Statistics 360: Advanced R for Data Science MARS, part III

Becky Lin

Topics

- ▶ Recap of forward stepwise MARS algorithm (Algorithm 2)
- Pruning basis functions in the backward stepwise algorithm (Algorithm 3)
 - ► LOF revisited
- Software features
- Data structures and functions

MARS forward algorithm (algorithm 2)

```
Algorithm 2 (MARS—forward stepwise)
       Initializing N (sample size).
       n (# of predictors), B, Bfuncs
                                                                        Mmax/2 = no. of pairs to be added
         Loop until M > M_{\text{max}}: \log^* \leftarrow \infty
                                                                        -> a loop over pairs: i in 1: (Mmax/2)
             For m = 1 to M - 1 do:
                                                                        -> M = 2*i -1 then loop m over 1: M
                 For v \notin \{v(k, m) | 1 \le k \le K_m\}
                    For t \in \{x_{n,i}|B_m(\mathbf{x}_i) > 0\}
                        g \leftarrow \sum_{i=1}^{M-1} a_i B_i(\mathbf{x}) + a_M B_m(\mathbf{x}) [+(x_n-t)]_+ + a_{M+1} B_m(\mathbf{x}) [-(x_n-t)]_+
                        lof \leftarrow \min_{a_1, \dots, a_{M+1}} LOF(g)
                        if \log < \log^*, then \log^* \leftarrow \log; m^* \leftarrow m; v^* \leftarrow v; t^* \leftarrow t end if
                    end for
                                                                            Bfuncs: a list of Mmax+1 elements, for each element, it might
                                                                                     have multiple pairs of (s. v. t)
                 end for
             end for
\begin{aligned} & \text{B[M+1,]} < B_{M}(\mathbf{x}) \leftarrow B_{m^{*}}(\mathbf{x})[+(x_{v^{*}} - t^{*})]_{+} \\ & \text{B[M+2,]} < B_{M+1}(\mathbf{x}) \leftarrow B_{m^{*}}(\mathbf{x})[-(x_{v^{*}} - t^{*})]_{+} \end{aligned}
                                                                                -> also update Bfuncs[[M+1]] and Bfuncs[[M+2]]
                                                                                by rbind(Bfuncs[[m]], c(s,v,t))
            M \leftarrow M + 2
         end loop
         end algorithm
```

Figure 1: Algorithm 2

Recap of forward algorithm

- ▶ The MARS forward stepwise algorithm (Algorithm 2) builds a linear prediction equation that is linear in basis functions $B_1(x), \ldots, B_{M_{max}}(x)$.
 - Coefficients of the basis functions are by least squares.
 - ▶ Have an intercept term $B_0(x) = 1$ (notation change from Alg 2)
 - Basis functions are products of hinge functions:

$$B_m(x) = \prod_{k=1}^{K_m} h(s_{km}(x_{v(k,m)} - t_{km})),$$

where

- \triangleright K_m is the number of product terms,
- $h(x) = \max(0, x),$
- $ightharpoonup s_{km}$ is +1 or -1 (recall mirror-image basis functions),
- \triangleright v(k, m) is the kth variable used in B_m , and
- $ightharpoonup t_{km}$ is the knot for the kth variable.

Forward algorithm testing result (1/3)

```
> head(testdata,5)
                                                                                                                     x10
1 -0.09957987 -0.56047565 -0.7104066 2.1988103 -0.7152422 -0.07355602 -0.6018928 1.07401226 -0.7282191
                                                                                                      0.3562833 -1.0141142
2 0.70547203 -0.23017749 0.2568837 1.3124130 -0.7526890 -1.16865142 -0.9936986 -0.02734697 -1.5404424 -0.6580102 -0.7913139
3 7.48020063 1.55870831 -0.2466919 -0.2651451 -0.9385387 -0.63474826 1.0267851 -0.03333034 -0.6930946 0.8552022
4 1.69830766 0.07050839 -0.3475426 0.5431941 -1.0525133 -0.02884155 0.7510613 -1.51606762 0.1188494 1.1529362 1.6390519
5 1.63292893 0.12928774 -0.9516186 -0.4143399 -0.4371595 0.67069597 -1.5091665 0.79038534 -1.3647095 0.2762746 1.0846170
> dim(marstestdata)
[1] 100 11
> v <- marstestdata$v
> x <- marstestdata[,-1]
> fwd <- fwd_stepwise(y,x,control=mars.control(Mmax=4,d=3,trace=T))</pre>
> fwd
$v
[1] -0.099579872 0.705472027 7.480200632 1.698307661 1.632928928 7.022810365 2.907721192 0.241620737 0.068519824
     4.380931695 3.119764429 2.214397181 -0.013217513 10.967745814 0.104057346 8.086971553 12.799833137 0.861418747
[100] -0.044695931
$B
    BØ
    1 0.42158428 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    1 0.09128613 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
    1 0.00000000 1.69759968 0.360951494 0.000000000
    1 0.00000000 0.20939975 0.065641660 0.000000000
     1 0.00000000 0.26817910 0.246068083 0.000000000
100 1 0.88752954 0.000000000 0.000000000 0.000000000
```

Forward algorithm testing result (2/3)

```
$Bfuncs
$Bfuncs[[1]]
NULL
$Bfuncs[[2]]
[1,] -1 1 -0.1388914
$Bfuncs[[3]]
[1,] 1 1 -0.1388914
$Bfuncs[[4]]
[1,] 1 1 -0.13889136
[2,] -1 2 -0.03406725
$Bfuncs[[5]]
     s v
[1,] 1 1 -0.13889136
[2,] 1 2 -0.03406725
```

Figure 2: Algorithm 2-3

Forward algorithm testing result (2/3)

```
# the basis functions obtained from Bfunc
Bfuncs1 = rep(1, length(v))
Bfuncs2 = h(x[.1], -1, -0.1388914)
Bfuncs3 = h(x[,1],+1,-0.1388914)
Bfuncs4 = h(x[,1],+1,-0.13889136)*h(x[,2],-1,-0.03406725)
Bfuncs5 = h(x[,1],+1,-0.13889136)*h(x[,2],+1,-0.03406725)
# the model
mod_fwd = lm(v\sim Bfuncs2 + Bfuncs3 + Bfuncs4 + Bfuncs5)
mod_fwd$coef
> mod_fwd$coef
(Intercept)
                Bfuncs2
                            Bfuncs3
                                         Bfuncs4
                                                     Bfuncs5
0.47231115 -0.41129999 3.49692286 2.75603224 0.06642471
# the basis function is already formed in B matrix
mod_fwd2 = lm(y\sim as.matrix(fwd\$B)-1)
mod_fwd2$coef
> mod_fwd2$coef
as.matrix(fwd$B)B0 as.matrix(fwd$B)B1 as.matrix(fwd$B)B2 as.matrix(fwd$B)B3 as.matrix(fwd$B)B4
0.47231123
                  -0.41130005
                                                          2.75603224
                                                                             0.06642471
                                       3,49692290
```

Figure 3: Algorithm 2-4

Over-fitting

 During the forward algorithm, we added the basis function that improved LOF, the residual sum of squares (RSS)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \hat{f}_M(x_i))^2$$

where \hat{f}_M is a fitted model with M basis functions.

- ► RSS is OK for selecting among models with the same M, but not for comparing models with different M.
 - RSS decreases as we add predictors, even those not truly associated with the response, and so favours larger models.
- ► For model selection we need an unbiased measure of the "test error", which is the average squared error between observed and predicted values for data not used to fit the model.

Test error

- We call the RSS from an independent set of data not used to fit the model the validation error.
 - Split our data into "training" and "test" sets.
 - Estimate of test set error depends on split.
- ▶ Better: Use cross-validation (CV), which splits the data into "folds", fits the model on all but a hold-out, and averages the validation errors across folds.
- However, CV is time-consuming and so approximations are of interest.
- Generalized cross-validation, or GCV is one such approximation.

Generalized cross-validation (GCV)

▶ The LOF measure $LOF(\hat{f}(M)) = GCV(M)$ in Friedman's sample size

equations (30) and (32) is
$$\frac{\text{LOFf form, data, control}}{\text{mod } < \text{Im(form, data)}} \le \frac{\text{sample size}}{\text{mod } < \text{Im(form, data)}} = \frac{1}{N} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} (y_i - \hat{f}_M(x_i))^2}{(1 - \tilde{C}(M)/N)^2} = RSS \times \frac{\text{sum(diag(hatvalues(mod)))} + d^*M}{(N - \tilde{C}(M))^2}$$

where C(M) = C(M) + dM, C(M) is the sum of the hat-values from the fitted model and d is a smoothing parameter.

- C(M) = M + 1 if there are no linear dependencies between basis functions, but summing the hat-values is safest.
- Friedman suggests that d = 3 works well.
- Denominator decreases, so GCV increases as M increases.
- ▶ Notice that for fixed M, and assuming no linear dependencies between basis functions, the best model is the one with smallest RSS, so our forward stepwise algorithm is OK as-is.
- Use GCV to compare models with different M in the backward stepwise algorithm.

Alternatives to GCV

▶ Backward stepwise selection is implemented in the R function step(). However, step() uses Mallow's C_p instead of GCV, where

$$C_p = RSS/S^2 - N + 2(M+1)$$

for an estimate S^2 of σ^2 from a low-bias model, usually the largest one fit.

- $ightharpoonup C_p$ is very similiar to Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC)
- As with GCV we see that C_p penalizes RSS with a penalty that becomes larger as M increases.
 - ▶ The factor of 2 in 2(M+1) can be modified to apply more/less penalty.
 - Replacing 2 with log(N) gives a Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC)-like penalty.

Backwards stepwise algorithm (1/2)

Initialization:

Mmax = ncol(fwd\$B)-1

K*= J* = 2: (Mmax+1)
data = data.frame(fwd\$y, fwd\$B)
lof* = LOF(y~. -1, data, control)

```
Algorithm 3 (MARS—backwards stepwise)
J^* = \{1, 2, \dots, M_{max}\}; K^* \leftarrow J^*
lof^* \leftarrow \min_{\{a_i | j \in J^*\}} LOF(\sum_{j \in J^*} a_j B_j(\mathbf{x}))
For M = M_{\text{max}} to 2 do: b \leftarrow \infty; L \leftarrow K^*
    For m = 2 to M do: K \leftarrow L - \{m\}
       lof \leftarrow \min_{\{a_k \mid k \in K\}} LOF(\sum_{k \in K} a_k B_k(\mathbf{x}))
       if \log < b, then b \leftarrow \log K^* \leftarrow K end if
       if \log < \log^*, then \log^* \leftarrow \log J^* \leftarrow K end if
    end for
                              J*: record all the best predictors after backwards stepwise
                                Note that J* in the loops doesn't contain the intercept column (1, 1...1)
end for
                              The final output of Jstar should add in the intercept column by
                              J^* = c(1, J^*)
end algorithm
                              bwd\$B = fwd\$B[, J^*]; bwd\$Bfuncs = fwd\$Bfuncs[J^*]
```

Figure 4: Algorithm 3

Backwards stepwise algorithm (2/2)

- ▶ Initialize J^* to the set of all basis functions from the forward algorithm
- Outer loop over M in M_{max} to 2 (like step()):
 - ► Inner loop over M terms: Find the one that reduces GCV(M) the most (like drop()).
 - ▶ If GCV(M) best seen in outer loop, update J^*
- ▶ Algorithm terminates with best model J^* .

Software features

- Arguments/inputs
 - Formula interface to specify response and explanatory variables.
 - data argument for input data
 - list data structure of parameters that control algorithm, such as M_{max} and parameter d in GCV.
- ► Value/output
 - output of lm() from final fit
 - specification of basis functions from final fit that can be used for prediction
 - Return a list: list(y=fwd\$y,B=fwd\$B[,Jstar],Bfuncs=fwd\$Bfuncs[Jstar])

Data structures and functions

- ► Input data structures
 - data in a data frame
 - ▶ list of parameters (see glm.control)
- Output data strctures
 - object of class mars
- Functions
 - mars.control() to set up input parameters, with default settings
 - mars(), the main MARS function that fits the model
 - predict(), residuals(), fitted(), ... for objects of class
 mars
 - plot() for objects of class mars what would this do?