Optimistic Acceleration of AMSGrad for Nonconvex Optimization.

Anonymous Author(s)

Affiliation Address email

1 Nonconvex Analysis

We tackle the following classical optimization problem:

$$\min_{w \in \Theta} f(w) := \mathbb{E}[f(w, \xi)] \tag{1}$$

- where ξ is some random noise and only noisy versions of the objective function are accessible in
- 4 this work. The objective function f(w) is (potentially) nonconvex and has Lipschitz gradients.
- 5 Optimistic Algorithm We present here the algorithm studied in this paper to tackle problem (1).
- Set the terminating iteration number, $K \in \{0, \dots, K_{\text{max}} 1\}$, as a discrete r.v. with:

$$P(K = k) = \frac{\eta_k}{\sum_{f=0}^{K_{\text{max}}-1} \eta_f}.$$
 (2)

- 7 where $K_{\text{max}} \leftarrow$ is the maximum number of iteration. The random termination number (2) is inspired
- 8 by [Ghadimi and Lan, 2013] which enables one to show non-asymptotic convergence to stationary
- 9 point for non-convex optimization. Consider constants $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in [0, 1]$, a sequence of decreasing
- stepsizes $\{\eta_k\}_{k>0}$, Algorithm 1 introduces the new optimistic AMSGrad method.

Algorithm 1 OPTIMISTIC-AMSGRAD

- 1: **Input:** Parameters $\beta_1, \beta_2, \epsilon, \eta_k$ 2: **Init.:** $w_1 = w_{-1/2} \in \mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$ and $v_0 = \epsilon \mathbf{1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ 3: **for** $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, K$ **do** 4: Get mini-batch stochastic gradient g_k at w_k 5: $\theta_k = \beta_1 \theta_{k-1} + (1 - \beta_1) g_k$ 6: $v_k = \beta_2 v_{k-1} + (1 - \beta_2) g_k^2$ 7: $\hat{v}_k = \max(\hat{v}_{k-1}, v_k)$ 8: $w_{k+\frac{1}{2}} = \Pi_K \left[w_k - \eta_k \frac{\theta_k}{\sqrt{\hat{v}_k}} \right]$ 9: $w_{k+1} = \Pi_K \left[w_{k+\frac{1}{2}} - \eta_k \frac{h_{k+1}}{\sqrt{\hat{v}_k}} \right]$ 10: where $h_{k+1} := \beta_1 \theta_{k-1} + (1 - \beta_1) m_{k+1}$ 11: and m_{k+1} is a guess of g_{k+1} 12: **end for** 13: **Return**: w_{K+1} .
- The final update at iteration k can be summarized as:

$$w_{k+1} = w_k - \eta_k \frac{\theta_k}{\sqrt{\hat{v}_k}} - \eta_k \frac{h_{k+1}}{\sqrt{v}_k}$$
(3)

We make the following assumptions:

Submitted to 34th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS 2020). Do not distribute.

- 13 **H1.** The loss function f(w) is nonconvex w.r.t. the parameter w.
- 14 **H2.** The function f(w) is L-smooth w.r.t. the parameter w. There exist some constant L > 0 such 15 that for $(w, \vartheta) \in \Theta^2$:

$$f(w) - f(\vartheta) - \nabla f(\vartheta)^{\top} (w - \vartheta) \le \frac{L}{2} \|w - \vartheta\|^2 . \tag{4}$$

H3. There exists a constant a > 0 such that for any k > 0:

$$||m_{k+1}|| \le a ||g_{k+1}||$$

- Classically (see [Ghadimi and Lan, 2013]) in nonconvex optimization, we make an assumption on the magnitude of the gradient:
 - **H4.** There exists a constant M > 0 such that

$$\|\nabla f(w,\xi)\| < \mathsf{M}$$
 for any w and ξ

- We begin with some auxiliary Lemmas important for the analysis. The first one ensures bounded
- 19 norms of various quantities of interests (boiling down from the classical stochastic gradient bound-
- 20 edness assumption):

Lemma 1. Assume assumption H 4, then the quantities defined in Algorithm 1 satisfy for any $w \in \Theta$ and k > 0:

$$\|\nabla f(w)\| < M, \quad \|\theta_k\| < M^2, \quad \|\hat{v}_k\| < M.$$

Proof Assume assumption H 4 we have:

$$\|\nabla f(w)\| = \|\mathbb{E}[\nabla f(w,\xi)]\| \le \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(w,\xi)\|] \le \mathsf{M}$$

By induction reasoning, since $\|\theta_0\| = 0 \le M$ and suppose that for $\|\theta_k\| \le M$ then we have

$$\|\theta_{k+1}\| = \|\beta_1 \theta_k + (1 - \beta_1) g_{k+1}\| \le \beta_1 \|\theta_k\| + (1 - \beta_1) \|g_{k+1}\| \le \mathsf{M}$$
 (5)

22 Using the same induction reasoning we prove that

$$\|\hat{v}_{k+1}\| = \|\beta_2 \hat{v}_k + (1 - \beta_2) g_{k+1}^2\| \le \beta_2 \|\hat{v}_k\| + (1 - \beta_1) \|g_{k+1}^2\| \le \mathsf{M}^2$$
 (6)

23

Then, following [Yan et al., 2018] and their study of the SGD with Momentum (not AMSGrad but simple momentum) we denote for any k > 0:

$$\overline{w}_k = w_k + \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} (w_k - w_{k-1}) = \frac{1}{1 - \beta_1} w_k - \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} w_{k-1} , \qquad (7)$$

- 26 and derive an important Lemma:
- **Lemma 2.** Assume a strictly positive and non increasing sequence of stepsizes $\{\eta_k\}_{k>0}$, $\beta_{\in}[0,1]$,
- 28 then the following holds:

$$\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k = \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \tilde{\theta}_{k-1} \left[\eta_{k-1} \hat{v}_{k-1}^{-1/2} - \eta_k \hat{v}_k^{-1/2} \right] - \eta_k \hat{v}_k^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_k , \qquad (8)$$

- 29 where $ilde{ heta}_k= heta_k+eta_1 heta_{k-1}+(1-eta_1)m_{k+1}$ and $ilde{g}_k=g_k-eta_1g_{k-1}.$
- Proof By definition (7) and using the Algorithm updates, we have:

$$\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k = \frac{1}{1 - \beta_1} (w_{k+1} - w_k) - \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} (w_k - w_{k-1})
= -\frac{1}{1 - \beta_1} \eta_k \hat{v}_k^{-1/2} (\theta_k + h_{k+1}) + \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \eta_{k-1} \hat{v}_{k-1}^{-1/2} (\theta_{k-1} + h_k)
= -\frac{1}{1 - \beta_1} \eta_k \hat{v}_k^{-1/2} (\theta_k + \beta_1 \theta_{k-1}) - \frac{1}{1 - \beta_1} \eta_k \hat{v}_k^{-1/2} (1 - \beta_1) m_{k+1}
+ \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \eta_{k-1} \hat{v}_{k-1}^{-1/2} (\theta_{k-1} + \beta_1 \theta_{k-2}) + \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \eta_{k-1} \hat{v}_{k-1}^{-1/2} (1 - \beta_1) m_k$$
(9)

Denote $\tilde{\theta}_k=\theta_k+\beta_1\theta_{k-1}+(1-\beta_1)m_{k+1}$ and $\tilde{g}_k=g_k-\beta_1g_{k-1}$. Notice that $\tilde{\theta}_k=\beta_1\tilde{\theta}_{k-1}+(1-\beta_1)(g_k+\beta_1g_{k-1})$.

$$\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k = \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \tilde{\theta}_{k-1} \left[\eta_{k-1} \hat{v}_{k-1}^{-1/2} - \eta_k \hat{v}_k^{-1/2} \right] - \eta_k \hat{v}_k^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_k \tag{10}$$

33

Lemma 3. Assume H 4, a strictly positive and non increasing sequence of stepsizes $\{\eta_k\}_{k>0}$, $\beta \in [0,1]$, then the following holds:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \eta_k^2 \mathbb{E}\left[\left\| \hat{v}_k^{-1/2} \theta_k \right\|_2^2 \right] \le \frac{\eta^2 dK (1 - \beta_1)}{(1 - \beta_2)(1 - \gamma)} \tag{11}$$

Proof We denote by index $p \in [1, d]$ the dimension of each component of vectors of interest. Noting that for any k > 0 and dimension p we have $\hat{v}_{k,p} \ge v_{k,p}$, then:

$$\eta_{k}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \hat{v}_{k}^{-1/2} \theta_{k} \right\|_{2}^{2} \right] = \eta_{k}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{p=1}^{d} \frac{\theta_{k,p}^{2}}{\hat{v}_{k,p}} \right] \\
\leq \eta_{k}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\theta_{k,p}^{2}}{v_{k,p}} \right] \\
\leq \eta_{k}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\left(\sum_{t=1}^{k} (1 - \beta_{1}) \beta_{1}^{k-t} g_{t,p} \right)^{2}}{\sum_{t=1}^{k} (1 - \beta_{2}) \beta_{2}^{k-t} g_{t,p}^{2}} \right]$$
(12)

where the last inequality is due to initializations. Denote $\gamma = \frac{\beta_1}{\beta_2}$. Then,

$$\eta_{k}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \hat{v}_{k}^{-1/2} \theta_{k} \right\|_{2}^{2} \right] \leq \frac{\eta_{k}^{2} (1 - \beta_{1})^{2}}{1 - \beta_{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\left(\sum_{t=1}^{k} \beta_{1}^{k-t} g_{t,p} \right)^{2}}{\sum_{t=1}^{k} \beta_{2}^{k-t} g_{t,p}^{2}} \right] \\
\stackrel{(a)}{\leq} \frac{\eta_{k}^{2} (1 - \beta_{1})}{1 - \beta_{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{k} \beta_{1}^{k-t} g_{t,p}^{2}}{\sum_{t=1}^{k} \beta_{2}^{k-t} g_{t,p}^{2}} \right] \\
\leq \frac{\eta_{k}^{2} (1 - \beta_{1})}{1 - \beta_{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{t=1}^{k} \gamma^{k-t} \right] = \frac{\eta_{k}^{2} d (1 - \beta_{1})}{1 - \beta_{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{k} \gamma^{k-t} \right]$$

$$(13)$$

where (a) is due to $\sum_{t=1}^k \beta_1^{k-t} \le \frac{1}{1-\beta_1}$. Summing from k=1 to k=K on both sides yields:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{K} \eta_{k}^{2} \mathbb{E} \left[\left\| \hat{v}_{k}^{-1/2} \theta_{k} \right\|_{2}^{2} \right] \leq \frac{\eta_{k}^{2} d (1 - \beta_{1})}{1 - \beta_{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{t=1}^{k} \gamma^{k-t} \right] \\
\leq \frac{\eta^{2} d K (1 - \beta_{1})}{1 - \beta_{2}} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{t=t}^{k} \gamma^{k-t} \right] \\
\leq \frac{\eta^{2} d K (1 - \beta_{1})}{(1 - \beta_{2})(1 - \gamma)} \tag{14}$$

where the last inequality is due to $\sum_{t=1}^k \gamma^{k-t} \le \frac{1}{1-\gamma}$ as a consequence of the definition of γ . \Box

- 41 We now formulate the main result of our paper giving a finite-time upper bound of the quantity
- $\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f(w_K)\|^2\right]$ where K is a random termination number distributed according to 2, see [Ghadimi
- 43 and Lan, 2013].
- **Theorem 1.** Assume H 2-H 4, $(\beta_1, \beta_2) \in [0, 1]$ and a sequence of decreasing stepsizes $\{\eta_k\}_{k>0}$,
- 45 then the following result holds:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\|\nabla f(w_K)\|^2\right] \le tocomplete \tag{15}$$

Proof Using H 2 and the iterate \overline{w}_k we have:

$$f(\overline{w}_{k+1}) \leq f(\overline{w}_k) + \nabla f(\overline{w}_k)^{\top} (\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k) + \frac{L}{2} \|\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k\|^2$$

$$\leq f(\overline{w}_k) + \underbrace{\nabla f(w_k)^{\top} (\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k)}_{A} + \underbrace{(\nabla f(\overline{w}_k) - \nabla f(w_k))^{\top} (\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k)}_{B} + \underbrace{\frac{L}{2} \|\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k\|}_{(16)}$$

Term A. Using Lemma 2, we have that:

$$\nabla f(w_{k})^{\top}(\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_{k}) = \nabla f(w_{k})^{\top} \left[\frac{\beta_{1}}{1 - \beta_{1}} \tilde{\theta}_{k-1} \left[\eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2} - \eta_{k} v_{k}^{-1/2} \right] - \eta_{k} v_{k}^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_{k} \right]$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta_{1}}{1 - \beta_{1}} \left\| \nabla f(w_{k}) \right\| \left\| \eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2} - \eta_{k} v_{k}^{-1/2} \right\| \left\| \tilde{\theta}_{k-1} \right\| - \nabla f(w_{k})^{\top} \eta_{k} v_{k}^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_{k}$$

$$(17)$$

where the inequality is due to trivial inequality for positive diagonal matrix. Using Lemma 1 and

assumption H3 we obtain:

$$\nabla f(w_k)^{\top} (\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k) \le \frac{\beta_1 (1 + \beta_1 + a)}{1 - \beta_1} \mathsf{M}^2 \left[\left\| \eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2} \right\| - \left\| \eta_k v_k^{-1/2} \right\| \right] - \nabla f(w_k)^{\top} \eta_k v_k^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_k$$
(18)

where we have used the fact that $\eta_k v_k^{-1/2}$ is a diagonal matrix such that $\eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2} \succcurlyeq \eta_k v_k^{-1/2} \succcurlyeq 0$ (decreasing stepsize and max operator). Also note that:

$$-\nabla f(w_{k})^{\top} \eta_{k} v_{k}^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_{k} = -\nabla f(w_{k})^{\top} \eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_{k} - \nabla f(w_{k})^{\top} \left[\eta_{k} v_{k}^{-1/2} - \eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2} \right] \tilde{g}_{k}$$

$$\leq -\nabla f(w_{k})^{\top} \eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_{k} + (1 - \beta_{1}) \mathsf{M}^{2} \left[\left\| \eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2} \right\| - \left\| \eta_{k} v_{k}^{-1/2} \right\| \right]$$
(19)

using Lemma 1 on $||g_k||$ and recalling that $\tilde{g}_k = g_k - \beta_1 g_{k-1}$. Plugging (19) into (18) yields:

$$\nabla f(w_k)^{\top} (\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k)$$

$$\leq -\nabla f(w_k)^{\top} \eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_k + \frac{1}{1-\beta_1} (\beta_1^2 + a\beta_1 + 1) \mathsf{M}^2 \left[\left\| \eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2} \right\| - \left\| \eta_k v_k^{-1/2} \right\| \right]$$
(20)

Term B. By Cauchy-Schwarz (CS) inequality we have:

$$\left(\nabla f(\overline{w}_{k}) - \nabla f(w_{k})\right)^{\top} \left(\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_{k}\right) \leq \left\|\nabla f(\overline{w}_{k}) - \nabla f(w_{k})\right\| \left\|\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_{k}\right\| \tag{21}$$

Using smoothness assumption H 2:

$$\|\nabla f(\overline{w}_k) - \nabla f(w_k)\| \le L \|\overline{w}_k - w_k\|$$

$$\le L \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \|w_k - w_{k-1}\|$$
(22)

By Lemma 2 we also have:

$$\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k = \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \tilde{\theta}_{k-1} \left[\eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2} - \eta_k v_k^{-1/2} \right] - \eta_k v_k^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_k
= \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \tilde{\theta}_{k-1} \eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2} \left[I - (\eta_k v_k^{-1/2}) (\eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2})^{-1} \right] - \eta_k v_k^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_k
= \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \left[I - (\eta_k v_k^{-1/2}) (\eta_{k-1} v_{k-1}^{-1/2})^{-1} \right] (w_{k-1} - w_k) - \eta_k v_k^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_k$$
(23)

where the last equality is due to $\tilde{\theta}_{k-1}\eta_{k-1}v_{k-1}^{-1/2}=w_{k-1}-w_k$ by construction of $\tilde{\theta}_k$. Taking the

norms on both sides, observing $\left\|I-(\eta_k v_k^{-1/2})(\eta_{k-1}v_{k-1}^{-1/2})^{-1}\right\| \leq 1$ due to the decreasing stepsize and the construction of \hat{v}_k and using CS inequality yield:

$$\|\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k\| \le \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \|w_{k-1} - w_k\| + \|\eta_k v_k^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_k\|$$
 (24)

59 Plugging (22) and (24) into (21) returns:

$$(\nabla f(\overline{w}_k) - \nabla f(w_k))^{\top} (\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k) \leq L \frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \left\| \eta_k v_k^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_k \right\| \left\| w_k - w_{k-1} \right\|$$

$$+ L \left(\frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \right)^2 \left\| w_{k-1} - w_k \right\|^2$$

$$(25)$$

We recall Young's inequality with a constant $\delta \in (0,1)$ as follows:

$$\langle X \mid Y \rangle \le \frac{1}{\delta} \left\| X \right\|^2 + \delta \left\| Y \right\|^2$$

Applying Young's inequality with $\delta \to \frac{\beta_1}{1-\beta_1}$ on the product $\left\| \eta_k v_k^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_k \right\| \|w_k - w_{k-1}\|$ yields:

$$\left(\nabla f(\overline{w}_{k}) - \nabla f(w_{k})\right)^{\top} \left(\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_{k}\right) \le L \left\| \eta_{k} v_{k}^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_{k} \right\|^{2} + 2L \left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{1 - \beta_{1}} \right)^{2} \left\| w_{k-1} - w_{k} \right\|^{2}$$
(26)

The last term $\frac{L}{2} \|\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k\|$ can be upper bounded using (24):

$$\frac{L}{2} \|\overline{w}_{k+1} - \overline{w}_k\|^2 \le \frac{L}{2} \left[\frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \|w_{k-1} - w_k\| + \left\| \eta_k v_k^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_k \right\| \right]
\le L \left\| \eta_k v_k^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_k \right\|^2 + 2L \left(\frac{\beta_1}{1 - \beta_1} \right)^2 \|w_{k-1} - w_k\|^2$$
(27)

62

Plugging (20), (26) and (27) into (16) and taking the expectations on both sides give:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[f(\overline{w}_{k+1}) + \frac{1}{1-\beta_{1}}\widetilde{\mathsf{M}}^{2} \left\| \eta_{k}v_{k}^{-1/2} \right\| - \left(f(\overline{w}_{k}) - \frac{1}{1-\beta_{1}}\widetilde{\mathsf{M}}^{2} \left\| \eta_{k-1}v_{k-1}^{-1/2} \right\| \right) \right] \\
\leq \mathbb{E}\left[-\nabla f(w_{k})^{\top} \eta_{k-1}v_{k-1}^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_{k} + 2L \left\| \eta_{k}v_{k}^{-1/2} \tilde{g}_{k} \right\|^{2} + 4L \left(\frac{\beta_{1}}{1-\beta_{1}}\right)^{2} \left\| w_{k-1} - w_{k} \right\|^{2} \right] \tag{28}$$

where $\tilde{\mathsf{M}}^2=(\beta_1^2+a\beta_1+1)\mathsf{M}^2$. Note that $w_{k-1}-w_k=-\eta_{k-1}\hat{v}_{k-1}^{-1/2}(\theta_{k-1}+h_k)$ with $h_k=\beta_1\theta_{k-2}+(1-\beta_1)m_k$ and that the expectation of \tilde{g}_k conditioned on the filtration \mathcal{F}_k reads as follows

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\tilde{g}_{k}\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[g_{k} - \beta_{1}g_{k-1}\right]$$

$$= \nabla f(w_{k}) - \beta_{1}\nabla f(w_{k-1})$$
(29)

2 Containment of the iterates for a Deep Neural Network

References

- S. Ghadimi and G. Lan. Stochastic first-and zeroth-order methods for nonconvex stochastic programming. SIAM Journal on Optimization, 23(4):2341–2368, 2013.
- Y. Yan, T. Yang, Z. Li, Q. Lin, and Y. Yang. A unified analysis of stochastic momentum methods for deep learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.10396*, 2018.