
Distributed and Private Stochastic EM Methods via Quantized and Compressed MCMC

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation

Address

email

Abstract

1 To be completed

2 1 Notations

3 We minimize the negated log incomplete data likelihood

$$\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \bar{L}(\theta) := L(\theta) + r(\theta) \quad \text{with} \quad L(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n L_i(\theta) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \{ -\log g(y_i; \theta) \} , \quad (1)$$

4 Consider a curved exponential family

$$f(z_i, y_i; \theta) = h(z_i, y_i) \exp(\langle S(z_i, y_i) | \phi(\theta) \rangle - \psi(\theta)) , \quad (2)$$

5 Then EM reads

$$\bar{s}_i(\theta) := \int_{\mathcal{Z}} S(z_i, y_i) p(z_i | y_i; \theta) \mu(dz_i) , \quad (3)$$

6 and the M -step is given by

$$\bar{\theta}(\bar{s}(\theta)) := \arg \min_{\vartheta \in \theta} \{ R(\vartheta) + \psi(\vartheta) - \langle \bar{s}(\theta) | \phi(\vartheta) \rangle \} . \quad (4)$$

7 In the case where the expectations are intractable, then (??) becomes:

$$\tilde{S}^{(k+1)} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{S}_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{M_k} \sum_{m=1}^{M_k} S(z_{i,m}^{(k)}, y_i) , \quad (5)$$

8 2 Algorithms

9 For computational purposes and privacy enhanced matter, I have chosen to study and develop the
 10 second algorithms that I proposed in my last week's report. In that algorithm, one does not compute
 11 a periodic averaging of the local models (this would requires performing as many M-steps as there
 12 are workers). Rather, workers compute local statistics and send them to the central server for a
 13 periodic averaging of those vectors and the latter computes one M-step to update the global model.

Algorithm 1 FL-SAEM with Periodic Statistics Averaging

```

1: Input: TO COMPLETE
2: Init:  $\theta_0 \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , as the global model and  $\bar{\theta}_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_0$ .
3: for  $r = 1$  to  $R$  do
4:   for parallel for device  $i \in D^r$  do
5:     Set  $\hat{\theta}_i^{(0,r)} = \hat{\theta}^{(r)}$ .
6:     Draw M samples  $z_{i,m}^{(r)}$  under model  $\hat{\theta}_i^{(r)}$ 
7:     Compute the surrogate sufficient statistics  $\tilde{S}_i^{(r+1)}$ 
8:     Workers send local statistics  $\tilde{S}_i^{(k+1)}$  to server.
9:   end for
10:  Server computes global model using the aggregated statistics:

```

$$\hat{\theta}^{(r+1)} = \bar{\theta}(\tilde{S}^{(r+1)})$$

where $\tilde{S}^{(r+1)} = (\tilde{S}_i^{(r+1)}, i \in D_r)$ and send global model back to the devices.

```

11: end for

```

14 2.1 Challenges with Algorithm ??

15 While Algorithm ?? is a distributed variant of the SAEM, it is neither (a) private nor (b)
 16 communication-efficient.

17 **Privacy:** Indeed, we remark that broadcasting the vector of statistics are a potential breach to the
 18 data observations as their expression is related y and the latent data z . With a simple knowledge of
 19 the model used, the data could be retrieved if one extracts those statistics.

20 **Communication bottlenecks:** Also regarding (b), the broadcast of n vector of statistics $S(y_i, z_i)$
 21 can be cumbersome when the size of the latent space and the parameter space of the model are huge.

22 2.2 Algorithmic solutions

23 **Line ?? – Quantization:** The first step is to quantize the gradient in the Stochastic Langevin Dynam-
 24 ics step used in our sampling scheme Line ?? of Algorithm ?. Inspired by (?), we use an extension
 25 of the QSGD algorithm for our latent samples. Define the quantization operator as follows:

$$C_j^{(\ell)}(g, \xi_j) = \|v\| \cdot \text{sign}(g_j) \cdot (\lfloor \ell |g_j| / \|v\| \rfloor + \mathbf{1}\{\xi_j \leq \ell |g_j| / \|v\| - \lfloor \ell |g_j| / \|v\| \rfloor\}) / \ell \quad (6)$$

26 where ℓ is the level of quantization and $j \in [d]$ denotes the dimension of the gradient.

27 Hence, for the sampling step, Line ??, we use the modified SGLD below, to be compliant with the
 28 privacy of our method.

Algorithm 2 Langevin Dynamics with Quantization for worker i

- 1: **Input:** Current local model $\hat{\theta}_i^{(r)}$ for worker $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$.
- 2: Draw M samples $\{z_i^{(r,m)}\}_{m=1}^M$ from the posterior distribution $p(z_i|y_i; \hat{\theta}_i^{(k)})$ via Langevin diffusion with a quantized gradient:
- 3: **for** $k = 1$ to K **do**
- 4: Compute the quantized gradient of $\nabla \log p(z_i|y_i; \hat{\theta}_i^{(k)})$:

$$g_i(k, m) = C_j^{(\ell)} \left(\nabla_j f_{\theta_t}(z_i^{(k-1,m)}), \xi_j^{(k)} \right) \quad (7)$$

where $\xi_j^{(k)}$ is a realization of a uniform random variable.

- 5: Sample the latent data using the following chain:

$$z_i^{(k,m)} = z_i^{(k-1,m)} + \frac{\gamma_k}{2} g_i(k, m) + \sqrt{\gamma_k} B_k, \quad (8)$$

where B_t denotes the Brownian motion and $m \in [M]$ denotes the MC sample.

- 6: **end for**
 - 7: Assign $\{z_i^{(r,m)}\}_{m=1}^M \leftarrow \{z_i^{(K,m)}\}_{m=1}^M$.
 - 8: **Output:** latent data $z_{i,m}^{(k)}$ under model $\hat{\theta}_i^{(t,k)}$
-

29 **Line ?? – Compression MCMC output:** We use the notorious **Top- k** operator that we define as
 30 $\mathcal{C}(x)_i = x_i$, if $i \in \mathcal{S}$; $\mathcal{C}(x)_i = 0$ otherwise and where \mathcal{S} is defined as the size- k set of $i \in [p]$.
 31 Recall that after Line ?? we compute the local statistics $\tilde{S}_i^{(k+1)}$ using the output latent variables
 32 from Algorithm ??. We now use those statistics and compress them using Algorithm ?? as follows:

Algorithm 3 Sparsified Statistics with **Top- k**

- 1: **Input:** Current local statistics $\tilde{S}_i^{(k+1)}$ for worker $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$. Sparsification level k .
- 2: Apply **Top- k** :

$$\ddot{S}_i^{(k+1)} = \mathcal{C} \left(\tilde{S}_i^{(k+1)} \right) \quad (9)$$

- 3: **Output:** Compressed local statistics for worker i denoted $\ddot{S}_i^{(k+1)}$.
-

33 Final method

Algorithm 4 FL-SAEM with Periodic Statistics Averaging

- 1: **Input:** Compression operator $\mathcal{C}(\cdot)$, number of rounds R , initial parameter θ_0 .
 - 2: **for** $r = 1$ to R **do**
 - 3: **for** parallel for device $i \in D^r$ **do**
 - 4: Set $\hat{\theta}_i^{(0,r)} = \hat{\theta}^{(r)}$. {Initialize each worker with current global model}
 - 5: Draw M samples $z_{i,m}^{(r)}$ under model $\hat{\theta}_i^{(r)}$ via Quantized LD: {Local MCMC step}
 - 6: **for** $k = 1$ to K **do**
 - 7: Compute the quantized gradient of $\nabla \log p(z_i|y_i; \hat{\theta}_i^{(k)})$:

$$g_i(k, m) = \mathcal{C}_j^{(\ell)} \left(\nabla_j f_{\theta_i}(z_i^{(k-1,m)}), \xi_j^{(k)} \right)$$

where $\xi_j^{(k)}$ is a realization of a uniform random variable.
 - 8: Sample the latent data using the following chain:

$$z_i^{(k,m)} = z_i^{(k-1,m)} + \frac{\gamma_k}{2} g_i(k, m) + \sqrt{\gamma_k} \mathbf{B}_k,$$

where \mathbf{B}_t denotes the Brownian motion and $m \in [M]$ denotes the MC sample.
 - 9: **end for**
 - 10: Assign $\{z_i^{(r,m)}\}_{m=1}^M \leftarrow \{z_i^{(K,m)}\}_{m=1}^M$.
 - 11: Compute $\tilde{S}_i^{(r+1)}$ and its **Top- k** variant $\ddot{S}_i^{(k+1)} = \mathcal{C}(\tilde{S}_i^{(k+1)})$. {Compressed Local Statistics}
 - 12: Workers send local statistics $\tilde{S}_i^{(k+1)}$ to server. {Single round of communication}
 - 13: **end for**
 - 14: Server computes **global model**: {(Global) M-Step using Complete Statistics}

$$\hat{\theta}^{(r+1)} = \bar{\theta}(\ddot{S}^{(r+1)})$$

where $\ddot{S}^{(r+1)} = (\ddot{S}_i^{(r+1)}, i \in D_r)$ and send global model back to the devices.
 - 15: **end for**
-

36 **References**

- 37 D. Alistarh, D. Grubic, J. Li, R. Tomioka, and M. Vojnovic. Qsgd: Communication-efficient sgd
38 via gradient quantization and encoding. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*,
39 pages 1709–1720, 2017.