


Adaptive initialization regeneration for generic search and optimization-based MH proposals


Suppose we have access to deterministic or stochastic local search/optimization algorithms that find modes of a posterior distribution, by attempting to maximize the unnormalized posterior (1), conditioned on an initial point x_{init} . We use such algorithms to construct mode-jumping proposal distributions using the meta-inference MH framework (2). The key challenge is obtaining low-variance estimates of the proposal density, which reduces to finding the conditional distribution on the search/opt. algorithm initialization given the search/opt. algorithm output. We learn this distribution adaptively over the course of the Markov chain by fitting the initial and proposed values using a Dirichlet process mixture model that is trained during the execution of the meta-inference MH chain to adaptively improve iteration. (3,4,5). We can also use multiple regenerations (multiple importance samples) to improve the accuracy further (6).



Contour plot of unnormalized multi-modal density showing trajectories of an L-BFGS optimization program; and the basin of attraction for one of the modes.




To estimate the proposal density for use in meta-inference MH, the regenerator guesses initial point(s) x_{init} that could have led to a given x_{final} . Initially, we guess x_{init} from a poor importance distribution. The proposal density estimates will have high variance resulting in a low acceptance rate.




We adapt the regeneration distribution by modeling the sampled x_{init} , x_{proposed} pairs using a Dirichlet process mixture model. Each time we perform a proposal we add the result to the DPMM. Inference in the DPMM is performed continuously in parallel to our MH chain. We sample x_{init} from the approximate DPMM conditional distribution given x_{proposed} ; which approaches the optimal distribution (the basin of attraction) with more data.




In 3, 4: the importance distribution $q(x_{\text{init}} | x_{\text{proposed}})$ used to estimate the proposal density.



In 2, 3, 4: The distribution $p(x_{\text{proposed}} | x_{\text{final}})$ representing the noise added to the proposal value after the deterministic optimization. The distribution can be a multivariate Gaussian fit to the mode by using local 2nd order information, or some other simple noise model.





A proposal is made by first choosing an initialization point x_{init} uniformly, then running the optimization procedure to convergence at x_{final} , and sampling from a ball around x_{final} .



We use a cycle of a local random walk proposal and the optimization-based mode-jumping proposal. The rejection rate for the mode-jumping proposal is high when the regenerator is initially poor without adaptation.

After adaptation, the acceptance rate for the mode-jumping proposal improves. **Note that the proposal distribution itself does not change, only the ability to estimate the proposal density is responsible for the improved acceptance rate.**



By sampling multiple regeneration importance particles we can reduce the variance of our proposal density estimates and improve the acceptance rate for a fixed importance distribution without adaptation. K is the number of importance samples.