

Can you use a hashtable to implement skipTo()?


Better than next()

- What's the worst case for sequential merge-based intersection?
- $\{52, 1\} \rightarrow$ move k_2 's cursor
 - To the position whose id is at least 52 \rightarrow **skipTo**(52)
 - Essentially, asking the first i , such that $K_2[i] \geq 52$ (K_2 's list is sorted).
 - Takes many sequential call of next()
 - Could use binary search in the rest of the list
 - Cost: $\lceil \log_2(N_{\text{remainder}}) \rceil$

| | | | | | | | | |
|---------|----|----|----|-----|-----|-----|-----|----|
| K_2 : | 1 | 3 | 5 | ... | ... | ... | ... | 79 |
| K_1 : | 52 | 54 | 56 | 58 | | | | |

skipTo(id)

- Galloping search (gambler's strategy)
 - [Stage 1] Doubling the search range until you overshoot
 - [Stage 2] Perform binary search in the last range
- Performance analysis (worst case)
 - Let the destination position be n positions away.
 - $\approx \log_2 n$ probes in Stage 1 + $\approx \log_2 n$ probes in Stage 2
 - Total = $2 \lceil \log_2 (n+1) \rceil = O(\log_2 n)$



Total Cost

- Galloping search (gambler's strategy)
 - Cost of the i -th probe: $\approx 2 \log_2(n_i)$
 - Total cost of K_1 probes: $\approx 2 \log_2(\prod_1^{|K_1|} n_i)$
 $\leq 2 \log_2(((\sum_1^{|K_2|} n_i) / |K_1|)^{|K_1|}) \leq 2|K_1| \log_2(|K_2|/|K_1|)$
- Asymptotically, resembles linear merge when $|K_2|/|K_1| = O(1)$, resembles binary search when $|K_1| = O(1)$

What about list intersection using binary search?

Multiple Term Conjunctive Queries

- K_1 AND K_2 AND ... AND K_n
- SvS does not perform well if none of the associated lists are short
- In addition, it is blocking
- Can you design non-blocking multiple sorted array intersection algorithm?

Generalization

- Generalize the 2-way intersection algorithm

- 2-way:
 - $\{1, 2\} \rightarrow$ move k_1 's cursor
 - skipTo(2)

K_1 :

| | |
|---|---|
| 1 | 3 |
|---|---|

K_2 :

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 2 | 4 | 6 |
|---|---|---|

K_3 :

| | | | |
|---|---|----|----|
| 3 | 9 | 27 | 81 |
|---|---|----|----|

- 3-way:
 - $\{1, 2, 3\} \rightarrow$ move k_1, k_2 's cursor
 - skipTo(3)

eliminator = $\text{Max}_{1 \leq i \leq n}(k_i.\text{cursor})$

Optimization


- Mismatch found even before accessing K_3 's cursor

- Choice 1: continue to get cursors of other list

- Choice 2: settle the

dispute within the first two lists → max
algorithm [Culpepper & Moffat, 2010]

- Better locality of access → fewer cache misses
- Similar to SvS



Pseudo-Code for the **Max** Algorithm (Wrong)

- Input: K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n in increasing size

```
(1)   $x := K_1[1]$ ;  $startAt := 2$       //x is the eliminator
(2)  while  $x$  is defined do
(3)    for  $i = startAt$  to  $n$  do
(4)       $y := K_i.skipTo(x)$ 
(5)      if  $y > x$  then //mismatch
(6)         $x := K_1.next()$  //restart_1 //restart_2
(7)        if  $y > x$  then  $startAt := 1$ ;  $x := y$  else  $startAt := 2$  end if
(8)        break //match in all lists
(9)      elsif  $i = n$  then //y = x
(10)        Output  $x$ 
(11)         $x := K_1.next()$ 
(12)      end if
(13)    end for
(14)  end while
```

A



B



C



D




The original code has a bug when in restart_1 cases

Pseudo-Code for the **Max** Algorithm (Fixed)

- Input: K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n in increasing size

```
(1)   $x := K_1[1]$ ;  $startAt := 2$ 
(2)  while  $x$  is defined do
(3)      for  $i = startAt$  to  $n$  do
(4)           $y := K_i.skipTo(x)$ 
(5)          if  $y > x$  then
(6)               $x := K_1.next()$ 
(7)              if  $y > x$  then  $startAt := 1$ ;  $x := y$  else  $startAt := 2$  end if
(8)              break
(9)          elseif  $i = n$  then
(10)             Output  $x$ 
(11)              $x := K_1.next()$ 
(12)          end if
(13)      end for
(14) end while
```



```
(4.1) if  $i = 1$  then
(4.2)     if  $y > x$  then
(4.3)          $x := y$ 
(4.4)         break
(4.5)     end if
(4.6) end if
```

References

- J. Shane Culpepper, Alistair Moffat: Efficient set intersection for inverted indexing. *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst.* 29(1): 1 (2010)
- F.K. Hwang and S. Lin, A simple algorithm for merging two disjoint linearly ordered sets. *SIAM J. Comput.* 1 1 (1972), pp. 31–39.
- Stefan Buettcher, Charles L. A. Clarke, Gordon V. Cormack, *Information Retrieval: Implementing and Evaluating Search Engines*, 2010 [Chapter 5]