



THE UNIVERSITY  
*of* ADELAIDE

# Advance Algorithms

Assignment on Linear programming with inequalities

Manivannan Meenakshi sundaram

Uni Adeladie

a1842066

Due Date : May 13, 2022

## Assignment 2

### Exercise 1 :

Objective Function :

$$2 \max\{a + b + c, 1\} \leq h(a, b) + h(a, c) + h(b, c) \leq 7/3 \max\{a + b + c, 1\}$$

Additional Functions Required :

$$h(a, b) = 5/6 \max\{a + b, 1\} + 2/3 \max\{a + b, 1/2\} - 1/3 \max\{a, b, 1/2\} - 1/3$$

$$h(a, c) = 5/6 \max\{a + c, 1\} + 2/3 \max\{a + c, 1/2\} - 1/3 \max\{a, c, 1/2\} - 1/3$$

$$h(b, c) = 5/6 \max\{b + c, 1\} + 2/3 \max\{b + c, 1/2\} - 1/3 \max\{b, c, 1/2\} - 1/3$$

### Explanation :

To construct a linear programming model with math inequalities, we need to construct a model with variables such as a,b,c and also we need to induce them with auxiliary variables called x,y,z and few more while we onboarding new expressions.

We introduce,

$$P = \max\{a+b+c, 1\}$$

$$Hx1 = \max\{a+b, 1\} \quad | \quad Hx2 = \max\{a+c, 1\} \quad | \quad Hx3 = \max\{b+c, 1\}$$

$$Hy1 = \max\{a+b, 1/2\} \quad | \quad Hy2 = \max\{a+c, 1/2\} \quad | \quad Hy3 = \max\{a+c, 1/2\}$$

$$Hz1 = \max\{a,b, 1/2\} \quad | \quad Hz2 = \max\{a,c, 1/2\} \quad | \quad Hz3 = \max\{b,c, 1/2\}$$

We now find the equation to the following with the considerations from above as,

$$2p \leq H_{ab} + H_{ac} + H_{bc} \leq 7/3 P$$

In this assignment, we will solve for both equations where the terms are maximized and minimized to 0 with respect to lower and upper bound variables.

## Finding constraints for 2 agents :

$$P = \max \{a+b+c, 1\}$$

$$P \geq a+b+c \quad \text{----1}$$

$$P \geq 1 \quad \text{----2}$$

$$P \leq a+b+c + 10000 * P_{\text{prime}} \quad \text{----3}$$

$$P \leq 1 + 10000 * (1 - P_{\text{prime}}) \quad \text{----4}$$

These are constraints that are built for 2 agents, in this  $P_{\text{prime}}$  acts as a switch which switches between 0, 1 as they are binary variables.

If  $P_{\text{prime}} == 0$ , sub in equation 3 and 4

We get,

$$p \leq a+b+c$$

$p \leq 1 + 10000 \Rightarrow$  This is considered as a larger variable, hence neglect it.

So, equating the equations

$p \leq a+b+c$  and  $p \geq a+b+c$ , we get

$$p = a+b+c$$

if  $P_{\text{prime}} == 1$ , sub in equation 3 and 4

we get,

$$p \leq 1$$

$p \leq a+b+c + 10000 \Rightarrow$  This is considered as a larger variable, hence neglect it.

So, equating the equations

$p \leq 1$  and  $p \geq 1$ , we get

$$p = 1$$

Similarly this can be solved for the other equations which is having the constraint for 2 agents.

## Finding constrains for 3 agents :

$$Hx3 = \max\{a, b, 1/2\}$$

$$Hx3 \geq a \quad \text{----1}$$

$$Hx3 \geq b \quad \text{----2}$$

$$Hx3 \geq 1/2 \quad \text{----3}$$

$$Hx3 \leq a + 10000 * Hx3prime1 \quad \text{----4}$$

$$Hx3 \leq b + 10000 * Hx3prime2 \quad \text{----5}$$

$$Hx3 \leq 1/2 + 10000 * (1 - Hx3prime3) \quad \text{----6}$$

These are the constrains built for 3 agents, in this prime acts as a switch which juggles between 0, 1 as it is a binary variables.

We consider binary variables for three agents as follows

- 1 0 0
- 0 1 0
- 0 0 1

With the above representations of binary values the constrains are designed in such a way that only one of the three agents will have the max value.

If  $Hx3prime == 1$  for eq 4, We induce  $Hx3prime == 0$  for others in equation 5,6

We get,

$Hx3 \leq a + 10000 \Rightarrow$  This is considered as a larger variable, hence neglect it.

$$Hx3 \leq b$$

$Hx3 \leq 1 + 10000 \Rightarrow$  This is considered as a larger variable, hence neglect it.

So equating this, we get

$$Hx3 = b$$

If  $Hx3prime == 1$  for eq 5, We induce  $Hx3prime == 0$  for others in equation 4,6

We get,

$$Hx3 \leq a$$

$Hx3 \leq b + 10000 \Rightarrow$  This is considered as a larger variable, hence neglect it.

$Hx3 \leq 1 + 10000 \Rightarrow$  This is considered as a larger variable, hence neglect it.

So equating this, we get

$$Hx3 = a$$

If  $Hx3_{prime} == 1$  for eq 6, We induce  $Hx3_{prime} == 0$  for others in equation 4,5

We get,

$Hx3 \leq a$ , these has been already equated in the previous substitutes

$Hx3x \leq b$ , these has been already equated in the previous substitutes

$$Hx3 \leq 1/2$$

So equating this, we get

$$Hx3 = 1/2$$

**Objective Function to Maximize 0 :**

$$2p \leq Hab + Hac + Hbc \leq 7/3 P$$

$$y = Hab + Hac + Hbc$$

$$2p \leq y \leq 7/3 p$$

$2p - y$  and  $y - 7/3 p$ . If this is equal to zero, that's out proof for upper bound.

Value obtained are  $A = 0.5, B = 0, C = 0.5$ .

Substituting these in the equation we get equations as

$2 \leq 2.2 \leq 2.3$ , which proves that our solutions are optimal and the values of A,B,C are with in the range of 0 and 1

```
Maximizeprob1 = 2*p -y
Maximizeprob2= y - (7/3 * p)

prob += (Maximizeprob1 and Maximizeprob2)
```

✓ 0.9s

```

prob.solve()
print("Status:", LpStatus[prob.status])
print(a.name, "=", a.value())
print(b.name, "=", b.value())
print(c.name, "=", c.value())
print("Objective value for upper bound = ", value(prob.objective))

```

✓ 0.4s

Status: Optimal

A = 0.5

B = 0.0

C = 0.5

Objective value for upper bound = 0.0

### Objective function to Minimize 0 :

$$2p \leq H_{ab} + H_{ac} + H_{bc} \leq 7/3 P$$

$$y = H_{ab} + H_{ac} + H_{bc}$$

$7/3p - y$  and  $y - 2p$ . If this is equal to zero, that's out proof for lower bound.

Value obtained are  $A = 0.5$ ,  $B = 0.5$ ,  $C = 0.5$ .

Substituting these in the equation we get equations as

$3 \leq 4 \leq 7$ , which proves that our solutions are optimal and the values of A,B,C are with in the range of 0 and 1

```

Minimizeprob1 = (7/3 * p) - y

```

```

Minimizeprob2 = y - 2 * p

```

```

# Maximizeprob1 = 2*p -y

```

```

# Maximizeprob2= y - (7/3 * p)

```

```

prob += (Minimizeprob1 and Minimizeprob2)

```

```

prob.solve()
print("Status:", LpStatus[prob.status])
print(a.name, "=", a.value())
print(b.name, "=", b.value())
print(c.name, "=", c.value())
print("Objective value for lower bound = ", value(prob.objective))

```

✓ 0.3s

Status: Optimal

A = 0.5

B = 0.5

C = 0.5

Objective value for lower bound = 0.0

## Appendix :

- Some of the constraints that I have added to solve this.
- These are some of the unique constraints which have to be added, rest of the constraints for the equation can be replicated accordingly based on the equations.

```

prob += hx1 >= a + b
prob += hx1 >= 1
prob += hx1 <= a + b + 1000000*hxPrime1
prob += hx1 <= 1 + 1000000*(1-hxPrime1)

```

✓ 0.2s

```

prob += hz1 >= a
prob += hz1 >= b
prob += hz1 >= 1/2
prob += hz1 <= a + 1000000*hzPrime1
prob += hz1 <= b + 1000000*hzPrime1
prob += hz1 <= 1/2 + 1000000*(1-hzPrime1)

```

✓ 0.1s

```
prob += p >= a + b + c  
prob += p >= 1  
prob += p <= a + b + c + 1000000*pPrime  
prob += p <= 1 + 100000*(1-pPrime)
```

✓ 0.1s

Link to code : [Github](#)

- Also tried different combination of constraints which could give optimal solutions to near 0.