreover, (2.2) is orthogonal with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$.

2) If $k > n$, then $P^k = 0$.

3) The map $L^{n-k} : P^k \rightarrow \Lambda^{2n-k} V^*$ is injective for $k \leq n$.

4) The map $L^{n-k} : \bigwedge^k V^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2n-k} V^*$ is bijective for $k \leq n$.

5) If $k \leq n$, then $P^k = \{ \alpha \in \bigwedge^k V^* \mid L^{n-k+1}(\alpha) = 0 \}$.

Proof. For 1), the key point is applying some small amount of REPRESENTATION THEORY.

Firstly, since $\bigwedge^* V_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -representation by using Corollary 2.2.1, which shows that $\bigwedge^* V_{\mathbb{C}}^*$ has a natural $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -representation defined by the action of L , Λ and H , it is a direct sum of irreducible ones, i.e. $\bigwedge^* V_{\mathbb{C}}^* = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{2n} \bigwedge^k V_{\mathbb{C}}^*$. Any finite-dimensional $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -representation admits a primitive vector v , i.e. $\Lambda v = 0$. The reason is that, for any vector v the sequence $\Lambda^i v \in P^{k-2i}$ for $i = 0, 1, \dots$ has to terminate by dimension reasons. (Use $H \Lambda^i v = (\deg(v) - 2i - n) \Lambda^i v$.)

Secondly, using Corollary 2.2.2 one finds that for any primitive v the subspace $v, Lv, L^2 v, \dots$ defines a **subrepresentation**. Thus, the irreducible $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$ -representations are of this form. Altogether this proves the existence of the direct sum decomposition (2.2). The orthogonality with respect to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ follows from Corollary 2.2.2. \square

Proposition 2.2.5.

For all $\alpha \in P^k$, one has

$$*L^j \alpha = (-1)^{\frac{k(k+2)}{2}} \frac{j!}{(n-k-j)!} \cdot L^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}(\alpha).$$

Proof. The proof will be given by induction. Suppose that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(V) = 1$, i.e. $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V) = \dim_{\mathbb{R}}(V^*) = 2$. Choose an orthonormal basis $V = x_1 \mathbb{R} \oplus y_1 \mathbb{R}$ such that $I(x_1) = y_1$. Thus, $\omega = x^1 \wedge y^1$. Moreover,

$$\bigwedge^* V^* = \bigwedge^0 V^* \oplus \bigwedge^1 V^* \oplus \bigwedge^2 V^*$$

and ***the primitive part of $\bigwedge^* V^*$ is $\bigwedge^0 V^* \oplus \bigwedge^1 V^*$.*** The reason is that Λ is bidegree -2 . Thus, in order to prove the assertion in the one-dimensional case one has to compare

$$*1 = \omega, * \omega = 1, *x^1 = y^1, \text{ and } *y^1 = -x^1$$

with the corresponding expressions on the right hand side. Using $\mathbf{I}(x^1) = -y^1$ this is easily verified.

Next, let V be of arbitrary dimension and let

$$(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, I) = (W_1, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_1, I_1) \oplus (W_2, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_2, I_2)$$

be a direct sum decomposition. As has been used already in the proof of **Proposition 2.2.3**, one has

$$L = L_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes L_2, \quad \Lambda = \Lambda_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \Lambda_2, \quad \text{on } \bigwedge^* V^* = \bigwedge^* W_1^* \otimes \bigwedge^* W_2^*.$$

Moreover, for $\delta_i \in \bigwedge^{k_i} W_i^*, i = 1, 2$, the Hodge $*$ -operator of $\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2$ is given by

$$*(\delta_1 \otimes \delta_2) = (-1)^{k_1 k_2} (*_1 \delta_1) \otimes (*_2 \delta_2).$$

Assuming the assertion for W_1 and W_2 one could in principle deduce the assertion for V . However, as the Lefschetz decomposition of $\bigwedge^* V^*$ is not the product of the Lefschetz decompositions of $\bigwedge^* W_1^*$ and $\bigwedge^* W_2^*$, the calculation is slightly cumbersome. It is actually more convenient to assume in addition that W_2 is complex one-dimensional. Of course, the induction argument is still valid. So, we **let $W_2 = x_1 \mathbb{R} \oplus y_1 \mathbb{R}$ as in the one-dimensional case.**

Any $\alpha \in \bigwedge^k V^*$ can thus be written as

$$\alpha = \beta_k + \beta'_{k-1} \otimes x^1 + \beta''_{k-1} \otimes y^1 + \beta_{k-2} \otimes \omega,$$

where $\beta_k \in \bigwedge^k W_1^*$, $\beta'_{k-1}, \beta''_{k-1} \in \bigwedge^{k-1} W_1^*$, and $\beta_{k-2} \in \bigwedge^{k-2} W_1^*$. Hence,

$$\Lambda \alpha = \Lambda_1 \beta_k + (\Lambda_1 \beta'_{k-1}) \otimes x^1 + (\Lambda_1 \beta''_{k-1}) \otimes y^1 + (\Lambda_1 \beta_{k-2}) \otimes \omega + \beta_{k-2}.$$

Thus, α is primitive if and only if $\beta'_{k-1}, \beta''_{k-1}, \beta_{k-2} \in \bigwedge^* W_1^*$ are primitive and $\Lambda_1 \beta_k + \beta_{k-2} = 0$. The latter condition holds true if and only if **the Lefschetz decomposition of β_k is of the form $\beta_k = \gamma_k + L_1 \gamma_{k-2}$ and $\beta_{k-2} = (k-n-1) \gamma_{k-2}$.**

Next one computes $L^j \alpha$. Since W_2 is one-dimensional, one has

$$L^j = L_1^j \otimes 1 + j L_1^{j-1} \otimes L_2$$

and, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} L^j \alpha &= (L_1^j \otimes 1)(\alpha) + (j L_1^{j-1} \otimes L_2)(\alpha) \\ &= \left[L_1^j \gamma_k + L_1^{j+1} \gamma_{k-2} + (L_1^j \beta'_{k-1}) \otimes x^1 + (L_1^j \beta''_{k-1}) \otimes y^1 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (k-n-1) (L_1^j \gamma_{k-2}) \otimes \omega \right] + \left[j (L_1^{j-1} \gamma_k) \otimes \omega + j (L_1^j \gamma_{k-2}) \otimes \omega \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Where $jL_1^{j-1} \otimes L_2(\beta'_{k-1} \otimes x^1 + \beta''_{k-1} \otimes y^1 + \beta_{k-2} \otimes \omega) = 0$.

In order to compute $*L^j\alpha$, one uses this equation and the induction hypothesis:

$$\begin{aligned} *_1 L_1^\ell \gamma_k &= (-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \frac{\ell!}{(n-1-k-\ell)!} L_1^{n-1-k-\ell} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_k), \quad \ell = j-1, j \\ *_1 L_1^\ell \gamma_{k-2} &= (-1)^{\frac{(k-2)(k-1)}{2}} \cdot \frac{\ell!}{(n+1-k-\ell)!} L_1^{n+1-k-\ell} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_{k-2}), \quad \ell = j, j+1 \\ *_1 L_1^j \beta'^{(\ell)}_{k-1} &= (-1)^{\frac{k(k-1)}{2}} \frac{j!}{(n-k-j)!} L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\beta'^{(\ell)}_{k-1}). \end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned} &(-1)^{\frac{k(k+1)}{2}} \frac{(n-k-j)!}{j!} * L^j \alpha \\ &= (n-k-j)L_1^{n-1-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_k) - (j+1)L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_{k-2}) + L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_k) \otimes \omega \\ &- \frac{j}{n+1-k-j} L_1^{n+1-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_{k-2}) \otimes \omega + L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\beta'_{k-1}) \otimes *_2(x^1) \\ &+ L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\beta''_{k-1}) \otimes *_2(y^1) - \frac{k-n-1}{n+1-k-j} L_1^{n+1-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_{k-2}) \otimes \omega \\ &= (n-k-j)L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_k) - (j+1)L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_{k-2}) + L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_k) \otimes \omega \\ &+ L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\beta'_{k-1}) \otimes *_2(x^1) + L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\beta''_{k-1}) \otimes *_2(y^1) + L_1^{n+1-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_{k-2}) \otimes \omega \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &L^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}(\alpha) \\ &= L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_k) \otimes \omega + L_1^{n+1-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_{k-2}) \otimes \omega + (n-k-j)L_1^{n-k-j-1} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_k) \\ &+ L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\beta'_{k-1}) \otimes (-y^1) + L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\beta''_{k-1}) \otimes (x^1) \\ &+ (n-k-j)L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_{k-2}) + (k-n-1)L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_{k-2}) \\ &= L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_k) \otimes \omega + L_1^{n+1-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_{k-2}) \otimes \omega + (n-k-j)L_1^{n-k-j-1} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_k) \\ &+ L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\beta'_{k-1}) \otimes (-y^1) + L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\beta''_{k-1}) \otimes (x^1) \\ &- (j+1)L_1^{n-k-j} \mathbf{I}_1(\gamma_{k-2}). \end{aligned}$$

Where $\mathbf{I}_2(1) = \omega$, $\mathbf{I}_2(\omega) = 1$. Comparing both expressions yields the result. \square

Sec 2.3 Differential Forms

Proposition 2.3.6. $\bar{\partial}$ -Poincaré lemma in one variable

Consider an open neighbourhood of the closure of a bounded one-dimensional disc $B_\varepsilon \subset$

$\bar{B}_\varepsilon \subset U \subset \mathbb{C}$. For $\alpha = f d\bar{z} \in \mathcal{A}^{0,1}(U)$ the function

$$g(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{B_\varepsilon} \frac{f(w)}{w-z} dw \wedge d\bar{w} \quad (2.3)$$

on B_ε satisfies $\alpha = \bar{\partial}g$.

Proof. Note first, that for $w = x + iy$ one has $dw \wedge d\bar{w} = (dx + idy) \wedge (dx - idy) = -2idx \wedge dy$. The existence of g as well as the assertion $\alpha = \bar{\partial}g$ will be shown by splitting g into two parts. This splitting will depend on a chosen point $z_0 \in B_\varepsilon$ or rather on a neighbourhood of such a point.

Let $z_0 \in B := B_\varepsilon$ and let $\psi : B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a differentiable function with **compact** $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset B$ and such that $\psi|_V \equiv 1$ for some open neighbourhood of $z_0 \in V \subset B$. If $f_1 := \psi \cdot f$ and $f_2 := (1 - \psi) \cdot f$, then $f = f_1 + f_2$.

Step I. In order to see that **the above integral is well-defined** (2.3) we consider first the following integrals

$$g_i(z) := \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B \frac{f_i(w)}{w-z} dw \wedge d\bar{w}, i = 1, 2.$$

Since $f_2|_V \equiv 0$, the second one is obviously well defined for $z \in V$. The first integral can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B \frac{f_1(w)}{w-z} dw \wedge d\bar{w} \\ &\stackrel{1}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f_1(w)}{w-z} dw \wedge d\bar{w}, \text{ since } \text{supp}(f_1) \subset B \text{ is compact} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{f_1(u+z)}{u} du \wedge d\bar{u}, \text{ for } u := w-z \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} f_1(z + re^{i\varphi}) e^{-i\varphi} d\varphi \wedge dr, \text{ for } u = re^{i\varphi}. \end{aligned}$$

For 1, since $\text{supp}(f_1) \subset B$ is compact, one has

$$f_1(w) = \begin{cases} \text{id}, & \text{if } w \in B \\ 0, & \text{if } w \in \mathbb{C} \setminus B. \end{cases}$$

then a calculation yields the conclusion.

The last integral is clearly well-defined. Since the integral defining g splits into the two integrals just considered, we see that the function g in the assertion is well-defined on V and thus everywhere on B .

Step II. In order to compute $\bar{\partial}g$, we use the same splitting of $g = g_1 + g_2$ as before. Let us first consider $\bar{\partial}g_2$. Since $(w-z)^{-1}$ is holomorphic as a function of z for w in the complement

of V , one finds

$$\begin{cases} f_2|_V \equiv 0, & \text{if } z \in V \\ \frac{\partial(w-z)^{-1}}{\partial\bar{z}} = 0, & \text{if } z \in B \setminus V. \end{cases}.$$

Then one obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial\bar{z}}(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B f_2(w) \frac{\partial(w-z)^{-1}}{\partial\bar{z}} dw \wedge d\bar{w} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left(\int_V + \int_{B \setminus V} \right) f_2(w) \frac{\partial(w-z)^{-1}}{\partial\bar{z}} dw \wedge d\bar{w} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in V$. Using the above expression for g_1 we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial\bar{z}}(z) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial f_1(z+re^{i\varphi})}{\partial\bar{z}} e^{-i\varphi} d\varphi \wedge dr \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{w}} \frac{\partial(\bar{z}+re^{-i\varphi})}{\partial\bar{z}} + \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial w} \frac{\partial(z+re^{-i\varphi})}{\partial\bar{z}} \right) e^{-i\rho} d\varphi \wedge dr \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{w}}(z+re^{i\varphi}) e^{-i\varphi} d\varphi \wedge dr \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{w}}(w) \frac{dw \wedge d\bar{w}}{w-z}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $z \in V$ one has

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial g}{\partial\bar{z}}(z) &= \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial\bar{z}} + \frac{\partial g_2}{\partial\bar{z}} = \frac{\partial g_1}{\partial\bar{z}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{w}}(w) \frac{dw \wedge d\bar{w}}{w-z} \stackrel{(*)}{=} f_1(z) = f(z). \end{aligned}$$

Here, $(*)$ is a consequence of **Stokes' theorem**:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_B \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{w}} \cdot (w) \frac{dw \wedge d\bar{w}}{w-z} &\stackrel{i}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{B \setminus B_\delta(z)} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{w}}(w) \frac{dw \wedge d\bar{w}}{w-z} \\ &\stackrel{ii}{=} \frac{-1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{B \setminus B_\delta(z)} d \left(\frac{f_1(w)}{w-z} dw \right), \quad \text{since } (w-z)^{-1} \text{ is} \\ &\quad \text{holomorphic on } B \setminus B_\delta(z) \\ &\stackrel{iii}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{\partial B_\delta(z)} \frac{f_1(w)}{w-z} dw, \quad \text{since } \text{supp}(f_1) \subset B \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^{2\pi} f_1(z + \delta e^{i\varphi}) d\varphi = f_1(z). \end{aligned}$$

For i, since $(w-z)^{-1}$ is holomorphic on $B \setminus B_\delta(z)$ and $f_1 = \psi \cdot f$ is differentiable on B due to $f \in C^\infty(U)$, $B = B_\varepsilon \subset U$ and ψ being differentiable on B , the limit

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{B \setminus B_\delta(z)} \frac{\partial f_1}{\partial\bar{w}}(w) \frac{dw \wedge d\bar{w}}{w-z}$$

is existed (Only need $\partial f_1(w)/\partial \bar{w}(w - z)^{-1}$ to be continuous on $B \setminus B_\delta(z)$).

For ii, the reason is

$$\begin{aligned} d\left(\frac{f_1(w)}{w-z}dw\right) &= \frac{df_1(w)}{(w-z)} \wedge dw + f_1(w)d((w-z)^{-1})dw \\ &= \frac{1}{(w-z)}\left(\frac{\partial f_1(w)}{\partial w}dw + \frac{\partial f_1(w)}{\partial \bar{w}}d\bar{w}\right) \wedge dw + f_1(w)\left(\frac{\partial(w-z)^{-1}}{\partial z}dw\right. \\ &\quad \left.+\frac{\partial(w-z)^{-1}}{\partial \bar{z}}d\bar{w}\right) \wedge dw \\ &= \frac{1}{(w-z)}\frac{\partial f_1(w)}{\partial \bar{w}}d\bar{w} \wedge dw + f_1(w)\frac{\partial(w-z)^{-1}}{\partial \bar{z}}d\bar{w} \wedge dw \\ &= \frac{-1}{(w-z)}\frac{\partial f_1(w)}{\partial \bar{w}}dw \wedge d\bar{w}. \end{aligned}$$

Note: Since $(w-z)^{-1}$ is holomorphic on $B \setminus B_\varepsilon(z)$, which is equivalent to $\frac{\partial(w-z)^{-1}}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0$, one has the last equation above.

For iii, it is a direct consequence of using Stokes theorem, whose form is

$$\int_{\Sigma} d\omega = \int_{\partial\Sigma} \omega.$$

Where ω is a compact form of dimension $n-1$, i.e. $\text{supp}(f_1) \subset B$ and Σ is an oriented bounded C^∞ surface (or manifold) of dimension n with boundary .

□

Lemma 2.3.3. $\bar{\partial}$ -Poincaré lemma in several variables

Let U be an open neighbourhood of the closure of a bounded polydisc $B_\varepsilon \subset \bar{B}_\varepsilon \subset U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$. If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(U)$ is **$\bar{\partial}$ -closed and $q > 0$** , then there exists a form $\beta \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1}(B_\varepsilon)$ with $\alpha = \bar{\partial}\beta$ on B_ε .

Proof. (*Proposition Transformation*) We **first reduce the assertion to the case that $p = 0$** . To this end, we write the form $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(U)$ as

$$\alpha = \sum_{I,J} f_{IJ} dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_J = \sum_I dz_I \wedge \alpha_I$$

where $|I| = p$, $|J| = q$, and $\alpha_I = \sum_J f_{IJ} d\bar{z}_J \in \mathcal{A}^{0,q}(U)$. Then

$$\bar{\partial}\alpha = \sum_{i,I,J} \left(\frac{\partial f_{IJ}}{\partial \bar{z}_i} d\bar{z}_i \right) \wedge dz_I \wedge d\bar{z}_J = 0 \iff \bar{\partial}\alpha_I = 0 \text{, for all } I.$$

Moreover,

$$\alpha = \bar{\partial} \left(\sum_{K,L} g_{KL} dz_K \wedge d\bar{z}_L \right) \iff \alpha_I = \bar{\partial} \left(\sum_L g_{IL} d\bar{z}_L \right) \text{, for all } I.$$

2.3. DIFFERENTIAL FORMS

Thereby, one transform the original proposition into the equivalent case $p = 0$.

Next, let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{0,q}(U)$ be $\bar{\partial}$ -closed (i.e. $\bar{\partial}\alpha = 0$) and write $\alpha = \sum_I f_I d\bar{z}_I$. **Choose k minimal such that no $d\bar{z}_i$ occurs in this sum for $i > k$. Thus, we can write $\alpha = \alpha_1 \wedge d\bar{z}_k + \alpha_2$, with α_2 free of $d\bar{z}_i$ for $i \geq k$.**

Notes for the decomposition of α As $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{0,q}(U) = \bigwedge^{0,q}(U) = \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \bigwedge^q(T^*U)^{0,1}$, set the basis of $\bigwedge^q(T^*U)^{0,1}$ is $d\bar{z}_1, \dots, d\bar{z}_n$, then one has

$$\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i d\bar{z}_i.$$

,where $\bigwedge^0(T^*U)^{1,0} = \mathbb{C}^n$.

By assumption, $0 = \bar{\partial}\alpha = (\bar{\partial}\alpha_1) \wedge d\bar{z}_k + \bar{\partial}\alpha_2$. If we set $\bar{\partial}_i := (\partial/\partial\bar{z}_i) d\bar{z}_i$, then this implies $\bar{\partial}_i \alpha_1 = \bar{\partial}_i \alpha_2 = 0$ for $i > k$. Therefore, the functions f_I are holomorphic in z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n . (Iff $\bar{\partial}_i \alpha = 0$, $i > k$.)

By the one-dimensional Poincaré lemma 2.3.6 the function

$$g_I(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{B_{\varepsilon_k}} \frac{f_I(z_1, \dots, z_{k-1}, w, z_{k+1}, \dots)}{w - z_k} dw \wedge d\bar{w}$$

satisfies $\partial g_I / \partial \bar{z}_k = f_I$ on $B_{\varepsilon_k} \subset \mathbb{C}$. Moreover, the function g_I is holomorphic in z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n and differentiable in the other variables.

Set

$$\gamma := \sum_{k \in I} g_I d\bar{z}_{I \setminus \{k\}} \in \mathcal{A}^{0,q-1}(B_{\varepsilon_k}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_i \gamma(z) &= 0, \text{ for } i > k, \text{ and } \bar{\partial}_j \gamma(z) = 0, \text{ for } j < k; \\ \bar{\partial}_k \gamma(z) &= \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus one has

$$\bar{\partial}\gamma(z) = \bar{\partial}_i \gamma(z) + \bar{\partial}_k \gamma(z) + \bar{\partial}_j \gamma(z) = \alpha,$$

where the setting of i, j are same as above. Hence, $\alpha + \bar{\partial}\gamma$ is still $\bar{\partial}$ -closed, but it does not involve any $d\bar{z}_i$ for $i \geq k$ anymore. Then one concludes by induction. \square

Corollary 2.3.3. $\bar{\partial}$ -Poincaré lemma on the open disc

If $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q}(B)$ is $\bar{\partial}$ -closed and $q > 0$, then there exists $\beta \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1}(B)$ with $\alpha = \bar{\partial}\beta$.

Proof. Choose strictly monoton increasing sequences $\varepsilon_i(m)$ with $\varepsilon_i(m) \rightarrow \varepsilon_i$ for $m \rightarrow \infty$. Let B_m be the polydisc $\{z \mid |z_i| < \varepsilon_i(m)\}$. Thus, $B_1 \subset B_2 \subset \dots \subset \bigcup B_m = B$.

Let us **first show that for any m there exists a form $\beta_m \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1}(B)$ with $\bar{\partial}\beta_m = \alpha$ on B_m** . Due to **Proposition 2.3.3** we find $\beta_{m+1} \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1}(B_{m+1})$ with $\bar{\partial}\beta_{m+1} = \alpha$ on B_{m+1} . Choose a function ψ on B_ε with $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset B_{m+1}$ and $\psi|_{B_m} \equiv 1$. Then define $\beta_m := \psi \cdot \beta_{m+1}$, which is a form on B_{m+1} that extends smoothly to a form on B . Clearly, $\bar{\partial}\beta_m = \bar{\partial}\beta_{m+1} = \alpha$ on B_m .

Note: The significance of this paragraph is to show that if the open neighborhood U extends to an open disc B , one can always find the corresponding form sequence $\{\beta_m\}_1^\infty$ such that $\alpha = \bar{\partial}\beta_m$ on B_m by constructing the function $\beta_{m+1}|_{B_m} = \psi \cdot \beta_{m+1} = \beta_m$.

$\text{supp}(\psi) \subset B_{m+1}$ is provided to make $\overline{B_m} \subset B_{m+1}$ always hold true for any m .

Next, we claim that for $q > 1$ we can choose a sequence (β_m) as above with the additional property that $\beta_m = \beta_{m+1}$ on B_{m-1} . Assume we have constructed $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{m-1}$ already. Choose any $\tilde{\beta}_{m+1} \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1}(B)$ with $\bar{\partial}\tilde{\beta}_{m+1} = \alpha$ on B_{m+1} . Hence, $\bar{\partial}(\beta_m - \tilde{\beta}_{m+1}) = 0$ on B_m .

Since we have constructed $\beta_m = \beta_{m+1}|_{B_m} = \psi\beta_{m+1}$ on B_m , and $\bar{\partial}\beta_m = \alpha$, thus $\bar{\partial}\beta_m - \bar{\partial}\tilde{\beta}_{m+1} = \alpha - \alpha = 0$.

By induction hypothesis we may assume that there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q-2}(B_m)$ with $\beta_m - \tilde{\beta}_{m+1} = \bar{\partial}\gamma$. Choose a function ψ on B such that $\text{supp}(\psi) \subset B_m$ and $\psi|_{B_{m-1}} \equiv 1$ and let $\beta_{m+1} := \tilde{\beta}_{m+1} + \bar{\partial}(\psi \cdot \gamma)$. Then

$$\beta_{m+1}|_{B_{m-1}} = \tilde{\beta}_{m+1}|_{B_{m-1}} + \bar{\partial}(\gamma)|_{B_{m-1}} = \beta_m|_{B_{m-1}}$$

and

$$\bar{\partial}\beta_{m+1} = \bar{\partial}\tilde{\beta}_{m+1} = \alpha \text{ on } B_{m+1}.$$

Clearly, the sequence (β_m) obtained in this way converges to a form $\beta \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1}(B)$ with $\bar{\partial}\beta = \alpha$ on B .

Since we have proved $\beta_{m+1}|_{B_m} = \beta_m$, i.e. $\beta_{m+1} = \beta_m$ on B_m , now on this paragraph we shall show that $\beta_{m+1} = \beta_m$ on B_{m-1} , which is equivalent to $\beta_m = \beta_{m-1}$ on B_{m-1} . Synthesizing the above results can be obtained

$$\beta_{m+1}|_{B_m} = \beta_m \iff \beta_{m+1} = \beta_m \text{ on } B_m,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_m|_{B_{m-1}} = \beta_{m-1} &\iff \beta_m = \beta_{m-1} \text{ on } B_{m-1} \\ &\iff \beta_{m+1}|_{B_{m-1}} = \beta_m|_{B_{m-1}} = \beta_{m-1} \\ &\iff \beta_{m+1} = \beta_m \text{ on } B_{m-1}, \\ &\iff \beta_{m+1} = \beta_m = \beta_{m-1} \text{ on } B_{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

For proving the third equivalent relationship, we need to apply the **Proposition 2.3.3** twice to construct the proper form β_{m+1} such that $\beta_{m+1}|_{B_{m-1}} = \beta_m|_{B_{m-1}}$. Firstly, since for any m there exists a form $\beta_m \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1}(B)$ with $\bar{\partial}\beta_m = \alpha$ on B_m , thus one can choose any $\tilde{\beta}_{m+1} \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q-1}(B)$ with $\bar{\partial}\tilde{\beta}_{m+1} = \alpha$ on B_{m+1} . And because we have obtained the consequence that $\beta_m := \psi\beta_{m+1}$ and $\bar{\partial}\beta_m = \alpha$, one has $\bar{\partial}(\beta_m - \tilde{\beta}_{m+1}) = \alpha - \alpha = 0$ clearly. (Here's the proposition used once) Secondly, using the proposition twice here, then there exists $\gamma \in \mathcal{A}^{p,q-2}(B_m)$ with $\beta_m - \tilde{\beta}_{m+1} = \bar{\partial}\gamma$.

For $q = 1$ we proceed as follows. This time one constructs a sequence (β_m) of functions on B with $\bar{\partial}\beta_m = \alpha$ on B_m and $|\beta_{m+1} - \beta_m| < 2^{-m}$ on B_{m-1} . This would yield a locally uniformly convergent sequence the limit of which would provide the desired function on B . Assume β_1, \dots, β_m have been constructed. Choose $\tilde{\beta}_{m+1} \in \mathcal{A}^{p,0}(B)$ with $\bar{\partial}\tilde{\beta}_{m+1} = \alpha$ on B_{m+1} . **Then the function $\beta_m - \tilde{\beta}_{m+1}$ on B_m is holomorphic and can therefore be expanded in a power series.** On the smaller disc $B_{m-1} \subset B_m$ it can be approximated by a polynomial P such that

$$|\beta_m - \tilde{\beta}_{m+1} - P| < 2^{-m}.$$

The polynomial P defines a holomorphic function on B and we set $\beta_{m+1} := \tilde{\beta}_{m+1} + P$. Then $\bar{\partial}\beta_{m+1} = \bar{\partial}\tilde{\beta}_{m+1} = \alpha$ on B_{m+1} and $|\beta_{m+1} - \beta_m| < 2^{-m}$ on B_{m-1} . \square

Definition 2.3.6. Osculating metrics

The metric g osculates in the origin to order two to the standard metric if $(h_{ij}) = \text{id} + O(|z|^2)$.

Explicitly, the condition means $a_{ijk} = \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k}(0) = a'_{ijk} = \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k}(0) = 0$ for all i, j, k . In other words, the power series expansion of (h_{ij}) differs from the constant matrix id by terms of order at least two, thus terms of the form $a_{ijk}z_k + a'_{ijk}\bar{z}_k$ don't occur. (No terms of order 1)

Remark. Osculating metrics will provide the local models of Kähler metrics which will be extensively studied in the later chapters.

Here is the crucial fact:

Proposition 2.3.7.

*Let g be a compatible metric on U and let ω be the associated fundamental form. Then, $d\omega = 0$ if and only if for any point $x \in U$ there exist a neighbourhood U' of $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and a local biholomorphic map $f : U' \cong f(U') \subset U$ with $f(0) = x$ and such that f^*g osculates in the origin to order two to the standard metric.*

Proof. First note that for any local biholomorphic map f the pull-back $f^*\omega$ is the associated fundamental form to f^*g . In particular, ω is closed on $f(U')$ if and only if $f^*\omega$ is closed. Thus, in order to show that $d\omega = 0$ one can assume that the metric g osculates to order two to the standard metric and then one verifies that $d\omega$ vanishes in the origin. But the latter follows immediately from $\frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k}(0) = \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k}(0) = 0$

For the other direction let us assume that $d\omega = 0$. We fix a point $x \in U$. After translating we may assume that $x = 0$. By a linear coordinate change we may furthermore assume that $(h_{ij})(0) = \text{id}$. Thus for $f = f(z, \bar{z})$, one has the power series expansion on below:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta f|_{(0,0)} &= f_z|_{(0,0)}\Delta z + f_{\bar{z}}|_{(0,0)}\Delta \bar{z} + O(|z|^2), \\ h_{ij} &= \delta_{ij} + \sum_k a_{ijk}z_k + \sum_k a'_{ijk}\bar{z}_k + O(|z|^2), \text{ let } f = h_{ij},\end{aligned}$$

where $f_z|_{(0,0)} = \sum_k (\partial f / \partial z_k)(0)$ and $f_{\bar{z}}$ is similar. Thus, $a_{ijk} = \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial z_k}(0)$ and $a'_{ijk} = \frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial \bar{z}_k}(0)$. The assumption $d\omega(0) = 0$ implies $a_{ijk} = a_{kji}$ and $a'_{ijk} = a'_{ikj}$. Furthermore, since ω is real, $h_{ij} = \bar{h}_{ji}$ and thus $a'_{ijk} = \bar{a}_{jik}$. New holomorphic coordinates in a neighbourhood of the origin can now be defined by

$$w_j := z_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k=1}^n a_{ijk}z_i z_k.$$

Then,

$$dw_j = dz_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k=1}^n a_{ijk}(dz_i)z_k + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,k=1}^n a_{ijk}z_i(dz_k) = dz_j + \sum_{i,k=1}^n a_{ijk}z_k dz_i$$

and similarly

$$d\bar{w}_j = d\bar{z}_j + \sum_{i,k=1}^n a'_{jik}\bar{z}_k d\bar{z}_i.$$

Therefore, up to terms of order at least two, one finds

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n dw_j \wedge d\bar{w}_j \\&= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \left(dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j + \left(\sum_{i,k=1}^n a_{ijk}z_k dz_i \right) \wedge d\bar{z}_j + dz_j \wedge \left(\sum_{i,k=1}^n a'_{jik}\bar{z}_k d\bar{z}_i \right) \right) \\&= \frac{i}{2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^n dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_j + \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a_{ijk}z_k \right) dz_i \wedge d\bar{z}_j + \sum_{i,j}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^n a'_{jik}\bar{z}_k \right) dz_j \wedge d\bar{z}_i \right) \\&= \omega\end{aligned}$$

□

Sec 2.4

Some examples of complex manifolds

1. Affine space

The most basic complex manifolds provided by the n -dimensional complex space \mathbb{C}^n . Any complex vector space is also a complex manifold. *The open subsets of \mathbb{C}^n serve as the local models for arbitrary complex manifolds.* (An open subset of \mathbb{C} is identified with the part of a complex manifolds.)

Topology Natural topology inherited from \mathbb{C}^n .

Open Covering Not always exist! Due to the fact that \mathbb{C} is not biholomorphic to a bounded open disc by Liouville's theorem, a general manifold cannot be covered by open subsets biholomorphic to \mathbb{C}^n .

Isomorphic Transition Maps Affine maps $\varphi_i : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. (Which is equivalent to the existence of holomorphic atlas.)

2. Projective hypersurfaces

Let f be a homogeneous polynomial in $n + 1$ variables z_0, \dots, z_n . **Assume that $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is a regular value for the induced holomorphic map $f : \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.** By the previous example we know that $f^{-1}(0) = Z(f)$ is a complex manifold. The subset

$$X := V(f) := f^{-1}(0)/\mathbb{C}^* \subset \mathbb{P}^n$$

is the set of all points $(z_0 : \dots : z_n) \in \mathbb{P}^n$ with $f(z_0, \dots, z_n) = 0$.

Notes: Note that the value $f(z_0, \dots, z_n)$ in general depends on the chosen representative of $(z_0 : \dots : z_n)$, but the **zero set** $V(f)$ is well-defined as f is homogeneous. We claim that X is a complex manifold of dimension $n - 1$. In fact, X is covered by the open subset $X \cap U_i$, where the U_i are the standard charts of \mathbb{P}^n . Using the standard isomorphisms $U_i \cong \mathbb{C}^n$, the set $X \cap U_i$ is identified with the fibre over $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ of the map

$$f_i : (w_1 \dots w_n) \mapsto f(w_1, \dots, w_{i-1}, 1, w_i, \dots, w_n).$$

Which means that $X \cap U_i = f_i^{-1}(0)$, for (U_i, f_i) . ($f_i(X \cap U_i) = 0$) Check that 0 is a regular value of this map through the definition of regular value and the assumption made above. Thus, by **the implicit function theorem** one can find charts for X such that the transition maps are holomorphic.



References

- [1] Xingshen Chern. *Lecture notes on Differential Geometry*. 2nd ed. Vol. 1. Leture. Leture Notes. Bei jing: Peking University Press, Dec. 1983. ISBN: 978-7-301-05151/O · 512.



Notes Series



Yann Sainty and Marcel Drechsler

ISBN 978-80-7340-097-2

9 788073 400972