Sparsified Distributed Adaptive Learning with Error Feedback

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation Address email

Abstract

To be completed...

2 1 Method

- 3 Most modern machine learning tasks can be casted as a large finite-sum optimization problem writ-
- 4 ten as:

$$\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\theta) \tag{1}$$

- where n denotes the number of workers, f_i represents the average loss for worker i and θ the global
- 6 model parameter taking value in Θ , a subset of \mathbb{R}^d .
- 7 Some related work:
- 8 [?] develops variant of signSGD (as a biased compression schemes) for distributed optimization.
- 9 Contributions are mainly on this error feedback variant. In [?], the authors provide theoretical
- 10 results on the convergence of sparse Gradient SGD for distributed optimization (we want that for
- AMS here). [?] develops a variant of distributed SGD with sparse gradients too. Contributions
- 12 include a memory term used while compressing the gradient (using top k for instance). Speeding up
- the convergence in $\frac{1}{T^3}$.
- 14 Consider standard synchronous distributed optimization setting. AMSGrad is used as the prototype,
- and the local workers is only in charge of gradient computation.

16 1.1 TopK AMSGrad with Error Feedback

- 17 The key difference (and interesting part) of our TopK AMSGrad compared with the following arxiv
- paper "Quantized Adam"https://arxiv.org/pdf/2004.14180.pdf is that, in our model only
- 19 gradients are transmitted. In "QAdam", each local worker keeps a local copy of moment estimator
- m and v, and compresses and transmits m/v as a whole. Thus, that method is very much like the
- sparsified distributed SGD, except that g is changed into m/v. In our model, the moment estimates
- m and v are computed only at the central server, with the compressed gradients instead of the full
- 23 gradient. This would be the key (and difficulty) in convergence analysis.

Algorithm 1 SPARS-AMS for Distributed Learning

```
1: Input: parameter \beta_1, \beta_2, learning rate \eta_t.
 2: Initialize: central server parameter \theta_0 \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d; e_{0,i} = 0 the error accumulator for each
     worker; sparsity parameter k; n local workers; m_0 = 0, v_0 = 0, \hat{v}_0 = 0
    for t = 1 to T do
         parallel for worker i \in [n] do:
 5:
             Receive model parameter \theta_t from central server
 6:
             Compute stochastic gradient g_{t,i} at \theta_t
 7:
             Compute \tilde{g}_{t,i} = TopK(g_{t,i} + e_{t,i}, k)
 8:
             Update the error e_{t+1,i} = e_{t,i} + g_{t,i} - \tilde{g}_{t,i}
 9:
             Send \tilde{g}_{t,i} back to central server
         end parallel
10:
         Central server do:
11:
         \bar{g}_t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \tilde{g}_{t,i}
12:
         m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_1) \bar{g}_t
v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 - \beta_2) \bar{g}_t^2
13:
14:
         \hat{v}_t = \max(v_t, \hat{v}_{t-1})
         Update global model \theta_t = \theta_{t-1} - \eta_t \frac{m_t}{\sqrt{\hat{v}_t + \epsilon}}
16:
17: end for
```

1.2 Convergence Analysis

- 25 Several mild assumptions to make: Nonconvex and smooth loss function, unbiased stochastic gradi-
- ent, bounded variance of the gradient, bounded norm of the gradient, control of the distance between
- 27 the true gradient and its sparse variant.
- 28 Check [?] starting with single machine and extending to distributed settings (several machines).
- Under the distributed setting, the goal is to derive an upper bound to the second order moment of the gradient of the objective function at some iteration $T_f \in [1, T]$.
- 31 1.3 Mild Assumptions
- We begin by making the following assumptions.
- 33 **A1.** (Smoothness) For $i \in [n]$, f_i is L-smooth: $\|\nabla f_i(\theta) \nabla f_i(\vartheta)\| \le L \|\theta \vartheta\|$.
- A 2. (Unbiased and Bounded gradient **per worker**) For any iteration index t > 0 and worker index
- 35 $i \in [n]$, the stochastic gradient is unbiased and bounded from above: $\mathbb{E}[g_{t,i}] = \nabla f_i(\theta_t)$ and
- 36 $||g_{t,i}|| \leq G_i$.
- A 3. (Bounded variance per worker) For any iteration index t>0 and worker index $i\in [n]$, the variance of the noisy gradient is bounded: $\mathbb{E}[|g_{t,i}-\nabla f_i(\theta_t)|^2]<\sigma_i^2$.
- Denote by $Q(\cdot)$ the quantization operator Line 7 of Algorithm 1, which takes as input a gradient
- vector and returns a quantized version of it, and note $\tilde{g} := Q(g)$. Assume that
- 41 **A 4.** (Bounded Quantization) For any iteration t>0, there exists a constant q>0 such that
- $\|g_{t,i} \tilde{g}_{t,i}\| \le q \|g_{t,i}\|$, where $g_{t,i}$ is the stochastic gradient computed at iteration t for worker i.
- 43 (high q means large quantization so loss of precision on the true gradient)
- Denote for all $\theta \in \Theta$:

$$f(\theta) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f_i(\theta), \qquad (2)$$

where n denotes the number of workers.

46 2 Single Machine

47 Single machine method

Algorithm 2 SPARS-AMS: Single machine setting

- 1: **Input**: parameter β_1 , β_2 , learning rate η_t .
- 2: Initialize: central server parameter $\theta_0 \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$; $e_0 = 0$ the error accumulator; sparsity parameter k; $m_0 = 0$, $v_0 = 0$, $\hat{v}_0 = 0$
- 3: **for** t = 1 to T **do**
- Compute stochastic gradient $g_t = g_{t,i_t}$ at θ_t for randomly sampled index i_t
- Compute $\tilde{g}_t = TopK(g_t + e_t, k)$
- Update the error $e_{t+1} = e_t + g_t \tilde{g}_t$
- $m_t = \beta_1 m_{t-1} + (1 \beta_1) \tilde{g}_t$ $v_t = \beta_2 v_{t-1} + (1 \beta_2) \tilde{g}_t^2$ $\hat{v}_t = \max(v_t, \hat{v}_{t-1})$

- Update global model $\theta_t = \theta_{t-1} \eta_t \frac{m_t}{\sqrt{\hat{x}_t + \epsilon}}$ 10:
- 11: end for

Belhal Try for Single Machine Setting:

Define the auxiliary model

$$\theta'_{t+1} := \theta_{t+1} - e_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta a_t - e_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta a_t - e_t - g_t + \tilde{g}_t = \theta_t - \eta a_t - e_t - \Delta_t = \theta'_t - \eta a_t - \Delta_t$$

where $a_t := \frac{m_t}{\sqrt{\hat{v}_t + \epsilon}}$ and $\Delta_t := g_t - \tilde{g}_t$. By smoothness assumption we have

$$f(\theta'_{t+1}) \le f(\theta'_t) - \langle \nabla f(\theta'_t), \eta a_t + \Delta_t \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|\theta'_{t+1} - \theta'_t\|^2.$$

$$\mathbb{E}[f(\theta'_{t+1}) - f(\theta'_t)] \leq -\mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla f(\theta'_t), \eta a_t + \Delta_t \rangle] + \frac{L}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\eta a_t + \Delta_t\|^2]$$

$$\leq \eta \mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla f(\theta_t) - \nabla f(\theta'_t), \eta a_t + \Delta_t \rangle] - \mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla f(\theta_t), \eta a_t + \Delta_t \rangle] + \frac{L}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\eta a_t + \Delta_t\|^2]$$

Using the smoothness assumption A1 we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla f(\theta_t) - \nabla f(\theta_t'), \eta a_t + \Delta_t \rangle] \leq L \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta_t'\|] E[\|\eta a_t + \Delta_t\|]$$

Hence.

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{E}[f(\theta_{t+1}') - f(\theta_t')] &\leq -\mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla f(\theta_t'), \eta a_t + \Delta_t \rangle] + \frac{L}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\eta a_t + \Delta_t\|^2] \\ &\leq -\left(\eta \frac{1}{\sqrt{G^2 + \epsilon}} + q\right) \mathbb{E}\|\nabla f(\theta_t)\|^2 + L \mathbb{E}[\|\theta_t - \theta_t'\|] E[\|\eta a_t + \Delta_t\|] + \frac{L}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\eta a_t + \Delta_t\|^2] \\ &\leq -\left(\eta \frac{1}{\sqrt{G^2 + \epsilon}} + q\right) \mathbb{E}\|\nabla f(\theta_t)\|^2 + L \mathbb{E}[\|e_t\| \|\eta a_t + \Delta_t\|] + \frac{L}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|\eta a_t + \Delta_t\|^2] \end{split}$$

Summing from t = 0 to $t = T_m - 1$ and divide it by T_m yields:

$$\begin{split} & \left(\eta \frac{1}{\sqrt{G^2 + \epsilon}} + q \right) \frac{1}{T_{\text{m}}} \sum_{t=0}^{T_{\text{m}}-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(\theta_t)\|^2] \\ \leq & \sum_{t=0}^{T_{\text{m}}-1} \frac{\mathbb{E}[f(\theta_t') - f(\theta_{t+1}')]}{T_{\text{m}}} + \frac{1}{T_{\text{m}}} \sum_{t=0}^{T_{\text{m}}-1} \mathbb{E}[\|e_t\| \, \|\eta a_t + \Delta_t\|] + \frac{L}{2T_{\text{m}}} \sum_{t=0}^{T_{\text{m}}-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\eta a_t + \Delta_t\|^2] \end{split}$$

55 3 Conclusion

56 A Appendix