ranges::to: A function to convert any range to a container

Document #: D1206R2 2020-06-29 Date:

Project: Audience: Programming Language C++

LEWG

Reply-to: Corentin labot <corentin.jabot@gmail.com>

> Eric Niebler <eric.niebler@gmail.com> Casey Carter <casey@carter.net>

Abstract

We propose a function to copy or materialize any range (containers and views alike) to a container.

Revisions

Revision 2

- Remove the implicit const removal when converting an associative container to a container of pairs
- Use CTAD to determine the value type of the returned container
- Attempt at wording

Revision 1

- Split out the proposed constructors for string view and span into separate papers ([?] and [?] respectively)
- Use a function based approach rather than adding a constructor to standard containers, as it proved unworkable.

Quick Overview

We propose all the following syntaxes to be valid constructs

```
std::list<int> 1;
std::map<int, int> m;
// copy a list to a vector of the same type
Same<std::vector<int>> auto a = ranges::to<std::vector<int>>(1);
//Specify an allocator
Same<std::vector<int, Alloc>> auto b = ranges::to<std::vector<int, Alloc>(1, alloc);
// copy a list to a vector of the same type, deducing value_type
Same<std::vector<int>> auto c = ranges::to<std::vector>(1);
// copy to a container of types ConvertibleTo
Same<std::vector<long>> auto d = ranges::to<std::vector<long>>(1);
//Supports converting associative container to sequence containers
Same<std::vector<std::pair<const int, int>>>
        auto f = ranges::to<vector<std::pair<const int, int>>>(m);
//Supports converting sequence containers to associative ones
Same<std::map<int, int>> auto g = f | ranges::to<map>();
//Pipe syntaxe
Same<std::vector<int>> auto g = 1 | ranges::view::take(42) | ranges::to<std::vector>();
//Pipe syntax with allocator
auto h = 1 | ranges::view::take(42) | ranges::to<std::vector>(alloc);
//The pipe syntax also support specifying the type and conversions
auto i = 1 | ranges::view::take(42) | ranges::to<std::vector<long>>();
//Pathenthesis are optional for template
Same<std::vector<int>> auto j = 1 | ranges::view::take(42) | ranges::to<std::vector>;
//and types
auto k = 1 | ranges::view::take(42) | ranges::to<std::vector<long>>;
```

Tony tables

Before	After
<pre>std::list<int> lst = /**/; std::vector<int> vec</int></int></pre>	<pre>std::vector<int> vec = lst ranges::to<std::vector></std::vector></int></pre>
<pre>auto view = ranges::iota(42); vector < iter_value_t< iterator_t<decltype(view)> > vec; if constexpr(SizedRanged<decltype(view)>) { vec.reserve(ranges::size(view))); } ranges::copy(view, std::back_inserter(vec));</decltype(view)></decltype(view)></pre>	<pre>auto vec = ranges::iota(42)</pre>
<pre>std::map<int, widget=""> map = get_widgets_map(); std::vector< typename decltype(map)::value_type > vec; vec.reserve(map.size()); ranges::move(map, std::back_inserter(vec));</int,></pre>	<pre>auto vec = get_widgets_map()</pre>

Motivation

Most containers of the standard library provide a constructors taking a pair of iterators.

```
std::list<int> lst;
std::vector<int> vec{std::begin(lst), std::end(lst)};
//equivalent too
std::vector<int> vec;
std::copy(it, end, std::back_inserter(vec));
```

While, this feature is very useful, as converting from one container type to another is a frequent use-case, it can be greatly improved by taking full advantage of the notions and tools offered by ranges.

Indeed, given all containers are ranges (ie: an iterator-sentinel pair) the above example can be rewritten, without semantic as:

```
std::list<int> lst;
```

```
std::vector<int> vec = lst | ranges::to<std::vector>;
```

The above example is a common pattern as it is frequently preferable to copy the content of a std::list to a std::vector before feeding it an algorithm and then copying it back to a std::vector.

As all containers and views are ranges, it is logical they can themselves be easily built out of ranges.

View Materialization

The main motivation for this proposal is what is colloquially called *view materialization*. A view can generate its elements lazily (upon increment or decrement), such as the value at a given position of the sequence iterated over only exist transiently in memory if an iterator is pointing to that position. (Note: while all lazy ranges are views, not all views are lazy).

View materialization consists in committing all the elements of such view in memory by putting them into a container.

The following code iterates over the numbers 0 to 1023 but only one number actually exists in memory at any given time.

```
std::iota_view v{0, 1024};
for (auto i : v) {
    std::cout << i << ' ';
}</pre>
```

While this offers great performance and reduced memory footprint, it is often necessary to put the result of the transformation operated by the view into memory. The facilities provided by [?] allow to do that in the following way:

```
std::iota_view v{0, 1024};
std::vector<int> materialized;
std::copy(v, std::back_inserter(materialized));
```

This proposal allows rewriting the above snippet as:

```
auto materialized = std::iota_view{0, 1024} | std::ranges::to<std::vector>();
```

Perhaps the most important aspect of view materialization is that it allows simple code such as:

Indeed, a function such as split is notoriously hard to standardize ([?], [?]), because without lazy views and std::string_view, it has to allocate or expose an expert-friendly interface. The view materialization pattern further let the *caller* choose the best container and allocation strategy for their use case (or to never materialize the view should it not be necessary). And while it would not make sense for a standard-library function to split a string into a vector it would allocate, it's totally reasonable for most applications to do so.

This paper does not propose to standardize such split function - a split_view exist in [?], however, view materialization is something the SG-16 working group is interested in. Indeed, they have considered APIs that could rely heavily on this idiom, as it has proven a natural way to handle the numerous ways to iterate over Unicode text. Similar ideas have been presented in [?].

```
auto sentences =
   text(blob)
   normalize<text::nfc> |
   graphemes_view |
   split<sentences> | ranges::to<std::vector<std::u8string>>;
```

Should ranges::to be able to call reserve/assigned?

For performance reasons, ranges::to should be able to reserve memory in the container before assigning the range whenever possible. This cannot be done in the constructor of individual ranges because a range meeting the requirements of SizedRange doesn't imply that the distance between each of the iterator forming the range can be computed efficiently, if at all.

Both cmcstl2 and ranges-v3 determine whether or not a container can be reserved and assigned by the mean of a ReserveAndAssignable concept that is not part of the user facing API, similar to the following

LWG made the case that there should be a customization point for this behavior and that the set of requirements should be specified.

 Do we want implementation to be able to reserve the container memory before assigning the range (this was always the intent)? Do we want to allow it for arbitrary (non standard) containers?

- Do we want to document the set of requirements of reservable container as a Named requirements, exposition only concept, concept in the std namespace?
- Do we want a customization point (similar to disable_sized_range)? (The author believe
 the answer to that should be no the risk of ambiguity is extremely low, we don't have
 an opt out for Container in fact we don't have a Container concept at all), and I don't
 think that all concepts should have an opt-out.

For the purpose of ranges::to we further require that the container be constructible from the extra arguments passed to ranges::to.

A conservative approach would be to let the design unchanged for 20 (implementers can do reserve + assign for standard types only), and revisit the question for non-standard containers at a later date.

The wording in this revision of this paper reflects that conservative approach.

Constructing views from ranges

Constructing standard views (string_view and span) from ranges is addressed in separate papers as the design space and the requirements are different:

```
string_view: [?]span: [?]
```

· Work is being done to allow Ranges's iterators to be move only

As views are not containers, they are not constructible from ranges::to

Alternative designs

While we believe the range constructor based approach is the cleanest way to solve this problem, LEWG was interested in alternative design based on free functions

Range constructors

The original version of that paper proposed to add range constructors to all constructors. This proved to be unworkable because of std::initializer_list:

```
std::vector<int> foo = ....;
std::vector a{foo}; //constructs a std:vector<std::vector<int>>
std::vector b(foo); //would construct a std::vector<int>
```

Existing practices

Range V3

This proposal is based on the to (previously (to_) function offered by ranges v3.

Abseil

Abseil offer converting constructors with each of their view. As per their documentation:

One of the more useful features of the StrSplit() API is its ability to adapt its result set to the desired return type. StrSplit() returned collections may contain std::string, absl::string_view, or any object that can be explicitly created from an absl::string_view. This pattern works for all standard STL containers including std::vector, std::list, std::deque, std::set, std::multiset, std::map, and std::multimap, and even std::pair, which is not actually a container.

Because they can not modify existing containers, view materialization in Abseil is done by the mean of a conversion operator:

```
template<Container C>
operator C();
```

However, because it stands to reason to expect that there are many more views than containers and because conversions between containers are also useful, it is a more general solution to provide a solution that is not coupled with each individual view.

Previous work

[?] explores similar solutions and was discussed by LEWG long before the Ranges TS.

Proposed wording

Wording is relative to [?].

Add to the synopsis in [ranges.syn]:

```
namespace std::ranges {
    template <typename C, InputRange R, typename... Args>
```

```
requires see below
constexpr auto to(const R & r, Args&&...) -> C;

template <template <typename...> typename C, InputRange R, typename... Args>
constexpr auto to(const R & r, Args&&...) -> see below;
```

In [range.utility] Insert after section [range.dangling]

container conversions

}

[range.utility.container]

The container conversions functions provide functions that efficiently convert ranges to containers. The container is constructed from the begin(range)/end(range)iterators pair.

```
// exposition only
template <Range R>
using common-iterator-type = common_iterator<iterator_t<R>, sentinel_t<R>>;

// exposition only
template<typename Rng, typename Cont, typename Args...>
concept convertible-to-container =
Range<Cont>
&& (!View<Cont>)
&& MoveConstructible<Cont>
&& ConvertibleTo<range_value_t<Rng>, range_value_t<Cont>>
&& Constructible<Cont, range_common_iterator_t<Rng>, common-iterator-type<Rng>, Args...>;
```

The exposition only concept *convertible-to-container* describe the requirements on ranges that can be passed to the ranges::to utility.

```
template <typename C, InputRange R, typename... Args>
convertible-to-container<Rng, C, Args...>
constexpr auto to(const R & r, Args&&... args) -> C;

    Returns: C{common-iterator-type<R>(ranges::begin(r)),
    common-iterator-type<R>(ranges::end(r)), std::forward<Args>(args)...}

template <template <typename...> typename C, InputRange R, typename... Args>
constexpr auto to(const R & r, Args&&... args) -> Ret (see below);
```

The return type Ret is determined in the following way:

- The type of the expression
 C{range_common_iterator_t<R>{}}, range_common_iterator_t<R>{}}, args...}
 if it is valid
- Otherwise C<range_value_t<R>, Args...>

Mandates: convertible-to-container<Rng, C, Args...> is true

•

ranges::to adaptors

[range.utility.container.adapters]

In additions to the functions described above, ranges::to also defines a closure object that accepts a ViewableRange argument and returns a Container such that the expressions r | ranges::to<Container>(args...) and ranges::to<Container>(r, args...) have equivalent semantics. [Note: Container denotes either a class or a class template — end note].

In the absence of arguments, r | ranges::to<Container> denotes a valid expression equivalent to r | ranges::to<Container>().

The bitwise OR operator is overloaded for the purpose of chaining ranges: : to to the end of an adaptor chain pipeline.

[Example:

```
list<int> ints{0,1,2,3,4,5};

auto v1 = ints | ranges::to<vector>();
auto v2 = ints | ranges::to<vector>;
auto v3 = ints | ranges::to<vector<int>>();
auto v4 = ints | ranges::to<vector<int>>;
auto v5 = ranges::to<vector>(ints);
auto v6 = ranges::to<vector<int>>(ints);
auto v6 = ranges::to<vector<int>>(ints);
assert(v1 == v2 && v2 == v3 && v3 == v4 && v4 == v5 && v5 == v6);

-- end example]
```

Implementation Experience

Implementations of this proposal are available on in the 1.0 branch of [?] and in [?].

To make sure the parentheses are optional ($v \mid ranges::to< vector>$;) our implementations use a default constructed tag which dispatch through a function pointer. However, this have no runtime cost and doesn't suffer from the sames issues LEWG had about std::in_place_tag because no actual indirection takes place. We believe being able to omit the parenthesis is necessary so ranges::to remains consistent with the syntax of views adaptors, and is otherwise a nice quality of life improvement in a facility which we expect to be used frequently.

An implementation strategy to deduce the concrete type of a container, including associative containers is to use CTAD, as shown in [?].

This approach does not necessitate special casing to handle associative containers.

A more naive approach (instantiating the type of the container directly from the type of the range's value type) can be used as fallback for cases where no deduction guide was declared

Related Paper and future work

- [?] adds range and iterator constructor to string_view
- [?] adds range and iterator constructor to span
- [?] adds iterator constructors to stack and queue
- [?] Provide facilities to implementing span constructors more easily.

Future work is needed to allow constructing std::array from tiny-ranges.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the people who gave feedback on this paper, notably Christopher Di Bella, Arthur O'Dwyer, Barry Revzin and Tristan Brindle.

References

```
[cmcstl2] https://github.com/CaseyCarter/cmcstl2/blob/a7a714a9159b08adeb00a193e77b782846b3b20e/
include/stl2/detail/to.hpp
```

```
[RangeV3] Eric Niebler https://github.com/ericniebler/range-v3/blob/v1.0-beta/include/range/v3/to_container.hpp
```

```
[CTAD Ranges] Eric Niebler https://github.com/ericniebler/range-v3/blob/d284e9c84ff69bb416d9d94d029729dfb38c3364/include/range/v3/range/conversion.hpp#L140-L152
```

[P1391] Corentin Jabot Range constructor for std::string_view

https://wg21.link/P1391

[P1394] Casey Carter, Corentin Jabot *Range constructor for std::span* https://wg21.link/P1394

[P1425] Corentin Jabot *Iterators pair constructors for stack and queue* https://wg21.link/P1425

[P1419] Casey Carter, Corentin Jabot A SFINAE-friendly trait to determine the extent of statically sized containers

https://wg21.link/P1419

[P0896R3] Eric Niebler, Casey Carter, Christopher Di Bella *The One Range Ts Proposal* https://wg21.link/P0896

[P1004] Louis Dionne *Making std::vector constexpr*

https://wg21.link/P1004

[P1004] Tom Honermann *Text_view: A C++ concepts and range based character encoding and code point enumeration library*

https://wg21.link/P0244

[P0540] Laurent Navarro A Proposal to Add split/join of string/string_view to the Standard Library https://wg21.link/P0540

[N3593] Greg Miller std::split(): An algorithm for splitting strings

https://wg21.link/N3593

[P1035] Christopher Di Bella *Input range adaptors*

https://wg21.link/P1035

[Abseil] https://abseil.io/docs/cpp/guides/strings

[N3686] Jeffrey Yasskin [Ranges] Traversable arguments for container constructors and methods https://wg21.link/n3686

[P1072R1] Chris Kennelly, Mark Zeren *Vector as allocation transfer device* https://wg21.link/ P1072

[1] [P0504R0] Jonathan Wakely *Revisiting in-place tag types for any/optional/variant* https://wg21.link/P0504R0