Unifying source_location and contract_violation

Document #: D163PR0 Date: 2019-05-04

Project: Programming Language C++

Audience: LEWG, LWG

Reply-to: Corentin Jabot <corentin.jabot@gmail.com>

Proposed change

We propose that contract_violation uses source_location to report the location where a contract violation happens. The goal is to avoid API duplication and to make it easier to log contract violations in systems designed around source_location.

This modification matches the original intent of [P0542] as discussed in Kona 2017.

Note that source_location::file_name and source_location::function_name return a const char* unlike contract_violation whose function_name and file_name return a string_view. However, while LEWG has reaffirmed several times the design of source_location, we found no explanation why contract_violation is diverging from that design and the reasoning motivating source_location's design equally applies to contract_violation.

Moreover, the same logic can be applied to contract_violation::comment and contract_violation::assertion. This have been discussed at length on the reflector, a few conclusions being that

- (Unfortunately) most system apis are designed around null-terminated strings
- string_view therefore removes useful information from the underlying string
- Despite null-termination being a runtime property in the general case, it would be ABI breaking to efficiently adapt string_view to track and query the null termination of the underlying string.
- In the absence of a way to query null-termination, assuming it is as best UB and a terrible practice, notably teaching-wise
- Adding a new null-terminated czstring_view type doesn't have consensus and even if it did, it raises a number of issues as how to manage an already complex overload set.
- It is unlikely we will find the best path forward in the C++20 time frame
- There are concerns that string_view might not be implementable in a freestanding implementation, which is a major issue since contract_violation supports a language feature.

Several other concerns related to the compilation costs of including and using string_view have been raised, however, I do not believe these concerns hold much ground in the long run as modules

are supposed to solve this issue. Besides, forgoing type safety for compilation speed would set an interesting precedent...

Nevertheless, there seem to be enough issues with string_view that it seems preferable not to use it as a return parameter of contract_violation methods. It is possible that string_view might never be a good type to return from a function.

Applicability

This papers depends on [P1208] being accepted by LWG. It has have been accepted by LEWG in Kona 2019.

Wording

Class contract_violation

[support.contract.cviol]

The class contract_violation describes information about a contract violation generated by the implementation.

```
uint_least32_t line_number() const noexcept;
```

Returns: The source code location where the contract violation happened. If the location is unknown, an implementation may return 0.

```
string_view file_name() const noexcept;
```

Returns: The source file name where the contract violation happened. If the file name is unknown, an implementation may return string view{}.

```
string_view function_name() const noexcept;
```

Returns: The name of the function where the contract violation happened. If the function name is unknown, an implementation may return string view{}.

```
source_location location() const noexcept;
```

Returns: The source code location where the contract violation happened. If the location is unknown, an implementation may return a default constructed source_location.

```
string_view const char* comment() const noexcept;
```

Returns: Implementation-defined text describing the predicate of the violated contract.

```
string_view const char* assertion_level() const noexcept;
```

Returns: Text describing the assertion-level of the violated contract.

References

[P0542] G. Dos Reis, J. D. Garcia, J. Lakos, A. Meredith, N. Myers, B. Stroustrup Support for contract based programming in C++ https://wg21.link/P0542

[P1208] Robert Douglas, Corentin Jabot Adopt source location from Library Fundamentals V3 for C++20 https://wg21.link/P1208