

CONSTRUCTIVE SOCIAL CHOICE WITH SETWISE MAX-MARGIN

Bereket Abera Yilma

University of Trento

20th December 2017

Supervisors:

Prof. Andrea Passerini

Dr. Stefano Teso

CONSTRUCTIVE SOCIAL CHOICE WITH SETWISE MAX-MARGIN

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Preference Elicitation
- 3 Constructive recommendation
- 4 Why is it important?
- 5 How to achieve it?
- 6 Experimental Results
- 7 Conclusion and Future Work

1.1 Background and Motivation

- The *social choice problem* is essentially the problem of choosing or recommending an item combining individual opinions, preferences, interests, or welfares.
- To reach a collective decision or social welfare satisfying some notion of societal consensus.



Preference Elicitation

- Preference elicitation is defined as the problem of developing a decision support system by an interactive way (i.e asking informative queries)

Constructive Recommendation

- Constructive recommendation is the task of recommending structured objects, i.e. configurations of several components, assembled on the basis of the **user preferences**.
 - planning a trip around Italy involves deciding which city visit, in which order, how long stay and which activity to do in each city, subject to the available time and budget

How does constructive recommendation work?

- User preferences are represented by a *utility function* $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that maps structured objects $y \in \mathcal{Y}$ to a ranking score, which can also depend on contextual information $x \in \mathcal{X}$ (e.g. a user query).
- Object synthesis is performed by maximizing the utility function over the constrained domain of feasible configurations.




Query ranking

- Interaction with user \Rightarrow Asking pairwise queries
- Feedback \Rightarrow Ranking constraint either
 - x is preferred over x' (written as $x \succ x'$)
 - x' is preferred over x ($x' \succ x$) or
 - There is no clear preference between the two items ($x \approx x'$)
- Recommendation \Rightarrow by solving a constrained MILP optimization problem over a very large domain of feasible configurations.


Constructive Social choice

- Classical social choice focuses neither on **learning** nor on **recommendation** but only on analyzing **voting protocols**, and no existing "social preference learner" is constructive.
- We propose Constrictive social choice \Rightarrow Recommending a synthesized object by solving a constrained optimization problem (Group-wise recommendation)

Application Domains



- personal computers or mobile phone recommendation.



- Sets of exam schedules shared by many professors.
- Family home furniture arrangement
- Recipes recommendation
- Travel planing...etc.


- Making a first step towards extending constructive preference elicitation to social choice domains.
 - A single best item synthesized by **constructive approach** to be recommended for a group of people or a society.
 - Different users may give different contributions to the aggregate utility (group satisfaction)
- ⇒ Here our main focus is learning the user contributions



How to achieve it?



Constructive preference elicitation




Constructive Social choice

Setting

- User preferences are represented by weight vector $w \in R^N$
- $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ represents configurations over N feature space (N attributes).
- $\omega \in R^M$ parameters representing the contribution of different users to the overall utility of configurations from collective, group-wise feedback
- The aggregate Preference of the users as a group are defined as a function f of W .

$$\Rightarrow f(x; W) := \sum_{u=1}^M \omega_u \langle w_i, x \rangle$$

Constructive Social Choice (CSC)



Algorithm 1 The CONSTRUCTIVE-SOCIALCHOICE algorithm; it uses the MUSETMARGIN algorithm as first step to receive the individual user information. Here M is the number of users, S is the set size, and T is the number of iterations. The hyperparameter λ is left implicit.

```

1: procedure CONSTRUCTIVE-SOCIALCHOICE( $m, w^u, S, T$ )
2:   ; Initialization
3:   for  $u = 1, \dots, m$  do
4:      $MUSM(MultiUserSetMargin)$ 
5:   ; Main loop
6:    $\mathcal{D}_u \leftarrow \emptyset$ 
7:   for  $t = 1, \dots, T$  do
8:      $\{\omega^i, \mathbf{x}^i\}_{i=1}^S \leftarrow \text{SOLVE}(\mathcal{D}, S, \lambda)$ 
9:     for  $\mathbf{x}^i, \mathbf{x}^j \in \{\mathbf{x}^1, \dots, \mathbf{x}^m\}$  s.t.  $i < j$  do
10:      THE GROUP SELECTS( $\mathbf{x}^+$  from  $\{\mathbf{x}^i\}$ )
11:       $\mathcal{D} \leftarrow \mathcal{D} \cup \text{QUERYGROUP}(\mathbf{x}^i, \mathbf{x}^j)$ 
12:     $\omega^*, \mathbf{x}^* \leftarrow \text{SOLVE}(\mathcal{D}, 1, \lambda)$ 
13:  return  $\omega^*, \mathbf{x}^*$ 

```

Experimental Results

Experimental setup

- 20 groups of $M = 20$ users were randomly generated each using a hierarchical sampling procedure.
 - **Sparse Users** = Users with preference information about some of the attributes. (realistic)
 - **Dense Users** = users with preference information about all or most of the attributes.(unlikely)
 - Noiseless implementation
- ⇒ We implemented the CONSTRUCTIVE SOCIAL CHOICE algorithm using Python, leveraging Gurobi 7.5.0 for solving the core MILP problem.

Dataset description

- The first experiment is performed on the synthetic problem. In this setting:
 - The space of products \mathcal{X} is taken to be the Cartesian product of r categorical attributes.
 - Each having r possible values.
 - Represented using a one hot encoding for a total of r^2 0-1 variables.
 - We used the $r = 4$ case, with $r^2 = 16$ variables and $r^r = 256$ total products.


- In the second experiment we consider a realistic PC recommendation task \Rightarrow suggest a fully customized PC.
- PC configuration is defined by 7 categorical attributes:
 - Computer type , Manufacturer (8 choices), CPU model (37choices), Monitor size (8), RAM amount (10), storage size (10), Price.
- The PC dataset includes 16 Horn constraints leading to a search product space of about 700,000 distinct configurations.

- The performance is measured by ranking loss as:


$$\text{Regret}(x) = \sum_{u=1}^M \omega_u^* \langle w_u^*, x^* \rangle - \sum_{u=1}^M \omega_u^* \langle w_u^*, x \rangle$$

- Cluster \Rightarrow diverge or may be conflicting interests with in a group.

Performance over 1,2,5 clusters




Performance over 1,2,5 clusters



Comparison between Synthetic and PC experiment

Limitation (Addressing Dense users)




Performance on Dense User groups

Conclusion

- We presented an approach to make a first step towards extending constructive preference elicitation to social choice domains.
- Constructive learning in social choice is a natural extension and many problems can be measured in it.
- A key idea is that, by asking informative questions and collecting group wise feedback to iteratively elicit societal preference and make the best recommendation to the society according to the learned feedback.

Future Work

- As a future work we propose an extension to
 - Learning both the preferences of individual users and their contributions (W and ω)
 - Further refinement of the algorithm to address dense users.
 - A deep experimental evaluation of more realistic and complex datasets like the PC dataset.



- Thank You.