

Solar wind discontinuities spatial evolution in the outer heliosphere

Zijin Zhang¹, Anton V. Artemyev¹, Vassilis Angelopoulos¹, Shi Chen¹

¹University of California, Los Angeles,

Corresponding author: Zijin Zhang, zijin@ucla.edu

Abstract

We present a study of the spatial evolution of solar wind discontinuities in the outer heliosphere using data from the Juno spacecraft during its cruise phase. We identify and analyze the properties of the discontinuities at different radial distances from the Sun. By differentiating the temporal effect (correlated with solar activity) and spatial variations (correlated with radial distance), we find that (1) the normalized occurrence rate of IDs drops with the radial distance from the Sun, following a $1/r$ law; (2) The thickness of IDs increases with the radial distance from the Sun, but after normalization to the ion inertial length, the thickness of IDs decreases; (3) The current intensity of IDs decreases with the radial distance from the Sun, but after normalization to the Alfvén current, the current intensity of IDs increases.

1 Introduction

Rapid variations in interplanetary magnetic fields, commonly recognized as solar wind magnetic discontinuities [Colburn & Sonett, 1966], embody localized transient rotations or jumps of the magnetic field. Considered important for efficient plasma heating, they carry the most intense currents found in the solar wind and observed throughout the heliosphere from inner heliosphere [Liu et al., 2022] to the heliosheath [Burlaga & Ness, 2011]. Theoretical models [Lerche, 1975, Medvedev et al., 1997] and MHD simulations [Greco et al., 2008, 2009, Yang et al., 2015] suggest that the formation and destruction of discontinuities are closely related to the nonlinear dynamics of Alfvén waves and/or Alfvénic turbulence. These nonlinear processes can create significant isolated disturbances to the otherwise adiabatic evolution of the solar wind flow (?Reference?) and host many processes, including magnetic reconnection (?Reference?) and Fermi acceleration of particles [Wentzel, 1964]. Moreover, they contribute significantly to the magnetic fluctuation spectra [Borovsky, 2010] and can affect space weather [Tsurutani et al., 2011]. Therefore, the study of the spatial evolution of solar wind discontinuities is important for understanding the dynamics of the solar wind and test the local generation mechanism of discontinuities. However, previous study of solar wind discontinuities evolution [Söding et al., 2001] in the outer heliosphere were rarely in conjunction with measurements closer to the Sun. Moreover, the intervals in their study spread over multiple solar cycles though they are selected during solar activity minimum. Thus it is presently unclear whether their frequency and properties are the result of solar variability or due to the natural evolution of the discontinuities during their propagation and interaction with the ambient solar wind.

The goal of our paper is to investigate, statistically, discontinuities at different radial distance in the outer heliosphere to obtain a understanding of their formation and evolution. Our methodology involves integrating Juno measurements [Connerney et al., 2017] between 1 and 5 AU with those at 1 AU to distinguish temporal effect and spatial variations by examining the discontinuity characteristics at two radial distances simultaneously.

First, the missions, instruments and data used are listed. Then, we briefly describe the method used to identify the discontinuities and the model used to estimate the plasma state at Juno location due to the lack of plasma data during its cruise phase. Finally, we present the results of the spatial evolution of the discontinuities with respect to their characteristics (spatial scales and current density), and discuss the implications of our findings.

2 Dataset, models, and methods




Figure 1: Juno orbit, its longitudinal difference with Earth & STEREO missions and sunspot number during its cruise phase

Table 1: Missions info

Mission	δt_B	δt_{plasma}	Radial coverage	Launch date	website
Juno	1 Hz		1 - 5.5 AU	2011-08-05 00:00:00	[‘NASA’]
ARTEMIS	5 Hz, ...			2007-02-17 00:00:00	
STEREO-A	8 Hz			2006-10-26 00:00:00	
WIND	11 Hz			1994-11-01 00:00:00	[‘NASA’]
Solar Orbiter			0.28 - 0.9 AU	2020-02-10 00:00:00	[‘ESA’]
Parker Solar Probe			0.05 - 1 AU	2018-08-12 00:00:00	[‘NASA’]

Source: [Notes](#)

2.1 Methods

We will use Liu’s [?] method to identify discontinuities in the solar wind. This method has better compatibility for the discontinuities with minor field changes, and is more robust to the situation encountered in the outer heliosphere. For each sampling instant t , we define three intervals: the pre-interval $[-1, -1/2] \cdot T + t$, the middle interval $[-1/2, 1/2] \cdot T + t$, and the post-interval $[1/2, 1] \cdot T + t$, in which T are time lags. Let time series of the magnetic field data in these three intervals are labeled \mathbf{B}_- , \mathbf{B}_0 , \mathbf{B}_+ , respectively. Then for an discontinuity, the following three conditions should be satisfied: (1) $\sigma(\mathbf{B}_0) > 2 \max(\sigma(\mathbf{B}_-), \sigma(\mathbf{B}_+))$, (2) $\sigma(\mathbf{B}_- + \mathbf{B}_+) > \sigma(\mathbf{B}_-) + \sigma(\mathbf{B}_+)$, and (3) $|\Delta \mathbf{B}| > |\mathbf{B}_{bg}|/10$, in which σ and \mathbf{B}_{bg} represent the standard deviation and the background magnetic field magnitude, and $\Delta \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(t + T/2) - \mathbf{B}(t - T/2)$. The first two conditions guarantee that the field changes of the discontinuity identified are large enough to be distinguished from the stochastic fluctuations on magnetic fields, while the third is a supplementary condition to reduce the uncertainty of recognition. We also will use the minimum or maximum variance analysis (MVA) analysis [Sonnerup & Scheible, 1998, Sonnerup & Cahill Jr., 1967] to determine the main (most varying) magnetic field component, B_l , and medium variation component, B_m . Figure 2 shows several examples of solar wind discontinuities detected by different spacecraft.

2.2 ID identification (limited feature extraction / anomaly detection)

Traditional methods for ID identification, such as the criteria of

- Burlaga & Ness (1969; B-criterion) : a directional change of the magnetic field larger than 30° during 60 s
- Tsurutani & Smith (1979; TS-criterion) : $|\Delta B|/|B| \geq 0.5$ within 3 minutes

Mostly rely on magnetic field variations with a certain time lag. B-criterion has, as its main condition.




Figure 2: Examples of IDs from ARTEMIS, STEREO, and Wind

In their methods, the IDs below the thresholds are artificially abandoned. Therefore, identification criteria may affect the statistical results, and there is likely to be a discrepancy between the findings via B-criterion and TS- criterion.

Liu's method : The first two conditions guarantee that the field changes of the IDs identified are large enough to be distinguished from the stochastic fluctuations on magnetic fields, while the third is a supplementary condition to reduce the uncertainty of recognition.

$$\text{Index}_1 = \frac{\sigma(\vec{B})}{\text{Max}(\sigma(\vec{B}_-), \sigma(\vec{B}_+))}$$

$$\text{Index}_2 = \frac{\sigma(\vec{B}_- + \vec{B}_+)}{\sigma(\vec{B}_-) + \sigma(\vec{B}_+)}$$

$$\text{Index}_3 = \frac{|\Delta \vec{B}|}{|B_{bg}|}$$

$$\text{Index}_1 \geq 2, \text{Index}_2 \geq 1, \text{Index}_3 \geq 0.1$$

2.3 Solar Wind Model

Sadly, JUNO does not provide plasma data during the cruise phase, so to estimate the plasma state we will use MHD model.

We are using [Michigan Solar Wind Model 2D \(MSWIM2D\)](#), which models the solar wind propagation in 2D using the BATSRUS MHD solver. [Keebler et al. \[2022\]](#)

Some key points about the model

- Representing the solar wind in the ecliptic plane from 1 to 75 AU
- 2D MHD model, using the BATSRUS MHD solver
- Inclusion of neutral hydrogen (important for the outer heliosphere)
- Inner boundary is filled by time-shifting in situ data from multiple spacecraft

For model validation part, please see [JUNO Model Report](#).

3 Conclusion

- We have collected 5 years of solar wind discontinuities from JUNO, aMIS and STEREO.
- We have developed a pipeline to identify solar wind discontinuities. (Modular, Performant, Scalable)
- The normalized occurrence rate of IDs drops with the radial distance from the Sun, following $1/r$ law.
- The thickness of IDs increases with the radial distance from the Sun, but after normalization to ion inertial length, the thickness of IDs decreases.

- The current intensity of IDs decrease with the radial distance from the Sun, but after normalization to the Alfvén current, the current intensity of IDs increases.

4 Acknowledgements


Sunspot data from the World Data Center SILSO, Royal Observatory of Belgium, Brussels

5 Figures

Overview of the solar wind at 1 AU

References

- Borovsky, J. E. (2010, September). Contribution of strong discontinuities to the power spectrum of the solar wind. *Physical Review Letters*, 105(11), 111102. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.111102
- Burlaga, L. F., & Ness, N. F. (2011). Current sheets in the heliosheath: Voyager 1, 2009. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 116(A5). doi: 10.1029/2010JA016309
- Colburn, D. S., & Sonett, C. P. (1966, June). Discontinuities in the solar wind. *Space Science Reviews*, 5(4), 439–506. doi: 10.1007/BF00240575
- Connerney, J. E. P., Benn, M., Bjarno, J. B., Denver, T., Espley, J., Jorgensen, J. L., ... Smith, E. J. (2017, November). The Juno Magnetic Field Investigation. *Space Science Reviews*, 213(1), 39–138. doi: 10.1007/s11214-017-0334-z
- Greco, A., Chuychai, P., Matthaeus, W. H., Servidio, S., & Dmitruk, P. (2008). Intermittent MHD structures and classical discontinuities. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 35(19). doi: 10.1029/2008GL035454
- Greco, A., Matthaeus, W. H., Servidio, S., Chuychai, P., & Dmitruk, P. (2009, January). Statistical analysis of discontinuities in solar wind ace data and comparison with intermittent mhd turbulence. *Astrophysical Journal*, 691(2), L111. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/691/2/L111
- Keebler, T. B., Tóth, G., Zieger, B., & Opher, M. (2022, June). MSWIM2D: Two-dimensional outer heliosphere solar wind modeling. *Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series*, 260(2), 43. doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/ac67eb
- Lerche, I. (1975, May). On the propagation of magnetic disturbances in the solar wind. *Astrophysics and Space Science*, 34(2), 309–319. doi: 10.1007/BF00644801
- Liu, Y. Y., Fu, H. S., Cao, J. B., Yu, Y., Liu, C. M., Wang, Z., ... He, R. J. (2022). Categorizing MHD discontinuities in the inner heliosphere by utilizing the PSP mission. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Space Physics*, 127(3), e2021JA029983. doi: 10.1029/2021JA029983
- Medvedev, M. V., Diamond, P. H., Shevchenko, V. I., & Galinsky, V. L. (1997, June). Dissipative Dynamics of Collisionless Nonlinear Alfvén Wave Trains. *Physical Review Letters*, 78(26), 4934–4937. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.78.4934
- Söding, A., Neubauer, F. M., Tsurutani, B. T., Ness, N. F., & Lepping, R. P. (2001, July). Radial and latitudinal dependencies of discontinuities in the solar wind between 0.3 and 19 AU and -80° and +10°. *Annales Geophysicae*, 19(7), 667–680. doi: 10.5194/angeo-19-667-2001
- Sonnerup, B. U. Ö., & Cahill Jr., L. J. (1967). Magnetopause structure and attitude from Explorer 12 observations. *Journal of Geophysical Research (1896-1977)*, 72(1), 171–183. doi: 10.1029/JZ072i001p00171



Examples of IDs from ARTEMIS, STEREO, and Wind




Figure 3: Near-Earth's solar wind plasma data during JUNO cruise phase






Figure 4: Model validation






Figure 5: Effect of the time resolution on the discontinuity properties

- 153 Sonnerup, B. U. Ö., & Scheible, M. (1998, January). Minimum and maximum vari-
154 ance analysis. *ISSI Scientific Reports Series*, 1, 185–220.
- 155 Tsurutani, B. T., Lakhina, G. S., Verkhoglyadova, O. P., Gonzalez, W. D., Echer, E.,
156 & Guarnieri, F. L. (2011, January). A review of interplanetary discontinuities and
157 their geomagnetic effects. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*,
158 73(1), 5–19. doi: 10.1016/j.jastp.2010.04.001
- 159 Wentzel, D. G. (1964, October). Motion across Magnetic Discontinuities and Fermi
160 Acceleration of Charged Particles. *Astrophysical Journal*, 140, 1013. doi: 10.1086/
161 148001
- 162 Yang, L., Zhang, L., He, J., Tu, C., Wang, L., Marsch, E., ... Feng, X. (2015, Au-
163 gust). The formation of rotational discontinuities in compressive three-dimensional
164 mhd turbulence. *Astrophysical Journal*, 809(2), 155. doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/809/
165 2/155