# Distributed and Private Stochastic EM Methods via Quantized and Compressed MCMC

#### **Anonymous Author(s)**

Affiliation Address email

### **Abstract**

To be completed

#### 2 1 Notations

3 We minimize the negated log incomplete data likelihood

$$\min_{\theta \in \Theta} \overline{L}(\theta) := L(\theta) + r(\theta) \quad \text{with } L(\theta) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i(\theta) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ -\log g(y_i; \theta) \right\}, \tag{1}$$

4 Consider a curved exponential family

$$f(z_i, y_i; \theta) = h(z_i, y_i) \exp(\langle S(z_i, y_i) | \phi(\theta) \rangle - \psi(\theta)), \qquad (2)$$

5 Then EM reads

$$\overline{s}_i(\theta) := \int_{\mathbf{Z}} S(z_i, y_i) p(z_i | y_i; \theta) \mu(\mathrm{d}z_i) , \qquad (3)$$

6 and the *M-step* is given by

$$\overline{\theta}(\overline{s}(\theta)) := \underset{\vartheta \in \theta}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \left\{ \left. R(\vartheta) + \psi(\vartheta) - \left\langle \overline{s}(\theta) \, | \, \phi(\vartheta) \right\rangle \right\}. \tag{4}$$

7 In the case where the expectations are intractable, then (3) becomes:

$$\tilde{S}^{(k+1)} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{S}_{i}^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{M_{k}} \sum_{m=1}^{M_{k}} S(z_{i,m}^{(k)}, y_{i}),$$
 (5)

# **Algorithms**

- For computational purposes and privacy enhanced matter, I have chosen to study and develop the 9
- second algorithms that I proposed in my last week's report. In that algorithm, one does not compute
- a periodic averaging of the local models (this would requires performing as many M-steps as there 11
- are workers). Rather, workers compute local statistics and send them to the central server for a
- periodic averaging of those vectors and the latter computes one M-step to update the global model.

#### Algorithm 1 FL-SAEM with Periodic Statistics Averaging

- 1: Input: TO COMPLETE
- 2: Init:  $\theta_0 \in \Theta \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ , as the global model and  $\bar{\theta}_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_0$ .
- 3: **for** r = 1 to  $\overline{R}$  **do**
- for parallel for device  $i \in D^r$  do 4:
- Set  $\hat{\theta}_{i}^{(0,r)} = \hat{\theta}^{(r)}$ . 5:
- Draw M samples  $z_{i,m}^{(r)}$  under model  $\hat{\theta}_i^{(r)}$ 6:
- Compute the surrogate sufficient statistics  $\tilde{S}_i^{(r+1)}$  Workers send local statistics  $\tilde{S}_i^{(k+1)}$  to server. 7:
- 8:
- 9: end for
- Server computes global model using the aggregated statistics: 10:

$$\hat{\theta}^{(r+1)} = \overline{\theta}(\tilde{S}^{(r+1)})$$

where  $\tilde{S}^{(r+1)}=(\tilde{S}_i^{(r+1)}, i\in D_r)$  and send global model back to the devices.

11: end for

#### **Challenges with Algorithm 4**

- 15 While Algorithm 4 is a distributed variant of the SAEM, it is neither (a) private nor (b)
- 16 communication-efficient.
- **Privacy:** Indeed, we remark that broadcasting the vector of statistics are a potential breach to the 17
- data observations as their expression is related y and the latent data z. With a simple knowledge of 18
- the model used, the data could be retrieved if one extracts those statistics. 19
- **Communication bottlenecks:** Also regarding (b), the broadcast of n vector of statistics  $S(y_i, z_i)$ 20
- can be cumbersome when the size of the latent space and the parameter space of the model are huge. 21

#### 2.2 Algorithmic solutions

- Line 6 Quantization: The first step is to quantize the gradient in the Stochastic Langevin Dynam-23
- ics step used in our sampling scheme Line 6 of Algorithm 4. Inspired by [Alistarh et al., 2017], we
- use an extension of the QSGD algorithm for our latent samples. Define the quantization operator as 25
- follows: 26

$$\mathsf{C}_{j}^{(\ell)}\left(g,\xi_{j}\right) = \left\|v\right\| \cdot \mathsf{sign}\left(g_{j}\right) \cdot \left(\left\lfloor \ell\left|g_{j}\right| / \left\|v\right\|\right\rfloor + \mathbf{1}\left\{\xi_{j} \leq \ell\left|g_{j}\right| / \left\|v\right\| - \left\lfloor \ell\left|g_{j}\right| / \left\|v\right\|\right\rfloor\right\}\right) / \ell \quad (6)$$

- where  $\ell$  is the level of quantization and  $j \in [d]$  denotes the dimension of the gradient.
- Hence, for the sampling step, Line 6, we use the modified SGLD below, to be compliant with the
- privacy of our method.

# **Algorithm 2** Langevin Dynamics with Quantization for worker i

- 1: **Input**: Current local model  $\hat{\theta}_i^{(r)}$  for worker  $i \in [1, n]$ .
- 2: Draw M samples  $\{z_i^{(r,m}\}_{m=1}^M$  from the posterior distribution  $p(z_i|y_i;\hat{\theta}_i^{(k)})$  via Langevin diffusion with a quantized gradient:
- 3: **for** k = 1 to K **do**
- Compute the quantized gradient of  $\nabla \log p(z_i|y_i; \hat{\theta}_i^{(k)})$ :

$$g_i(k,m) = \mathsf{C}_j^{(\ell)} \left( \nabla_j f_{\theta_t}(z_i^{(k-1,m)}), \xi_j^{(k)} \right)$$
 (7)

where  $\xi_j^{(k)}$  is a realization of a uniform random variable.

Sample the latent data using the following chain: 5:

$$z_i^{(k,m)} = z_i^{(k-1,m)} + \frac{\gamma_k}{2} g_i(k,m) + \sqrt{\gamma_k} B_k$$
, (8)

where  $B_t$  denotes the Brownian motion and  $m \in [M]$  denotes the MC sample.

- 7: Assign  $\{z_i^{(r,m}\}_{m=1}^M \leftarrow \{z_i^{(K,m)}\}_{m=1}^M$ . 8: **Output:** latent data  $z_{i,m}^{(k)}$  under model  $\hat{\theta}_i^{(t,k)}$
- Line 6 Compression MCMC output: We use the notorious Top-k operator that we define as
- $\mathcal{C}(x)_i = x_i$ , if  $i \in \mathcal{S}$ ;  $\mathcal{C}(x)_i = 0$  otherwise and where  $\mathcal{S}$  is defined as the size-k set of  $i \in [p]$ .
- Recall that after Line 6 we compute the local statistics  $\tilde{S}_i^{(k+1)}$  using the output latent variables from Algorithm 2. We now use those statistics and compress them using Algorithm 3 as follows:

# **Algorithm 3** Sparsified Statistics with **Top-***k*

- 1: **Input**: Current local statistics  $\tilde{S}_i^{(k+1)}$  for worker  $i \in [\![1,n]\!]$ . Sparsification level k.
- 2: Apply **Top-***k*:

$$\ddot{S}_i^{(k+1)} = \mathcal{C}\left(\tilde{S}_i^{(k+1)}\right) \tag{9}$$

- 3: **Output:** Compressed local statistics for worker i denoted  $\ddot{S}_i^{(k+1)}$ .
- Final method:
- We can also consider the plain distributed version of the sEM which does not tackle any privacy or 35
- communication bottlenecks. It goes as follows:

# Algorithm 4 Quantized and Compressed FL-SAEM with Periodic Statistics Averaging

- 1: **Input**: Compression operator  $C(\cdot)$ , number of rounds R, initial parameter  $\theta_0$ .
- 2: **for** r = 1 to R **do**
- for parallel for device  $i \in D^r$  do
- Set  $\hat{\theta}_i^{(0,r)} = \hat{\theta}^{(r)}$ . {Initialize each worker with current global model} 4:
- Draw M samples  $z_{im}^{(r)}$  under model  $\hat{\theta}_{i}^{(r)}$  via Quantized LD: {Local Quantized MCMC 5:
- for k = 1 to K do 6:
- Compute the quantized gradient of  $\nabla \log p(z_i|y_i; \hat{\theta}_i^{(k)})$ : 7:

$$g_i(k,m) = \mathsf{C}_j^{(\ell)} \left( \nabla_j f_{\theta_t}(z_i^{(k-1,m)}), \xi_j^{(k)} \right) \quad \text{where} \quad \xi_j^{(k)} \sim \mathcal{U}_{[a,b]}$$

8: Sample the latent data using the following chain:

$$z_i^{(k,m)} = z_i^{(k-1,m)} + \frac{\gamma_k}{2} g_i(k,m) + \sqrt{\gamma_k} \mathsf{B}_k, \label{eq:sum_eq}$$

where  $B_t$  denotes the Brownian motion and  $m \in [M]$  denotes the MC

9: end for

sample.

- 10:
- Assign  $\{z_i^{(r,m)}\}_{m=1}^M \leftarrow \{z_i^{(K,m)}\}_{m=1}^M$ . Compute  $\tilde{S}_i^{(r+1)}$  and its **Top-**k variant  $\ddot{S}_i^{(r+1)} = \mathcal{C}\left(\tilde{S}_i^{(r+1)}\right)$ . {Compressed local statis-11:
- Worker send local statistics  $\tilde{S}_i^{(r+1)}$  to server. {Single round of communication} 12:
- 13:
- Server computes **global model**: {(Global) M-Step using aggregated statistics} 14:

$$\hat{\theta}^{(r+1)} = \overline{\theta}(\ddot{S}^{(r+1)})$$

where  $\ddot{S}^{(r+1)} = (\ddot{S}_i^{(r+1)}, i \in D_r)$  and send global model back to the devices.

#### 15: **end for**

# Algorithm 5 Distributed SAEM with Periodic Locals Models Averaging

- 1: **Input**: Compression operator  $C(\cdot)$ , number of rounds R, initial parameter  $\theta_0$ .
- 2: **for** r = 1 to R **do**
- for parallel for device  $i \in D^r$  do
- Set  $\hat{\theta}_i^{(r)} = \hat{\theta}^{(r)}$ . {Initialize each worker with current global model} 4:
- Draw M samples  $z_{i,m}^{(r+1)}$  under model  $\hat{\theta}_i^{(r)}$  via MCMC: {Local MCMC step} 5:
- Compute the local statistics  $\tilde{S}_i^{(r+1)} = S(z_{i,m}^{(r+1)})$ . {Local statistics} 6:
- Worker computes local model: {(Local) M-Step using local statistics} 7:

$$\hat{\theta}_i^{(r+1)} = \overline{\theta}(\tilde{S}_i^{(r+1)})$$

- Worker sends local model  $\hat{\theta}_{i}^{(r+1)}$  to server. 8:
- 9: end for
- 10: Server computes **global model** by periodic averaging {Local model averaging}

$$\hat{\theta}^{(r+1)} := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\theta}_{i}^{(r+1)}$$

11: end for

**Theoretical Findings** 

**38 4 Numerical Experiments** 

# References

- D. Alistarh, D. Grubic, J. Li, R. Tomioka, and M. Vojnovic. Qsgd: Communication-efficient sgd via gradient quantization and encoding. In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, pages 1709–1720, 2017.