Additional Python Problems

Ilkka Kokkarinen

Chang School of Continuing Education Toronto Metropolitan University

Version of January 1, 2024

This document contains the specifications for additional Python problems created by <u>Ilkka Kokkarinen</u> starting from March 2023, to augment the original set of <u>109 Python Problems</u> for the course <u>CCPS 109 Computer Science I</u> for Chang School of Continuing Education, Toronto Metropolitan University, Canada.

These problems are intended for first year students of various levels, after they have completed the first four weeks with practice exercises of <u>CodingBat Python</u>. Same as in the original problem collection, these problems are listed roughly in their order of increasing difficulty. Complexity of the solutions for these additional problems ranges from straightforward loops up to rather convoluted branching recursive backtracking searches that require all kinds of clever optimizations to prune the branches of the search to keep the running time reasonable.

The rules for solving and testing these problems are exactly the same as they were for the original 109 problems. You must implement all your functions in a single source code file that must be named labs109.py, the exact same way as you wrote your solutions to the original 109 problems. This setup allows you to run the tester109.py script at any time to validate the functions that you have completed so far. These automated tests will be executed in the same order that your functions appear inside the labs109.py file.

Table of Contents

The Fischer King	6
Multiplicative persistence	7
Top of the swops	8
Discrete rounding	9
Translate	10
The parking lot is full	11
Count unicolour rectangles	12
Count palindromic substrings	13
Reasonable filename comparison	14
List the Langford violations	15
Ten pins, not six, Dolores	16
Strict majority element	17
It's a game, a reflection	18
Reverse a Fibonacci-like sequence	19
Mian-Chowla sequence	20
Stern-Brocot path	21
Carryless multiplication	22
Mū tōrere boom-de-ay	23
A place for everything and everything in its place	24
Scoring a tournament bridge hand	25
Count distinct substrings	26
Replacement with perfect hindsight	27

Replacement with perfect foresight	28
When there's no item, there's no problem	29
Boxed away	30
Tis but a scratch	31
Do or die	32
Largest square of ones	33
Prize strings	34
Weak Goodstein sequence	35
Markov distance	36
Digit string partition	37
Hofstadter's figure-figure sequences	38
[Be]t[Te]r [C][Al]l [Sm][Al]l	39
Total covered area	40
Balsam for the code	41
Nice sequence	42
Forbidden digit	43
Shotgun sequence	44
Card row game	45
Count sublists with odd sums	46
Tailfins and hamburgers	47
Split the digits, maximize the product	48
Tower of cubes	49
Gijswijt sequence	50
Sum of consecutive squares	51
Kimberling's expulsion sequence	52
Kimberling's repetition-resistant sequence	53
Game with multiset	54

Tower of Babel	55
Kayles	56
Out where the buses don't run	<i>57</i>
SMETANA interpreter	58
The sharpest axes	59
Casinos hate this Toronto man!	60
How's my coding? Call 1-800-3284778	61
Scatter her enemies	62
Blocking pawns	63
Boggles the mind	64
The round number round	65
Complete a Costas array	66
A Lindenmaver system, bialy	67

The Fischer King

def is_chess_960(row):

Whenever you think that you have found a good solution, you should still look around a bit more, since a great solution might be waiting just around the corner. Tired of seeing his beloved game of kings devolve into rote memorization of openings, the late chess grandmaster and overall colourful character <u>Bobby Fischer</u> generalized chess into a more exciting variation of "<u>Chess 960</u>" that plays otherwise the same except that the home rank pieces are randomly permuted before each match begins, which should render any memorization of openings moot.

So that neither player gains an unfair random advantage from the get-go, both black and white pieces are permuted the exact same way. However, to maintain the spirit of chess, the two bishops must be placed on squares of opposite colour (that is, different **parity** of their column numbers), and the king must be positioned between the two rooks to enable **castling**. Given the home rank as some permutation of 'KQrrbbkk' with these letters denoting the King, Queen, rook, bishop and knight, this function should determine whether that string constitutes a legal initial position in Chess 960 under this discipline. The function can assume that that row is a string that contains the eight correct chess pieces in their right multiplicities.

row	Expected result
'rbrkbkQK'	False (king is not between rooks)
'rkbQKbkr'	True
'rrkkKbQb'	False (king is not between rooks, bishops same parity)
'rbbkKQkr'	True
'brbQKkkr'	False (bishops same parity)
'rKrQkkbb'	True
'kbQbkrKr'	False (bishops same parity)
'bQrKkrkb'	True

Discrete math enjoyers can convince themselves that exactly 960 out of the $8! / (2! \times 2! \times 2!) = 5040$ possible permutations of these chess piece satisfy the above constraints.

Multiplicative persistence

def multiplicative persistence(n, ignore zeros=False):

Digits of the given positive integer n are multiplied together to produce the next value of n, and this operation is repeated until n becomes a single digit. For example, n=717867 becomes 16464, which becomes 576, which becomes 210, which finally becomes 0 where it will then stay. This function should return the **multiplicative persistence** of n, that is, the number of iteration rounds required to turn n into a single digit in this manner.

Since the appearance of even one zero digit in the number makes the entire product to be zero, most values of n become zeros in short order. To make this process that much more interesting, if the keyword argument ignore zeros is set to True, zero digits are ignored during multiplication.

n	ignore_zeros	Expected result
717867	False	4
717867	True	4
36982498883598862928	False	2
36982498883598862928	True	5
7899978679899687	False	3
7899978679899687	True	6

When zeros are not ignored, the number n = 277777788888899 holds the highest known multiplicative persistence of 11 rounds. Since multiplication doesn't depend on the order of digits, any permutation of those same digits has the same multiplicity, and listing the digits in ascending order gives the smallest number with that persistence. Persistences seem to go up to eleven but no higher, since no integers with a higher persistence than eleven are known, nor are believed to exist.

Top of the swops

def topswops(cards):

The late great <u>John Horton Conway</u> invented all sorts of whimsical mathematical puzzles and games that are simple enough even for wee children to understand, yet hide far deeper mathematical truths underneath a superficial veil. This problem deals with <u>topswops</u>, a patience puzzle played with <u>cards</u> numbered with positive integers from 1 to *n*.

These cards are initially laid out in a row in some permutation, given to your function as a tuple. Each move in topswops reverses the order the first k cards counted from the beginning, where k is the card at the head of the row. For example, if the current permutation is (3, 1, 5, 2, 4), reversing the first three cards gives the permutation (5, 1, 3, 2, 4), from which reversing the first five cards gives the permutation (4, 2, 3, 1, 5), from which reversing the first four cards gives the permutation (1, 3, 2, 4, 5), where the game will remain stuck in this fixed state.

For every permutation of these *n* cards, the card that carries the number one must eventually end up the first card in the row to terminate this process. (Discrete math enjoyers can try proving this guaranteed termination as a side quest.) Your function should compute and return the number of moves needed to reach this fixed state from the given initial permutation of cards.

cards	Expected result
(1, 2)	0
(2, 1)	1
(3, 2, 5, 1, 4)	5
(7, 1, 2, 4, 6, 3, 5)	7
(4, 1, 8, 5, 6, 9, 2, 7, 3)	13
(4, 10, 7, 6, 15, 8, 14, 19, 2, 17, 3, 16, 12, 13, 5, 1, 9, 11, 18)	20
(9, 1, 3, 17, 8, 7, 4, 16, 15, 11, 2, 19, 6, 14, 12, 10, 5, 18, 13)	28

A still open problem in combinatorics is to generate a permutation for the given n that requires the largest possible number of moves to terminate. Exact solutions for this are known only up to n = 17. This problem is a good illustration of the principle that even if some function f is straightforward to compute and understand, properties of its inverse f^{-1} might not be equally simple, so that finding even one x for the given y so that y = f(x) is an exponentially arduous task.

Discrete rounding

def discrete rounding(n):

Make the given positive integer n your current number. For each k counting down from n-1 to 2, "round up" your current number by replacing it with the smallest positive integer that is exactly divisible by k and is greater or equal to your current number. Return the final number acquired after these replacements.

For example, starting with n=5 and therefore counting down the values of k from 4 down to 2, the smallest positive integer that is divisible by 4 and is greater than equal to 5 would be 8. Next, the smallest positive integer that is divisible by 3 and is greater than equal to 8 would be 9. Last, the smallest positive integer that is divisible by 2 and is greater than equal to 9 would be 10, our final answer. The reader can similarly verify that starting from n=12, the chain of values that this iteration goes through is $12 \rightarrow 22 \rightarrow 30 \rightarrow 36 \rightarrow 40 \rightarrow 42 \rightarrow 42 \rightarrow 48 \rightarrow 48 \rightarrow 48$.

n	Expected result
1	1
2	2
10	34
23	174
10**4	31833630
10**6	318310503562
10**7	31830995532658

Of course this problem makes for a simple basic exercise on Python loops and integer arithmetic for the first programming course, but the problem itself has a curious mathematical property worth taking a closer look at. If we denote the result of this process starting from n by r(n), the expression $n^2/r(n)$ approaches π in the limit as n approaches infinity! For example, when n equals one million, this expression already gets the first four decimal places of π correct, and for n equal to one billion, the first eight decimal places. Readers may enjoy pondering what connection integer arithmetic iterated in this manner could possibly have to circles so that it produces the famous transcendental number as its limit using only integer arithmetic.

Translate

```
def tr(text, ch from, ch to):
```

This simple text processing function implements the behaviour of the Unix command line tool tr, but of course operates on the given argument text as a Python function instead of reading or writing anything to the standard input and output. This function should return a string that is otherwise the same as the original text, except that every occurrence of any character inside the ch_from string has been replaced by the corresponding character in the same position in the ch_to string. Both strings ch_from and ch_to are guaranteed to be the same length, and ch_from will never contain the same character twice.

text	ch_from	ch_to	Expected result
' X '	'Y'	' Z '	' X '
'jonny'	'jony'	'AAAA'	'AAAAA'
'abccba'	'bc'	'XY'	'aXYYXa'
'bee'	'fgabhed'	'jjidflb'	'dll'
'yeah!'	1-1	1-1	'yeah!'
'abcde'	'abcde'	'edcba'	'edcba'

The parking lot is full

def parking lot permutation(preferred spot):

A parking lot contains n parking spots 0 to n-1, arranged in a circle. A total of n cars arrive to the lot one at the time, also numbered from 0 to n-1 in order of their arrival. When the car number i arrives to the lot, it first drives to the spot preferred_spot[i]. If that spot is still available, the car will park there. Otherwise, the car will try out the spots after that preferred spot in linear order until it finds some available spot, and parks there. This function should return the list of parking spots that each car ends up in under this discipline.

preferred_spot	Expected result
[0, 0]	[0, 1]
[2, 0, 1]	[1, 2, 0]
[3, 2, 2, 2]	[2, 3, 1, 0]
[2, 4, 4, 0, 1, 4]	[3, 4, 0, 5, 1, 2]
[4, 7, 6, 7, 4, 6, 0, 6]	[3, 5, 6, 7, 0, 4, 2, 1]
[2, 5, 6, 0, 0, 3, 5, 6, 2, 5]	[3, 4, 0, 5, 8, 1, 2, 6, 7, 9]

Under this discipline, the result will always be some permutation of numbers from 0 to n-1. Of course, if each car has its own unique parking spot that no other car prefers, the result will simply be the **inverse** of the preferred_spot permutation. Other variations of this combinatorial problem give rise to interesting calculations and theorems, such as the fact that exactly $(n+1)^{n-1}$ different preferred_spot lists of length n force no car to cycle back to the beginning of the lot. Interested readers looking for some Goofy time can check out these variations in the survey article "Parking Functions: Choose Your Own Adventure".

Count unicolour rectangles

```
def count_unicolour_rectangles(grid):
```

The body of a Python for-loop can consist of any statements, including for-loops nested for arbitrary depths to create wheels spinning within wheels. This problem lets you play with a whopping **four** levels of nested for-loops; two for the coordinates of the top left corner of the rectangle, with either one or two more levels of nested loops then inside those outer two.

You are given a two-dimensional grid whose rows are strings made up from the alphabet "abcd" to represent four different possible colours in each cell. This function should count how many axis-aligned rectangles the grid contains so that all four corners of that rectangle have the same colour. That is, count the number of coordinates (x, y) and width-height pairs (w, h) with w > 0 and h > 0 so that all four cells (x, y), (x + w, y), (x, y + h) and (x + w, y + h) have the same colour.

grid	Expected result
['aba', 'ccc', 'ada']	1
['bbbbccba', 'cdacaabc', 'acdcdcdc', 'cddacdab', 'cbaaaabd']	5 (how quickly can you find them all?)

This problem is connected to an interesting combinatorial question of filling an n-by-n rectangle with four colours without creating any unicolour rectangles. For small values n, the problem can be easily solved by filling the grid randomly, and as long as there is a unicolour rectangle, choose one of the corners randomly and flip it to a different random colour. This approach doesn't work for larger values of n, since the solutions get too sparse among the exponentially many possible colourings for this headless chicken to have a snowball's chance of accidentally hitting them, so more finesse is needed. As discussed in the post "The 17×17 Challenge" by Brian Hayes, when n > 18, colouring the grid with four colours without creating at least one unicoloured rectangle is known to be impossible. Colourings without unicolour rectangles have been constructed for all n up to 17, but the case of n = 18 remains tantalizingly open.

Count palindromic substrings

def count palindromes(text):

Given a text string that consists of letters a and b only, count how many substrings of the text are **palindromes** whose length is at least three characters. A palindrome is a string that reads the same forward and backward, such as 'babab' or 'abba'. If the text contains several equal palindromic substrings, each of these substrings should be included separately in the count.

To count the palindromes efficiently without having to loop through a quadratic number of substrings and then test for each such substring whether it is a palindrome, we get a more efficient solution by realizing that every palindrome has a center that is either a single character for a palindrome of an odd length, or two equal characters for a palindrome of an even length. Loop through all positions i of the text as potential centers of palindromic substrings in the text. To count all the palindromes with the center i, use a nested while-loop to look at characters k positions left and right from the center i, with k initially set to equal 1. As long as the two characters that surround the center k steps away in both directions are equal to each other, increment both the total count of palindromes and the value of k for the next round of the inner loop. Once you have looked at all possible centers inside the text and added up all the palindromes emanating from them, return the total count accumulated throughout the function.

text	Expected result
'aa'	0
'ababa'	4
'bbbba'	6
'aababaabab'	10
'bbbabbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbbb	747
'a' * 1000	498501

Reasonable filename comparison

def tog_comparison(first, second):

In his list of <u>Ten Most Wanted Design Bugs</u> in the "<u>Bughouse</u>" collection of usability blunders that have plagued computer users longer than most students reading this have been walking on this Earth, the usability expert <u>Bruce "Tog" Tognazzini</u> includes "Stupid sort" of listing file names in alphabetical order in a mechanistic manner. Since in this fine summer day up here in the year 2023 writing this, this author saw a screenshot of the Eclipse Java IDE that listed the results of running the JUnit test methods in the stupid sort order, the time has come to put a stop to this idiocy once and for all.

If the order comparison of filenames is performed in per character basis, the files fool.txt, fool0.txt and foo2.txt end up being listed in this order, since the stupid string comparison used in the sorting algorithm does not realize that integers that consist of consecutive digit characters from '0' to '9' ought to be compared according to the arithmetic order between integers, instead of the lexicographic dictionary order of their characters. Comparisons between non-digit characters are done according to the Unicode code points of these characters, the way that the Python string comparison operators < et al. automatically already do for individual characters.

This function should compare the two given text strings first and second in this reasonable manner, returning -1 if the first string is lower than second, +1 if the first string is higher than second, and 0 if both strings are equal. Also to keep this problem simple, the comparison function does not have to consider any minus sign that precedes the digits to be part of the number. What sort of perverts would number their files with negative numbers anyway?

first	second	Expected result
'foo10.txt'	'foo2.txt'	1
'foo1.txt'	'foo2.txt'	-1
'bar42qux99joe.txt'	'bar42qux100moe.txt'	-1
'qPX938.doc'	'qPX7105.doc'	-1
'60359Z30571.dat'	'60359Z30571.dat'	0

List the Langford violations

def langford violations(items):

A list of 2n elements where each number 1, ..., n occurs exactly twice is called a Langford pairing if for every k from 1 to n, there are exactly k elements between the two occurrences of k in the list. For example, [2, 3, 1, 2, 1, 3] is a Langford pairing for n = 3, as the reader can quickly verify. Langford pairings exist whenever n is a multiple of four, or one less than a multiple of four. All Langford pairings for the given n can in principle be generated with a recursive backtracking algorithm, or a special algorithm can be used to rapidly produce just one such pairing for any given admissible value of n. However, the exact count of Langford pairings for the given n is a famous open problem in combinatorics, these exponentially growing exact counts still unknown from case n = 31 onwards.

Instead of generating Langford pairings, this function simply receives a list of items guaranteed to contain each number 1, ..., n exactly twice, and should return a sorted list of all numbers that violate the Langford requirement in that list of items. You should implement this function to operate in a single pass through the items, instead of using two nested loops in a sluggish "Shlemiel" fashion. Keep track of which numbers you have already seen through the pass, and whenever you see some number the second time, append that number to the result list if the distance between its two occurrences is incorrect. After processing all the items in a single pass, return the sorted result list.

items	Expected result
[2, 3, 4, 2, 1, 3, 1, 4]	[]
[5, 8, 4, 1, 7, 1, 5, 4, 6, 3, 8, 2, 7, 3, 2, 6]	[]
[5, 8, 1, 1, 10, 2, 11, 6, 2, 9, 8, 4, 6, 7, 4, 10, 7, 3, 11, 9, 5, 3]	[1, 4, 5, 6, 7]
[14, 4, 1, 1, 2, 13, 15, 2, 11, 10, 12, 5, 10, 6, 9, 14, 8, 5, 7, 13, 6, 11, 4, 12, 9, 8, 7, 3, 15, 3]	[1, 3, 4, 10, 11, 15]
[3, 4, 1, 15, 3, 16, 4, 2, 16, 13, 12, 20, 5, 8, 14, 7, 10, 2, 5, 9, 18, 6, 1, 13, 19, 17, 11, 10, 6, 14, 12, 17, 19, 20, 8, 15, 7, 9, 11, 18]	• ' ' '

Interested readers can check out the page "<u>Langford's Problem</u>" at <u>Dialectrix</u>, or the page "<u>Langford's Pairing</u>" by <u>Susam Pal</u>, for more information about this classic combinatorial problem.

Ten pins, not six, Dolores

def bowling_score(frames):

Even if we post-postmodern people will end up bowling alone as our society becomes more alienated and atomized, calculating the score in ten-pin bowling should still make for a fine exercise in Python programming. This function should compute the score for the list of frames encoded as strings. Strikes are encoded as 'X', misses as '-', and spares as '/' in each frame. For simplicity, we assume that no fouls take place during the game.

Rules for scoring a bowling game can be found on several sites online for the readers not familiar with the notation. For example, see the <u>interactive bowling score calculator at Bowling Genius</u>. Note also the special three-character form of the last frame that contains either a strike or a spare.

frames	Expected result
['X', '4-', '54', '-2', '72', 'X', 'X', '5/', 'X', '']	113
['2/', '34', '9/', '52', '4-', '11', '81', '8/', 'X', '6-']	99
['X', '7/', '-/', '31', '18', '11', '4/', '33', '43', '72']	93
['X', '2/', '9/', 'X', '8/', '6/', '25', '8/', '1/', '71']	150
['X', 'X', 'X', '6/', '2/', 'X', 'X', '2/', '4/', 'XXX']	214
['8/', '7/', '8/', '9/', 'X', 'X', '-9', '3/', 'X', 'XX7']	199
['42', '8/', '8/', '-8', 'X', 'X', '6/', '31', '5/', 'X51']	141
['21', '11', '8/', 'X', '61', '7/', '4/', 'X', 'X', '4/X']	147
['3/', '45', '2/', '7/', '2/', '8/', '11', '4/', '3/', '61']	119

Strict majority element

def has majority(items):

This function should determine whether the given list of items contains a **strict majority** element, that is, some element that occurs in the list more times than all other elements put together. Unlike the sloppy definition "at least half", this definition makes the majority element unique if it exists.

This problem can be solved efficiently in two different ways. The first way uses a **counting dictionary** to tally up the occurrence counts of each element in items, and then iterates through the keys of this counter dictionary to check at how many times that key occurred inside items, keeping track of the element with the highest occurrence count seen so far. Nothing wrong with this technique, and Python makes it easy to implement for a good enough solution for this problem.

However, a more clever way to crack this dusty old algorithmic chestnut is to notice that if you ignore any even-length prefix of items that contains k copies of some element along with k any other elements, the majority element of the original list will necessarily also be the majority elements of the rest of the list after ignoring these 2k elements. This second way allows this problem to be solved with two sequential for-loops iterating over the items that operate in place, that is, use only a constant amount of bookkeeping memory in addition to the items. There is a non-trivial chance that you can use this solution to dazzle your future job interviewer.

items	Expected result
[]	False
[42]	True
[1, 2, 1, 2]	False
[7, 5, 5, 5, 1, 5, 5, 1, 5]	True
[16, 2, 2, 2, 2, 4, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 4, 4]	True
[3, 9, 20, 33, 33, 4, 20, 33, 3, 20, 20, 33, 4, 33, 3, 33, 33, 33, 33, 33, 33,	False

It's a game, a reflection

def abacaba(n):

As illustrated on the Wikipedia page <u>ABACABA</u>, this one of the simplest fractal patterns appears in all sorts of more or less familiar mathematical sequences and patterns. Defined over an alphabet starting from A, the ABACABA string S_k of order k can be defined recursively so that the string S_0 equals just A, and the string S_k is the concatenation of two copies of the string S_{k-1} with the k:th character of the alphabet stuck between those copies to separate them. For example, the string S_1 equals ABA, the string S_2 equals ABACABA, and so on.

Since each S_{k+1} contains the previous S_k as its prefix, it is meaningful to define the infinite ABACABA string as the limit of this process as k approaches infinity. This function should return the character located in the position n of this infinite ABACABA string, the position counting starting from zero. It is easy to prove by induction that the string S_k contains exactly $2^k - 1$ characters, so your function should not try to construct this string explicitly, but compute the result with integer computations.

So that the result can be returned meaningfully for arbitrarily large alphabets instead of merely our 26 letters of English the way God intended, this function should return the result as an integer so that the result 0 stands for A, 1 stands for B, 2 stands for C, and so on.

n	Expected result
0	0
1	1
2	0
3	2
2**42 - 1	42
2**42 - 1 + 2**41	41
2**41 - 1 - 2**41	41
10**100	0

Reverse a Fibonacci-like sequence

def reversenacci(i, n):

A sequence of integers is said to be **Fibonacci-like** if after the given first two elements, each element equals the sum of the previous two elements. Of course, the original Fibonacci sequence starting with (1, 1) is the most famous and important of such series, but other choices of the first two elements give us an infinity of sequences, such as the <u>Lucas sequence</u> starting with (2, 1).

At this stage, it would be anticlimactic to ask the reader to generate the Fibonacci-like sequence from the given two elements. A more interesting question is to reverse this problem and ask whether there exists some Fibonacci-like sequence that has the value n in the position i, so that all previous elements are positive and non-descending. (Position counting starts from zero.)

The reader may enjoy thinking up ways to speed up this search compared to brute forcing all possible way to start the sequence and generating the sequence from each start up to position i.

i	n	Expected result
5	8	True
4	12	False
4	13	True
4	14	True
17	28048	False
17	28047	True

Mian-Chowla sequence

def mian_chowla(n):

The <u>Mian-Chowla sequence</u> is another interesting sequence of increasing positive integers defined with a simple rule from which a chaotic complexity automagically emerges. As usual, the sequence starts with the element 1 in the first position. After that, the Mian-Chowla sequence can be defined in two equivalent ways, of which we here use the one that makes the implementation easier: **all differences between two distinct elements in the sequence must be pairwise distinct**. That is, if the sequence contains two distinct elements a and b, it cannot contain any other two distinct elements a and a so that a0 and a1 so that a2 and a3 so that a3 and a4 so that a5 and a6 so that a6 and a7 and a8 so that a8 and a9 and a8 so that a9 and a9 and a9 a9

This function should return the element in the position n in the sequence, using zero-based indexing. The automated tester is guaranteed to give your function the values of n in strictly increasing order, so your function should store its progress in generating this sequence in some global variables outside the function, so that your progress doesn't always get erased between the function calls and force you to again and again generate the entire sequence from the beginning like some modern day Sisyphus. Use a Python set to remember all the differences you have already encountered in the sequence, aided with a variable that keeps track of the smallest positive integer that you haven't seen as a difference of two elements so far.

n	Expected result
0	1
1	2
19	475
46	4297
99	27219
300	524307
400	1145152
500	2107005

Stern-Brocot path

```
def stern_brocot(x):
```

The <u>Stern–Brocot tree</u> is an infinite binary tree that contains every positive rational number exactly once somewhere in its nodes, and always in its simplest reduced form. Construction of this tree starts with the lower and upper limits that do not exist in the tree. The lower limit is initially set to 0/1 that represents the zero, and the upper limit is set to 1/0 that represents the positive infinity.

The root node of the Stern–Brocot tree contains the <u>mediant</u> of the two initial limits. Since computing this mediant gives the numerator 0 + 1 = 1 and the denominator 1 + 0 = 1, the root node contains the rational number 1/1, the unity from which this entire panoply emerges. To compute the value stored in the left child of the given node that holds the value x, replace the current upper limit with x and keep the lower limit as is. Symmetrically for the right child, replace the current lower limit with x and keep the upper limit as is. The infinite subtree constructed to hang from that node then contains all rational numbers between that lower and upper limit.

Instead of constructing the entire tree in level order up to some particular depth, this function should return the list of values that appear in nodes on the linear path from the root node to the node that contains the positive rational number x. The **binary search algorithm** to achieve this is explained in the section "Mediants and binary search" on the Stern–Brocot tree Wikipedia page.

x	Expected result
Fraction(1, 1)	[Fraction(1, 1]
Fraction(4, 5)	[Fraction(1, 1), Fraction(1, 2), Fraction(2, 3), Fraction(3, 4), Fraction(4, 5)]
Fraction(4, 3)	[Fraction(1, 1), Fraction(2, 1), Fraction(3, 2), Fraction(4, 3)]
Fraction(35, 32)	[Fraction(1, 1), Fraction(2, 1), Fraction(3, 2), Fraction(4, 3), Fraction(5, 4), Fraction(6, 5), Fraction(7, 6), Fraction(8, 7), Fraction(9, 8), Fraction(10, 9), Fraction(11, 10), Fraction(12, 11), Fraction(23, 21), Fraction(35, 32)]

Carryless multiplication

def carryless_multiplication(a, b):

The blog post "I Could Carry Less" by Brian Hayes links to the article "Carryless Arithmetic Mod 10" that defines interesting addition and multiplication operations for integers. These operations work otherwise the same as the familiar addition and multiplication, except that addition and multiplication of individual digits is performed **reductively** modulo 10, meaning that any carries over to the next column are simply ignored.

This weird but mathematically perfectly consistent operation gives birth to interesting integer sequences that the curious reader might want to check out after completing this problem. (Especially the section that explains how to properly define the concept of **primality** in this algebra should be highly educational.) However, in this problem you are only asked to implement the carryless multiplication operation between the given two nonnegative integers a and b.

It is probably useful to first implement a similar function carryless_addition to add two given integers in the carryless fashion, if only to get the gist of the general behaviour of this wacky arithmetic. This function will also simplify the logic of the carryless multiplication proper.

a	b	Expected result
12	0	0
2	5	0
7	666	222
643	59	417
123	456	43878
59999888866666	66666228887466	48264684815151480266668626

The reader may also compare and contrast this operation to Problem 87 in the original 109 Python Problems collection, "Lunatic multiplication", where instead of ignoring the carry digits, there is never any carry since addition and multiplication were defined as taking the maximum and minimum of the digits being added and multiplied, respectively.

Mū tōrere boom-de-ay

```
def mu torere moves(board, player):
```

 $\underline{\text{M\bar{u}}}$ torere is a traditional Māori board game with a surprising complexity of play strategy veiled behind its deceptively simple rules. Same as with the Oware move problem in the original collection, the minimax algorithm to play this game will have to wait until the course CCPS 721 *Artificial Intelligence I*, but we can already implement the **move generator** to list the possible moves for the given player in the current board. Being computer scientists, we of course also immediately generalize this game from eight points to 2k points around the shared center for arbitrary k > 0.

The reader should consult the linked Wikipedia page for the setup and rules of this game, or the page "Mu Torere" at Mayhematics for a deeper analysis of game positions. Pieces never capture each other or get removed from the board, but on his turn, a player must move any one of his pieces to a neighbouring empty space. The stalemated player who can't make any legal moves loses the game. So that the game wouldn't end immediately after the first move, a piece can move into the empty center space only if there was at least one opponent's piece next to the piece being moved.

The board is given as a string of 2k + 1 characters, each either 'B' or 'W' for the black and white pieces and the '-' character denoting the empty space. The first 2k characters of the board list the pieces around the center, and the last character gives the contents of the center. This function should return the list of possible boards that the player can get to with a single move from the current board. To make the result unique, this list should be returned in alphabetically sorted order.

board	player	Expected result
'-BW'	'W'	['WB-']
'-BW'	'B'	['B-W']
'BBWW-'	'B'	['-BWWB', 'B-WWB']
'WBWWBB-'	'W'	['-BWWBBW', 'WB-WBBW', 'WBW-BBW']
'BBBBWW-WW'	'B'	[]
'BBBBWW-WW'	'W'	['BBBBW-WWW', 'BBBBWWW-W', 'BBBBWWWW-']
'BWWBWBWB-'	'B'	['-WWBWBWBB', 'BWW-WBWBB', 'BWWBW-WBB', 'BWWBWBW-B']

A place for everything and everything in its place

def insertion_sort_swaps(items):

Of all the simple sorting algorithms, **insertion sort** is not merely the shortest but also can be proven to be the most efficient. Starting from a singleton prefix that contains only one element and is therefore sorted by default, insertion sort maintains and grows a prefix of sorted elements. The outer loop of insertion sort iterates through the items from left to right. The inner loop grabs the element just past the current sorted prefix, and swaps that element with the preceding larger elements until it either ends up at the beginning, or is preceded by an element at most equal to it.

For example, the list [5, 2, 7, 4, 1] first becomes [2, 5, 7, 4, 1], which then becomes [2, 5, 7, 4, 1], which becomes [2, 4, 5, 7, 1], which becomes [1, 2, 4, 5, 7]. Since your function could just pass the buck of sorting the items to its native sort method, and our automated tester doesn't have X-ray glasses to see how exactly your function does the job, your function must return the total number of adjacent element swaps during the insertion sort as **proof of work** of actually having gone through the rigmarole of the insertion sort algorithm.

items	Expected result
[1, 2, 3, 4]	0
[2, 1, 0, -1]	6
[4, 3, 1, 1, 0, -1, 2, -3]	23
[3, 2, 21, 7, 23, 5, -25, 7, -18, -21, 8, 11, 23, -19]	43
list(range(10000, 0, -1))	49995000

For the given list of items, this number of required element swaps is an important combinatorial quantity known as its **inversion count**, that is, the number of element pairs in unsorted order relative to each other. It is easy to see that a sorted list has zero inversions, and that swapping two adjacent elements can decrease the inversion count by at most one. Therefore any sorting algorithm limited to swap adjacent elements only must necessarily consume time at least in linear proportion to the inversion count of that list. Insertion sort requires exactly that much, plus the linear time needed to take a butcher's at each element even before any comparisons and swaps, and is thus optimal among sorting algorithms limited to compare and swap adjacent elements only.

Scoring a tournament bridge hand

def bridge score(strain, level, vul, doubled, made):

Once the dust has settled after both bidding and play of a bridge hand are over, it's time to add up the score while muttering under your breath about the mistakes that your partner again did. To keep this problem simple, we will look at only successful contracts. Given the strain, level, vulnerability and the doubled status of the contract (as one of the possibilities '-', 'X' and 'XX') along with the level of tricks made, this function should compute the score for the declarer.

The rules for scoring the successful bridge contract can be found in many places on the Internet, for example in the Wikipedia page "<u>Bridge Scoring</u>". Being gentlemen instead of some kind of animals that wallow in their own filth, the scoring is done according to the rules of tournament bridge, instead of **rubber bridge** better suited for kitchen bridge players.

strain	level	vul	doubled	made	Expected result
'notrump'	1	True	'-'	2	120
'spades'	3	False	'X'	4	650
'diamonds'	3	True	'-'	5	150
'hearts'	4	True	'-'	4	620
'clubs'	6	False	'-'	7	940
'notrump'	7	True	' XX '	7	2980

Count distinct substrings

def count distinct substrings(text):

A nonempty substring can be sliced from the given text of length n in n(n-1)/2 different ways, for all possible ways to choose the start and end of that slice. There is exactly one substring of length n, namely the text itself, two substrings of length n-1, three substrings of length n-2, and so on, down to the n substrings of length one. If all characters in text are distinct, all these substrings will also end up being distinct, but if the text contains repeated characters, some of these substrings will correspondingly end up being equal to each other.

This function should compute how many different nonempty substrings the given text contains. This problem could be easily solved by looping through all n(n-1)/2 possible slices of text to add these slices to the result set one by one, and returning the size of that result set as the final answer. However, this approach would be horrendously inefficient whenever the text contains plenty of repeated characters and substrings, making most of these substrings equal to each other.

A better solution springs forth from the realization that any substring of length k-1 in text must necessarily be either a prefix or suffix of some substring of length k. (DUCY?) The function should therefore maintain the set of all substrings of length k, initially containing only the text itself for the starting state k=n. We can easily compute the set of all substrings of length k-1 by looping through each substring s in the set of known substrings of length s, and adding both strings s [1:] and s [:-1] to the set of substrings of length s 1 currently being constructed. After completing the set of all substrings of length s 1, add the size of this set to the current tally, and go to the next round to compute the set of all substrings of length s 2.

text	Expected result
'ab'	3
'bbbb'	4
'fegg'	9
'lfvfffffv'	32
'eokooooooo'	33
'tbobbooobbbb'	61
'ab' * 10000	39999

Replacement with perfect hindsight

def mmu_lru(n, pages):

The topic of <u>page replacement algorithms</u> in computer virtual memory management, the intricacies of which are to be explored further in the later course CCPS 530 *Operating Systems*, can be illustrated with an analogy to give us an interesting coding problem here in the first programming course. You are carrying a binder that has n **slots** available, each slot initially empty and able to carry one **page**. You are given a list of pages that you need to process in this exact order. Each individual page is identified with a nonnegative integer, and the same pages can appear in pages multiple times. On its turn to be processed, the page must first be in a binder. If that page is not already somewhere in the binder, you need to place that page to some slot there, costing you one **page fault**.

If the binder contains unused slots to hold the current page, there is no problem. However, if the binder is full and there are no unused slots available, one of the pages in the binder has to be tossed out to create an empty slot. An interesting problem in memory management is to choose the page to ditch to minimize the expected number of future page faults. Following the general idea of how the best predictor of the future behaviour of a system is its observed past behaviour, a straightforward discipline is to remove the **least recently used** page as the best guess of the page that won't be used in the future, in the style and spirit of Marie Kondo. This function should compute the total number of page faults for the given sequence of pages when using this least recently used page discipline, and return that count.

n	pages	Expected result
2	[3, 1, 4, 4, 3, 3]	4
2	[4, 1, 2, 2, 4, 1, 2]	6
1	[3, 1, 1, 3, 1, 3, 3, 3]	5
2	[4, 0, 8, 4, 0, 8, 8, 4, 8]	7
5	[8, 6, 3, 8, 3, 6, 8, 3, 3, 6]	3
4	[5, 8, 10, 5, 10, 8, 1, 10, 5, 10]	4
3	[1, 12, 4, 4, 4, 11, 4, 1, 1, 8, 1, 4]	6

Replacement with perfect foresight

```
def mmu_lru(n, pages):
```

After solving the previous problem in the theme of <u>page replacement algorithms</u> in virtual memory management, we can see that making an educated guess of which page to ditch to make room for the current page can be difficult for anybody who is not a descendant of <u>Madame de Thèbes</u> and blessed with the gift of perfect foresight of future events. However, let us pretend for the purposes of the present problem that we possess that perfect foresight, so that we already know all the future pages that will be coming in to be processed.

Instead of throwing out the least recently used page to make room for the newcomer, we instead throw out the page whose next use is the **longest time away in the future**. Of course, if some page in the binder will never be needed in the future, that page is automatically the one that gets tossed. This function should compute and return the total number of page faults over the given pages under this discipline.

n	pages	Expected result
2	[3, 1, 4, 4, 3, 3]	3
2	[1, 5, 2, 1, 5, 5, 1, 1]	4
2	[4, 0, 8, 4, 0, 8, 8, 4, 8]	5
3	[1, 12, 4, 4, 4, 11, 4, 1, 1, 8, 1, 4]	5
4	[12, 12, 5, 15, 16, 6, 6, 12, 12, 16, 6, 12, 6, 16, 12]	5

Discrete math enjoyers can later prove that this prescient approach is guaranteed to minimize the total number page faults over the processing of the given list of pages in all possible cases. (Take any optimal solution, and show that replacing some other choice with the choice done here can never make that solution worse; in other words, this problem has the **greedy choice property**.)

When there's no item, there's no problem

def stalin sort(items):

Unlike insertion sort and other such non-Lysenkovian **comparison sorting** algorithms devised by the CIA to weaken the spirit of the hardy people of Brutopia, **Stalin sort** is a famous joke sort algorithm that wastes no time with bourgeois considerations of "fairness" or "equality". Inspired by and named after the original anti-capitalist social justice warrior, Stalin sort operates in one brutally effective loop through the list of items by giving every subversive element, that is, those smaller than their predecessor, a one-way train ticket to gulag. After this loop, the elements that remain in the list will be in sorted order! For example, given the list [3, 2, 4, 1], Stalin sort would remove the wreckers 1 and 2 (note that after removing the element 1, the next element 2 is compared to its surviving predecessor 3), leaving in the sorted items [3, 4].

Stalin sort can be turned into an inefficient but working sorting algorithm by allowing the subversive elements to return after having learned their lesson in the gulag. After each purge, the subversive elements are returned in front of the list in the order they were sent away. For example, the previous list becomes [2, 1, 3, 4] after the first round of purges. The same algorithm is then applied to this new list as many times as required to achieve and verify the utopia, here reached once comrade 1 has returned from his second re-education journey.

Instead of returning the sorted list, which you could do simply by calling the list function sort, the automated tester expects this function not to be alienated from the toils of production but prove having done this work by returning the number of rounds needed to arrange the items to sorted order and verify that order. However, you should be aware of that wretched wrecker Shlemiel who wants to sabotage this glorious effort by making each purge operate in quadratic time by hiding the inner loop inside some seemingly innocent list operation such as remove. Proper praxis of the glorious revolution will steamroll through the items in linear time with a single for-loop.

items	Expected result
[1, 2, 3, 4]	1
[2, 1, 0, -1]	4
[4, 3, 1, 1, 0, -1, 2, -3]	6
list(range(10000, 0, -1))	10000

It should be clear and rational to everyone who doesn't belong to the oppressor class that this algorithm is guaranteed to terminate after a finite number of rounds. Don't be afraid to place your finger on every item and ask why it be so, comrade!

Boxed away

def fewest boxes(items, weight limit):

Each item in the given list of items has a weight that is a unique positive integer, listed in ascending sorted order. You are given an unlimited supply of identical boxes, each of which can hold up to two items, provided that the weight of these items is at most equal to the given weight_limit of an individual box. No box is able to hold three or more items, even if those items together happened to fit within the weight limit. Your function should calculate the minimum number of boxes needed to pack away all the items.

The <u>bin packing problem</u>, the general version of this problem where each box can hold not just two but any number of items within the weight limit, is known to be <u>NP-hard</u> so that no efficient polynomial time algorithms are believed to even exist for it. Even the special case of <u>partition problem</u> that asks to determine whether two boxes, each box with the weight limit equal to one half of the total weight of the <u>items</u>, suffice to exactly pack away all the given <u>items</u> is already NP-hard, so any algorithm aiming to solve this problem in the general case is doomed to juggle these <u>items</u> between the two boxes through an exponential number of partitions. However, hardcoding the capacity of each box to at most two items should eliminate all this massive branching and allow the optimal solution to be extracted in a far easier time.

items	weight_limit	Expected result
[41]	42	1
[4, 5, 8]	11	2
[3, 6, 12, 14, 21]	24	3
[12, 23, 29, 42, 53, 54, 56]	58	5
[15, 18, 31, 37, 38, 50, 57]	74	4
[19, 23, 44, 69, 72, 77, 89, 118, 141]	162	5
[18, 20, 51, 73, 118, 159, 172, 213, 233, 235, 282]	308	6

'Tis but a scratch

```
def knight_survival(n, x, y, k):
```

A lone chess knight starts at the position (x, y) on the generalized n-by-n chessboard, position indexing again zero-based. The knight must make k random moves, each move done with the same 1/8 probability to one of the eight possible directions of the knight's move. Any move that ends up outside the bounds of the board makes the knight fall to his doom. This function should compute the exact probability that the knight survives on the board for the duration of the entire series of k moves, and return that exact probability as an exact Fraction object.

The formula for the survival probability S(n, x, y, k) can be expressed recursively. The base cases of the recursion are when the coordinates (x, y) are outside the board so the survival probability is zero, and when the coordinates are inside the board and k = 0 so the survival probability is one. Otherwise, the survival probability is the sum of the eight survival probabilities S(n, x', y', k - 1), multiplied by the transition probability 1/8 to **normalize** these probabilities to add up to one, where x' and y' go through all the possible squares reachable by a knight's move from (x, y).

This recursion can be expressed as recursion aided with some handy $@1ru_cache magic$. Alternatively, you can solve this with **dynamic programming** by creating an n-by-n table of probabilities, all initialized to the same value 1. From this table that contains the survival probabilities for the current k, initially 0, you can compute the next higher table of survival probabilities for k + 1.

n	х	У	k	Expected result
4	3	0	3	5/128
9	8	2	1	1/2
9	6	5	1	1
10	1	6	3	119/256
11	3	5	11	124974217/536870912
13	1	12	13	25928405477/549755813888

This problem is "Knight Probability in Chessboard" at LeetCode. Of course, very few companies would probably interview candidates using problems that involve probabilities and recursion. But if you are lucky enough to hired by such a company, aim to make yourself indispensable there.

Do or die

```
def accumulate_dice(d, goal):
```

Right on the heels of the previous problem about recursively computed probabilities, a fair d-sided die is repeatedly rolled and the results are added together until the total becomes at least equal to the given goal. The final total can therefore end up being anything from goal to goal+(d-1), inclusive. This function should compute the exact probabilities of the final total ending up at each of those values, and return the answer as a list of Fraction objects with exactly d elements.

Calculating the exact probabilities correctly requires a bit more finesse in this problem. To achieve this, we need to define the function P(s, k) for the probability that the total sum of dice equals exactly s after k rolls. The base cases of this recursion are P(0, 0) = 1 and P(s, 0) = 0 for s > 0. The general probability P(s, k) can be computed from the probabilities P(s', k-1) where s' goes through all sums that a single roll could turn into the total of s. Note that the sums greater than or equal to goal are **absorbing states** where further rolls do not change the achieved total. (As you can see in the third row in the table below, it is also possible for d to be greater than goal, and the problem is still perfectly well-defined with a definite answer.)

d	goal	Expected result
2	4	[Fraction(11, 16), Fraction(5, 16)]
4	5	[Fraction(369, 1024), Fraction(305, 1024), Fraction(225, 1024), Fraction(125, 1024)]
3	8	[Fraction(3289, 6561), Fraction(2200, 6561), Fraction(1072, 6561)]
6	11	[Fraction(106442161, 362797056), Fraction(87771985, 362797056), Fraction(65990113, 362797056), Fraction(50655625, 362797056), Fraction(34445005, 362797056), Fraction(17492167, 362797056)]
8	19	An eight-element list whose first element equals Fraction(31945752545707729, 144115188075855872)

This problem was inspired by a problem titled "Rolling a Die" in the collection "Mathematical Morsels" by Ross Honsberger, asking the reader to prove that goal is always the most probable total to achieve with these rolls, regardless of d. It's always nice to have numerical confirmation for intuitively acceptable things that you have only proven but have not actually tried out.

Largest square of ones

```
def largest_ones_square(board):
```

Here is another classic job interview chestnut that has a cute solution either with recursion aided by the <code>lru_cache</code> memoization magic, or with **dynamic programming** to directly fill in the memoization table. Your function receives a board of *n*-by-*n* elements, each row of the board given as a string consisting of characters '0' and '1'. This function should find the largest square made ones on the board, and return the side length of this square.

Once again, some "Shlemiel" would solve this with four levels of nested loops to iterate through all possible top left corners and areas of that square. We will solve this problem more efficiently by defining a recursive function S(row, col) that gives the side length of the largest square whose **bottom right corner** is at the given row and column. The base case is S(row, col) = 0 whenever that position contains a '0' or is out of bounds of the board. For positions that contain a '1', the value of S(row, col) is computed with the formula $1 + \min(S(row - 1, col), S(row - 1, col - 1), S(row, col - 1))$ that depends on the solutions of its west, northwest and north neighbours. DUCY?

board	Expected result
['0000', '1111', '0111', '1111']	3
['00111', '11010', '11001', '00110', '10110']	2
['0010000', '1101111', '1111111', '0111111', '0111111', '0111111', '1011110']	4

Prize strings

```
def prize strings(n, late limit, absent limit):
```

The problems offered in <u>Project Euler</u> generally tend to be beyond the reach of undergraduate students; even if the problem itself would be simple, adding up the results for gazillion possible inputs tends to require mathematical knack beyond what can be expected here. However, some problems are simple enough to allow them to not only be included here, but generalized beyond the original problem setting. Case in point is the problem 191, "<u>Prize Strings</u>".

The attendance record of a student over n days can be expressed as an n-character string consisting of characters 'O' for "on time", 'A' for "absent" and 'L' for late. For example, the attendance record "OOALAO" means that the student was on time the first two days, absent the third, late the fourth, absent again on fifth, and on time the sixth day. The student gets a <u>plaster reward</u> if they are late fewer than late_limit days in total, and are never absent for any absent_limit consecutive days. This function should calculate how many different attendance record strings allow the student the reward.

This problem can be solved with a nested recursive function, aided by <code>@lru_magic</code> to keep the running time from blowing up exponentially. This recursive function needs three parameters to describe the state reached in the present day; the number of days remaining, the late days accrued so far in total, and the days of absenteeism that immediately precede the current day. The function should then compute the number of ways to extend the sequence for each of the three possible ways to spend the current day (on time, late, or absent), and add up the results for these three ways for the total answer returned to the previous level.

n	late_limit	absent_limit	Expected result
4	2	3	43
30	2	3	1918080160
5	4	3	211
20	2	6	10444797
31	1	4	13752712373
59	1	5	221333601789363969

Weak Goodstein sequence

def goodstein(n, k):

Another nice problem from <u>Project Euler</u> suitable for this collection is problem 396, "<u>Weak Goodstein sequence</u>". As you may know, other than the fact that we have ten fingers, there is nothing magical about the base ten that we use to represent integers; in fact, every base is "base 10" from its own point of view! The Python built-in function int allows the caller to supply the base as the second argument that defaults to 10, but this argument has a maximum value that is too small for the purposes of this problem. You will therefore have to write the base conversion routines back and forth by yourself using repeated remainder and integer division operations.

The **weak Goodstein sequence** starts from the given value $g_1 = n$ (for simplicity, we index its position starting from one), and continues there with the rule that involves base conversions. To compute the next element g_{i+1} from the current g_i , compute the list of digits of g_i in base i. Then interpret these very same digits as a number in the next higher base i + 1, and subtract one. For example, as shown in the above Project Euler problem page, the sequence for n = 6 starts out as 6, 11, 17, 25, 35, 39, 43, 47, 51, 55, 59, 62, 65...

One might expect these values to keep increasing forever, since of course the exact same digits interpreted in base i+1 form a larger number compared to base i. However, the mere act of subtracting one at each step is enough to rein in this growth so that for any starting value n, the weak Goodstein sequence must eventually become zero and remain there! This function should return the value in the one-based position k for the Goodstein sequence starting from n.

n	k	Expected result
1	1	1
3	3	3
5	3	15
16	187	13328639
33	642	513618635782
41	504	32844064606486

The rule that defines this wacky sequence is still pretty weak sauce compared to the <u>real deal Good</u>stein sequence whose termination time can and will reach some pretty dizzying heights.

Markov distance

def markov distance(triple1, triple2):

As explained on the Wikipedia page "Markov Numbers", the positive integer solutions to the polynomial equation $x^3 + y^3 + z^3 = 3xyz$ have a curious property that if (x, y, z) is one solution triple that satisfies that equation, then (x, y, 3xy - z) is another solution for that equation. Since this equation is symmetric, any one of the three values of x, y and z can be permuted to the role of the last term, thus giving us up to three more solution triples from any known solution triple (x, y, z). For example, the solution triple (1, 5, 13) gives us three other solutions (1, 2, 5), (1, 13, 34) and (5, 13, 194). Furthermore, these solutions form an infinite tree depicted on the Wikipedia page so that any solution triple can be reached from any other solution triple by traversing up and down this tree.

Your function should compute how many steps away the two given solution triples triple1 and triple2 are from each other in this tree. Knowing that the space of solutions forms a tree, we don't need the full power of **breadth-first search** that can find the shortest path in arbitrary state spaces, but can solve this problem merely by climbing up the tree. Start by initializing a step counter to zero. While the two solution triples are not equal to each other, replace the solution triple with the largest maximum value with its parent triple in this tree, and increment the step counter by one. Once the two solution triples become equal, stop and return the final value of the step counter.

triple1	triple2	Expected result
(1, 2, 5)	(1, 2, 5)	0
(13, 34, 1325)	(2, 29, 169)	5
(13, 1325, 51641)	(2, 29, 169)	6
(14701, 56399710225, 2487396418774357)	(5, 13, 194)	8
(985, 4360711162037, 12885900008113189)	(4400489, 33809973988413085, 446341255878891162918658)	14

Digit string partition

```
def digit partition(digits, n):
```

This function should determine whether it is possible to partition the given digit string into pieces so that the sum of these pieces added up as integers equals the goal value n. For example, the digit string "123456789" can be broken into pieces 123 + 4 + 56 + 789 to add up to the goal value 972, and into pieces 12 + 34567 + 89 to add up to the goal value 34668.

This problem can be solved recursively by looping through all possible ways to choose the first number from the beginning of digits, and for each such first number, determining recursively whether it is possible to partition the remaining digits to add up to the original goal minus that first chosen number. Alternatively, you can loop through all possible ways to choose the last number in the partition, and try to partition the preceding digits to add up to the remaining goal. However, unlike in most other recursions seen in this collection, subproblems do not tend to repeat themselves through the recursive search, so using the @lru_cache would only be a waste of both time and memory. You should also ensure that your function handles the zero digits correctly, regardless of whether these zeros are at the beginning, middle or the end of the digit string.

digits	n	Expected result	
'00010203000'	6	True	
'1000055'	100010	True	
'26389'	26389	True	
'0453962'	1337	False	
'482951'	4880	True	
'0024644418'	24644417	False	
'625950539'	1196	True	
'601372126390244791992'	5940825159181	False	
'2413331523846696659'	523846937993	True	

Hofstadter's figure-figure sequences

```
def hofstadter_figure_figure(n):
```

In his now classic tome "Gödel, Escher, Bach", Douglas Hofstadter also defined a bunch of wacky and chaotic self-referential sequences that make for interesting coding problems. This problem looks at Hofstadter's figure-figure sequences, two complementary sequences R and S of increasing positive integers. Starting the position numbering from zero like computer scientists made of sterner stuff, the sequences are initialized with R(0) = 1 and S(0) = 2. After that, R(n) = R(n-1) + S(n-1), and S(n) equals the smallest positive integer that has not appeared in either sequence so far. The reader can easily verify that these sequences start out as

```
R: 1, 3, 7, 12, 18, 26, 35, 45, 56, 69, 83, 98, 114, 131, 150, 170, 191, 213, 236, 260, ... S: 2, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, ...
```

with the *R*-sequence growing approximately quadratically while the *S*-sequence patiently crawls behind to collect all the numbers that the *R*-sequence skipped on its merry way.

Given the zero-indexed position n, this function should return a tuple of two values R(n) and S(n). The automated tester will be giving your function values of n from zero up to a hundred thousand in order. Therefore to pass the test within the time limit, you should somehow cache the sequences generated so far, along with whatever other information you choose to store to quickly determine the smallest positive integer that has not yet made its debut appearance.

n	Expected result	
0	(1, 2)	
6	(35, 10)	
30	(602, 38)	
49	(1509, 59)	
100000	(5028615179, 100432)	

[Be]t[Te]r [C][Al]I [Sm][Al]I

def break bad(word, symbols):

The title card of the television series *Breaking Bad* famously stylized the show title with chemical element symbols boxed inside the words. In this problem, you will write a function that performs a similar transformation for the given word made of lowercase English letters, boxing the chemical element symbols between square brackets. Your task is to break the word into chemical symbols to minimize the cost function where each unboxed letter costs two points, each single-letter chemical symbol costs one point, and each two-letter chemical symbol costs zero points. If two different breakdowns of word have the same cost, return the one that uses a two-letter symbol earlier than the other solution does so.

This problem is best solved with **dynamic programming**. Given the *n*-letter word, start by creating two lists cost and move so that cost[i] gives the count of unbroken letters in the best solution for breaking the substring word[i:] into chemical elements, and move[i] says what symbol the optimal solution for word[i:] starts with. You then fill these two lists from right to left, making the decision for each move[i] and its ensuing optimal cost[i] based on the later parts of these lists filled in the previous rounds of this loop. After both lists cost and move have been filled, a simple iteration from left to right can construct the optimal solution.

word	Expected result (using the real chemical elements)
'no'	'[No]'
'overgrows'	'[0][V][Er]gr[0][W][S]'
'multinode'	'm[U]l[Ti][No]de'
'reprised'	'[Re][Pr][I][Se]d'
'oxysalicylic'	'[0]x[Y][S][Al][I][C][Y][Li][C]'
'felina'	'[Fe][Li][Na]'

Total covered area

```
def squares_total_area(points):
```

You are given a list of points located in the nonnegative quadrant of the infinite two-dimensional integer grid. Your task is to process these points in order so that using each point on its turn as the **top right corner**, you construct the **largest possible axis-aligned square** that does not reach across the *x*- or *y*-axis into the negative coordinate values and does not share a nonzero area with any the previously created squares. This function should add up and return the total area together covered by the squares constructed in this manner.

For example, the reader equipped with a pen and some grid paper can quickly verify that processing the points given as [(6, 2), (5, 3), (9, 4)] would first create a 2-by-2 square whose top right corner is at (6, 2). Then, a 1-by-1 square is added with its top right corner at (5, 3). Finally, a 3-by-3 square is added with its top right corner at (9, 4). Adding up the areas of these squares gives the total area of 4 + 1 + 9 = 14. Note how the order in which the points are listed can sometimes make a big difference; had these points been given as [(9, 4), (6, 2), (5, 3)], the resulting squares would have added up to the total area of 16 + 0 + 9 = 25 instead.

The automated tester will give your function enough test cases with the point *x*- and *y*-coordinates large enough so that any brute force solution that loops through the integer grid points inside each square to diligently add up these points one by one is guaranteed to run out of time before reaching the finish line of the test. The correct and efficient logic for this problem uses only integer arithmetic and comparisons to swiftly cut the squares under construction down to their final sizes, and would not much care even if these point coordinates were hovering in the octillions.

points	Expected result
[(5, 3)]	9
[(3, 3), (5, 5), (7, 3)]	17
[(4, 5), (3, 4), (9, 6)]	41
[(2, 2), (5, 5), (6, 2)]	17
[(0, 8), (6, 0), (0, 10), (2, 9)]	4
[(10, 2), (9, 8), (5, 11), (2, 16)]	53
[(3, 20), (26, 6), (11, 24), (14, 33), (21, 2)]	190
[(11, 81), (80, 99), (76, 32), (97, 144), (159, 14), (145, 28), (124, 30), (24, 157)]	8467

Balsam for the code

def measure_balsam(flasks, goal):

You are a medieval balsam merchant equipped with a tuple of flasks of their capacities in ounces, listed in descending sorted order. The largest flask is initially full of balsam, and the smaller flasks are empty. Your task is to measure exactly goal ounces of balsam into any one of these flasks in the smallest possible number of moves. Since these flasks are unmarked except for their capacities so that you can't measure the desired pour amount just on eyesight and feel, each move must keep pouring balsam from some nonempty flask into some other flask until either the flask that you pour from becomes empty, or the flask that you pour into becomes full. This function should return the smallest number of moves to measure exactly goal ounces into some flask, or None if this measurement is not possible to achieve in any number of moves with the given flasks.

This problem is perhaps easiest solved using **breadth-first search**. Initially, the list of reachable states contains only the initial starting state. As long as none of your reachable states contains a flask with exactly goal ounces of balsam in it, loop through these reachable states to produce the list of **successor states** that can be reached from the previous row of states in one pouring move. You should also maintain the set of all states that you have already seen at any time during the search, and ignore such previously seen states should they happen to be generated again, to prevent your search from running around in a circle like some mangy dog chasing its own tail. Eventually one of the two things must happen; either a desired goal state appears in the reachable states, or the list of current states becomes empty, which allows you to conclude that the desired goal was impossible to measure using the given flasks to begin with.

flasks	goal	Expected result
(8, 5, 1)	7	1
(10, 7, 2)	9	7
(5, 3, 3)	1	None
(12, 8, 3, 1)	10	3
(15, 11, 8, 6, 5, 4)	9	1
(27, 22, 21, 20, 20, 10, 9, 9)	23	5

The original version of this problem asks three medieval balsam thieves to divide the stolen flask full of balsam equally using three given empty flasks of different sizes so that each thief gets to scurry off in a different direction with one of these flasks with his equal share of the loot. The reader can ponder the difference between this version of the problem and our current version.

Nice sequence

def nice_sequence(items, start):

This problem idea is taken from "<u>Problems with a Point</u>" by <u>William Gasarch</u> and <u>Clyde Kruskal</u>. Using the positive integer items given in sorted order so that each item can be used at most once, create the longest possible sequence so that after the given start value, each element is always some integer multiple or a factor of the immediate previous element. Since this sequence might not be unique, the function needs to return the length of that sequence.

This problem can be solved with a recursive function that receives the sequence constructed so far, and tries out all possible ways to extend the sequence from the current last element. It might be useful to preprocess the items into a dictionary that maps each individual item to the list of other items that are its multiples or factors, to speed up looping through the local possibilities during the recursion. The reader may also think up other ways to speed up the algorithm to make the tests complete within the time limit.

items	start	Expected result
[2, 8, 12, 26, 108, 132, 264]	132	6
[3, 9, 55, 95, 106, 190]	106	1
[4, 5, 11, 17, 22, 24, 52, 88, 93, 120, 264]	5	9
[3, 6, 9, 27, 28, 45, 60, 84, 90, 168, 360]	28	11

Posed alternatively, your task is to find the longest path through a graph whose nodes are the given integer items, and two nodes are connected by an edge if and only if one of the numbers is an integer multiple of the other. Under this definition, these edges are unidirectional so that each edge can be traversed to either direction. The general problems of finding the longest path in an undirected graph is **NP-complete** so that no guaranteed efficient algorithms exist to solve it in every case, but this restricted form of nodes may or may not allow helpful optimizations that are not available for general graphs.

Forbidden digit

```
def forbidden_digit(n, d):
```

Suppose that all nonnegative integers that do not contain the digit d are listed in increasing order. For example, if d equals 3, this list would start as 0, 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 15, ...

This function should return the integer located in the position n of this list, the counting of positions starting from zero. Of course, the automated tester will again give your function large enough values of n so that constructing this list explicitly is a futile effort from the start. Instead, you need to use a bit of combinatorial thinking to find out how to get to the result sooner. After the necessary flash of insight to how to achieve this, the solution does not actually require any higher mathematics.

n	d	Expected result
3	3	4
5	0	6
21	3	24
7085	4	10752
29030	7	43835
10**100	5	67681661646610430136498140330603313423346621090724909 0703330489389102173000617274221681128634706638779211

Shotgun sequence

def shotgun(n):

Usually programmers like to number sequence positions starting from zero, but starting the numbering from one works out better for the wacky <u>Shotgun sequence</u> problem. Consider the infinite sequence of positive integers 1, $\frac{2}{2}$, $\frac{3}{4}$, $\frac{4}{5}$, $\frac{6}{6}$, $\frac{7}{8}$, $\frac{8}{9}$, ... Pair up numbers in positions divisible by two with each other and swap each number with its pair for the new sequence 1, 4, $\frac{3}{2}$, 2, 5, $\frac{8}{8}$, 7, 6, $\frac{9}{9}$, ... Next, look at the numbers in positions that are divisible by three, and similarly pair them up and swap each number with its pair. In general, in the k:th round, look at the numbers currently in positions divisible by k-1, and pair up and swap those numbers. After n-1 rounds, the number that ended up in the position n will never move again, and this function should return that number.

Denoting the number in position n after k rounds of this operation by s(n, k), the base case of the recursive formula is simply s(n, 0) = n for any n. If n is not divisible by k, then s(n, k) = s(n, k - 1), again simple enough. If n is divisible by k, find the position m with which the position n is swapped in that round (this can be easily determined from parity of n / k), and solve s(m, k - 1) to find out what number was previously in position m. However, the automated tester will give you values of n large enough to make the resulting deep recursion hit a **stack overflow**, so you need to convert your **tail recursion** into a for-loop that will be executed entirely in the same function activation.

n	Expected result	
1		
4	6	
1912	2385	
3348444	7610786	

This "deranged" permutation of positive integers is a bizarre combination of the famous <u>Hilbert Hotel</u> and the <u>Sieve of Eratosthenes</u> in that these repeated swaps keep pushing all prime numbers infinitely far right along the number line, so that this function can never return a prime number for any finite n. Consider any prime number p. Since p is not divisible by any integer 1 < k < p, it will move the first time in the round p-1 when it will be swapped with the integer currently in the position 2p. The integer in that position must be either the original 2p or some integer that was moved there in some previous round k < p, and thus necessarily composite. The prime p will then remain in its new position 2p until the round 2p-1, when it will be swapped with the integer currently in the position 4p, another composite number, and so on.

Card row game

def card_row_game(cards):

In this classic recursive programming chestnut, two players face each other in a game played with a row of cards turned face up. Alternating turns, each player can pick up either the card currently at the beginning of the row, or the card currently at the end of the row. Each card contains an integer, and both players try to maximize the sum of integers in the cards that they picked up. The result of the game is the difference between the sums of the cards of the first and the second player, a negative result thus indicating that the second player won. Assuming that both players make their decisions optimally throughout the entire game, what is the result for the given cards for this **principal variation** of perfect play?

The greedy strategy of always picking up the larger of the two cards does not generally produce the optimal play in this game. This problem should be modelled with a recursive **minimax** equation C(b, e) where b and e are the positions in cards where the current row begins and ends. The base case is b = e when only one card remains, so the result of the game is the value of the card in that position. Otherwise, C(b, e) equals the maximum of the two quantities cards[b] – C(b + 1, e) and cards[e] – C(b, e - 1), respectively giving the value of picking up the card from the beginning or the end of the current row. Note how in both cases, the result of the recursive call is subtracted from the value of the card picked up, since that call evaluated the new situation from the opponent's point of view. In a zero-sum game, your opponent's gain exactly equals your loss and vice versa, so the result for one player is the negation of the result for the other one.

cards	Expected result
[5, 5]	0
[3, 6, 2]	-1
[1, 6, 6, 10]	9
[2, 2, 23, 15, 3]	-5
[65, 17, 19, 68, 26, 90, 35, 28, 33, 46]	119

After solving this problem, you can analyze its **first-in vigorish**, that is, how much of an advantage there is in getting to make the first move for a randomly constructed board.

Count sublists with odd sums

```
def count odd sum sublists(items):
```

Given a list of nonnegative integer items, this function should count the number of contiguous sublists whose sum of elements is an odd number. For example, the list [0, 3, 2, 4] contains six such sublists [0, 3], [0, 3, 2], [0, 3, 2, 4], [3], [3, 2], and [3, 2, 4] read in order of starting position and breaking ties by length.

Of course, this problem could be solved with two nested for-loops. The outer loop iterates through all the possible starting points of the sublist. The inner loop then iterates through the positions from that starting point all the way to the end of the list, keeping track of the sum of the elements of that sublist so far. Whenever the current sum is an odd number, increment the tally by one.

Short and simple, but we can actually do way better and solve this problem with just one for-loop! Have the inner loop of the previous algorithm loop through the positions of the entire list, keeping track of the sum of the elements up to that position. Maintain two integer variables odd_count and even_count to remember how many prefixes of the list have had odd and even sums of elements. Depending on the parity of the current element, increment the corresponding counter, and update the total tally of sublists with odd sums by the number of sublists of suitable parity that you have encountered so far.

As usual, the automated tester will feed your function lists long enough so that any "Shlemiel" solving this problem with two nested for-loops will run out of the time limit well before terminating.

items	Expected result
[2, 0, 4, 3]	4
[5, 0, 1, 2, 2]	8
[2, 1, 8, 0, 5, 6, 5, 0]	20
[9, 0, 5, 2, 8, 3, 3, 1, 9, 8, 3]	35
[22, 1, 12, 8, 5, 3, 5, 17, 8, 17, 19, 3, 1, 15, 14, 18, 8, 18, 0, 11, 18, 10]	132

Tailfins and hamburgers

def trip_plan(motels, daily_drive):

Your vacation plan for the summer consists of driving through the nostalgic highway that crosses the entire country, staying in some motel each night to catch some Z's before the next day's drive across the fruited plain. You are given the list of motels stretched along the highway in order of ascending distance, and start your trip at the milestone zero of the highway. (Note that this zero milestone is not the same thing as the first motel in position zero of the motels list.) Staying at any other motel is optional during the trip, but your trip must end at "The Gobbler", always the last motel in the given list, a historical landmark from a bygone era of happy motoring optimism.

You are able to drive any distance during each day, and can make your vacation take as many days as you like. However, you would prefer to have your daily drive to be as close to daily_drive as possible. We define the **misery** of each day to be the square of the difference between the actual distance and the daily_drive. For example, should you prefer to drive 300 miles each day, the misery for a day where you drove only 250 miles equals $(300 - 250)^2 = 2500$. This function should generate the trip plan that minimizes your total misery over the entire trip.

This trip plan should be returned as a list of the locations of the motels where you choose to stay each night, in ascending order. If there exist multiple travel plans whose total misery is equal, this function must return the lexicographically lowest such plan.

motels	daily_drive	Expected result
[7]	5	[7]
[3, 16, 32, 43]	22	[16, 43]
[16, 27, 49, 59, 72]	32	[27, 72]
[13, 23, 28, 55, 71, 82]	38	[28, 55, 82]
[13, 18, 32, 44, 81, 88, 114, 144]	49	[44, 88, 144]
[21, 41, 74, 98, 130, 171, 183, 198, 216, 267]	93	[98, 183, 267]

Split the digits, maximize the product

def max_product(digits, n, m):

A string contains exactly n + m decimal digits listed in descending order. Your task is to use these digits to build two positive integers of n and m digits, respectively, to maximize the product of your two integers. Obviously, digits chosen to both these integers will appear in descending order, but in which integer will you place each digit to maximize the resulting product?

This problem is generalized from the adorable classroom story given in the post "Mrs. Nguyen's prestidigitation" by Brian Hayes. Once reasoned out, the algorithm is quite short and sweet... provided that there are no duplicate digits or zero digits! However, your function must work correctly even without these restrictions.

This problem can be split into recursive two-way decisions by realizing that the first digit must go either to the first or to the second integer being constructed. After this two-way choice, continue by placing the second digit to either one or the other, and so on. The base case of this recursion is when one of the numbers reaches its required length, at which point you can stuff the remaining digits into the other number and compare the resulting product to the current maximum. Make sure to also handle each block of k consecutive identical digits as a branch of k + 1 possibilities of how many of these digits you place into the first number, as opposed to a branch of 2^k redundant possibilities to divvy up these identical digits between the two numbers.

digits	n	m	Expected result
'6540'	3	1	3240
'87452'	3	2	63168
'942200'	5	1	379800
'9877100'	4	3	8448700
'8866666555554222211110'	12	10	7510047809441458785210
'9998888876544332000000'	11	11	9877523839516576000000

Tower of cubes

def cube_tower(cubes):

The six faces of a cube are numbered from 0 to 5 so that the face opposite to the face number i is given by the expression (i+3)%6. Your function is given a list of cubes where the sides of each individual cube have been coloured with some colours encoded as natural numbers. These cubes are of all different sizes, and are listed in order of increasing size. Your task is to build a tower with the largest possible number of cubes under the rules that a larger cube may not be placed on top of a smaller one, and that the touching faces of adjacent cubes must always be of the same colour. Each cube can be freely rotated to an arbitrary orientation during the build. Return the largest number of cubes that the tower can contain under these constraints.

This problem is probably easiest to solve with the classic "take it or leave it" recursive search. Either you take the largest remaining cube as the base of the tower, or you don't. Either way, continue to build the best tower using the remaining smaller cubes, making sure that the colour of the face of your next chosen cube always matches the face of the previously chosen cube that it rests on. Since the same subproblems can and will repeat exponentially during this recursive search, you should again use the <code>@lru_cache</code> decorator to prune the repeated branches of this search tree.

cubes	Expected result
[[0, 2, 2, 1, 2, 4], [3, 1, 2, 0, 3, 2], [4, 1, 4, 4, 1, 2]]	3
[[2, 9, 1, 4, 8, 6], [1, 7, 6, 2, 3, 2], [0, 5, 5, 2, 6, 2], [2, 9, 8, 3, 6, 8]]	3
[[7, 21, 14, 13, 19, 9], [14, 16, 22, 22, 20, 7], [2, 3, 0, 4, 2, 18], [2, 19, 5, 12, 21, 8], [0, 12, 13, 11, 4, 15], [15, 2, 5, 3, 16, 7], [15, 16, 1, 2, 3, 12], [10, 13, 22, 3, 10, 15], [1, 20, 11, 6, 2, 12], [15, 9, 1, 14, 10, 1], [4, 14, 9, 17, 7, 15], [7, 22, 6, 4, 16, 19], [7, 21, 19, 18, 10, 18], [4, 18, 4, 22, 2, 5], [13, 10, 0, 20, 17, 21], [1, 10, 15, 10, 1, 3], [15, 2, 4, 6, 0, 17], [21, 21, 11, 16, 0, 18], [5, 1, 2, 14, 17, 8], [16, 19, 3, 21, 15, 12]]	10

This problem is adapted from the problem 10051 at <u>Online Judge</u>, "<u>Tower of Cubes</u>", included in the <u>Programming Challenges</u> compilation by <u>Steven Skiena</u> of "<u>The Algorithm Design Manual</u>" fame.

Gijswijt sequence

def gijswijt(n):

<u>Gijswijt sequence</u> is an interesting sequence of positive integers that starts from value 1. After that, each element of this sequence equals the largest integer k for which there exists some suffix of the preceding sequence, no matter of what length, that repeats k times consecutively. For example, the element following the initial sequence 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2 would be 3, since the preceding sequence contains the suffix [2] that is repeated three times consecutively.

This function should return the element in position n of the Gijswijt sequence, when position counting again starts from 0 for us budding computer scientists. The automated tester is guaranteed to give your function values of n in increasing order, so your function should construct this sequence to a global list initialized to contain some prefix of the Gijswijt sequence, and each time extend this sequence one element at the time until the stored sequence list contains the requested position n.

As explained in the article "Seven Staggering Sequences" by Neil Sloane, this sequence contains all positive integers somewhere in it. However, this sequence grows so incredibly slowly that the element 4 makes its first appearance in the position 219 (using zero-based position counting), and element 5 makes its first appearance in the position that equals about 10 raised to the power of 10^{23} . (Read that one again.) Your function may therefore safely assume that each element in this sequence for the values of n requested by the tester will be either 1, 2, 3 or 4. To solve the element in position n, your function should try out all possible repeated suffix lengths k from 1, 2, 3 ... and quickly determine how many times the suffix of length k is repeated. Don't be a Shlemiel who extracts entire sublists to compare for equality; rather use a loop to compare pairwise elements until a mismatch is found, which will usually happen after looking at only a couple of elements.

n	Expected result
0	1
6	2
91	3
219	4
564	2

Sum of consecutive squares

def sum_of_consecutive_squares(n):

The original collection of 109 Python problems included problems to determine whether the given positive integer n can be expressed as a sum of exactly two squares of integers, or as a sum of cubes of one or more distinct integers. Continuing on this same spirit, the problem "Sum of Consecutive Squares" that appeared recently in the popular Stack Overflow Code Golf coding problem collection site asks for a function that checks whether the given positive integer n could be expressed as a sum of squares of one or more **consecutive** positive integers. For example, since $77 = 4^2 + 5^2 + 6^2$, the integer 77 can thus be expressed as a sum of some number of consecutive integer squares.

This problem is best solved with the classic **two pointers** approach, using two indices lo and hi as the **point man** and **rear guard** who delimit the range of integers whose sum we want to make equal to n. Initialize both indices lo and hi to the largest integer whose square is less than equal to n, and then initialize a third local variable s to keep track of the sum of squares of integers from lo to hi, inclusive. If s is equal to n, return True. Otherwise, depending on whether s is smaller or larger than n, expand or contract this range by decrementing either index lo or hi (or both) as appropriate. Then update s to give the sum of squares of the new range, and continue the same way.

n	Expected result
9	True
30	True
294	True
3043	False
4770038	True
24015042	False
736683194	False

When implemented as explained above, this function maintains a **loop invariant** that says that if n can be expressed as a sum of squares of consecutive integers, the largest integer used in this sum cannot be greater than hi. This invariant is initially true, due to the initial choice of hi. Maintenance of this invariant during a single round of the loop body can then be proven for both possible branches of s > n and s < n. Since at least one of the positive indices hi and lo will decrease each round, this loop must necessarily terminate after at most 2*hi rounds, a massive improvement over the "Shlemiel" approach of iterating through all possibilities in **quadratic** time with two nested loops... or if the coder is especially clumsy, **cubic** time for three levels of nested loops.

Kimberling's expulsion sequence

def kimberling_expulsion(start, end):

<u>Kimberling's expulsion sequence</u> is one of the numerous wacky sequences of positive integers devised by <u>Clark Kimberling</u>. Assume that positions of positive integers 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 ... are indexed starting from zero. To produce the i:th element of the result sequence, remove the element from position i, and rearrange the first 2i elements of the remaining sequence by **riffling** (same as in "Riffle shuffle kerfuffle", the problem 3 in the original 109 Python Problems collection) the i elements before and the i elements after the position i together with an **in-shuffle**, that is, the first element of the riffle is taken from the block that follows the position i.

For example, the element in the position 0 of the result is 1, leaving the sequence 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, ... of remaining elements. The next element of the result is 3, the element in position 1 of the remaining elements, and riffling its surrounding elements produces the new sequence 4, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8, ... of remaining elements. The next element of the result is 5, the element in position 2 of the remaining elements, and riffling its surrounding elements produces the new sequence 6, 4, 7, 2, 8, ... of remaining elements. And so on.

This function should return the subsequence of the Kimberling's exclusion sequence from the position start (inclusive) up to position end (exclusive). The automated tester is guaranteed to give you test cases so that start is 0 in the first test case, and from every test case onwards, start will always equal the end of the previous test case. Your function should therefore store the remaining sequence information constructed so far in some global variables between the calls, so that it doesn't have to start producing each new subsequence all the way from the beginning.

start	end	Expected result
0	2	[1, 3]
2	5	[5, 4, 10]
12	14	[31, 14]
710	725	[1525, 2086, 1849, 1471, 1958, 836, 1035, 351, 648, 1263, 299, 579, 1531, 1019, 1682]

<u>It is still unknown</u> whether this sequence contains every positive integer at some point.

Kimberling's repetition-resistant sequence

def repetition resistant(n):

Right on the heels of the previous problem, here is another self-referential sequence devised by <u>Clark Kimberling</u> whose chaotic behaviour emerges organically from the iteration of a simple deterministic rule. This binary sequence consists of zeros and ones, and starts with a zero.

To determine the next bit of the sequence σ constructed so far, consider its **longest repeated subsequence** that occurs in the sequence at least twice. For example, the longest repeated subsequence of 01100111 would be 011, of length three. Partially overlapping repetitions count, so the longest repeated subsequence of 010101 would be 0101. Let m be the length of the longest repeated subsequence of σ . To decide whether the sequence σ will continue with 0 or 1, look at the sequences σ 0 and σ 1, acquired by extending σ by either 0 or 1, respectively. The sequence is extended by 1 if and only if the sequence σ 0 contains a repeated subsequence of length m + 1, but the sequence σ 1 does not contain such a subsequence. Reader can now verify that this infinite sequence begins with

This function should return the character in the position n of this sequence, the position numbering starting from zero. To speed up your function, note that adding a new character to the end of the sequence constructed so far can create a new longest repeated subsequence of length m + 1 only if that subsequence lies at the very end of the new sequence. The automated tester will be giving your function values of n from 0 to 9999, so your function should save the sequence generated so far and the length of its longest repeated into global variables stored between the function calls.

n	Expected result
0	0
1	1
1000	0
10000	0

<u>According to Kimberling</u>, it was proven back in the year 2003 that this infinite sequence contains every possible finite bit string somewhere in it. (Therefore it actually contains every bit string not just once but infinitely many times; DUCY?) The reader can also compare this sequence to the similarly spirited <u>Linus sequence</u>, problem 52 in this author's <u>collection of Java problems for CCPS 209</u>.

Game with multiset

```
def game_with_multiset(queries):
```

This nifty little problem is the Problem 1913C from $\underline{\text{CodeForces}}$, "Game with Multiset". Your function is given a list of queries so that each individual query is of the form ('A', x) or ('G', x), where x is some non-negative integer. Your function needs to process these queries in the exact order given. To do this, your function needs to somehow maintain a **monotonic multiset** of nonnegative integers, initially empty. Unlike in an ordinary set, the same value can occur in the multiset any number of times.

Each query ('A', x) adds the integer 2**x to your multiset. (Since the numbers that we are going to store are powers of two, of course you will only store the raw exponent x, instead of 2**x.) Each query ('G', v) asks you to determine whether the integer v can be constructed as a sum of some values that have been added to the multiset so far. Each value can be used in the sum up to as many times as it currently occurs in the multiset at that point. Your function should return the list of truth values for the results of the ('G', v) queries.

This problem would be easy if each x could occur in the set only once, since you could just express v in binary and see if the corresponding powers of two occur in the set. (This would be literally just one line of code using clever **bitwise arithmetic**.) Multisets give us more freedom and possibilities in constructing the sum so that if you don't have some particular power that you need stored in the set, using two instances of the one notch lower power (or four instances of the two notches lower power, or any suitable combination of lower powers for that matter) does the job as well. The question is how you arrange all this efficiently. One linear loop from the highest bit of v down to lowest should be enough, keeping track of how many lower powers it needs to fulfill the bit deficit that it has accumulated down the line. (You can also note the handy Python built-in bin.)

queries	Expected result
[('A', 0), ('A', 0), ('A', 2), ('G', 6), ('G', 3), ('G', 5)]	[True, False, True]
[('A', 3), ('A', 1), ('A', 4), ('G', 26), ('G', 23), ('G', 18), ('G', 1), ('A', 2), ('A', 1), ('G', 22)]	
[('A', 4), ('A', 2), ('A', 2), ('G', 24), ('A', 1), ('A', 1), ('A', 5), ('G', 1), ('A', 4), ('A', 3), ('G', 10)]	[True, False, True]

Tower of Babel

```
def tower_of_babel(blocks):
```

The side lengths of a solid three-dimensional block are given as a three-tuple (a, b, c). A block can be freely rotated and placed on a flat surface in three different ways, depending on which face you decide to make its bottom face. Your task is to reach for the heavens by building the tallest possible tower under the constraint that a block can be placed on another block only if its bottom face is **strictly smaller** in both dimensions than the top face of the block directly below it. You are allowed to place only one block directly on the block (or on the table underneath), and are not allowed to combine multiple thin pillar blocks together on top of the same block to form a wide block.

This function should return the height of the tallest possible tower that can be built from the given list of blocks. This can once again be done with recursion that first chooses the bottom block and then tries to recursively build the tallest possible tower on top of that using the remaining blocks. It will probably speed up the search to preprocess these blocks in some useful manner, at least initially rotating each block so that its three dimensions are listed in descending sorted order.

blocks	Expected result
[(5, 6, 4), (5, 7, 3)]	12
[(8, 10, 1), (1, 8, 6), (10, 7, 9), (4, 6, 2)]	20
[(1, 4, 6), (3, 1, 11), (11, 2, 1), (2, 10, 4), (7, 8, 3)]	29
[(5, 4, 9), (2, 8, 8), (8, 1, 7), (4, 4, 6), (12, 13, 3), (7, 10, 9)]	33
[(1, 2, 9), (4, 10, 1), (16, 8, 11), (3, 1, 1), (14, 4, 10), (15, 3, 3), (13, 5, 15), (11, 16, 5)]	65

(This problem is problem 437, "Tower of Babylon", in the Online Judge collection.)

Kayles

def kayles(pins):

<u>Kayles</u> is a combinatorial game made famous by <u>Conway</u>, <u>Guy and Berlekamp</u>, and is often used as an example in teaching basic concepts of <u>combinatorial game theory</u>. The game is a hypothetical variation of bowling where some pins have been arranged in a linear row. Both players are perfectly accurate so that either player can knock down any one pin or any two adjacent pins of his choice, but is never able to knock down three or more pins, no matter how he would like to throw. Two players take alternate turns knocking down pins, and the player who knocks down the last pin and leaves his opponent unable to move wins the game.

The game has a trivial winning strategy for the first player if the pins are together in a single group, so to make this more interesting, let's assume that some pins have been randomly removed from the row before the actual game begins. This function receives the current situation as a list of groups of pins. For example, the list [7, 3, 4, 2] means that the first group contains seven consecutive pins, the second group contains three consecutive pins, and so on. The function should determine whether the first player has a winning strategy starting from the given list of pins. This can be done with the search that tries all possible moves available for the current player, and recursively evaluates the resulting situations from the opponent's point of view. The current situation is hot (winning) if there exists any one one move that leads to a situation that is cold (losing) for the opponent so that all his possible moves from that situation lead to hot states.

The base case is when only one nonempty group of pins remains, as above. A similar argument also shows that any two groups with the same number of pins cancel each other out, since neither player can gain more than a temporary respite by making any play in either one of those groups. For example, [7, 4, 4, 4, 2, 2, 1] plays essentially the same as [7, 4, 1].

pins	Expected result
[3, 1]	True
[4, 1]	False
[2, 7, 1, 3]	True
[2, 3, 1]	False
[2, 2, 3, 1, 1, 1, 2]	False
[2, 6, 1, 5, 3, 2, 5, 5, 1, 4, 3]	True

Out where the buses don't run

```
def bus_travel(schedule, goal):
```

Cities in Poldavia have been numbered starting from zero. As a happy-go-lucky backpacker, you want to make your way to the goal city from the zero city by travelling on the bus network of that pastoral country. You have a schedule that gives the list of buses leaving from each city that day. Each leg of the bus travel is given as a triple (destination, leave, arrive) giving the times that the bus takes off from the current city and arrives at its destination. For simplicity, we assume that transferring to another bus takes zero time. Being a vaguely Slavic fictional country, Poldavia uses a 24-hour clock (hour, minute) to measure the day.

This function should determine the earliest time that you can arrive at the goal according to the bus schedule. To achieve this with a simplified version of **Dijkstra's algorithm**, your function should keep track of the earliest possible arrival time to each city. For the starting city 0, this time is the starting midnight. For the rest of the cities, you will update their earliest arrival times whenever you find faster routes to get to these cities. If it's not possible to reach the goal city at all, return the midnight hour (24, 0) to indicate this.

The function should maintain a **frontier** of cities that need processing. Initially this frontier contains only the starting city 0. Then, repeatedly pop out one city from the frontier, doesn't even matter which one. Loop through the buses taking off from your chosen city after the earliest possible arrival time to that city. If the arrival time of some route to its destination is earlier than your current earliest known arrival time to that destination, update the earliest known arrival time of that destination, and insert the destination city to the frontier. Repeat this until the frontier becomes empty. (Also, since buses can't travel backward in time, you can ignore all buses whose arrival time at their destination comes after the currently known earliest arrival time at the goal.)

schedule	goal	Expected result
{0: [(1, (15, 54), (17, 27)), (2, (17, 46), (19, 7)), (4, (18, 1), (19, 17))], 1: [(0, (17, 27), (17, 46)), (2, (20, 29), (21, 6)), (3, (15, 14), (16, 47)), (4, (15, 27), (16, 3)), (5, (18, 3), (19, 39))], 2: [(1, (19, 7), (20, 29)), (3, (21, 6), (21, 44))], 3: [(0, (16, 47), (18, 1)), (1, (13, 53), (15, 14))], 4: [(5, (13, 37), (14, 17)), (5, (16, 3), (17, 26)), (5, (19, 17), (20, 36))], 5: [(1, (14, 17), (15, 27)), (1, (17, 26), (18, 3)), (3, (12, 46), (13, 53))]}	2	(19, 7)

SMETANA interpreter

def smetana_interpreter(program):

SMETANA is a wacky esoteric programming language based on the notion of self-modifying code. This language is not Turing-complete in its basic form, but is still powerful enough to give us an interesting coding problem. Your function should simulate the execution of the program given as a list of statements, each statement a string of the form 'GOTO x' or 'SWAP x y', where x and y are statement numbers in the list, position indexing again starting from zero. The function should return the number of execution steps needed to terminate the program. If the program execution enters an infinite loop, your function should return None.

Execution starts at the statement 0, and ends when the execution goes past the last statement in the list. The effect of 'GOTO x' is to make the execution jump to the statement currently in position x. The effect of 'SWAP x y' is to swap the statements currently in positions x and y, and continue the program execution from the next statement after the current position.

To detect the program execution being stuck in an infinite loop, you should simulate the execution of the given program in two separate instances of execution that operate in lockstep. The first instance, the hare, executes the program two steps forward for every step that the second instance, the tortoise, executes that same program. Should the execution states of tortoise and hare ever become equal, you can safely conclude that the program execution is stuck in an infinite loop.

program	Expected result
['GOTO 2', 'SWAP 1 0', 'SWAP 2 1']	2
['SWAP 1 2', 'GOTO 0', 'GOTO 3', 'GOTO 1']	None
['SWAP 2 0', 'SWAP 0 3', 'SWAP 1 2', 'GOTO 3']	4
['SWAP 2 3', 'SWAP 1 3', 'GOTO 4', 'SWAP 4 0', 'GOTO 0', 'SWAP 4 1', 'SWAP 0 2']	None
['GOTO 5', 'GOTO 2', 'GOTO 8', 'SWAP 3 6', 'SWAP 6 5', 'GOTO 3', 'SWAP 0 7', 'SWAP 1 7', 'SWAP 1 4']	18
['SWAP 10 8', 'SWAP 1 4', 'GOTO 1', 'GOTO 7', 'GOTO 10', 'GOTO 7', 'GOTO 8', 'SWAP 6 2', 'SWAP 9 4', 'SWAP 8 1', 'SWAP 5 6']	5

The sharpest axes

```
def vector_add_reach(start, goal, vectors, giveup):
```

This problem was inspired by yet another excellent <u>Quanta Magazine</u> article "<u>An Easy-Sounding Problem Yields Numbers Too Big for Our Universe</u>" that the reader should first read for a good explanation for what this deceptively simple problem is all about. Given the list of direction vectors that determine the possible moves from the current point, this function should compute how many steps are needed to get from the start coordinates to the goal coordinates. If it is not possible to reach the goal state within giveup moves, this function should return None.

This problem can be solved with the simple **breadth-first search**, the same as many previous problems. Initially the first layer consists only of the start point. Repeatedly compute the next layer of reachable points by looping through the points in the current layer and generating the list of all points reachable from these points with the given vectors, terminating your search either when you find the goal point or when more than giveup layers have been generated. However, the **reversible** nature of the moves allows the use of <u>bidirectional search</u> to cut the effective search depth through this exponentially large search tree in half, for massive savings in both time and space!

Operate two separate instances of breadth first search, one emanating from the start point using the vectors to the forward direction, the other emanating from the goal point using the negated vectors to the backward direction. Generate the successive layers of reachable points alternating between these two searches, terminating when either search "meets the other one in the middle" by finding some point already discovered by the opposite search.

The following table contains examples only from two-dimensional space to keep it compact, but your function should work correctly for points of any number of dimensions.

start	goal	vectors	giveup	Expected result
(3, 0)	(2, 2)	[(-2, 1), (0, 2)]	6	None
(3, 2)	(4, 1)	[(2, -3), (1, 2), (-1, 2)]	6	2
(5, 7)	(0, 4)	[(-3, -5), (2, 1), (-4, 3), (2, 5), (3, 5)]	15	11
(9, 1)	(8, 3)	[(-1, 2)]	10	1

Casinos hate this Toronto man!

def optimal blackjack(deck):

One of James Swain's airport potboilers featuring the Las Vegas casino security expert Tony Valentine mentioned a device to calculate the optimal blackjack strategy over all possible distributions of the remaining cards, for an ultimate edge in card counting. In this problem you will implement such a device for a simplified version of blackjack over the known deck of cards. Since suits don't matter in blackjack, cards are given simply as their blackjack numerical values, with tens and faces all given as 10, and aces given as 11. Aces can be used either as 11 ("soft") or 1 ("hard") in either hand.

Each deal gives the first two cards to the player and the next two cards to the dealer. There are no immediate blackjack bonuses, but an ace and a face just make an ordinary 21. Knowing the contents of the deck, the player should take as many cards as needed to optimize not just the immediate outcome of the current deal, but the total outcome of all deals using the known deck. (Our simplified blackjack does not allow splitting, doubling down or surrendering.) Once the player has chosen to stand and has not gone bust, the dealer must keep taking cards until he has 17 or higher, soft or hard, regardless of the player's current total. The winner pays one dollar to the loser, with nothing paid in a push. Deals continue as long as the deck has at least four cards. If the deck runs out of cards in the middle of a deal, cards are taken from the beginning of the deck in a cyclic fashion.

The function should return the best possible score for the player assuming optimal overall play in full tilt Frank Fontaine mode over the entire deck. Since the eye in the sky is not here to stop the action after suspicious plays, it may sometimes even be optimal to go intentionally bust in the current hand to get to play the remaining deals from a more favourable position of the deck.

deck	Expected result
[8, 10, 2, 11, 6, 7, 5, 6, 10, 8, 4, 7, 3, 8, 6, 10, 10, 4, 10, 10]	0
[10, 6, 6, 10, 9, 9, 2, 6, 9, 2, 5, 7, 4, 2, 10, 10, 11, 3, 2, 3, 10, 5, 8]	3
[9, 9, 9, 10, 4, 5, 10, 11, 6, 10, 10, 8, 10, 10, 9, 7, 3, 10, 10, 8, 2, 2, 9, 7, 8, 6, 5, 6, 3, 9, 10, 10, 11]	-2

How's my coding? Call 1-800-3284778

def keypad words(number, words):

The <u>E.161</u> recommendation maps the letters from A to Z to touchtone keypad digits from 2 to 9 so that phone numbers that can be difficult for humans to memorize can be given catchy phonetic mnemonics such as 1-800-DOCTORB. Since each digit can denote three or four different letters, the same series of digits could represent many different words and combinations of words. We would like to simplify the task of finding a catchy mnemonic with a function that generates the list of all combinations of words that map to the number that was randomly given to us by Ma Bell, so that we humans could leisurely scan this list and choose the snappiest mnemonic for that number.

To keep the size of the returned lists manageable, the list of words will only contain words whose length is between three and seven letters, inclusive. There are still 97,106 such words in the file words—sorted.txt, so you need to somehow speed up the search for words that match the given sequence of digits. For improved readability, you should use minus signs to separate the individual words in the mnemonic. To make the results unique to allow automated testing, this function should return the list of mnemonics in sorted order.

number	Expected result (using the wordlist words-sorted.txt)	
'4444444'	['ghi-gigi', 'ghi-high', 'gig-gigi', 'gig-high', 'gigi-ghi', 'gigi-ihi', 'gigi-iii', 'high-ghi', 'high-gig', 'high-ihi', 'high-iii', 'ihi-gigi', 'ihi-high', 'iii-gigi', 'iii-high']	
'2244638'	['aah-gneu', 'ach-gneu', 'bag-gneu', 'bagh-met', 'bagh-meu', 'bagh-mev', 'bagh-net', 'bagh-oft', 'bah-gneu', 'bai-gneu', 'caj-gneu']	
'8572539'	['tlr-akey', 'tlr-alew', 'tlr-alex', 'tlr-blew', 'tlr-clew']	
'8634633'	['tod-gode', 'tod-goff', 'tod-hoed', 'tod-inde', 'tod-iode', 'toe-gode', 'toe-goff', 'toe-hoed', 'toe-inde', 'toe-iode', 'ume-gode', 'ume-goff', 'ume-hoed', 'ume-inde', 'ume-iode', 'undined', 'unfined', 'vod-gode', 'vod-goff', 'vod-hoed', 'vod-inde', 'vod-iode', 'voe-gode', 'voe-goff', 'voe-hoed', 'voe-inde', 'voe-iode']	

Scatter her enemies

```
def queen_captures_all(queen, pawns):
```

This cute little problem was inspired by a tweet by chess grandmaster Maurice Ashley. On a generalized *n*-by-*n* chessboard, the positions of a lone queen and the opposing pawns are given as tuples (row, col). This function should determine whether the queen can capture all enemy pawns in one unbroken sequence of moves where each move captures exactly one enemy pawn. The pawns stay put while the queen executes her sequence of moves. Note that the queen cannot teleport through pawns, but must always capture the nearest pawn to her chosen direction of move.

This problem is best solved with recursion. The base case is when zero pawns remain, so the answer is trivially True. Otherwise, when m pawns remain, find the pawn nearest to the queen in each of the eight compass directions. For each direction where there exists a pawn to be captured, recursively solve the smaller version of the problem with the queen, having captured that particular pawn, attempts to capture the m-1 remaining pawns in the same regal fashion. If any one of the eight possible starting directions yields a working solution for the smaller problem with m-1 pawns, that gives a working solution for the original problem for m pawns.

queen	pawns	Expected result
(4, 4)	[(0, 2), (4, 1), (1, 4)]	False
(1, 3)	[(0, 3), (2, 0), (2, 2)]	False
(2, 1)	[(1, 1), (5, 4), (2, 0), (5, 3)]	True
(0, 0)	[(3, 1), (4, 3), (2, 0), (6, 4), (0, 5)]	False
(11, 7)	[(0, 4), (10, 8), (5, 8), (6, 9), (9, 6), (11, 9), (2, 13), (11, 6), (5, 0), (9, 7), (11, 4)]	True

The bottleneck of this recursion is to quickly find the nearest pawn in each direction, along with the ability to realize as soon as possible that the current sequence of initial captures cannot be extended to capture the remaining pawns. If you preprocess the pawns to encode the adjacency information as a graph whose nodes are the positions of each pawn and the initial position of the queen, you must also note that the neighbourhood relation between these positions changes dynamically as the queen captures pawns along her route, causing pawns initially separated by those captured pawns to become each other's neighbours. As the recursive calls return without finding a solution, you need to **downdate** your data structures to undo the updates that were made to these data structures to reflect the new situation before commencing that recursive call.

Blocking pawns

def blocking_pawns(n, queens):

Some chess queens have been randomly placed on the generalized *n*-by-*n* chessboard. To maintain peace and harmony among these queen bees, we need to place some neutral pawns on the board so that any two queens located on the same row, column or diagonal are separated by at least one pawn placed between them. This function should compute and return the minimum number of pawns required to achieve this blissful separation.

As is usual in combinatorial problems of this nature, we need to have the serenity to accept the things we cannot change, courage to change the things we can, and the wisdom to accept the difference. Your function should start by finding all attacking pairs of queens. Since you have no choice but to place one pawn between them, you can try out each such pawn position to see what happens when you try to recursively solve the remaining problem with the same approach. Ordering the attacking pairs and the squares between each pair appropriately ought to speed up this search.

n	queens	Expected result
8	[(1, 0), (5, 6), (0, 3), (1, 7), (5, 1)]	2
9	[(5, 0), (3, 8), (0, 5), (7, 5), (3, 5), (0, 0), (8, 2)]	7
10	[(7, 7), (9, 1), (3, 1), (1, 5), (4, 4), (8, 4), (2, 8)]	3
13	[(9, 8), (5, 6), (7, 11), (3, 10), (11, 0), (9, 4), (0, 8), (6, 0), (5, 3), (11, 11)]	8

Boggles the mind

```
def word board(board, words):
```

In the spirit of the game of <u>Boggle</u>, you are given an *n*-by-*n* board of letters encoded as a list of lists of characters, and a list of acceptable words listed in sorted dictionary order. This function should return the sorted list of all words that can be found on the board by starting from some square and repeatedly moving into one of the four neighbouring squares that has not yet been visited during the traversal of that word. To keep these results manageable even for the humongous wordlist used in our word problems, we are interested only in words that are at least five characters long. Each move must take place in one of the four compass directions, so diagonal moves are not allowed.

This problem is best solved with a nested recursive function whose parameters are the current coordinates on the board, along with the word constructed so far. Maintain two sets on the side; the first set to keep track of the words that have already been found during the recursive search, and the second set to keep track of which squares have already been visited for the current word. Inside the recursive search function, use the bisect_left function from the Python standard library to look for the current word in the list of sorted words. If the current word appears in that list, add it to the set of found words. If the current word could theoretically still be extended into a longer legal word, continue the recursive search from each unvisited neighbour square.

board	Expected result (using words_sorted.txt)
[['e', 'c', 'a', 'l'], ['d', 'd', 'i', 'p'], ['i', 's', 'c', 'o'], ['d', 'n', 'u', 'l']]	['alpid', 'caids', 'cedis', 'copia', 'decal', 'disci', 'disco', 'eddic', 'laced', 'laics', 'lucia', 'lucid', 'picul', 'place', 'placed', 'plaid', 'plaids', 'pocul', 'undid']
[['u', 'o', 'h', 'a', 'r'], ['s', 'a', 'c', 'o', 'r'], ['e', 'e', 'n', 'v', 'e'], ['b', 'k', 'n', 's', 'n'], ['r', 'o', 'e', 's', 'i']]	(a list of 26 words, the longest of which is 'housebrokenness')

The round number round

def des_chiffres(board, goal):

One segment of the popular French television game show "<u>Des chiffres et des lettres</u>" gives the contestant a board of *n* positive integers, not necessarily distinct, and a positive goal integer that the contestant must get to appear on the board with a suitably clever sequence of moves.

Each move consists of the contestant removing any two numbers a and b of his choice from the board and replacing them with any one of the values a + b, a - b, $a \times b$ or a / b, provided that the resulting value is also a positive integer. This function should compute how many moves are necessary to produce the given goal on the given board. If this is not possible within the limit of n - 1 moves after which there is only one number on the board, this function should return None.

This problem can be solved by looping through all possible pairs of elements a and b on the board, and for each pair, trying out the result of the four arithmetic operations one at the time to determine recursively whether the goal can be reached from the new board given by this move. The dizzying number of possible choices at each level of recursion sprouts into an exponentially large tree of possibilities, so perhaps the reader can devise a pair of conceptual shears to prune down this mess.

Another good idea is to use **iterative deepening** so that the nested recursive search function gets the recursion limit as an additional parameter. The limit is decremented in every recursive call, and the case of limit becoming zero is treated as a dead end base case of the search. In the function proper, keep calling your nested recursive search function with increasing values of limit until a sufficiently loose limit allows the search to get to the goal.

board	goal	Expected result
[3, 6, 7]	32	None
[7, 9, 12, 12]	1	1
[2, 4, 4, 12]	88	3
[1, 3, 5, 14, 14]	77	4
[6, 7, 10, 13, 15]	282	4
[4, 4, 6, 8, 8, 9]	594	4
[3, 4, 4, 9, 12, 16, 17, 20]	208	2

Complete a Costas array

def costas_array(rows):

Over the past decade, this author has learned so much from John D. Cook's excellent blog "Endeavour" that he doesn't even know how to count that high. A recent blog post "Costas arrays" examines a variation of the famous N queens problem where queens have been replaced by rooks. Of course, placing n rooks on the chessboard so that no two rooks threaten each other is trivial; in absence of diagonal threats, any permutation of the n column numbers whatsoever works as a legal placement for rooks, one rook placed in each row same as was done with n queens.

To make this problem with rooks worth our while, we impose an additional requirement that all **direction vectors** between the pairs of rooks must be unique. A placement of n rooks in this manner is called a <u>Costas array</u>, useful in certain radar and sonar applications. Recognizing a legal Costas array should be pretty straightforward for anyone who has been reading this material this far. However, no algorithms to generate all possible Costas arrays of size n have been discovered, at least more efficiently than the trivial **brute force backtracking** through all n! permutations and cherry picking the permutations whose direction vectors between all pairs of rooks are unique.

In this problem, your function is given a list of rows where each element is either None to indicate that that row does not yet contain a rook, or is the column number of the rook that has already been nailed in that row. Your function should merely determine whether it is possible to somehow place rooks on the unfilled rows to complete the permutation into a Costas array.

rows	Expected result
[3, 1, None, None]	True
[5, None, 1, 0, 3, None]	False
[4, None, None, 0, 5, None]	True
[4, 6, 3, 0, 2, None, 7, None, None]	False
[4, None, None, 6, None, 5, None, 0, 9, None]	True
[9, 0, 7, 6, None, 15, 11, None, 1, None, None, None, 4, 10, 8, None]	False
[2, None, 9, None, 4, 1, 10, 0, None, None, 6, 12, 11, None, 5, None]	True

A Lindenmayer system, bigly

```
def lindenmayer(rules, n, start='A'):
```

<u>Lindenmayer systems</u>, or simply L-systems for all of us too busy to have time for long words, are a famous formalism typically used to generate fractal strings converted into visually appealing graphical forms. A Lindenmayer system consists of an alphabet of characters (conventionally, uppercase letters) and a dictionary of rules to rewrite each character into a string of characters.

The process starts from a string that consists of the given start character. Each round, each character c in the current string is replaced by the string rules[c], all these replacements done logically simultaneously. For example, consider an L-system with the alphabet A, B and rules {'A':'AB', 'B':'A'}. Starting from the string 'A', the first round of application of rules turns this into 'AB'. The second round turns this into 'ABA', which then turns into 'ABAAB', and so on.

This function should return the character in position n in the string produced by the given rules, once these rules have been applied as many times as needed to make the string long enough to include the position n. The automated tester will give your function such large values of n that you can't possibly generate the entire string and then look up the answer in there, but you need to be more clever about how you execute this computation. To begin, you should define a nested utility function length(rules, start, k) that computes the length of the string that the rules produce from the given start symbol after exactly k rounds. (Just add up the lengths of the strings these rules produce from the characters for the replacement of the start symbol in k-1 rounds. Good recursion, with @lru_cache.) Armed with this function, you can zero in only to the relevant substring at each round, instead of having to build up the entire universe-sized result string.

n	rules	start	Expected result
9	{'A': 'CAB', 'B': 'ABC', 'C': 'ABA'}	'B'	'C'
678	{'A': 'DABD', 'B': 'CA', 'C': 'AD', 'D': 'BC'}	'A'	'B'
10**100	{'A': 'AB', 'B': 'A'}	'A'	'A'

As can be seen in the last row of the above table, the Grand Slam problem "Infinite Fibonacci Word" in the original 109 Python problems collection is a trivial special case of this function.