Finding the mjor descriptors of species networks Tanya Strydom $^1;$ Andrew P. Beckerman 1 Abstract: TODO

 $\mathbf{Keywords:}\ \mathbf{food}\ \mathbf{web},\ \mathbf{structure},\ \mathbf{dimensionality}\ \mathbf{reduction}$

- ¹ Blah blah Vermaat et al. (2009)
- $_{2}$ "It is incumbent on network ecologists to establish clearly the independence and uniqueness of the descriptive
- $_{\rm 3}$ $\,$ metrics used." Lau et al. (2017)

Table 1: An informative caption about the different network properties

Label	Definition	"Function"	Reference (for maths), can make footnotes probs				
				Basal	Percentage of basal taxa, defined		
					as species who have a vulnerability		
of zero							
Connectance	L/S^2 , where S is the number of						
	species and L the number of links						
Cannibal	Percentage of species that are						
	cannibals						
ChLen	Mean food chain length, averaged						
	over all species (where a food chain						
	is defined as a continuous path						
	from a 'basal' to a 'top' species)						
ChSD	Standard deviation of ChLen						
ChNum	log number of food chains						
Clust	mean clustering coefficient		TODO				
	(probability that two taxa linked						
	to the same taxon are also linked)						
GenSD	Normalized standard deviation of		Williams & Martinez				
	generality of a species		(2008)				
	standardized by L/S						
Herbivore	Percentage of herbivores plus						
	detritivores (taxa that feed only						
	on basal taxa)						

Label	Definition	"Function"	Reference (for maths), can make footnotes probs				
				Intermediate	Percentage of intermediate taxa		
					(with both consumers and		
resources)							
LinkSD	Normalized standard deviation of						
	links (number of consumers plus						
	resources per taxon)						
Loop	Percentage of taxa in loops (food						
	chains in which a taxon occurs						
	twice)						
L/S	links per species						
MaxSim	Mean of the maximum trophic		TODO				
	similarity of each taxon to other						
	taxa, the number of predators and						
	prey shared by a pair of species						
	divided by their total number of						
	predators and prey						
Omnivory	Percentage of omnivores (taxa that						
	feed on \geq 2 taxa with different						
	trophic levels)						
Path	characteristic path length, the						
	mean shortest food chain length						
	between species pairs						
Richness	Number of nodes in the network						
TL	Prey-weighted trophic level		Williams & Martinez				
	averaged across taxa		(2004)				
Тор	Percentage of top taxa (taxa						
	without consumers)						

Label	Definition		Reference (for maths), can make footnotes probs
		"Function"	
vulnerability of a species			
standardized by L/S			
Links	The number of links in the		
	network		
Diameter	Diameter can also be measured as		Delmas et al. (2019)
	the average of the distances		
	between each pair of nodes in the		
	network		
ho	Spectral radius is a a conceptual		Staniczenko et al.
	analog to nestedness (and more		(2013)
	appropriate for unipartite		
	networks). It is defined as the		
	absolute value of the largest real		
	part of the eigenvalues of the		
	undirected adjacency matrix		
Complexity	SVD complexity of a network,	Something about	Strydom et al.
	defined as the Pielou entropy of its	structural v	(2021)
	singular values	behavioural	
		complexity being	
		captured	
Centrality	Centrality is a measure of how	Centrality can help	
	'influential' a species is, under	in quantifying the	
	various definitions of 'influence'	importance of species	
		in a network	
S1	Number of linear chains		Stouffer et al. (2007)
			Milo et al. (2002)
S2	Number of omnivory motifs		Stouffer et al. (2007)
			Milo et al. (2002)

			Reference (for
			maths), can make
Label	Definition	"Function"	footnotes probs
S4	Number of apparent competition		Stouffer et al. (2007)
	motifs		Milo et al. (2002)
S5	Number of direct competition		Stouffer et al. (2007)
	motifs		Milo et al. (2002)
Intervality			TODO Stouffer et
			al. (2006)

4 References

- Delmas, E., Besson, M., Brice, M.-H., Burkle, L. A., Riva, G. V. D., Fortin, M.-J., Gravel, D., Guimarães,
- P. R., Hembry, D. H., Newman, E. A., Olesen, J. M., Pires, M. M., Yeakel, J. D., & Poisot, T. (2019).
- Analysing ecological networks of species interactions. Biological Reviews, 94(1), 16–36. https://doi.org/
- 8 10.1111/brv.12433
- ⁹ Lau, M. K., Borrett, S. R., Baiser, B., Gotelli, N. J., & Ellison, A. M. (2017). Ecological network metrics:
- Opportunities for synthesis. Ecosphere, 8(8), e01900. https://doi.org/10.1002/ecs2.1900
- Milo, R., Shen-Orr, S., Itzkovitz, S., Kashtan, N., Chklovskii, D., & Alon, U. (2002). Network Motifs: Simple
- Building Blocks of Complex Networks. Science, 298(5594), 824–827. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.298.
- 13 5594.824
- Staniczenko, P. P. A., Kopp, J. C., & Allesina, S. (2013). The ghost of nestedness in ecological networks.
- Nature Communications, 4(1), 1391. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms2422
- 16 Stouffer, D. B., Camacho, J., & Amaral, L. A. N. (2006). A robust measure of food web intervality.
- Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 103(50), 19015–19020. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.
- 18 0603844103
- 19 Stouffer, D. B., Camacho, J., Jiang, W., & Nunes Amaral, L. A. (2007). Evidence for the existence of a
- robust pattern of prey selection in food webs. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences,
- 274(1621), 1931–1940. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2007.0571
- 22 Strydom, T., Dalla Riva, G. V., & Poisot, T. (2021). SVD Entropy Reveals the High Complexity of Ecological
- Networks. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution, 9. https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2021.623141
- Vermaat, J. E., Dunne, J. A., & Gilbert, A. J. (2009). Major dimensions in food-web structure properties.

- 25 Ecology, 90(1), 278–282. https://doi.org/10.1890/07-0978.1
- Williams, R. J., & Martinez, N. D. (2004). Limits to Trophic Levels and Omnivory in Complex Food Webs:
- 27 Theory and Data. The American Naturalist, 163(3), 458–468. https://doi.org/10.1086/381964
- ²⁸ Williams, R. J., & Martinez, N. D. (2008). Success and its limits among structural models of complex food
- webs. The Journal of Animal Ecology, 77(3), 512–519. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2656.2008.01362.x