

Fluxonic Quantum Measurement: Reformulating Wavefunction Evolution Without Collapse

Tshuutheni Emvula and Independent Theoretical Study

February 20, 2025

Abstract

This paper develops a fluxonic framework for quantum measurement, proposing that wavefunction evolution emerges deterministically from structured fluxonic wave interactions, eliminating probabilistic collapse. We derive a fluxonic equation replacing Schrödingers, simulate a double-slit experiment, and explain superposition, measurement, and entanglement. These results challenge wavefunction collapse, suggesting observable interference deviations from standard quantum mechanics through deterministic fluxonic interactions.

1 Introduction

Quantum mechanics relies on the Schrödinger equation and probabilistic collapse, lacking a physical mechanism for measurement. We propose measurement arises from fluxonic wave interactions, akin to gravitational shielding challenges to General Relativity, offering a deterministic alternative.

2 Fluxonic Wavefunction Evolution

The Schrödinger equation:

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \alpha \psi, \quad (1)$$

is replaced by:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial t^2} - c^2 \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + \alpha \phi = 0, \quad (2)$$

where ϕ is the fluxonic field, c is the wave speed, and α is an interaction constant, suggesting deterministic evolution.

3 Numerical Simulations of Fluxonic Quantum Measurement

Simulations show:

- **Fluxonic Double-Slit Experiment:** Measurement from deterministic wave evolution, preserving superposition.
- **Fluxonic Quantum Entanglement:** Correlations via fluxonic interactions, not collapse.
- **Quantum Decoherence:** Stability from environmental fluxons, not stochasticity.

3.1 Predicted Outcomes

Standard QM Prediction	Fluxonic Prediction
Probabilistic collapse	Deterministic wave evolution
Superposition lost on measurement	Superposition preserved
Non-local entanglement	Local fluxonic correlations

Table 1: Comparison of Quantum Measurement Predictions

4 Reproducible Code for Fluxonic Quantum Simulations

4.1 Fluxonic Double-Slit Experiment

Listing 1: Fluxonic Double-Slit Experiment

```
import numpy as np
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt

# Grid setup
Nx = 300
Nt = 200
L = 10.0
dx = L / Nx
dt = 0.01
x = np.linspace(-L/2, L/2, Nx)

# Initial wave packet
phi_initial = np.exp(-x**2) * np.cos(5 * np.pi * x)
phi = phi_initial.copy()
```

```

phi_old = phi.copy()
phi_new = np.zeros_like(phi)

# Slits
slit_width = 0.2
barrier = np.ones(Nx)
barrier[np.abs(x - 1.5) < slit_width] = 0 # Left slit
barrier[np.abs(x + 1.5) < slit_width] = 0 # Right slit
phi *= barrier

# Parameters
c = 1.0
alpha = -0.1

# Time evolution
for n in range(Nt):
    # Periodic boundary conditions assumed
    d2phi_dx2 = (np.roll(phi, -1) - 2 * phi + np.roll(phi, 1)) / dx**2
    phi_new = 2 * phi - phi_old + dt**2 * (c**2 * d2phi_dx2 + alpha * phi)
    phi_old, phi = phi, phi_new

# Plot
plt.figure(figsize=(8, 5))
plt.plot(x, phi_initial, label="Initial_State")
plt.plot(x, phi, label="Final_State")
plt.xlabel("Position_(x)")
plt.ylabel("Wave_Amplitude")
plt.title("Fluxonic_Double-Slit_Interference")
plt.legend()
plt.grid()
plt.show()

```

5 Implications

If validated:

- Deterministic QM challenges probabilistic interpretations.
- Superposition preservation redefines measurement.
- Fluxonic correlations unify entanglement with local dynamics.

6 Conclusion

This fluxonic framework eliminates wavefunction collapse, offering a deterministic QM alternative.

7 Future Directions

Future work includes:

- Testing interference patterns with precision optics.
- Extending to 3D entanglement simulations.
- Comparing with quantum decoherence experiments.