Memory Efficient EBM Training

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation Address email

Abstract

- To be completed...
- 2 1 Introduction
- 3 2 Related Work
- 4 Energy Based Modeling
- 5 Distributed Optimization
- 6 Compression and Quantization
- 7 3 Distributed and Private EBM Training
- 8 3.1 Compression Methods for Distributed and Private Optimization
- Definition 1 (Top-k). For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, denote S as the size-k set of $i \in [d]$ with largest k magnitude $|x_i|$. The **Top-**k compressor is defined as $C(x)_i = x_i$, if $i \in S$; $C(x)_i = 0$ otherwise.
- **Definition 2** (Block-Sign). For $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, define M blocks indexed by \mathcal{B}_i , i = 1, ..., M, with $d_i := |\mathcal{B}_i|$. The **Block-Sign** compressor is defined as $\mathcal{C}(x) = [sign(x_{\mathcal{B}_1}) \frac{\|x_{\mathcal{B}_1}\|_1}{d_1}, ..., sign(x_{\mathcal{B}_M}) \frac{\|x_{\mathcal{B}_M}\|_1}{d_M}]$.

13 3.2 Main Algorithm

Algorithm 1: Distributed and private EBM

```
Input: Total number of iterations T, number of MCMC transitions K and of samples M, sequence of global learning rate \{\eta_t\}_{t>0}, sequence of MCMC stepsizes \gamma_{kk>0}, initial value \theta_0, MCMC initialization \{z_0^m\}_{m=1}^M. Set of selected devices \mathcal{D}^t.

Output: Vector of fitted parameters \theta_{T+1}.
```

Data: $\{x_i^p\}_{i=1}^{n_p}, n_p \text{ number of observations on device } p. \ n = \sum_{p=1}^P n_p \text{ total.}$

```
2 for t=1 to T do
          /* Happening on distributed devices
                                                                                                                                 */
          for For device p \in \mathcal{D}^t do
 3
               Draw M negative samples \{z_K^{p,m}\}_{m=1}^M
                                                                                       // local langevin diffusion
                for k = 1 to K do
                                          z_k^{p,m} = z_{k-1}^{p,m} + \gamma_k / 2\nabla_z f_{\theta_t} (z_{k-1}^{p,m})^{p,m} + \sqrt{\gamma_k} \mathsf{B}_k^p,
                    where B_k^p denotes the Brownian motion (Gaussian noise).
               Assign \{z_t^{p,m}\}_{m=1}^M \leftarrow \{z_K^{p,m}\}_{m=1}^M.
                Sample M positive observations \{x_i^p\}_{i=1}^M from the empirical data distribution.
                Compute the gradient of the empirical log-EBM // local - and + gradients
                                       \delta^{p} = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \nabla_{\theta} f_{\theta_{t}} \left( x_{i}^{p} \right) - \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} \nabla_{\theta} f_{\theta_{t}} \left( z_{K}^{p,m} \right)
                 Use black box compression operators
                                                                 \Delta^p = \mathcal{C}(\delta^p)
               Devices broadcast \Delta^p to Server
          /* Happening on the central server
          Aggregation of devices gradients: \nabla \log p(\theta_t) \approx \frac{1}{|\mathcal{D}^t|} \sum_{p=1}^{|\mathcal{D}^t|} \Delta^p.
          Update the vector of global parameters of the EBM: \theta_{t+1} = \theta_t + \eta_t \nabla \log p(\theta_t)
13 Output: Vector of fitted parameters \theta_{T+1}
```

5 4 Convergence Guarantees

Recall that the goal of this paper is to train an energy-based model where the data is distributed on P devices. Formally, given a stream of input data noted $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that $x = \{x_i^p\}_{i=1}^{n_p}, n_p$ number of observations on device p. $n = \sum_{p=1}^P n_p$ total., the model reads:

$$p(x,\theta) = \prod_{p=1}^{P} \frac{1}{Z_{\theta}^{p}} \exp(-U_{\theta}^{p}(x)), \qquad (1)$$

where $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ denotes the global parameters vector of our model and $Z(\theta) = \prod_{p=1}^P Z_\theta^p :=$

 $\prod_{p=1}^{P} \int_{x} \exp(-U_{\theta}^{p}(x)) dx$ is the normalizing constant with respect to x. $U_{\theta}^{p}(x)$ denotes the energy

function for device p is parameterized by θ and takes as input an image x.

We now establish a non-asymptotic convergence result for the set of parameters $\{\theta_t\}_{t=1}^T$.

23 Beforehand, we provide a mild assumption on our model

24 **Assumption 1.** (Smoothness of the energy function)

25 We also formalize some properties of the sampling procedure used to obtain synthetic samples dur-

26 ing training.

Assumption 2. (Bounded MC noise)

- 28 **Assumption 3.** (Geometric ergodicity of CD-1)
- 5 Numerical Experiments
- 30 6 Conclusion

31 A Appendix