


Homework 05

Alfven current

Compute the parallel current from a *bipolar* Alfvén wavepacket. In the simulation lecture it was shown that a *unipolar* pulse (magnetic bomb, Bz unipolar gaussian) creates a field aligned current. The direction of that current was computed from $\text{curl} \mathbf{B}$ (left panel in the 2D result) and (in simulation coordinates) from $J_x = [\pm 1/(\mu_0 C_a)] dE_y/dy$ (right panel in the 2D result).

Unipolar Alfvén wavepacket

Multiply the RHS image by the sign corresponding to the propagation direction, we get the LHS image.



Bipolar Alfvén wavepacket


To create a bipolar pulse in dBz and let it evolve on both sides. The init input is as follows:

```
case(6)
!2D gaussian perturbation in Bz modulated by a odd function of x
do iz = izmin,izmax
  do iy = iymin,iymax
    do ix = ixmin,ixmax
      uu(ix,iy,iz,7) = uu(ix,iy,iz,7) + db0 * (xgrid(ix) - 0.5*Lx) *
&
      exp( -( xgrid(ix)-0.5*Lx)/(0.01*Lx) )**2 -
      ((ygrid(iy)-0.5*Ly)/(0.01*Ly))**2)
    enddo
```

```
enddo
enddo
```

The basic idea is to change the amplitude modulation part from a constant to a odd function like $(x_{\text{grid}}(ix) - 0.5 \cdot L_x)$ (sin would also work).

The figures below show the current density in the x direction for the bipolar Alfvén wavepacket.



The notebooks to reproduce the results are available [here](#) with initial input [here](#).

Hydrodynamic shock

Show that the entropy across a hydrodynamic shock increases: (i) First, prove equation III.80 in Siscoe (you can use the jumps in p and ρ previously derived). (ii) Next, take the derivative of the entropy ratio as function of Mach number and show it is positive. Thus explain that starting from $M_1=1$ and moving upwards all M_1 values have positive entropy ratios. (iii) Plot the entropy ratio as function of M_1 for a range of adiabatic indices. (iv) Under what conditions does the entropy not increase? [Ans. $\gamma = 1$] When might such conditions occur in space plasmas and why? [Ans. parallel shocks]

Given the jump conditions of pressure and density:

$$\rho_2 \rightarrow \frac{(\gamma + 1)}{\gamma + \frac{2}{M_1^2} - 1} \rho_1$$

$$p_2 \rightarrow \frac{(2\gamma M_1^2 - (\gamma - 1))}{\gamma + 1} p_1$$

And as the entropy is defined as $\alpha_i := p_i / \rho_i^\gamma$

```
assums = { Subscript[p, 1] > 0, γ > 0, Subscript[M, 1] > 0};
ratio = Simplify[α[2]/α[1] /. rules, assums]
```

gives us

$$\alpha[2]/\alpha[1] = (\gamma + 1)^{-\gamma-1} \left(\gamma + \frac{2}{M_1^2} - 1 \right)^\gamma (-\gamma + 2\gamma M_1^2 + 1) = \left(\frac{1}{\gamma + 1} \right)^{\gamma+1} [2\gamma M_1^2 - (\gamma - 1)] \left(\gamma - 1 + \frac{2}{M_1^2} \right)^\gamma$$

Taking the derivative of the entropy ratio with respect to M_1 :

```
dRatio = D[ratio, M1];
dRatio = Simplify[dRatio, assums];
dRatio /. formatRules
```


gives us

$$\frac{d}{dM_1} \left(\frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1} \right) = 4(\gamma - 1)\gamma(\gamma + 1)^{-\gamma-1} (M_1^2 - 1)^2 M_1^{-2\gamma-1} ((\gamma - 1)M_1^2 + 2)^{\gamma-1}$$

The derivative is positive for all $M_1 > 0$ values as long as $\gamma > 1$. And when $M_1 = 1$, the ratio is equal to 1.

The plot of the entropy ratio as a function of M_1 for a range of adiabatic indices is shown below.

```
γs = {1, 4/3, 5/3, 2};
LogLogPlot[
  Evaluate[ratio /. γ -> γs], {M1, 1, 100},
  PlotLegends -> γs
]
```



And we can see that when $\gamma = 1$, the entropy ratio is constant and equal to 1. As $p = \alpha_1 \rho^\gamma = nkT$, this corresponds to the case where the temperature is constant across the shock. Isothermal behavior is due to fast, field-aligned escaping electrons and this is more likely to occur in parallel shocks.

The mathematica notebook for this question and the following question is available [here](#).

Perpendicular Shock

- Show Eq. III.90 following Siscoe notes. Follow same procedure as in III.73 to factor the known solution and derive the quadratic coefficients. Write $(X-1)(X^2 + A_1 X + A_0) = 0$ where $X = (U_2/U_1)$ and solve for A_0 and A_1 given the coefficients of the cubic. For extra testing of cubic, make sure that $X=1$ is indeed a valid solution. As another test of your cubic equation (you can do that always during the derivation): Set $A_1 \rightarrow \infty$ and validate it becomes a quadratic that is identical to III.71 (with M_1 defined same as S_1).

The continuity relations for the perpendicular shock can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
 [[\rho U]] &= 0 \\
 \left[\left[\rho U^2 + p + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_0} \right] \right] &= 0 \\
 \left[\left[\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho U^2 + \frac{\gamma}{\gamma-1} p + \frac{B^2}{\mu_0} \right) U \right] \right] &= 0 \\
 [[UB]] &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

Normalizing the magnetic pressure by the ram pressure and the thermal pressure by the ram pressure

$$B_1^2 \rightarrow \frac{\rho_1 U_1^2}{A^2}$$

$$p_1 \rightarrow \frac{\rho_1 U_1^2}{\gamma S^2}$$

And Eliminating B_2 , ρ_2 and p_2 from the equations

```
massEq := \[Rho]1 U1 == \[Rho]2 U2
momEq := \[Rho]1 U1^2 + p1 + B1^2/2 == \[Rho]2 U2^2 + p2 + B2^2/2
energyEq := (1/2 \[Rho]1 U1^2 + f p1 + B1^2) U1 == (1/2 \[Rho]2 U2^2 +
f p2 + B2^2) U2
FaradayEq := B1 U1 == B2 U2
eqs = {massEq, momEq, energyEq, FaradayEq};

Simplify[
Eliminate[eqs, {B2, \[Rho]2, p2}] /. rules, {Subscript[U, 1] > 0,
Subscript[\[Rho], 1] > 0}]
```

We get the following equation

$$\frac{(r-1)(A^2 r(S^2(-\gamma + \gamma r + r + 1) - 2) + S^2(\gamma + \gamma(-r) - 2))}{A(\gamma - 1)S} = 0$$

where $r = U_2/U_1$.

Clearly, $r = 1$ is a solution. We can collect the rest equation to get the quadratic equation in r

```
Collect[S^2 (-2 + \[Gamma] - r \[Gamma]) + A^2 r (-2 + S^2 (1 + r - \[Gamma] +
r \[Gamma])) == 0, r]
```

we get

$$r^2(A^2\gamma S^2 + A^2 S^2) + r(-A^2\gamma S^2 + A^2 S^2 - 2A^2 - \gamma S^2) + \gamma S^2 - 2S^2 = 0$$

This is exactly the same as Eq. III.90 in Siscoe notes.

- b. Solve the quadratic to find the two solutions for U_2 . Show that one is non-physical, and identify the remaining, physical one.

```
assums = {A > 0 , S > 0, \[Gamma] > 1};
Solve[eqR, {r}, Reals, Assumptions -> assums] // Simplify
```

Solve the quadratic equation, we get

$$\left. \frac{-(1)S^2 + 2)^2 + A^2((-2\gamma^2 + 2\gamma + 8)S^4 + 4\gamma S^2) + \gamma^2 S^4 + \gamma S^2}{2A^2(\gamma + 1)S^2} \right\}, \left\{ r \rightarrow \frac{A^2((\gamma - 1)S^2 + 2) + \sqrt{A^4((\gamma - 1)S^2 + 2)^2 + A^2((-2\gamma^2 + 2\gamma + 8)S^4 + 4\gamma S^2) + \gamma^2 S^4 + \gamma S^2}}{2A^2(\gamma + 1)S^2} \right.$$

The first solution is non-physical as it is negative. The physical solution is

$$r_1 := \frac{A^2((\gamma - 1)S^2 + 2) + \sqrt{A^4((\gamma - 1)S^2 + 2)^2 + A^2((-2\gamma^2 + 2\gamma + 8)S^4 + 4\gamma S^2) + \gamma^2 S^4 + \gamma S^2}}{2A^2(\gamma + 1)S^2}$$

In the limit of $A \rightarrow \infty$

```
Subscript[r, 1] :=
1/(2 A^2 S^2 (1 + \[Gamma])) (A^2 (2 + S^2 (-1 + \[Gamma])) +
S^2 \[Gamma] + \[Sqrt](A^4 (2 + S^2 (-1 + \[Gamma]))^2 +
S^4 \[Gamma]^2 +
A^2 (4 S^2 \[Gamma] + S^4 (8 + 2 \[Gamma] - 2 \[Gamma]^2))))
Simplify[Limit[Subscript[r, 1], {A -> \[Infinity]}], assums]
```

we can see that the solution becomes

$$\frac{(\gamma - 1)S^2 + 2}{(\gamma + 1)S^2}$$

which is the same as Eq. III.71 in Siscoe notes for hydrodynamic shocks.

- c. Plot the solution as function of A_1 and M_1 (color, contour or surface plot OK). Show that the limits for $A_1 \rightarrow \infty$ and $M_1 \rightarrow \infty$ are as expected.

In the limit of $A_1 \rightarrow \infty$ and $M_1 \rightarrow \infty$, the solution approaches $\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma+1}$. For $\gamma = 5/3$, the solution approaches 0.25, which is expected. The contour plot of the solution is shown below.

```
Block[{\[Gamma] = 5/3, p1, p2},
p1 = ContourPlot[r1, {A, 0, 100}, {S, 0, 100},
PlotLegends -> Automatic];
p2 = ContourPlot[r1, {A, 0, 10}, {S, 0, 10},
PlotLegends -> Automatic];
Export["figures/shock_U2.svg", p1];
Export["figures/shock_U2_zoom.svg", p2];
{p1, p2}
]
```




Figure 1: Contour plot with A and S less than 100




Figure 2: Zoom in plot for A and S less than 10

Bibliography