

Two Kinds of Nominalizations Author(s): George Lakoff

Source: Linguistic Inquiry, Vol. 1, No. 1 (Jan., 1970), p. 140

Published by: The MIT Press

Stable URL: http://www.jstor.org/stable/4177541

Accessed: 24/04/2013 23:36

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at http://www.jstor.org/page/info/about/policies/terms.jsp

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.



The MIT Press is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to Linguistic Inquiry.

http://www.jstor.org

Two Kinds of Nominalizations George Lakoff, University of Michigan Compare the following sentences:

- (1) John regretted Bill's claim that Harry stole the knishes.
- (2) John believed Bill's claim that Harry stole the knishes.
- (1) is paraphrasable by (3), but (2) is not paraphrasable by the corresponding sentence (4).
  - (3) John regretted that Bill claimed that Harry stole the knishes.
  - (4) John believed that Bill claimed that Harry stole the knishes.

Instead, (2) is paraphrasable by (5).

(5) John believed that Harry stole the knishes, which Bill claimed.

Why? Which verbs pattern like regret and which like believe? Why are their surface structures the same, and why do these meanings correspond to just these surface structures? Is this an accident, or is there a deep reason?

THE MEANING OF Do So Dwight Bolinger, Harvard University The notes to follow stem from a brief discussion by Arnold Zwicky of an unpublished article by Lawrence Bouton, "Do-so revisited" (1968). The claim is made that restrictions on do so parallel those on definite pronominalization, the rightward You may scream, if you wish to do so resembling Jerry criticized the woman who interviewed him, and the leftward \*You may do so, if you wish to scream resembling \*He criticized the woman who interviewed Jerry, etc. (see Zwicky 1968, 100). I hope to show that the exclusion of do so is not a matter of grammar but of lexicon. There is a contrast in the following,

I told him to leave but he refused to do so.

refused to do it. refused to. refused.

whereby do so is set off semantically from the other alternatives.

The feature that the so of do so contains is the one that it shares with other pro-word uses of so, for example

So you said. Do you think so?—I know so.

So I see. How so?

So I understand. Why didn't you say so?

I told you so. So? Don't you have anything to

say?

I did so! I believe so, vs. I believe it.

In the last example, I believe so can be characterized as a