

Canada

Statistics Canada

**International Travel Survey, 2017: US and
Overseas Visitors to Canada [Canada]**

Study Documentation

April 23, 2022

Metadata Production

Metadata Producer(s)	Ontario Data Documentation, Extraction Service and Infrastructure (ODESI) , Scholars Portal
Production Date	April 21, 2020
Identification	ITS-3152-E-2017-VIS

Table of Contents

Overview.....	4
Scope & Coverage.....	4
Producers & Sponsors.....	4
Sampling.....	5
Data Collection.....	5
Data Processing & Appraisal.....	5
Accessibility.....	6
Rights & Disclaimer.....	6
Files Description.....	7
ITS 2017 VIS Eng - Copy.....	7
Variables Group(s).....	8
Administration.....	8
Geography.....	8
Indicators.....	8
Activity on trip.....	8
Fares.....	10
Main reason for trip.....	10
Number of person-nights/visits.....	10
Number of travellers.....	11
Places visited/trip.....	11
Routes and mode of transportation.....	11
Travelling party.....	12
Total spending/global spending.....	12
Total spending/trip program.....	12
Visit to Canada.....	12
Weight.....	12
Nights in province.....	12
Number of person-nights.....	13
Number of person-nights in province.....	13
Number of persons visiting province.....	14
Number of person-visits.....	15
1st place visited.....	15
2nd place visited.....	16
3rd place visited.....	16
4th place visited.....	17
5th place visited.....	17
6th place visited.....	18
7th place visited.....	18
8th place visited.....	19
9th place visited.....	20
10th place visited.....	20
Transportation used in Canada.....	21
Global spending per visit.....	21
Variables Description.....	23
ITS 2017 VIS Eng - Copy.....	24

International Travel Survey, 2017: US and Overseas Visitors to Canada [Canada] (ITS 2017)

Enquête sur les voyages internationaux, 2017: Voyages des visiteurs au Canada [Canada]

Overview	
Type	International Travel Survey
Identification	ITS-3152-E-2017-VIS
Series	The International Travel Survey (ITS) is an ongoing survey conducted by Statistics Canada since 1920 to meet the requirements of the Balance of Payments of the Canadian System of National Accounts (BOP). Through the years, questions directed at collecting detailed characteristics of travellers for market research and industry planning were gradually incorporated in the survey. Today, the ITS provides a full range of statistics on the volume of international travellers and detailed characteristics of their trips such as expenditures, activities, places visited and length of stay.
Abstract The International Travel Survey (ITS) is an ongoing survey conducted by Statistics Canada since 1920 to meet the requirements of the Balance of Payments of the Canadian System of National Accounts (BOP). Through the years, questions directed at collecting detailed characteristics of travellers for market research and industry planning were gradually incorporated in the survey. Today, the ITS provides a full range of statistics on the volume of international travellers and detailed characteristics of their trips such as expenditures, activities, places visited and length of stay. In addition to fulfilling BOP requirements, the ITS is also being used by the Tourism Satellite Account (TSA), Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA), Destination Canada, provincial tourism agencies, the United States Department of Commerce and a number of private sector industries. The ITS is also used for reporting to international organizations such as the World Tourism Organization (WTO), the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and the Pacific-Asia Tourism Association (PATA).	
Kind of Data	Survey data.
Unit of Analysis	Individual

Scope & Coverage	
Keywords	Accommodation, Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA), International travel, Transportation, Travel and tourism, Trip, Visitors
Time Period(s)	2017-01-01
Countries	Canada
Geographic Coverage Provinces and territories, census metropolitan area (CMA)	
Universe Travellers on entry or re-entry into Canada.	

Producers & Sponsors	
Primary Investigator(s)	Statistics Canada
Other Producer(s)	Statistics Canada

Sampling

Sampling Procedure

For estimation purposes, the responses obtained through the questionnaire surveys must be treated as a simple random sample from the total traffic in each stratum (port or group of ports, by type of traffic, by quarter). The data are subject to some degree of "distribution bias" due to the fact that not all categories of travellers are represented in the distribution, or to a "non-response bias" due to the fact that the individuals replying may not be representative of the travelling population. Weighting techniques used in the estimation process attempt to reduce the effect of biases. Responses are disaggregated by known characteristics into homogeneous groups. Using the calculated weights, estimates can be obtained for a variety of trip and traveller characteristics. These estimates take the form of totals, averages and percentages, and can be obtained at different geographical levels. Using the calculated weights, estimates can be obtained for a variety of trip and traveller characteristics. These estimates take the form of totals, averages and percentages, and can be obtained at different geographical levels.

Weighting

Records from United States residents are assigned weights based on post-stratification into particular port factor groups (PFGs). These PFGs are combinations of durations of trip, modes of entry to Canada and ports of entry that partition the United States travelling population. Due to low volumes of traffic, many ports are combined into one PFG; however, a PFG is always defined within a province. Records are weighted so that the weighted estimate of the number of travellers derived from the sample matches the frontier count for each PFG.

Data Collection

Time Period(s)	start 2017-01-01 end 2017-12-31
Data Collection Mode	The ITS consists of two main components: the frontier counts and the questionnaires. Both these methods depend greatly on the co-operation of CBSA in the collection of the number of border crossings and the distribution of questionnaires. The survey is used to secure quarterly information on the characteristics of international travellers and trips. These details include purpose of trip, size of travelling party, places visited, activities participated in during the trip, length of trip and trip spending. This component of the survey is used to update the Canadian Balance of International Payments. In addition, the federal and provincial governments, the tourism industry, businesses and the general public use quarterly and annual estimates of international trip and traveller characteristics. The questionnaires are obtained via the mail-back and electronic questionnaires survey and the air-exit survey of overseas and US travellers.

Questionnaires

Mail-back and electronic questionnaires

Air Exit Survey of Visitors to Canada

2017 Pilot Tests Special Key Points Data Collection

In the fall of 2017, Data Collection Pilot Tests involving questionnaires were conducted to investigate whether the use of specific tourist sites and their employees could be an effective way of increasing the quantity and quality of international travel data.

Data Processing & Appraisal

Estimates of Sampling Error

The ITS is based on data gathered from only a part (sample) of the population. It is important to mention that results are only estimations of true values. These results are, therefore, only estimates of the true values for the travelling population, values which could only be obtained through a census. Since differences always exist between sample and census data, statistical measures have been developed which indicate the expected size of the differences. Such measures allow analysts to assess the reliability of statistics produced from sample surveys. The expected difference between the sample estimate and the corresponding census value is known as the sampling variability. The coefficient of variation (CV) is the standard error of an estimate expressed as a percentage of the estimate. As a rule, the larger of two estimates will have a smaller CV, and therefore will be more reliable. Also, of two estimates of the same size, the one referring to a characteristic more evenly distributed throughout the population will tend to have a smaller CV. Because of the complex nature of the ITS design and

processing, simple variance calculations using standard methods tend to under-estimate the variance. For the ITS, Statistics Canada uses the bootstrap method of variance estimation. Under this method, the sampling units are sub-sampled and re-weighted many times over (the ITS currently uses 200 bootstrap sub-samples). From these sub-samples an estimated variance is obtained to derive the CV.

Accessibility	
Access Authority	Data Liberation Initiative (DLI) (Statistics Canada) , https://www.statcan.gc.ca/eng/dli/dli
Contact(s)	Data Liberation Initiative (DLI) (Statistics Canada) , https://www.statcan.gc.ca/eng/dli/dli
Distributor(s)	Data Liberation Initiative
Depositor(s)	
<u>Access Conditions</u> DLI License	
<u>Citation Requirements</u> Under the new Licence agreement, all standard data products in the DLI collection are subject to Statistics Canada's Open Data Licence. The DLI members are now allowed to use the standard data products for non-profit, academic research and instruction. Also under the licence agreement, institutional members of the DLI have access to the entire PUMFs collection, as outlined in the Appendix 1 of the DLI Licence. They can use the PUMFs for statistical and research purposes but they cannot share the data files with non DLI members.	

Rights & Disclaimer	
Copyright	Copyright (c) Statistics Canada

Files Description

Dataset contains 1 file(s)

ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy	
# Cases	21249
# Variable(s)	265

Variables Group(s)

Dataset contains 32 group(s)

Group Administration							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VPUMFID	Unique Record Number	continuous	numeric-6.0	21249	0	-
2	VERDATE	Date of File Creation	discrete	character-9	21249	0	-

Group Geography							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VSGRCODE	Standard geographic code	discrete	numeric-3.0	8591	12658	-
2	VUSREGC8	U.S. regions	discrete	numeric-2.0	12658	8591	-

Group Indicators							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VOWNACC	Utilized own accommodations	discrete	numeric-1.0	16502	4747	Does anyone in your travelling party own any of the accommodations used on this trip?
2	VPFGRNO	Port factor group	discrete	numeric-3.0	12658	8591	-
3	VNONTOUR	Flag for the non-tourism reasons.	discrete	numeric-1.0	21249	0	-

Group Activity on trip							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VACT01	Activity on the trip: Visit friends or family	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
2	VACT02	Activity on the trip: Shop	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
3	VACT03	Activity on the trip: Sightsee	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
4	VACT04	Activity on the trip: Visit a museum or art gallery	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
5	VACT05	Activity on the trip: Visit a historic site	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
6	VACT06	Activity on the trip: Visit a zoo or aquarium	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
7	VACT07	Activity on the trip: Sports event - spectator	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
8	VACT08	Activity on the trip: Attend a festival or fair	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
9	VACT09	Activity on the trip: Attend a performance	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
10	VACT10	Activity on the trip: Go to a casino	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	-
11	VACT11	Activity on the trip: Visit a theme or amusement park	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
12	VACT12	Activity on the trip: Attend an aboriginal event	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
13	VACT13	Activity on the trip: Go to the movies	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
14	VACT14	Activity on the trip: Go to a restaurant, bar or club	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
15	VACT15	Activity on the trip: Go wildlife viewing or bird	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
16	VACT16	Activity on the trip: National or provincial nature park	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
17	VACT17	Activity on the trip: Medical or other health treatment	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
18	VACT18	Activity on the trip: Business meeting, conference or seminar	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
19	VACT19	Activity on the trip: Play individual or team sports	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
20	VACT20	Activity on the trip: Use all terrain vehicle	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
21	VACT21	Activity on the trip: Boating	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
22	VACT22	Activity on the trip: Canoeing or kayaking	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
23	VACT23	Activity on the trip: Camping	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
24	VACT24	Activity on the trip: Hiking or backpacking	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
25	VACT25	Activity on the trip: Fishing	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
26	VACT26	Activity on the trip: Go to a beach	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
27	VACT27	Activity on the trip: Hunting	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
28	VACT28	Activity on the trip: Golfing	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
29	VACT29	Activity on the trip: Cycling	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
30	VACT30	Activity on the trip: Snowmobiling	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
31	VACT31	Activity on the trip: Downhill skiing or snowboarding	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
32	VACT32	Activity on the trip: Cross-country skiing or snowshoeing	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?
33	VACT33	Activity on the trip: Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	17041	4208	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?

Group Fares

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VPUMFID	Unique Record Number	continuous	numeric-6.0	21249	0	-
2	VCDNFARE	Total fares spent on Canadian carriers	continuous	numeric-7.0	15157	6092	-
3	VUSFARE	Total fares spent on American carriers	continuous	numeric-7.0	15157	6092	-
4	VOTHFARE	Total fares spent on overseas carriers	continuous	numeric-7.0	15157	6092	-
5	VFARES	Total fares paid	continuous	numeric-7.0	15157	6092	-

Group Main reason for trip

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VRSNP	Trip reason	discrete	numeric-1.0	21249	0	-
2	VRSN6C	Trip Reason	discrete	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-

Group Number of person-nights/visits

Subgroup(s)		Nights in province , Number of person-nights , Number of person-nights in province , Number of persons visiting province , Number of person-visits					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VTOTDAYS	Total days in Canada	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
2	VNIGHTSC	Nights in Canada	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
3	VNIGHTS	Total number of nights in on trip.	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-

Group Number of travellers							
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VNMALES	Number of male travellers on the questionnaire	discrete	numeric-2.0	21135	114	-
2	VNFEMALS	Number of female travellers on the questionnaire	discrete	numeric-2.0	21135	114	-
3	VN0TO17	Number of travellers on the quest. with ages between 0 to 17 years old	continuous	numeric-2.0	20873	376	-
4	VN18TO24	Number of travellers on the quest. with ages between 18 to 24 years old	continuous	numeric-2.0	20873	376	-
5	VN25TO34	Number of travellers on the quest. with ages between 25 to 34 years old	continuous	numeric-2.0	20873	376	-
6	VN35TO44	Number of travellers on the quest. with ages between 35 to 44 years old	continuous	numeric-2.0	20873	376	-
7	VN45TO54	Number of travellers on the quest. with ages between 45 to 54 years old	continuous	numeric-2.0	20873	376	-
8	VN55TO64	Number of travellers on the quest. with ages between 55 to 64 years old	continuous	numeric-2.0	20873	376	-
9	VN65	Number of travellers on the quest. with ages over 65 years old	continuous	numeric-2.0	20873	376	-

Group Places visited/trip							
Subgroup(s)		1st place visited , 2nd place visited , 3rd place visited , 4th place visited , 5th place visited , 6th place visited , 7th place visited , 8th place visited , 9th place visited , 10th place visited					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VQUARTER	Quarter of entry	discrete	numeric-1.0	21249	0	-

Group Routes and mode of transportation							
Subgroup(s)		Transportation used in Canada					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VPRVENTP	Canadian province of entry	discrete	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
2	VMODENTP	Transportation used to enter Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	21249	0	-
3	VRTEN	Route of entry into Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	21249	0	When entering Canada, did you travel...
4	VRTEX	Route of exit from Canada	discrete	numeric-1.0	21249	0	When leaving Canada, did you travel...
5	VCFARE1	Class of fare - First class	discrete	numeric-1.0	12868	8381	What type of fare was used?
6	VCFARE2	Class of fare - Business class	discrete	numeric-1.0	12868	8381	What type of fare was used?
7	VCFARE3	Class of fare - Economy class	discrete	numeric-1.0	12868	8381	What type of fare was used?
8	VCFARE4	Class of fare - Charter	discrete	numeric-1.0	12868	8381	What type of fare was used?
9	VCFARE5	Class of fare - Travel reward program	discrete	numeric-1.0	12868	8381	What type of fare was used?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
10	VPRVEXTP	Canadian province of exit	discrete	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-

Group Travelling party

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VTPSZ	Travel party size	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	Including yourself, how many people (who travelled together) can you report spending for on this trip?

Group Total spending/global spending

Subgroup(s)		Global spending per visit					
#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VGLTRASP	Global spending: Transportation	continuous	numeric-7.0	16636	4613	-
2	VGLACCSP	Global spending: Accommodation	continuous	numeric-7.0	16636	4613	-
3	VGLFOOSP	Global spending: Food and beverages	continuous	numeric-7.0	16636	4613	-
4	VGLRECSP	Global spending: Recreation and entertainment	continuous	numeric-7.0	16636	4613	-
5	VGLOTHSP	Global spending: Other spending	continuous	numeric-7.0	16636	4613	-
6	VGLCLOSP	Global spending: Clothing and gifts	continuous	numeric-7.0	16636	4613	-
7	VGLTOTSP	Global total spending	continuous	numeric-7.0	21249	0	-

Group Total spending/trip program

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VTRIPRG1	Trip program - Frequent flyer points	discrete	numeric-1.0	12757	8492	Was any part of your trip paid for by:
2	VTRIPRG2	Trip program - Travel incentive program	discrete	numeric-1.0	12757	8492	Was any part of your trip paid for by:
3	VTRIPRG3	Trip program - Not applicable	discrete	numeric-1.0	12757	8492	Was any part of your trip paid for by:

Group Visit to Canada

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VVISIT	First Canadian visit?	discrete	numeric-1.0	13173	8076	Was this your first visit to Canada?

Group Weight

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VWEIGHTP	-	continuous	numeric-12.7	21249	0	-

Group Nights in province

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
---	------	-------	------	--------	-------	---------	----------

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VNIGHTNF	Nights in Newfoundland	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
2	VNIGHTPE	Nights in Prince Edward Island	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
3	VNIGHTNS	Nights in Nova Scotia	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
4	VNIGHTNB	Nights in New Brunswick	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
5	VNIGHTPQ	Nights in Quebec	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
6	VNIGHTON	Nights in Ontario	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
7	VNIGHTMA	Nights in Manitoba	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
8	VNIGHTSA	Nights in Saskatchewan	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
9	VNIGHTAT	Nights in Alberta	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
10	VNIGHTBC	Nights in British Columbia	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
11	VNIGHTYU	Nights in Yukon	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
12	VNIGHTNW	Nights in Northwest Territories	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
13	VNIGHTNU	Nights in Nunavut	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
14	VTPROVNT	Total nights in provinces	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-

Group Number of person-nights

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VTPRSNP	Total "person-nights"	continuous	numeric-6.0	21249	0	-
2	VPRSN01P	Number of "person-nights" - 1st place visited	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
3	VPRSN02P	Number of "person-nights" - 2nd place visited	continuous	numeric-5.0	7114	14135	-
4	VPRSN03P	Number of "person-nights" - 3rd place visited	continuous	numeric-5.0	3710	17539	-
5	VPRSN04P	Number of "person-nights" - 4th place visited	continuous	numeric-5.0	2208	19041	-
6	VPRSN05P	Number of "person-nights" - 5th place visited	continuous	numeric-5.0	1367	19882	-
7	VPRSN06P	Number of "person-nights" - 6th place visited	continuous	numeric-5.0	844	20405	-
8	VPRSN07P	Number of "person-nights" - 7th place visited	continuous	numeric-5.0	541	20708	-
9	VPRSN08P	Number of "person-nights" - 8th place visited	continuous	numeric-5.0	377	20872	-
10	VPRSN09P	Number of "person-nights" - 9th place visited	continuous	numeric-5.0	266	20983	-
11	VPRSN10P	Number of "person-nights" - 10th place visited	continuous	numeric-5.0	172	21077	-

Group Number of person-nights in province

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VPRSNATP	Number of "person-nights" in Alberta	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	VPRSNBCP	Number of "person-nights" in British Columbia	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
3	VPRSNMAP	Number of "person-nights" in Manitoba	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
4	VPRSNNBP	Number of "person-nights" in New Brunswick	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
5	VPRSNNFP	Number of "person-nights" in Newfoundland	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
6	VPRSNNSP	Number of "person-nights" in Nova Scotia	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
7	VPRSNNUP	Number of "person-nights" in Nunavut	discrete	numeric-1.0	21249	0	-
8	VPRSNNWP	Number of "person-nights" in Northwest Territories	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
9	VPRSNONP	Number of "person-nights" in Ontario	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
10	VPRSNPEP	Number of "person-nights" in Prince Edward Island	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
11	VPRSNPQP	Number of "person-nights" in Quebec	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
12	VPRSNSAP	Number of "person-nights" in Saskatchewan	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
13	VPRSNYUP	Number of "person-nights" in Yukon	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-

Group Number of persons visiting province

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VPRPR01P	Number of persons visiting province - Newfoundland and Labrador	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
2	VPRPR02P	Number of persons visiting province - Prince Edward Island	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
3	VPRPR03P	Number of persons visiting province - Nova Scotia	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
4	VPRPR04P	Number of persons visiting province - New Brunswick	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
5	VPRPR05P	Number of persons visiting province - Quebec	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
6	VPRPR06P	Number of persons visiting province - Ontario	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
7	VPRPR07P	Number of persons visiting province - Manitoba	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
8	VPRPR08P	Number of persons visiting province - Saskatchewan	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
9	VPRPR09P	Number of persons visiting province - Alberta	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
10	VPRPR10P	Number of persons visiting province - British Columbia	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
11	VPRPR11P	Number of persons visiting province - Yukon	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
12	VPRPR12P	Number of persons visiting province - Northwest Territories	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
13	VPRPR13P	Number of persons visiting province - Nunavut	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
14	VTPRPRVP	Total number of people visiting provinces	continuous	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-

Group Number of person-visits

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VPRSV01P	Number of "person-visits " - 1st place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
2	VPRSV02P	Number of "person-visits " - 2nd place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	7114	14135	-
3	VPRSV03P	Number of "person-visits " - 3rd place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	3710	17539	-
4	VPRSV04P	Number of "person-visits " - 4th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	2208	19041	-
5	VPRSV05P	Number of "person-visits " - 5th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	1367	19882	-
6	VPRSV06P	Number of "person-visits " - 6th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	844	20405	-
7	VPRSV07P	Number of "person-visits " - 7th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	541	20708	-
8	VPRSV08P	Number of "person-visits " - 8th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	377	20872	-
9	VPRSV09P	Number of "person-visits " - 9th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	266	20983	-
10	VPRSV10P	Number of "person-visits " - 10th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	172	21077	-
11	VTPRSTSP	Total number of "person-visits"	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-

Group 1st place visited

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VSPRCD01	Census provincial code - 1st place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	21249	0	-
2	VSCMA01	CMA /Census Agglomeration code 1st place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	20822	427	-
3	VSTRC01	Tourism region code - 1st place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	21244	5	-
4	VNTSVS01	Number of nights - 1st place visited	continuous	numeric-3.0	21249	0	-
5	VACCV01A	Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Hotel	discrete	numeric-1.0	15869	5380	Where did your travelling party stay?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	VACCV01B	Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Motel	discrete	numeric-1.0	15869	5380	Where did your travelling party stay?
7	VACCV01C	Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Home of friends or relatives	discrete	numeric-1.0	15869	5380	Where did your travelling party stay?
8	VACCV01D	Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Camp or trailer park	discrete	numeric-1.0	15869	5380	Where did your travelling party stay?
9	VACCV01E	Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Cottage or cabin	discrete	numeric-1.0	15869	5380	Where did your travelling party stay?
10	VACCV01G	Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	15869	5380	Where did your travelling party stay?

Group 2nd place visited

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VSPRCD02	Census provincial code - 2nd place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	7114	14135	-
2	VSCMA02	CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 2nd place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	6999	14250	-
3	VSTRC02	Tourism region code - 2nd place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	7114	14135	-
4	VNTSVS02	Number of nights - 2nd place visited	continuous	numeric-3.0	7310	13939	-
5	VACCV02A	Accommodation type - 2nd place visited - Hotel	discrete	numeric-1.0	6384	14865	Where did your travelling party stay?
6	VACCV02B	Accommodation type - 2nd place visited - Motel	discrete	numeric-1.0	6384	14865	Where did your travelling party stay?
7	VACCV02C	Accommodation type - 2nd place visited - Home of friends or relatives	discrete	numeric-1.0	6384	14865	Where did your travelling party stay?
8	VACCV02D	Accommodation type - 2nd place visited - Camp or trailer park	discrete	numeric-1.0	6384	14865	Where did your travelling party stay?
9	VACCV02E	Accommodation type - 2nd place visited - Cottage or cabin	discrete	numeric-1.0	6384	14865	Where did your travelling party stay?
10	VACCV02G	Accommodation type - 2nd place visited - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	6384	14865	Where did your travelling party stay?

Group 3rd place visited

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VSPRCD03	Census provincial code - 3rd place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	3710	17539	-
2	VSCMA03	CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 3rd place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	3648	17601	-
3	VSTRC03	Tourism region code - 3rd place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	3710	17539	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
4	VNTSVS03	Number of nights - 3rd place visited	continuous	numeric-3.0	4026	17223	-
5	VACCV03A	Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Hotel	discrete	numeric-1.0	3346	17903	Where did your travelling party stay?
6	VACCV03B	Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Motel	discrete	numeric-1.0	3346	17903	Where did your travelling party stay?
7	VACCV03C	Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Home of friends or relatives	discrete	numeric-1.0	3346	17903	Where did your travelling party stay?
8	VACCV03D	Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Camp or trailer park	discrete	numeric-1.0	3346	17903	Where did your travelling party stay?
9	VACCV03E	Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Cottage or cabin	discrete	numeric-1.0	3346	17903	Where did your travelling party stay?
10	VACCV03G	Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	3346	17903	Where did your travelling party stay?

Group 4th place visited

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VSPRCD04	Census provincial code - 4th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	2208	19041	-
2	VSCMA04	CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 4th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	2170	19079	-
3	VSTRC04	Tourism region code - 4th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	2208	19041	-
4	VNTSVS04	Number of nights - 4th place visited	continuous	numeric-3.0	2571	18678	-
5	VACCV04A	Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Hotel	discrete	numeric-1.0	2058	19191	Where did your travelling party stay?
6	VACCV04B	Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Motel	discrete	numeric-1.0	2058	19191	Where did your travelling party stay?
7	VACCV04C	Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Home of friends or relatives	discrete	numeric-1.0	2058	19191	Where did your travelling party stay?
8	VACCV04D	Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Camp or trailer park	discrete	numeric-1.0	2058	19191	Where did your travelling party stay?
9	VACCV04E	Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Cottage or cabin	discrete	numeric-1.0	2058	19191	Where did your travelling party stay?
10	VACCV04G	Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	2058	19191	Where did your travelling party stay?

Group 5th place visited

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VSPRCD05	Census provincial code - 5th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	1367	19882	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
2	VSCMA05	CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 5th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	1329	19920	-
3	VSTRC05	Tourism region code - 5th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	1367	19882	-
4	VNTSVS05	Number of nights - 5th place visited	continuous	numeric-3.0	1787	19462	-
5	VACCV05A	Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Hotel	discrete	numeric-1.0	1298	19951	Where did your travelling party stay?
6	VACCV05B	Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Motel	discrete	numeric-1.0	1298	19951	Where did your travelling party stay?
7	VACCV05C	Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Home of friends or relatives	discrete	numeric-1.0	1298	19951	Where did your travelling party stay?
8	VACCV05D	Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Camp or trailer park	discrete	numeric-1.0	1298	19951	Where did your travelling party stay?
9	VACCV05E	Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Cottage or cabin	discrete	numeric-1.0	1298	19951	Where did your travelling party stay?
10	VACCV05G	Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	1298	19951	Where did your travelling party stay?

Group 6th place visited

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VSPRCD06	Census provincial code - 6th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	844	20405	-
2	VSCMA06	CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 6th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	832	20417	-
3	VSTRC06	Tourism region code - 6th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	844	20405	-
4	VNTSVS06	Number of nights - 6th place visited	continuous	numeric-3.0	1302	19947	-
5	VACCV06A	Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Hotel	discrete	numeric-1.0	810	20439	Where did your travelling party stay?
6	VACCV06B	Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Motel	discrete	numeric-1.0	810	20439	Where did your travelling party stay?
7	VACCV06C	Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Home of friends or relatives	discrete	numeric-1.0	810	20439	Where did your travelling party stay?
8	VACCV06D	Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Camp or trailer park	discrete	numeric-1.0	810	20439	Where did your travelling party stay?
9	VACCV06E	Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Cottage or cabin	discrete	numeric-1.0	810	20439	Where did your travelling party stay?
10	VACCV06G	Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	810	20439	Where did your travelling party stay?

Group 7th place visited

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VSPRCD07	Census provincial code - 7th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	541	20708	-
2	VSCMA07	CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 7th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	533	20716	-
3	VSTRC07	Tourism region code - 7th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	541	20708	-
4	VNTSVS07	Number of nights - 7th place visited	continuous	numeric-3.0	1018	20231	-
5	VACCV07A	Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Hotel	discrete	numeric-1.0	517	20732	Where did your travelling party stay?
6	VACCV07B	Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Motel	discrete	numeric-1.0	517	20732	Where did your travelling party stay?
7	VACCV07C	Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Home of friends or relatives	discrete	numeric-1.0	517	20732	Where did your travelling party stay?
8	VACCV07D	Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Camp or trailer park	discrete	numeric-1.0	517	20732	Where did your travelling party stay?
9	VACCV07E	Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Cottage or cabin	discrete	numeric-1.0	517	20732	Where did your travelling party stay?
10	VACCV07G	Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	517	20732	Where did your travelling party stay?

Group 8th place visited

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VSPRCD08	Census provincial code - 8th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	377	20872	-
2	VSCMA08	CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 8th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	373	20876	-
3	VSTRC08	Tourism region code - 8th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	377	20872	-
4	VNTSVS08	Number of nights - 8th place visited	continuous	numeric-3.0	862	20387	-
5	VACCV08A	Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Hotel	discrete	numeric-1.0	363	20886	Where did your travelling party stay?
6	VACCV08B	Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Motel	discrete	numeric-1.0	363	20886	Where did your travelling party stay?
7	VACCV08C	Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Home of friends or relatives	discrete	numeric-1.0	363	20886	Where did your travelling party stay?
8	VACCV08D	Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Camp or trailer park	discrete	numeric-1.0	363	20886	Where did your travelling party stay?
9	VACCV08E	Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Cottage or cabin	discrete	numeric-1.0	363	20886	Where did your travelling party stay?
10	VACCV08G	Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	363	20886	Where did your travelling party stay?

Group 9th place visited

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VSPRCD09	Census provincial code - 9th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	266	20983	-
2	VSCMA09	CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 9th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	261	20988	-
3	VSTRC09	Tourism region code - 9th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	266	20983	-
4	VNTSVS09	Number of nights - 9th place visited	continuous	numeric-3.0	753	20496	-
5	VACCV09A	Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Hotel	discrete	numeric-1.0	264	20985	Where did your travelling party stay?
6	VACCV09B	Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Motel	discrete	numeric-1.0	264	20985	Where did your travelling party stay?
7	VACCV09C	Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Home of friends or relatives	discrete	numeric-1.0	264	20985	Where did your travelling party stay?
8	VACCV09D	Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Camp or trailer park	discrete	numeric-1.0	264	20985	Where did your travelling party stay?
9	VACCV09E	Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Cottage or cabin	discrete	numeric-1.0	264	20985	Where did your travelling party stay?
10	VACCV09G	Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	264	20985	Where did your travelling party stay?

Group 10th place visited

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VSPRCD10	Census provincial code - 10th place visited	discrete	numeric-2.0	172	21077	-
2	VSCMA10	CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 10th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	170	21079	-
3	VSTRC10	Tourism region code - 10th place visited	discrete	numeric-4.0	172	21077	-
4	VNTSVS10	Number of nights - 10th place visited	continuous	numeric-3.0	659	20590	-
5	VACCV10A	Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Hotel	discrete	numeric-1.0	170	21079	Where did your travelling party stay?
6	VACCV10B	Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Motel	discrete	numeric-1.0	170	21079	Where did your travelling party stay?
7	VACCV10C	Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Home of friends or relatives	discrete	numeric-1.0	170	21079	Where did your travelling party stay?
8	VACCV10D	Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Camp or trailer park	discrete	numeric-1.0	170	21079	Where did your travelling party stay?
9	VACCV10E	Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Cottage or cabin	discrete	numeric-1.0	170	21079	Where did your travelling party stay?

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
10	VACCV10G	Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	170	21079	Where did your travelling party stay?

Group Transportation used in Canada

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VTRNIN01	Transportation used in Canada - Commercial plane	discrete	numeric-1.0	16871	4378	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
2	VTRNIN02	Transportation used in Canada - Rented automobile	discrete	numeric-1.0	16871	4378	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
3	VTRNIN03	Transportation used in Canada - Bus	discrete	numeric-1.0	16871	4378	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
4	VTRNIN04	Transportation used in Canada - Cruise ship	discrete	numeric-1.0	16871	4378	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
5	VTRNIN05	Transportation used in Canada - Ferry	discrete	numeric-1.0	16871	4378	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
6	VTRNIN06	Transportation used in Canada - Private plane	discrete	numeric-1.0	16871	4378	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
7	VTRNIN07	Transportation used in Canada - Private automobile	discrete	numeric-1.0	16871	4378	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
8	VTRNIN08	Transportation used in Canada - Train	discrete	numeric-1.0	16871	4378	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
9	VTRNIN09	Transportation used in Canada - Private boat	discrete	numeric-1.0	16871	4378	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
10	VTRNIN10	Transportation used in Canada - Other	discrete	numeric-1.0	16871	4378	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?

Group Global spending per visit

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
1	VGLSPV01	Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 01	continuous	numeric-7.0	21249	0	-
2	VGLSPV02	Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 02	continuous	numeric-7.0	7114	14135	-
3	VGLSPV03	Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 03	continuous	numeric-7.0	3710	17539	-
4	VGLSPV04	Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 04	continuous	numeric-7.0	2208	19041	-
5	VGLSPV05	Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 05	continuous	numeric-7.0	1367	19882	-

#	Name	Label	Type	Format	Valid	Invalid	Question
6	VGLSPV06	Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 06	continuous	numeric-7.0	844	20405	-
7	VGLSPV07	Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 07	continuous	numeric-7.0	541	20708	-
8	VGLSPV08	Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 08	continuous	numeric-7.0	377	20872	-
9	VGLSPV09	Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 09	continuous	numeric-7.0	266	20983	-
10	VGLSPV10	Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 10	continuous	numeric-7.0	172	21077	-

Variables Description

Dataset contains 265 variable(s)

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VPUMFID: Unique Record Number

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 100000-121342] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=110672.858 /-] [StdDev=6161.267 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

VQUARTER: Quarter of entry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Quarter 1	3664	17.2%
2	Quarter 2	6958	32.7%
3	Quarter 3	6453	30.4%
4	Quarter 4	4174	19.6%
6	Valid skip	0	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VPRVENTP: Canadian province of entry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-62] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	55	0.3%
11	Prince Edward Island	26	0.1%
12	Nova Scotia	578	2.7%
13	New Brunswick	504	2.4%
24	Quebec	2830	13.3%
35	Ontario	10115	47.6%
46	Manitoba	288	1.4%
47	Saskatchewan	151	0.7%
48	Alberta	1227	5.8%
59	British Columbia	5302	25.0%
60	Yukon	173	0.8%
61	Northwest Territories	0	
62	Nunavut	0	
96	Valid skip	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VTPSIZE: Travel party size

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VTPSIZE: Travel party size

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.653 /-] [StdDev=0.955 /-]
Universe	All respondents.
Literal question	Including yourself, how many people (who travelled together) can you report spending for on this trip?

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VRSNP: Trip reason

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-4] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Personal reason- Pleasure	6947	32.7%
2	To visit friends or relatives	6139	28.9%
3	Personal reason- Other	3731	17.6%
4	Business reason	4432	20.9%
6	Valid skip	0	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VRSN6C: Trip Reason

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-6] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Holidays, leisure or recreation	6249	29.4%
2	To visit friends or relatives	6139	28.9%
3	Other personal - pleasure	698	3.3%
4	Personal reason - other	3731	17.6%
5	Attend a conference, convention or trade show	708	3.3%
6	Other business reason	3724	17.5%
96	Valid skip	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VMODENTP: Transportation used to enter Canada

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VMODENTP: Transportation used to enter Canada

Universe Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Air - Entry by air	11795	55.5%
2	Land - Entry by automobile	7831	36.9%
3	Other - Other modes	1623	7.6%
6	Valid skip	0	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VRTEN: Route of entry into Canada

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Universe Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.

Literal question When entering Canada, did you travel...

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	From the United States only	12658	59.6%
2	Directly from another country other than the United States	7051	33.2%
3	From another country via the United States	1540	7.2%
6	Valid skip	0	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VRTEX: Route of exit from Canada

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-3] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]

Universe Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.

Literal question When leaving Canada, did you travel...

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	To the United States only	12658	59.6%
2	Directly to another country other than the United States	8171	38.5%
3	To another country via the United States	420	2.0%
6	Valid skip	0	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VCFARE1: Class of fare - First class

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=12868 /-] [Invalid=8381 /-]

Universe Respondents who used commercial carriers to enter and/or exit Canada.

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VCFARE1: Class of fare - First class

Literal question What type of fare was used?

Notes Option 1: First class

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	164	1.3%
2	No	12704	98.7%
6	Valid skip	8128	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	253	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VCFARE2: Class of fare - Business class

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=12868 /-] [Invalid=8381 /-]

Universe Respondents who used commercial carriers to enter and/or exit Canada.

Literal question What type of fare was used?

Notes Option 2: Business Class

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	482	3.7%
2	No	12386	96.3%
6	Valid skip	8128	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	253	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VCFARE3: Class of fare - Economy class

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=12868 /-] [Invalid=8381 /-]

Universe Respondents who used commercial carriers to enter and/or exit Canada.

Literal question What type of fare was used?

Notes Option 3: Economy Class

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12146	94.4%
2	No	722	5.6%
6	Valid skip	8128	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	253	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VCFARE4: Class of fare - Charter

Information [Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]

Statistics [NW/ W] [Valid=12868 /-] [Invalid=8381 /-]

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VCFARE4: Class of fare - Charter

Universe	Respondents who used commercial carriers to enter and/or exit Canada.
Literal question	What type of fare was used?
Notes	Option 4: Charter

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	246	1.9%
2	No	12622	98.1%
6	Valid skip	8128	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	253	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VCFARE5: Class of fare - Travel reward program

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12868 /-] [Invalid=8381 /-]
Universe	Respondents who used commercial carriers to enter and/or exit Canada.
Literal question	What type of fare was used?
Notes	Option 5: Travel Reward Program

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	314	2.4%
2	No	12554	97.6%
6	Valid skip	8128	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	253	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VTRNIN01: Transportation used in Canada - Commercial plane

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16871 /-] [Invalid=4378 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
Notes	Option 1: Commercial plane

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2700	16.0%
2	No	14171	84.0%
6	Valid skip	2387	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	1991	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VTRNIN02: Transportation used in Canada - Rented automobile

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
--------------------	--

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VTRNIN02: Transportation used in Canada - Rented automobile

Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16871 /-] [Invalid=4378 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
Notes	Option 2: Rented Automobile

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3354	 19.9%
2	No	13517	 80.1%
6	Valid skip	2387	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	1991	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VTRNIN03: Transportation used in Canada - Bus



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16871 /-] [Invalid=4378 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
Notes	Option 3: Bus

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2861	 17.0%
2	No	14010	 83.0%
6	Valid skip	2387	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	1991	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VTRNIN04: Transportation used in Canada - Cruise ship

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16871 /-] [Invalid=4378 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
Notes	Option 4: Cruise ship

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	555	 3.3%
2	No	16316	 96.7%
6	Valid skip	2387	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	1991	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VTRNIN05: Transportation used in Canada - Ferry

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16871 /-] [Invalid=4378 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
Notes	Option 5: Ferry

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	801	4.7%
2	No	16070	95.3%
6	Valid skip	2387	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	1991	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VTRNIN06: Transportation used in Canada - Private plane

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16871 /-] [Invalid=4378 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
Notes	Option 6: Private Plane

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	411	2.4%
2	No	16460	97.6%
6	Valid skip	2387	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	1991	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VTRNIN07: Transportation used in Canada - Private automobile

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16871 /-] [Invalid=4378 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
Notes	Option 7: Private Automobile



Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	8280	49.1%
2	No	8591	50.9%
6	Valid skip	2387	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	1991	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VTRNIN08: Transportation used in Canada - Train



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16871 /-] [Invalid=4378 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
Notes	Option 8: Train

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	942	 5.6%
2	No	15929	 94.4%
6	Valid skip	2387	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	1991	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VTRNIN09: Transportation used in Canada - Private boat



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16871 /-] [Invalid=4378 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
Notes	Option 9: Private Boat

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	419	 2.5%
2	No	16452	 97.5%
6	Valid skip	2387	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	1991	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VTRNIN10: Transportation used in Canada - Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16871 /-] [Invalid=4378 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	For this trip, what means of transportation did your travelling party use while in Canada?
Notes	Option 10: Other

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5701	 33.8%
2	No	11170	 66.2%
6	Valid skip	2387	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	1991	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSPRCD01: Census provincial code - 1st place visited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-62] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	111	0.5%
11	Prince Edward Island	279	1.3%
12	Nova Scotia	648	3.0%
13	New Brunswick	515	2.4%
24	Quebec	2905	13.7%
35	Ontario	9426	44.4%
46	Manitoba	361	1.7%
47	Saskatchewan	221	1.0%
48	Alberta	1368	6.4%
59	British Columbia	5194	24.4%
60	Yukon	194	0.9%
61	Northwest Territories	27	0.1%
62	Nunavut	0	
96	Valid skip	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VSCMA01: CMA /Census Agglomeration code 1st place visited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20822 /-] [Invalid=427 /-]
Universe	All respondents.
Notes	Census Metropolitan Area (CMA)

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Territories (outside census agglomerations, Canada)	98	0.5%
1	St. John's	69	0.3%
5	Bay Roberts	0	
10	Grand Falls - Windsor	3	0.0%
15	Corner Brook	1	0.0%
105	Charlottetown	229	1.1%
110	Summerside	1	0.0%
205	Halifax	417	2.0%
210	Kentville	1	0.0%
215	Truro	15	0.1%
220	New Glasgow	5	0.0%
225	Cape Breton	31	0.1%
305	Moncton	54	0.3%
310	Saint John	72	0.3%
320	Fredericton	30	0.1%

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSCMA01: CMA /Census Agglomeration code 1st place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
328	Bathurst	3	0.0%
329	Miramichi	2	0.0%
330	Cambellton	2	0.0%
335	Edmundston	73	0.4%
403	Matane	0	
404	Rimouski	2	0.0%
405	Rivi�re-du-Loup	3	0.0%
406	Baie-Comeau	0	
408	Saguenay	10	0.0%
410	Alma	0	
411	Dolbeau-Mistassini	0	
412	Sept-�les	2	0.0%
421	Qu�bec	269	1.3%
428	Saint-Georges	2	0.0%
430	Thetford Mines	0	
433	Sherbrooke	44	0.2%
437	Cowansville	2	0.0%
440	Victoriaville	4	0.0%
442	Trois-Rivi�res	12	0.1%
444	Shawinigan	2	0.0%
446	La Tuque	0	
447	Drummondville	5	0.0%
450	Granby	15	0.1%
452	Saint-Hyacinthe	2	0.0%
454	Sorel-Tracy	2	0.0%
456	Joliette	6	0.0%
459	Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	9	0.0%
462	Montr�al	2179	10.5%
465	Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	2	0.0%
468	Lachute	0	
480	Val-d'Or	0	
481	Amos	0	
485	Rouyn-Noranda	0	
501	Cornwall	23	0.1%
502	Hawkesbury	0	
505	Ottawa - Gatineau	799	3.8%
512	Brockville	30	0.1%
515	Pembroke	8	0.0%
516	Petawawa	2	0.0%
521	Kingston	102	0.5%
522	Belleville	14	0.1%
527	Cobourg	3	0.0%
528	Port Hope	4	0.0%

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSCMA01: CMA /Census Agglomeration code 1st place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
529	Peterborough	38	0.2%
530	Kawartha Lakes	5	0.0%
531	Centre Wellington	6	0.0%
532	Oshawa	48	0.2%
533	Ingersoll	4	0.0%
535	Toronto	3679	17.7%
537	Hamilton	186	0.9%
539	St. Catharines - Niagara	1507	7.2%
541	Kitchener - Cambridge - Waterloo	160	0.8%
543	Brantford	38	0.2%
544	Woodstock	11	0.1%
546	Tillsonburg	3	0.0%
547	Norfolk	14	0.1%
550	Guelph	46	0.2%
553	Stratford	130	0.6%
555	London	191	0.9%
556	Chatham-Kent	79	0.4%
557	Leamington	45	0.2%
559	Windsor	802	3.9%
562	Sarnia	273	1.3%
566	Owen Sound	5	0.0%
567	Collingwood	11	0.1%
568	Barrie	43	0.2%
569	Orillia	7	0.0%
571	Midland	4	0.0%
575	North Bay	18	0.1%
580	Greater Sudbury	20	0.1%
582	Elliot Lake	0	
584	Temiskaming Shores	0	
586	Timmins	3	0.0%
590	Sault Ste. Marie	89	0.4%
595	Thunder Bay	63	0.3%
598	Kenora	12	0.1%
602	Winnipeg	234	1.1%
605	Steinbach	0	
607	Portage la Prairie	3	0.0%
610	Brandon	4	0.0%
640	Thompson	1	0.0%
705	Regina	62	0.3%
710	Yorkton	1	0.0%
715	Moose Jaw	16	0.1%
720	Swift Current	3	0.0%
725	Saskatoon	72	0.3%

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSCMA01: CMA /Census Agglomeration code 1st place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
735	North Battleford	3	0.0%
745	Prince Albert	2	0.0%
750	Estevan	19	0.1%
805	Medicine Hat	10	0.0%
806	Brooks	2	0.0%
810	Lethbridge	30	0.1%
820	Okotoks	1	0.0%
821	High River	1	0.0%
825	Calgary	527	2.5%
826	Strathmore	0	
828	Canmore	26	0.1%
830	Red Deer	17	0.1%
831	Sylvan Lake	1	0.0%
832	Lacombe	0	
833	Camrose	3	0.0%
835	Edmonton	329	1.6%
840	Lloydminster	3	0.0%
845	Cold Lake	2	0.0%
850	Grande Prairie	8	0.0%
860	Wood Buffalo	23	0.1%
865	Wetaskiwin	3	0.0%
905	Cranbrook	23	0.1%
913	Penticton	12	0.1%
915	Kelowna	70	0.3%
918	Vernon	7	0.0%
920	Salmon Arm	1	0.0%
925	Kamloops	43	0.2%
930	Chilliwack	19	0.1%
932	Abbotsford - Mission	56	0.3%
933	Vancouver	3589	17.2%
934	Squamish	20	0.1%
935	Victoria	529	2.5%
937	Duncan	13	0.1%
938	Nanaimo	40	0.2%
939	Parksville	3	0.0%
940	Port Alberni	10	0.0%
943	Courtenay	9	0.0%
944	Campbell River	11	0.1%
945	Powell River	3	0.0%
950	Williams Lake	3	0.0%
952	Quesnel	4	0.0%
955	Prince Rupert	5	0.0%
960	Kitimat	0	

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSCMA01: CMA /Census Agglomeration code 1st place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
965	Terrace	9	0.0%
970	Prince George	19	0.1%
975	Dawson Creek	2	0.0%
977	Fort St. John	4	0.0%
990	Whitehorse	70	0.3%
995	Yellowknife	26	0.1%
996	Strong metropolitan influenced zone	298	1.4%
997	Moderate metropolitan influenced zone	1070	5.1%
998	Weak metropolitan influenced zone	1111	5.3%
999	No metropolitan influenced zone	134	0.6%
9996	Valid skip	0	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	427	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VSTRC01: Tourism region code - 1st place visited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21244 /-] [Invalid=5 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Avalon	78	0.4%
5	Eastern	4	0.0%
10	Central	8	0.0%
15	Western	11	0.1%
20	Labrador	7	0.0%
99	Not stated (NFLD)	3	0.0%
101	PEI	279	1.3%
201	Annapolis Valley	0	
202	Yarmouth and Acadian Shores	16	0.1%
205	South Shore	0	
206	South Shore	53	0.2%
210	Halifax-Dartmouth	0	
211	Halifax-Metro	417	2.0%
215	Eastern Shore	6	0.0%
220	Cape Breton	55	0.3%
225	Northumberland Shore	30	0.1%
230	Fundy Shore	0	
232	Fundy Shore and Annapolis Valley	64	0.3%
299	Not stated (NS)	7	0.0%
300	Appalachian Range	18	0.1%
302	Miramichi River	8	0.0%
304	Acadian Coastal Drive	65	0.3%
308	Fundy	184	0.9%

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSTRC01: Tourism region code - 1st place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
318	River Valley	234	1.1%
399	Not stated (NB)	6	0.0%
401	ÃŽles-de-la-Madeleine	1	0.0%
405	GaspÃ©sie	13	0.1%
410	Bas-Saint-Laurent	9	0.0%
415	QuÃ©bec	269	1.3%
420	Charlevoix	8	0.0%
425	ChaudiÃ©re-Appalaches	20	0.1%
430	Centre du QuÃ©bec	12	0.1%
435	Mauricie	14	0.1%
440	Cantons-de-l'est	160	0.8%
445	MontÃ©rÃ©gie	130	0.6%
450	LanaudiÃ©re	25	0.1%
455	Laurentides	62	0.3%
460	MontrÃ©al	2042	9.6%
465	Outaouais	43	0.2%
470	Abiti - TÃ©miscamingue	4	0.0%
475	Saguenay - Lac-Saint-Jean	14	0.1%
480	Manicouagan	2	0.0%
485	Duplessis	2	0.0%
491	Baie James	0	
492	Nunavik	0	
493	Eeyou Istchee	0	
495	Laval	38	0.2%
499	Not stated (Que)	34	0.2%
501	Essex	0	
502	Southwest Ontario	1507	7.1%
505	Southwestern Ontario	0	
506	Niagara Falls & Wine Country	1511	7.1%
510	Niagara region	0	
511	Hamilton, Halton and Brant	312	1.5%
515	South-Central Ontario	0	
516	Huron, Perth, Waterloo and Wellington	363	1.7%
520	Toronto and area	0	
521	Greater Toronto Area	3350	15.8%
525	Central Ontario	0	
526	York, Durham and Hills of Headwaters	251	1.2%
530	St. Lawrence River Corridor	0	
531	BruceGreySimcoe	140	0.7%
535	Ottawa region	0	
536	Kawarthas and Northumberland	74	0.3%
540	Eastern Ontario	0	
541	South Eastern Ontario	283	1.3%

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSTRC01: Tourism region code - 1st place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
545	North-Central	0	
546	Ottawa and Countryside	776	3.7%
550	North-East	0	
551	Haliburton Highlands to the Ottawa Valley	105	0.5%
555	North-West	0	
556	Muskoka, Parry Sound and Algonquin Park	60	0.3%
560	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North East	71	0.3%
565	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North Central	114	0.5%
570	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North West	256	1.2%
599	Not stated (Ont)	252	1.2%
601	Winnipeg	229	1.1%
605	South East	28	0.1%
610	South Central	53	0.2%
615	South West	12	0.1%
620	North Central	6	0.0%
625	Parklands	4	0.0%
630	Interlake	16	0.1%
635	Northern	10	0.0%
699	Not stated (Man)	2	0.0%
701	Southwestern	24	0.1%
705	Southeastern (without Regina)	41	0.2%
710	Regina	54	0.3%
715	West Central (without Saskatoon)	8	0.0%
720	Saskatoon	80	0.4%
725	East Central	10	0.0%
730	Northern	4	0.0%
799	Not stated (SASK)	0	
801	Alberta North	40	0.2%
805	Alberta Central	67	0.3%
810	Edmonton and Area	329	1.5%
815	Canadian Rockies	295	1.4%
820	Calgary and Area	529	2.5%
825	Alberta South	99	0.5%
899	Not stated (ALTA)	9	0.0%
901	Vancouver Islands	666	3.1%
905	Vancouver, Coast & Mountains	3975	18.7%
910	Thompson - Okanagan	190	0.9%
915	Kootenay Rockies	214	1.0%
920	Cariboo Chicoltin Coast	13	0.1%
925	Northern B.C.	59	0.3%
981	Yukon	194	0.9%
991	Northwest Territories	27	0.1%
992	Nunavut	0	

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSTRC01: Tourism region code - 1st place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
993	Territory (Not Stated)	0	
999	Not stated (BC)	77	0.4%
9996	Valid skip	0	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	5	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VNTSVS01: Number of nights - 1st place visited

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-228] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=10.363 /-] [StdDev=26.726 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VACCV01A: Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Hotel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15869 /-] [Invalid=5380 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 1: Hotel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6278	39.6%
2	No	9591	60.4%
6	Valid skip	5380	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VACCV01B: Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Motel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15869 /-] [Invalid=5380 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 2: Motel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	432	2.7%
2	No	15437	97.3%

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VACCV01B: Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Motel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Valid skip	5380	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VACCV01C: Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Home of friends or relatives

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15869 /-] [Invalid=5380 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 3: Home of friends or relatives

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	6094	38.4%
2	No	9775	61.6%
6	Valid skip	5380	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VACCV01D: Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Camp or trailer park

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15869 /-] [Invalid=5380 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 4: Camp or trailer park

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	199	1.3%
2	No	15670	98.7%
6	Valid skip	5380	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VACCV01E: Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Cottage or cabin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15869 /-] [Invalid=5380 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 5: Cottage or cabin

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VACCV01E: Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Cottage or cabin

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	239	1.5%
2	No	15630	98.5%
6	Valid skip	5380	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VACCV01G: Accommodation type - 1st place visited - Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15869 /-] [Invalid=5380 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 7: Other

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2120	13.4%
2	No	13749	86.6%
6	Valid skip	5380	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VSPRCD02: Census provincial code - 2nd place visited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-62] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7114 /-] [Invalid=14135 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	54	0.8%
11	Prince Edward Island	95	1.3%
12	Nova Scotia	272	3.8%
13	New Brunswick	128	1.8%
24	Quebec	1053	14.8%
35	Ontario	2695	37.9%
46	Manitoba	82	1.2%
47	Saskatchewan	82	1.2%
48	Alberta	895	12.6%
59	British Columbia	1659	23.3%
60	Yukon	80	1.1%
61	Northwest Territories	19	0.3%
62	Nunavut	0	
96	Valid skip	14135	
97	Don't know	0	

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSPRCD02: Census provincial code - 2nd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VSCMA02: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 2nd place visited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6999 /-] [Invalid=14250 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Territories (outside census agglomerations, Canada)	43	0.6%
1	St. John's	22	0.3%
5	Bay Roberts	0	
10	Grand Falls - Windsor	0	
15	Corner Brook	4	0.1%
105	Charlottetown	70	1.0%
110	Summerside	2	0.0%
205	Halifax	88	1.3%
210	Kentville	1	0.0%
215	Truro	10	0.1%
220	New Glasgow	3	0.0%
225	Cape Breton	32	0.5%
305	Moncton	20	0.3%
310	Saint John	17	0.2%
320	Fredericton	7	0.1%
328	Bathurst	2	0.0%
329	Miramichi	1	0.0%
330	Cambellton	1	0.0%
335	Edmundston	2	0.0%
403	Matane	1	0.0%
404	Rimouski	1	0.0%
405	Rivi�re-du-Loup	1	0.0%
406	Baie-Comeau	1	0.0%
408	Saguenay	8	0.1%
410	Alma	0	
411	Dolbeau-Mistassini	0	
412	Sept-�res	0	
421	Qu�bec	316	4.5%
428	Saint-Georges	0	
430	Thetford Mines	0	
433	Sherbrooke	11	0.2%
437	Cowansville	1	0.0%
440	Victoriaville	1	0.0%
442	Trois-Rivi�res	22	0.3%
444	Shawinigan	8	0.1%

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSCMA02: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 2nd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
446	La Tuque	0	
447	Drummondville	1	0.0%
450	Granby	3	0.0%
452	Saint-Hyacinthe	1	0.0%
454	Sorel-Tracy	1	0.0%
456	Joliette	2	0.0%
459	Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	7	0.1%
462	Montréal	424	6.1%
465	Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	1	0.0%
468	Lachute	1	0.0%
480	Val-d'Or	1	0.0%
481	Amos	5	0.1%
485	Rouyn-Noranda	0	
501	Cornwall	5	0.1%
502	Hawkesbury	1	0.0%
505	Ottawa - Gatineau	340	4.9%
512	Brockville	6	0.1%
515	Pembroke	1	0.0%
516	Petawawa	2	0.0%
521	Kingston	59	0.8%
522	Belleville	6	0.1%
527	Cobourg	1	0.0%
528	Port Hope	3	0.0%
529	Peterborough	11	0.2%
530	Kawartha Lakes	6	0.1%
531	Centre Wellington	3	0.0%
532	Oshawa	15	0.2%
533	Ingersoll	0	
535	Toronto	812	11.6%
537	Hamilton	52	0.7%
539	St. Catharines - Niagara	902	12.9%
541	Kitchener - Cambridge - Waterloo	49	0.7%
543	Brantford	6	0.1%
544	Woodstock	4	0.1%
546	Tillsonburg	5	0.1%
547	Norfolk	5	0.1%
550	Guelph	13	0.2%
553	Stratford	18	0.3%
555	London	33	0.5%
556	Chatham-Kent	11	0.2%
557	Leamington	9	0.1%
559	Windsor	34	0.5%
562	Sarnia	5	0.1%

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSCMA02: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 2nd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
566	Owen Sound	0	
567	Collingwood	11	0.2%
568	Barrie	16	0.2%
569	Orillia	3	0.0%
571	Midland	0	
575	North Bay	3	0.0%
580	Greater Sudbury	8	0.1%
582	Elliot Lake	0	
584	Temiskaming Shores	0	
586	Timmins	2	0.0%
590	Sault Ste. Marie	6	0.1%
595	Thunder Bay	8	0.1%
598	Kenora	8	0.1%
602	Winnipeg	42	0.6%
605	Steinbach	1	0.0%
607	Portage la Prairie	2	0.0%
610	Brandon	2	0.0%
640	Thompson	2	0.0%
705	Regina	18	0.3%
710	Yorkton	2	0.0%
715	Moose Jaw	4	0.1%
720	Swift Current	0	
725	Saskatoon	23	0.3%
735	North Battleford	2	0.0%
745	Prince Albert	0	
750	Estevan	1	0.0%
805	Medicine Hat	7	0.1%
806	Brooks	2	0.0%
810	Lethbridge	14	0.2%
820	Okotoks	3	0.0%
821	High River	0	
825	Calgary	205	2.9%
826	Strathmore	0	
828	Canmore	40	0.6%
830	Red Deer	10	0.1%
831	Sylvan Lake	0	
832	Lacombe	1	0.0%
833	Camrose	2	0.0%
835	Edmonton	98	1.4%
840	Lloydminster	4	0.1%
845	Cold Lake	2	0.0%
850	Grande Prairie	9	0.1%
860	Wood Buffalo	6	0.1%

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSCMA02: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 2nd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
865	Wetaskiwin	0	
905	Cranbrook	7	0.1%
913	Penticton	7	0.1%
915	Kelowna	53	0.8%
918	Vernon	16	0.2%
920	Salmon Arm	2	0.0%
925	Kamloops	47	0.7%
930	Chilliwack	15	0.2%
932	Abbotsford - Mission	10	0.1%
933	Vancouver	625	8.9%
934	Squamish	27	0.4%
935	Victoria	304	4.3%
937	Duncan	10	0.1%
938	Nanaimo	26	0.4%
939	Parksville	11	0.2%
940	Port Alberni	4	0.1%
943	Courtenay	9	0.1%
944	Campbell River	16	0.2%
945	Powell River	3	0.0%
950	Williams Lake	2	0.0%
952	Quesnel	0	
955	Prince Rupert	6	0.1%
960	Kitimat	0	
965	Terrace	5	0.1%
970	Prince George	15	0.2%
975	Dawson Creek	5	0.1%
977	Fort St. John	7	0.1%
990	Whitehorse	40	0.6%
995	Yellowknife	16	0.2%
996	Strong metropolitan influenced zone	126	1.8%
997	Moderate metropolitan influenced zone	578	8.3%
998	Weak metropolitan influenced zone	843	12.0%
999	No metropolitan influenced zone	37	0.5%
9996	Valid skip	13943	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	307	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VSTRC02: Tourism region code - 2nd place visited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7114 /-] [Invalid=14135 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSTRC02: Tourism region code - 2nd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Avalon	28	0.4%
5	Eastern	6	0.1%
10	Central	2	0.0%
15	Western	11	0.2%
20	Labrador	2	0.0%
99	Not stated (NFLD)	1	0.0%
101	PEI	95	1.3%
201	Annapolis Valley	0	
202	Yarmouth and Acadian Shores	4	0.1%
205	South Shore	0	
206	South Shore	40	0.6%
210	Halifax-Dartmouth	0	
211	Halifax-Metro	88	1.2%
215	Eastern Shore	3	0.0%
220	Cape Breton	74	1.0%
225	Northumberland Shore	15	0.2%
230	Fundy Shore	0	
232	Fundy Shore and Annapolis Valley	35	0.5%
299	Not stated (NS)	13	0.2%
300	Appalachian Range	3	0.0%
302	Miramichi River	2	0.0%
304	Acadian Coastal Drive	34	0.5%
308	Fundy	43	0.6%
318	River Valley	44	0.6%
399	Not stated (NB)	2	0.0%
401	Žles-de-la-Madeleine	0	
405	GaspŒsie	11	0.2%
410	Bas-Saint-Laurent	3	0.0%
415	QuŒbec	308	4.3%
420	Charlevoix	4	0.1%
425	ChaudiŒre-Appalaches	13	0.2%
430	Centre du QuŒbec	3	0.0%
435	Mauricie	37	0.5%
440	Cantons-de-l'est	32	0.4%
445	MontŒrŒgie	36	0.5%
450	LanaudiŒre	9	0.1%
455	Laurentides	65	0.9%
460	MontrŒal	388	5.5%
465	Outaouais	29	0.4%
470	Abiti - TŒmiscamingue	9	0.1%
475	Saguenay - Lac-Saint-Jean	14	0.2%
480	Manicouagan	11	0.2%
485	Duplessis	0	

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSTRC02: Tourism region code - 2nd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
491	Baie James	0	
492	Nunavik	0	
493	Eeyou Istchee	0	
495	Laval	12	0.2%
499	Not stated (Que)	69	1.0%
501	Essex	0	
502	Southwest Ontario	117	1.6%
505	Southwestern Ontario	0	
506	Niagara Falls & Wine Country	903	12.7%
510	Niagara region	0	
511	Hamilton, Halton and Brant	82	1.2%
515	South-Central Ontario	0	
516	Huron, Perth, Waterloo and Wellington	94	1.3%
520	Toronto and area	0	
521	Greater Toronto Area	719	10.1%
525	Central Ontario	0	
526	York, Durham and Hills of Headwaters	82	1.2%
530	St. Lawrence River Corridor	0	
531	BruceGreySimcoe	70	1.0%
535	Ottawa region	0	
536	Kawarthas and Northumberland	42	0.6%
540	Eastern Ontario	0	
541	South Eastern Ontario	101	1.4%
545	North-Central	0	
546	Ottawa and Countryside	316	4.4%
550	North-East	0	
551	Haliburton Highlands to the Ottawa Valley	33	0.5%
555	North-West	0	
556	Muskoka, Parry Sound and Algonquin Park	36	0.5%
560	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North East	22	0.3%
565	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North Central	24	0.3%
570	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North West	46	0.6%
599	Not stated (Ont)	10	0.1%
601	Winnipeg	41	0.6%
605	South East	5	0.1%
610	South Central	5	0.1%
615	South West	3	0.0%
620	North Central	4	0.1%
625	Parklands	4	0.1%
630	Interlake	5	0.1%
635	Northern	15	0.2%
699	Not stated (Man)	0	
701	Southwestern	5	0.1%

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSTRC02: Tourism region code - 2nd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
705	Southeastern (without Regina)	9	0.1%
710	Regina	18	0.3%
715	West Central (without Saskatoon)	9	0.1%
720	Saskatoon	21	0.3%
725	East Central	13	0.2%
730	Northern	5	0.1%
799	Not stated (SASK)	0	
801	Alberta North	18	0.3%
805	Alberta Central	33	0.5%
810	Edmonton and Area	99	1.4%
815	Canadian Rockies	460	6.5%
820	Calgary and Area	208	2.9%
825	Alberta South	61	0.9%
899	Not stated (ALTA)	18	0.3%
901	Vancouver Islands	447	6.3%
905	Vancouver, Coast & Mountains	863	12.1%
910	Thompson - Okanagan	153	2.2%
915	Kootenay Rockies	107	1.5%
920	Cariboo Chicoltin Coast	9	0.1%
925	Northern B.C.	78	1.1%
981	Yukon	78	1.1%
991	Northwest Territories	19	0.3%
992	Nunavut	0	
993	Territory (Not Stated)	0	
999	Not stated (BC)	6	0.1%
9996	Valid skip	13943	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	192	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VNTSVS02: Number of nights - 2nd place visited

Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-218] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=7310 /-] [Invalid=13939 /-] [Mean=5.121 /-] [StdDev=17.054 /-]	
Universe		All respondents.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip	13939	
997	Don't know	0	
998	Refusal	0	
999	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.



VACCV02A: Accommodation type - 2nd place visited - Hotel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
-------------	--

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VACCV02A: Accommodation type - 2nd place visited - Hotel



Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6384 /-] [Invalid=14865 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 1: Hotel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2160	 33.8%
2	No	4224	 66.2%
6	Valid skip	14865	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VACCV02B: Accommodation type - 2nd place visited - Motel



Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6384 /-] [Invalid=14865 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 2: Motel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	241	 3.8%
2	No	6143	 96.2%
6	Valid skip	14865	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VACCV02C: Accommodation type - 2nd place visited - Home of friends or relatives

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6384 /-] [Invalid=14865 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 3: Home of friends or relatives

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1516	 23.7%
2	No	4868	 76.3%
6	Valid skip	14865	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VACCV02D: Accommodation type - 2nd place visited - Camp or trailer park

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6384 /-] [Invalid=14865 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 4: Camp or trailer park

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	220	3.4%
2	No	6164	96.6%
6	Valid skip	14865	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VACCV02E: Accommodation type - 2nd place visited - Cottage or cabin

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6384 /-] [Invalid=14865 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 5: Cottage or cabin

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	136	2.1%
2	No	6248	97.9%
6	Valid skip	14865	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VACCV02G: Accommodation type - 2nd place visited - Other

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=6384 /-] [Invalid=14865 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 7: Other

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	774	12.1%
2	No	5610	87.9%
6	Valid skip	14865	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSPRCD03: Census provincial code - 3rd place visited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-62] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3710 /-] [Invalid=17539 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	42	1.1%
11	Prince Edward Island	69	1.9%
12	Nova Scotia	191	5.1%
13	New Brunswick	75	2.0%
24	Quebec	614	16.5%
35	Ontario	1158	31.2%
46	Manitoba	29	0.8%
47	Saskatchewan	50	1.3%
48	Alberta	629	17.0%
59	British Columbia	819	22.1%
60	Yukon	29	0.8%
61	Northwest Territories	4	0.1%
62	Nunavut	1	0.0%
96	Valid skip	17539	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VSCMA03: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 3rd place visited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3648 /-] [Invalid=17601 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Territories (outside census agglomerations, Canada)	14	0.4%
1	St. John's	9	0.2%
5	Bay Roberts	0	
10	Grand Falls - Windsor	0	
15	Corner Brook	6	0.2%
105	Charlottetown	52	1.4%
110	Summerside	0	
205	Halifax	58	1.6%
210	Kentville	2	0.1%
215	Truro	6	0.2%
220	New Glasgow	6	0.2%
225	Cape Breton	21	0.6%
305	Moncton	7	0.2%
310	Saint John	14	0.4%
320	Fredericton	2	0.1%
328	Bathurst	0	

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSCMA03: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 3rd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
329	Miramichi	2	0.1%
330	Cambellton	1	0.0%
335	Edmundston	1	0.0%
403	Matane	4	0.1%
404	Rimouski	2	0.1%
405	Rivi�re-du-Loup	7	0.2%
406	Baie-Comeau	0	
408	Saguenay	10	0.3%
410	Alma	1	0.0%
411	Dolbeau-Mistassini	0	
412	Sept-�les	0	
421	Qu�bec	158	4.3%
428	Saint-Georges	0	
430	Thetford Mines	0	
433	Sherbrooke	14	0.4%
437	Cowansville	0	
440	Victoriaville	0	
442	Trois-Rivi�res	9	0.2%
444	Shawinigan	0	
446	La Tuque	0	
447	Drummondville	1	0.0%
450	Granby	3	0.1%
452	Saint-Hyacinthe	0	
454	Sorel-Tracy	2	0.1%
456	Joliette	1	0.0%
459	Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	3	0.1%
462	Montr�al	232	6.4%
465	Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	1	0.0%
468	Lachute	0	
480	Val-d'Or	1	0.0%
481	Amos	0	
485	Rouyn-Noranda	1	0.0%
501	Cornwall	1	0.0%
502	Hawkesbury	1	0.0%
505	Ottawa - Gatineau	185	5.1%
512	Brockville	5	0.1%
515	Pembroke	3	0.1%
516	Petawawa	0	
521	Kingston	29	0.8%
522	Belleville	2	0.1%
527	Cobourg	0	
528	Port Hope	1	0.0%
529	Peterborough	8	0.2%

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSCMA03: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 3rd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
530	Kawartha Lakes	3	0.1%
531	Centre Wellington	1	0.0%
532	Oshawa	8	0.2%
533	Ingersoll	0	
535	Toronto	348	9.5%
537	Hamilton	29	0.8%
539	St. Catharines - Niagara	293	8.0%
541	Kitchener - Cambridge - Waterloo	22	0.6%
543	Brantford	2	0.1%
544	Woodstock	0	
546	Tillsonburg	0	
547	Norfolk	7	0.2%
550	Guelph	7	0.2%
553	Stratford	2	0.1%
555	London	18	0.5%
556	Chatham-Kent	4	0.1%
557	Leamington	5	0.1%
559	Windsor	8	0.2%
562	Sarnia	2	0.1%
566	Owen Sound	3	0.1%
567	Collingwood	5	0.1%
568	Barrie	11	0.3%
569	Orillia	3	0.1%
571	Midland	4	0.1%
575	North Bay	1	0.0%
580	Greater Sudbury	2	0.1%
582	Elliot Lake	0	
584	Temiskaming Shores	0	
586	Timmins	0	
590	Sault Ste. Marie	13	0.4%
595	Thunder Bay	4	0.1%
598	Kenora	2	0.1%
602	Winnipeg	13	0.4%
605	Steinbach	0	
607	Portage la Prairie	0	
610	Brandon	4	0.1%
640	Thompson	0	
705	Regina	10	0.3%
710	Yorkton	1	0.0%
715	Moose Jaw	6	0.2%
720	Swift Current	1	0.0%
725	Saskatoon	10	0.3%
735	North Battleford	0	

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSCMA03: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 3rd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
745	Prince Albert	1	0.0%
750	Estevan	1	0.0%
805	Medicine Hat	7	0.2%
806	Brooks	2	0.1%
810	Lethbridge	12	0.3%
820	Okotoks	0	
821	High River	0	
825	Calgary	91	2.5%
826	Strathmore	0	
828	Canmore	29	0.8%
830	Red Deer	3	0.1%
831	Sylvan Lake	2	0.1%
832	Lacombe	0	
833	Camrose	2	0.1%
835	Edmonton	54	1.5%
840	Lloydminster	1	0.0%
845	Cold Lake	0	
850	Grande Prairie	4	0.1%
860	Wood Buffalo	3	0.1%
865	Wetaskiwin	1	0.0%
905	Cranbrook	2	0.1%
913	Penticton	5	0.1%
915	Kelowna	31	0.8%
918	Vernon	7	0.2%
920	Salmon Arm	1	0.0%
925	Kamloops	50	1.4%
930	Chilliwack	4	0.1%
932	Abbotsford - Mission	8	0.2%
933	Vancouver	232	6.4%
934	Squamish	6	0.2%
935	Victoria	83	2.3%
937	Duncan	6	0.2%
938	Nanaimo	15	0.4%
939	Parksville	8	0.2%
940	Port Alberni	4	0.1%
943	Courtenay	2	0.1%
944	Campbell River	8	0.2%
945	Powell River	0	
950	Williams Lake	1	0.0%
952	Quesnel	0	
955	Prince Rupert	7	0.2%
960	Kitimat	0	
965	Terrace	0	

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSCMA03: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 3rd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
970	Prince George	12	0.3%
975	Dawson Creek	9	0.2%
977	Fort St. John	4	0.1%
990	Whitehorse	16	0.4%
995	Yellowknife	2	0.1%
996	Strong metropolitan influenced zone	66	1.8%
997	Moderate metropolitan influenced zone	373	10.2%
998	Weak metropolitan influenced zone	703	19.3%
999	No metropolitan influenced zone	32	0.9%
9996	Valid skip	17227	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	374	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VSTRC03: Tourism region code - 3rd place visited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3710 /-] [Invalid=17539 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Avalon	17	0.5%
5	Eastern	4	0.1%
10	Central	9	0.2%
15	Western	12	0.3%
20	Labrador	0	
99	Not stated (NFLD)	0	
101	PEI	69	1.9%
201	Annapolis Valley	0	
202	Yarmouth and Acadian Shores	6	0.2%
205	South Shore	0	
206	South Shore	18	0.5%
210	Halifax-Dartmouth	0	
211	Halifax-Metro	58	1.6%
215	Eastern Shore	1	0.0%
220	Cape Breton	49	1.3%
225	Northumberland Shore	19	0.5%
230	Fundy Shore	0	
232	Fundy Shore and Annapolis Valley	35	0.9%
299	Not stated (NS)	5	0.1%
300	Appalachian Range	1	0.0%
302	Miramichi River	3	0.1%
304	Acadian Coastal Drive	8	0.2%
308	Fundy	56	1.5%
318	River Valley	5	0.1%

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSTRC03: Tourism region code - 3rd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
399	Not stated (NB)	2	0.1%
401	ÃŽles-de-la-Madeleine	0	
405	GaspÃ©sie	19	0.5%
410	Bas-Saint-Laurent	11	0.3%
415	QuÃ©bec	161	4.3%
420	Charlevoix	11	0.3%
425	ChaudiÃ©re-Appalaches	11	0.3%
430	Centre du QuÃ©bec	4	0.1%
435	Mauricie	12	0.3%
440	Cantons-de-l'est	29	0.8%
445	MontÃ©rÃ©gie	21	0.6%
450	LanaudiÃ©re	5	0.1%
455	Laurentides	34	0.9%
460	MontrÃ©al	216	5.8%
465	Outaouais	12	0.3%
470	Abiti - TÃ©miscamingue	4	0.1%
475	Saguenay - Lac-Saint-Jean	21	0.6%
480	Manicouagan	15	0.4%
485	Duplessis	0	
491	Baie James	0	
492	Nunavik	0	
493	Eeyou Istchee	0	
495	Laval	2	0.1%
499	Not stated (Que)	26	0.7%
501	Essex	0	
502	Southwest Ontario	51	1.4%
505	Southwestern Ontario	0	
506	Niagara Falls & Wine Country	293	7.9%
510	Niagara region	0	
511	Hamilton, Halton and Brant	40	1.1%
515	South-Central Ontario	0	
516	Huron, Perth, Waterloo and Wellington	39	1.1%
520	Toronto and area	0	
521	Greater Toronto Area	310	8.4%
525	Central Ontario	0	
526	York, Durham and Hills of Headwaters	38	1.0%
530	St. Lawrence River Corridor	0	
531	BruceGreySimcoe	43	1.2%
535	Ottawa region	0	
536	Kawarthas and Northumberland	18	0.5%
540	Eastern Ontario	0	
541	South Eastern Ontario	63	1.7%
545	North-Central	0	

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSTRC03: Tourism region code - 3rd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
546	Ottawa and Countryside	179	4.8%
550	North-East	0	
551	Haliburton Highlands to the Ottawa Valley	20	0.5%
555	North-West	0	
556	Muskoka, Parry Sound and Algonquin Park	12	0.3%
560	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North East	8	0.2%
565	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North Central	19	0.5%
570	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North West	21	0.6%
599	Not stated (Ont)	4	0.1%
601	Winnipeg	13	0.4%
605	South East	2	0.1%
610	South Central	1	0.0%
615	South West	5	0.1%
620	North Central	1	0.0%
625	Parklands	2	0.1%
630	Interlake	3	0.1%
635	Northern	2	0.1%
699	Not stated (Man)	0	
701	Southwestern	9	0.2%
705	Southeastern (without Regina)	5	0.1%
710	Regina	8	0.2%
715	West Central (without Saskatoon)	4	0.1%
720	Saskatoon	12	0.3%
725	East Central	4	0.1%
730	Northern	4	0.1%
799	Not stated (SASK)	4	0.1%
801	Alberta North	9	0.2%
805	Alberta Central	22	0.6%
810	Edmonton and Area	54	1.5%
815	Canadian Rockies	394	10.6%
820	Calgary and Area	92	2.5%
825	Alberta South	50	1.3%
899	Not stated (ALTA)	8	0.2%
901	Vancouver Islands	184	5.0%
905	Vancouver, Coast & Mountains	356	9.6%
910	Thompson - Okanagan	120	3.2%
915	Kootenay Rockies	68	1.8%
920	Cariboo Chicoltin Coast	4	0.1%
925	Northern B.C.	78	2.1%
981	Yukon	27	0.7%
991	Northwest Territories	4	0.1%
992	Nunavut	1	0.0%
993	Territory (Not Stated)	0	

File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VSTRC03: Tourism region code - 3rd place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
999	Not stated (BC)	11	0.3%
9996	Valid skip	17227	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	312	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VNTSVS03: Number of nights - 3rd place visited

Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-213] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=4026 /-] [Invalid=17223 /-] [Mean=3.94 /-] [StdDev=14.094 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip	17223	
997	Don't know	0	
998	Refusal	0	
999	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VACCV03A: Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Hotel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3346 /-] [Invalid=17903 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 1: Hotel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1081	32.3%
2	No	2265	67.7%
6	Valid skip	17903	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VACCV03B: Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Motel

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3346 /-] [Invalid=17903 /-]
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?
Notes	Option 2: Motel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	159	4.8%
2	No	3187	95.2%
6	Valid skip	17903	

















File : ITS_2017_VIS_Eng - Copy

VACCV03B: Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Motel

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

# VACCV03C: Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Home of friends or relatives			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3346 /-] [Invalid=17903 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 3: Home of friends or relatives		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	708	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
2	No	2638	<div><div></div></div> 78.8%
6	Valid skip	17903	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV03D: Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Camp or trailer park			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3346 /-] [Invalid=17903 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 4: Camp or trailer park		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	200	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
2	No	3146	<div><div></div></div> 94.0%
6	Valid skip	17903	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV03E: Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Cottage or cabin			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3346 /-] [Invalid=17903 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 5: Cottage or cabin		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	74	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2	No	3272	<div><div></div></div> 97.8%
6	Valid skip	17903	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV03G: Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		

# VACCV03G: Accommodation type - 3rd place visited - Other			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3346 /-] [Invalid=17903 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 7: Other		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	465	 13.9%
2	No	2881	 86.1%
6	Valid skip	17903	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSPRCD04: Census provincial code - 4th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-62] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2208 /-] [Invalid=19041 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	24	 1.1%
11	Prince Edward Island	39	 1.8%
12	Nova Scotia	123	 5.6%
13	New Brunswick	78	 3.5%
24	Quebec	393	 17.8%
35	Ontario	560	 25.4%
46	Manitoba	27	 1.2%
47	Saskatchewan	16	 0.7%
48	Alberta	403	 18.3%
59	British Columbia	510	 23.1%
60	Yukon	33	 1.5%
61	Northwest Territories	2	 0.1%
62	Nunavut	0	
96	Valid skip	19041	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSCMA04: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 4th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2170 /-] [Invalid=19079 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Territories (outside census agglomerations, Canada)	23	 1.1%
1	St. John's	4	 0.2%
5	Bay Roberts	0	
10	Grand Falls - Windsor	0	

VSCMA04: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 4th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Corner Brook	1	0.0%
105	Charlottetown	26	1.2%
110	Summerside	2	0.1%
205	Halifax	50	2.3%
210	Kentville	2	0.1%
215	Truro	7	0.3%
220	New Glasgow	0	
225	Cape Breton	5	0.2%
305	Moncton	13	0.6%
310	Saint John	16	0.7%
320	Fredericton	7	0.3%
328	Bathurst	5	0.2%
329	Miramichi	0	
330	Cambellton	0	
335	Edmundston	2	0.1%
403	Matane	1	0.0%
404	Rimouski	3	0.1%
405	Rivi�re-du-Loup	2	0.1%
406	Baie-Comeau	1	0.0%
408	Saguenay	7	0.3%
410	Alma	3	0.1%
411	Dolbeau-Mistassini	0	
412	Sept-�les	1	0.0%
421	Qu�bec	105	4.8%
428	Saint-Georges	0	
430	Thetford Mines	0	
433	Sherbrooke	8	0.4%
437	Cowansville	0	
440	Victoriaville	0	
442	Trois-Rivi�res	6	0.3%
444	Shawinigan	1	0.0%
446	La Tuque	0	
447	Drummondville	1	0.0%
450	Granby	1	0.0%
452	Saint-Hyacinthe	1	0.0%
454	Sorel-Tracy	0	
456	Joliette	0	
459	Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	0	
462	Montr�al	137	6.3%
465	Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	0	
468	Lachute	0	
480	Val-d'Or	1	0.0%
481	Amos	1	0.0%
485	Rouyn-Noranda	0	
501	Cornwall	2	0.1%

VSCMA04: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 4th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
502	Hawkesbury	0	
505	Ottawa - Gatineau	115	5.3%
512	Brockville	7	0.3%
515	Pembroke	2	0.1%
516	Petawawa	1	0.0%
521	Kingston	20	0.9%
522	Belleville	2	0.1%
527	Cobourg	2	0.1%
528	Port Hope	1	0.0%
529	Peterborough	3	0.1%
530	Kawartha Lakes	1	0.0%
531	Centre Wellington	1	0.0%
532	Oshawa	1	0.0%
533	Ingersoll	0	
535	Toronto	135	6.2%
537	Hamilton	26	1.2%
539	St. Catharines - Niagara	120	5.5%
541	Kitchener - Cambridge - Waterloo	13	0.6%
543	Brantford	2	0.1%
544	Woodstock	0	
546	Tillsonburg	1	0.0%
547	Norfolk	1	0.0%
550	Guelph	2	0.1%
553	Stratford	1	0.0%
555	London	11	0.5%
556	Chatham-Kent	1	0.0%
557	Leamington	4	0.2%
559	Windsor	1	0.0%
562	Sarnia	1	0.0%
566	Owen Sound	2	0.1%
567	Collingwood	1	0.0%
568	Barrie	2	0.1%
569	Orillia	1	0.0%
571	Midland	2	0.1%
575	North Bay	0	
580	Greater Sudbury	1	0.0%
582	Elliot Lake	1	0.0%
584	Temiskaming Shores	0	
586	Timmins	0	
590	Sault Ste. Marie	0	
595	Thunder Bay	3	0.1%
598	Kenora	0	
602	Winnipeg	11	0.5%
605	Steinbach	1	0.0%
607	Portage la Prairie	1	0.0%

VSCMA04: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 4th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
610	Brandon	3	0.1%
640	Thompson	0	
705	Regina	2	0.1%
710	Yorkton	0	
715	Moose Jaw	0	
720	Swift Current	2	0.1%
725	Saskatoon	6	0.3%
735	North Battleford	1	0.0%
745	Prince Albert	1	0.0%
750	Estevan	0	
805	Medicine Hat	1	0.0%
806	Brooks	2	0.1%
810	Lethbridge	6	0.3%
820	Okotoks	2	0.1%
821	High River	0	
825	Calgary	57	2.6%
826	Strathmore	0	
828	Canmore	15	0.7%
830	Red Deer	6	0.3%
831	Sylvan Lake	0	
832	Lacombe	0	
833	Camrose	0	
835	Edmonton	25	1.2%
840	Lloydminster	0	
845	Cold Lake	0	
850	Grande Prairie	4	0.2%
860	Wood Buffalo	1	0.0%
865	Wetaskiwin	0	
905	Cranbrook	0	
913	Penticton	2	0.1%
915	Kelowna	21	1.0%
918	Vernon	6	0.3%
920	Salmon Arm	0	
925	Kamloops	37	1.7%
930	Chilliwack	4	0.2%
932	Abbotsford - Mission	3	0.1%
933	Vancouver	125	5.8%
934	Squamish	5	0.2%
935	Victoria	47	2.2%
937	Duncan	2	0.1%
938	Nanaimo	11	0.5%
939	Parksville	6	0.3%
940	Port Alberni	3	0.1%
943	Courtenay	6	0.3%
944	Campbell River	6	0.3%

VSCMA04: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 4th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
945	Powell River	1	0.0%
950	Williams Lake	2	0.1%
952	Quesnel	0	
955	Prince Rupert	2	0.1%
960	Kitimat	0	
965	Terrace	0	
970	Prince George	8	0.4%
975	Dawson Creek	11	0.5%
977	Fort St. John	2	0.1%
990	Whitehorse	10	0.5%
995	Yellowknife	1	0.0%
996	Strong metropolitan influenced zone	44	2.0%
997	Moderate metropolitan influenced zone	224	10.3%
998	Weak metropolitan influenced zone	484	22.3%
999	No metropolitan influenced zone	17	0.8%
9996	Valid skip	18678	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	401	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VSTRC04: Tourism region code - 4th place visited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2208 /-] [Invalid=19041 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Avalon	10	0.5%
5	Eastern	2	0.1%
10	Central	4	0.2%
15	Western	2	0.1%
20	Labrador	4	0.2%
99	Not stated (NFLD)	0	
101	PEI	39	1.8%
201	Annapolis Valley	0	
202	Yarmouth and Acadian Shores	8	0.4%
205	South Shore	0	
206	South Shore	17	0.8%
210	Halifax-Dartmouth	0	
211	Halifax-Metro	50	2.3%
215	Eastern Shore	1	0.0%
220	Cape Breton	23	1.0%
225	Northumberland Shore	5	0.2%
230	Fundy Shore	0	
232	Fundy Shore and Annapolis Valley	30	1.4%
299	Not stated (NS)	2	0.1%

VSTRC04: Tourism region code - 4th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
300	Appalachian Range	0	
302	Miramichi River	0	
304	Acadian Coastal Drive	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
308	Fundy	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
318	River Valley	19	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
399	Not stated (NB)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
401	ÃŽles-de-la-Madeleine	0	
405	GaspÃ©sie	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
410	Bas-Saint-Laurent	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
415	QuÃ©bec	106	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
420	Charlevoix	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
425	ChaudiÃ¨re-Appalaches	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
430	Centre du QuÃ©bec	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
435	Mauricie	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
440	Cantons-de-l'est	13	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
445	MontÃ©rÃ©gie	10	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
450	LanaudiÃ¨re	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
455	Laurentides	27	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
460	MontrÃ©al	124	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
465	Outaouais	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
470	Abiti - TÃ©miscamingue	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
475	Saguenay - Lac-Saint-Jean	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
480	Manicouagan	26	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
485	Duplessis	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
491	Baie James	0	
492	Nunavik	0	
493	Eeyou Istchee	0	
495	Laval	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.0%
499	Not stated (Que)	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
501	Essex	0	
502	Southwest Ontario	23	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
505	Southwestern Ontario	0	
506	Niagara Falls & Wine Country	122	<div><div></div></div> 5.5%
510	Niagara region	0	
511	Hamilton, Halton and Brant	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
515	South-Central Ontario	0	
516	Huron, Perth, Waterloo and Wellington	22	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
520	Toronto and area	0	
521	Greater Toronto Area	111	<div><div></div></div> 5.0%
525	Central Ontario	0	
526	York, Durham and Hills of Headwaters	14	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
530	St. Lawrence River Corridor	0	
531	BruceGreySimcoe	18	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
535	Ottawa region	0	
536	Kawarthas and Northumberland	15	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%

VSTRC04: Tourism region code - 4th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
540	Eastern Ontario	0	
541	South Eastern Ontario	43	<div></div> 1.9%
545	North-Central	0	
546	Ottawa and Countryside	109	<div></div> 4.9%
550	North-East	0	
551	Haliburton Highlands to the Ottawa Valley	12	<div></div> 0.5%
555	North-West	0	
556	Muskoka, Parry Sound and Algonquin Park	11	<div></div> 0.5%
560	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North East	3	<div></div> 0.1%
565	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North Central	6	<div></div> 0.3%
570	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North West	6	<div></div> 0.3%
599	Not stated (Ont)	7	<div></div> 0.3%
601	Winnipeg	11	<div></div> 0.5%
605	South East	4	<div></div> 0.2%
610	South Central	1	<div></div> 0.0%
615	South West	6	<div></div> 0.3%
620	North Central	1	<div></div> 0.0%
625	Parklands	0	
630	Interlake	0	
635	Northern	0	
699	Not stated (Man)	4	<div></div> 0.2%
701	Southwestern	3	<div></div> 0.1%
705	Southeastern (without Regina)	1	<div></div> 0.0%
710	Regina	2	<div></div> 0.1%
715	West Central (without Saskatoon)	1	<div></div> 0.0%
720	Saskatoon	6	<div></div> 0.3%
725	East Central	0	
730	Northern	1	<div></div> 0.0%
799	Not stated (SASK)	0	
801	Alberta North	5	<div></div> 0.2%
805	Alberta Central	15	<div></div> 0.7%
810	Edmonton and Area	25	<div></div> 1.1%
815	Canadian Rockies	267	<div></div> 12.1%
820	Calgary and Area	60	<div></div> 2.7%
825	Alberta South	27	<div></div> 1.2%
899	Not stated (ALTA)	4	<div></div> 0.2%
901	Vancouver Islands	105	<div></div> 4.8%
905	Vancouver, Coast & Mountains	192	<div></div> 8.7%
910	Thompson - Okanagan	102	<div></div> 4.6%
915	Kootenay Rockies	62	<div></div> 2.8%
920	Cariboo Chicoltin Coast	5	<div></div> 0.2%
925	Northern B.C.	45	<div></div> 2.0%
981	Yukon	33	<div></div> 1.5%
991	Northwest Territories	2	<div></div> 0.1%
992	Nunavut	0	

# VSTRC04: Tourism region code - 4th place visited			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
993	Territory (Not Stated)	0	
999	Not stated (BC)	1	0.0%
9996	Valid skip	18678	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	363	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNTSVS04: Number of nights - 4th place visited			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-179] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2571 /-] [Invalid=18678 /-] [Mean=3.502 /-] [StdDev=12.687 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip	18678	
997	Don't know	0	
998	Refusal	0	
999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV04A: Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Hotel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2058 /-] [Invalid=19191 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 1: Hotel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	710	34.5%
2	No	1348	65.5%
6	Valid skip	19191	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV04B: Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Motel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2058 /-] [Invalid=19191 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 2: Motel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	108	5.2%
2	No	1950	94.8%
6	Valid skip	19191	
7	Don't know	0	

# VACCV04B: Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Motel			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV04C: Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Home of friends or relatives			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2058 /-] [Invalid=19191 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 3: Home of friends or relatives		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	373	<div><div></div></div> 18.1%
2	No	1685	<div><div></div></div> 81.9%
6	Valid skip	19191	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV04D: Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Camp or trailer park			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2058 /-] [Invalid=19191 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 4: Camp or trailer park		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	164	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
2	No	1894	<div><div></div></div> 92.0%
6	Valid skip	19191	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV04E: Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Cottage or cabin			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2058 /-] [Invalid=19191 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 5: Cottage or cabin		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	46	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
2	No	2012	<div><div></div></div> 97.8%
6	Valid skip	19191	
7	Don't know	0	

# VACCV04E: Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Cottage or cabin			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV04G: Accommodation type - 4th place visited - Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2058 /-] [Invalid=19191 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 7: Other		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	316	<div><div></div></div> 15.4%
2	No	1742	<div><div></div></div> 84.6%
6	Valid skip	19191	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSPRCD05: Census provincial code - 5th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-62] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1367 /-] [Invalid=19882 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
11	Prince Edward Island	28	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
12	Nova Scotia	93	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
13	New Brunswick	32	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
24	Quebec	235	<div><div></div></div> 17.2%
35	Ontario	290	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
46	Manitoba	9	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
47	Saskatchewan	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
48	Alberta	245	<div><div></div></div> 17.9%
59	British Columbia	381	<div><div></div></div> 27.9%
60	Yukon	33	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
61	Northwest Territories	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
62	Nunavut	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
96	Valid skip	19882	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSCMA05: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 5th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1329 /-] [Invalid=19920 /-]		

VSCMA05: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 5th place visited

Universe		All respondents.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Territories (outside census agglomerations, Canada)	18	1.4%
1	St. John's	3	0.2%
5	Bay Roberts	0	
10	Grand Falls - Windsor	0	
15	Corner Brook	1	0.1%
105	Charlottetown	20	1.5%
110	Summerside	0	
205	Halifax	30	2.3%
210	Kentville	1	0.1%
215	Truro	1	0.1%
220	New Glasgow	2	0.2%
225	Cape Breton	6	0.5%
305	Moncton	10	0.8%
310	Saint John	3	0.2%
320	Fredericton	4	0.3%
328	Bathurst	0	
329	Miramichi	0	
330	Cambellton	0	
335	Edmundston	1	0.1%
403	Matane	4	0.3%
404	Rimouski	5	0.4%
405	Rivi�re-du-Loup	1	0.1%
406	Baie-Comeau	0	
408	Saguenay	9	0.7%
410	Alma	0	
411	Dolbeau-Mistassini	0	
412	Sept-�les	0	
421	Qu�bec	55	4.1%
428	Saint-Georges	0	
430	Thetford Mines	0	
433	Sherbrooke	1	0.1%
437	Cowansville	0	
440	Victoriaville	0	
442	Trois-Rivi�res	4	0.3%
444	Shawinigan	2	0.2%
446	La Tuque	0	
447	Drummondville	0	
450	Granby	1	0.1%
452	Saint-Hyacinthe	0	
454	Sorel-Tracy	0	
456	Joliette	0	
459	Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	0	
462	Montr�al	76	5.7%
465	Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	0	

VSCMA05: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 5th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
468	Lachute	0	
480	Val-d'Or	1	0.1%
481	Amos	0	
485	Rouyn-Noranda	1	0.1%
501	Cornwall	1	0.1%
502	Hawkesbury	0	
505	Ottawa - Gatineau	45	3.4%
512	Brockville	6	0.5%
515	Pembroke	1	0.1%
516	Petawawa	0	
521	Kingston	9	0.7%
522	Belleville	2	0.2%
527	Cobourg	0	
528	Port Hope	1	0.1%
529	Peterborough	1	0.1%
530	Kawartha Lakes	1	0.1%
531	Centre Wellington	0	
532	Oshawa	2	0.2%
533	Ingersoll	0	
535	Toronto	61	4.6%
537	Hamilton	9	0.7%
539	St. Catharines - Niagara	78	5.9%
541	Kitchener - Cambridge - Waterloo	8	0.6%
543	Brantford	0	
544	Woodstock	0	
546	Tillsonburg	0	
547	Norfolk	2	0.2%
550	Guelph	1	0.1%
553	Stratford	0	
555	London	2	0.2%
556	Chatham-Kent	3	0.2%
557	Leamington	0	
559	Windsor	2	0.2%
562	Sarnia	0	
566	Owen Sound	0	
567	Collingwood	1	0.1%
568	Barrie	2	0.2%
569	Orillia	2	0.2%
571	Midland	1	0.1%
575	North Bay	5	0.4%
580	Greater Sudbury	1	0.1%
582	Elliot Lake	0	
584	Temiskaming Shores	0	
586	Timmins	1	0.1%
590	Sault Ste. Marie	3	0.2%

VSCMA05: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 5th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
595	Thunder Bay	1	0.1%
598	Kenora	1	0.1%
602	Winnipeg	5	0.4%
605	Steinbach	0	
607	Portage la Prairie	0	
610	Brandon	0	
640	Thompson	0	
705	Regina	0	
710	Yorkton	0	
715	Moose Jaw	0	
720	Swift Current	0	
725	Saskatoon	3	0.2%
735	North Battleford	0	
745	Prince Albert	0	
750	Estevan	0	
805	Medicine Hat	1	0.1%
806	Brooks	2	0.2%
810	Lethbridge	2	0.2%
820	Okotoks	1	0.1%
821	High River	0	
825	Calgary	41	3.1%
826	Strathmore	0	
828	Canmore	12	0.9%
830	Red Deer	1	0.1%
831	Sylvan Lake	0	
832	Lacombe	0	
833	Camrose	0	
835	Edmonton	18	1.4%
840	Lloydminster	1	0.1%
845	Cold Lake	0	
850	Grande Prairie	1	0.1%
860	Wood Buffalo	0	
865	Wetaskiwin	0	
905	Cranbrook	4	0.3%
913	Penticton	1	0.1%
915	Kelowna	12	0.9%
918	Vernon	2	0.2%
920	Salmon Arm	0	
925	Kamloops	40	3.0%
930	Chilliwack	2	0.2%
932	Abbotsford - Mission	1	0.1%
933	Vancouver	91	6.8%
934	Squamish	5	0.4%
935	Victoria	26	2.0%
937	Duncan	1	0.1%

# VSCMA05: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 5th place visited			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
938	Nanaimo	12	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
939	Parksville	0	
940	Port Alberni	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
943	Courtenay	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
944	Campbell River	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
945	Powell River	0	
950	Williams Lake	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
952	Quesnel	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
955	Prince Rupert	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
960	Kitimat	0	
965	Terrace	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
970	Prince George	11	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
975	Dawson Creek	0	
977	Fort St. John	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
990	Whitehorse	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
995	Yellowknife	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
996	Strong metropolitan influenced zone	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
997	Moderate metropolitan influenced zone	140	<div><div></div></div> 10.5%
998	Weak metropolitan influenced zone	308	<div><div></div></div> 23.2%
999	No metropolitan influenced zone	19	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
9996	Valid skip	19462	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	458	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSTRC05: Tourism region code - 5th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1367 /-] [Invalid=19882 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Avalon	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
5	Eastern	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	Central	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
15	Western	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
20	Labrador	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
99	Not stated (NFLD)	0	
101	PEI	28	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
201	Annapolis Valley	0	
202	Yarmouth and Acadian Shores	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
205	South Shore	0	
206	South Shore	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
210	Halifax-Dartmouth	0	
211	Halifax-Metro	30	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
215	Eastern Shore	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%

VSTRC05: Tourism region code - 5th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
220	Cape Breton	17	1.2%
225	Northumberland Shore	9	0.7%
230	Fundy Shore	0	
232	Fundy Shore and Annapolis Valley	12	0.9%
299	Not stated (NS)	13	1.0%
300	Appalachian Range	0	
302	Miramichi River	1	0.1%
304	Acadian Coastal Drive	11	0.8%
308	Fundy	10	0.7%
318	River Valley	8	0.6%
399	Not stated (NB)	2	0.1%
401	Îles-de-la-Madeleine	0	
405	Gaspésie	20	1.5%
410	Bas-Saint-Laurent	8	0.6%
415	Québec	57	4.2%
420	Charlevoix	5	0.4%
425	Chaudière-Appalaches	3	0.2%
430	Centre du Québec	0	
435	Mauricie	8	0.6%
440	Cantons-de-l'est	3	0.2%
445	Montréal	3	0.2%
450	Lanaudière	1	0.1%
455	Laurentides	8	0.6%
460	Montréal	71	5.2%
465	Outaouais	3	0.2%
470	Abiti - Témiscamingue	2	0.1%
475	Saguenay - Lac-Saint-Jean	14	1.0%
480	Manicouagan	18	1.3%
485	Duplessis	0	
491	Baie James	0	
492	Nunavik	0	
493	Eeyou Istchee	0	
495	Laval	3	0.2%
499	Not stated (Que)	8	0.6%
501	Essex	0	
502	Southwest Ontario	10	0.7%
505	Southwestern Ontario	0	
506	Niagara Falls & Wine Country	79	5.8%
510	Niagara region	0	
511	Hamilton, Halton and Brant	14	1.0%
515	South-Central Ontario	0	
516	Huron, Perth, Waterloo and Wellington	11	0.8%
520	Toronto and area	0	
521	Greater Toronto Area	53	3.9%
525	Central Ontario	0	

VSTRC05: Tourism region code - 5th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
526	York, Durham and Hills of Headwaters	6	0.4%
530	St. Lawrence River Corridor	0	
531	BruceGreySimcoe	9	0.7%
535	Ottawa region	0	
536	Kawarthas and Northumberland	5	0.4%
540	Eastern Ontario	0	
541	South Eastern Ontario	26	1.9%
545	North-Central	0	
546	Ottawa and Countryside	43	3.1%
550	North-East	0	
551	Haliburton Highlands to the Ottawa Valley	4	0.3%
555	North-West	0	
556	Muskoka, Parry Sound and Algonquin Park	6	0.4%
560	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North East	9	0.7%
565	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North Central	3	0.2%
570	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North West	4	0.3%
599	Not stated (Ont)	8	0.6%
601	Winnipeg	5	0.4%
605	South East	2	0.1%
610	South Central	0	
615	South West	0	
620	North Central	0	
625	Parklands	1	0.1%
630	Interlake	1	0.1%
635	Northern	0	
699	Not stated (Man)	0	
701	Southwestern	0	
705	Southeastern (without Regina)	2	0.1%
710	Regina	0	
715	West Central (without Saskatoon)	0	
720	Saskatoon	3	0.2%
725	East Central	0	
730	Northern	0	
799	Not stated (SASK)	0	
801	Alberta North	1	0.1%
805	Alberta Central	6	0.4%
810	Edmonton and Area	18	1.3%
815	Canadian Rockies	158	11.6%
820	Calgary and Area	43	3.1%
825	Alberta South	19	1.4%
899	Not stated (ALTA)	0	
901	Vancouver Islands	64	4.7%
905	Vancouver, Coast & Mountains	145	10.6%
910	Thompson - Okanagan	87	6.4%
915	Kootenay Rockies	41	3.0%

# VSTRC05: Tourism region code - 5th place visited			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
920	Cariboo Chicoltin Coast	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
925	Northern B.C.	37	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
981	Yukon	33	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
991	Northwest Territories	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
992	Nunavut	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
993	Territory (Not Stated)	0	
999	Not stated (BC)	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
9996	Valid skip	19462	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	420	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNTSVS05: Number of nights - 5th place visited			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-176] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1787 /-] [Invalid=19462 /-] [Mean=2.635 /-] [StdDev=9.702 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip	19462	
997	Don't know	0	
998	Refusal	0	
999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV05A: Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Hotel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1298 /-] [Invalid=19951 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 1: Hotel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	468	<div><div></div></div> 36.1%
2	No	830	<div><div></div></div> 63.9%
6	Valid skip	19951	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV05B: Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Motel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1298 /-] [Invalid=19951 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 2: Motel		

# VACCV05B: Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Motel			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	77	<div><div></div></div> 5.9%
2	No	1221	<div><div></div></div> 94.1%
6	Valid skip	19951	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV05C: Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Home of friends or relatives			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1298 /-] [Invalid=19951 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 3: Home of friends or relatives		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	207	<div><div></div></div> 15.9%
2	No	1091	<div><div></div></div> 84.1%
6	Valid skip	19951	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV05D: Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Camp or trailer park			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1298 /-] [Invalid=19951 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 4: Camp or trailer park		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	145	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
2	No	1153	<div><div></div></div> 88.8%
6	Valid skip	19951	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV05E: Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Cottage or cabin			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1298 /-] [Invalid=19951 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 5: Cottage or cabin		

# VACCV05E: Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Cottage or cabin			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	25	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2	No	1273	<div><div></div></div> 98.1%
6	Valid skip	19951	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV05G: Accommodation type - 5th place visited - Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1298 /-] [Invalid=19951 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 7: Other		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	173	<div><div></div></div> 13.3%
2	No	1125	<div><div></div></div> 86.7%
6	Valid skip	19951	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSPRCD06: Census provincial code - 6th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-62] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=844 /-] [Invalid=20405 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	14	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
11	Prince Edward Island	21	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
12	Nova Scotia	51	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
13	New Brunswick	27	<div><div></div></div> 3.2%
24	Quebec	124	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
35	Ontario	137	<div><div></div></div> 16.2%
46	Manitoba	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
47	Saskatchewan	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
48	Alberta	185	<div><div></div></div> 21.9%
59	British Columbia	244	<div><div></div></div> 28.9%
60	Yukon	26	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
61	Northwest Territories	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
62	Nunavut	0	
96	Valid skip	20405	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	

# VSPRCD06: Census provincial code - 6th place visited			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VSCMA06: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 6th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=832 /-] [Invalid=20417 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Territories (outside census agglomerations, Canada)	19	<div></div> 2.3%
1	St. John's	2	<div></div> 0.2%
5	Bay Roberts	0	
10	Grand Falls - Windsor	1	<div></div> 0.1%
15	Corner Brook	0	
105	Charlottetown	16	<div></div> 1.9%
110	Summerside	3	<div></div> 0.4%
205	Halifax	18	<div></div> 2.2%
210	Kentville	0	
215	Truro	1	<div></div> 0.1%
220	New Glasgow	1	<div></div> 0.1%
225	Cape Breton	2	<div></div> 0.2%
305	Moncton	3	<div></div> 0.4%
310	Saint John	3	<div></div> 0.4%
320	Fredericton	3	<div></div> 0.4%
328	Bathurst	1	<div></div> 0.1%
329	Miramichi	0	
330	Cambellton	0	
335	Edmundston	0	
403	Matane	3	<div></div> 0.4%
404	Rimouski	1	<div></div> 0.1%
405	Rivi�re-du-Loup	1	<div></div> 0.1%
406	Baie-Comeau	0	
408	Saguenay	7	<div></div> 0.8%
410	Alma	0	
411	Dolbeau-Mistassini	0	
412	Sept-�iles	0	
421	Qu�bec	36	<div></div> 4.3%
428	Saint-Georges	0	
430	Thetford Mines	0	
433	Sherbrooke	2	<div></div> 0.2%
437	Cowansville	0	
440	Victoriaville	0	
442	Trois-Rivi�res	4	<div></div> 0.5%
444	Shawinigan	0	
446	La Tuque	0	
447	Drummondville	0	
450	Granby	1	<div></div> 0.1%
452	Saint-Hyacinthe	0	

VSCMA06: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 6th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
454	Sorel-Tracy	0	
456	Joliette	1	0.1%
459	Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	0	
462	Montréal	26	3.1%
465	Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	0	
468	Lachute	0	
480	Val-d'Or	0	
481	Amos	0	
485	Rouyn-Noranda	0	
501	Cornwall	1	0.1%
502	Hawkesbury	0	
505	Ottawa - Gatineau	20	2.4%
512	Brockville	0	
515	Pembroke	0	
516	Petawawa	0	
521	Kingston	7	0.8%
522	Belleville	0	
527	Cobourg	0	
528	Port Hope	0	
529	Peterborough	2	0.2%
530	Kawartha Lakes	2	0.2%
531	Centre Wellington	0	
532	Oshawa	1	0.1%
533	Ingersoll	0	
535	Toronto	32	3.8%
537	Hamilton	2	0.2%
539	St. Catharines - Niagara	42	5.0%
541	Kitchener - Cambridge - Waterloo	2	0.2%
543	Brantford	0	
544	Woodstock	0	
546	Tillsonburg	0	
547	Norfolk	1	0.1%
550	Guelph	0	
553	Stratford	1	0.1%
555	London	1	0.1%
556	Chatham-Kent	1	0.1%
557	Leamington	1	0.1%
559	Windsor	0	
562	Sarnia	0	
566	Owen Sound	0	
567	Collingwood	2	0.2%
568	Barrie	3	0.4%
569	Orillia	1	0.1%
571	Midland	0	
575	North Bay	0	

VSCMA06: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 6th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
580	Greater Sudbury	0	
582	Elliot Lake	0	
584	Temiskaming Shores	0	
586	Timmins	0	
590	Sault Ste. Marie	0	
595	Thunder Bay	0	
598	Kenora	1	0.1%
602	Winnipeg	3	0.4%
605	Steinbach	0	
607	Portage la Prairie	0	
610	Brandon	0	
640	Thompson	0	
705	Regina	3	0.4%
710	Yorkton	0	
715	Moose Jaw	0	
720	Swift Current	0	
725	Saskatoon	2	0.2%
735	North Battleford	0	
745	Prince Albert	0	
750	Estevan	0	
805	Medicine Hat	2	0.2%
806	Brooks	1	0.1%
810	Lethbridge	0	
820	Okotoks	0	
821	High River	0	
825	Calgary	39	4.7%
826	Strathmore	0	
828	Canmore	5	0.6%
830	Red Deer	0	
831	Sylvan Lake	0	
832	Lacombe	0	
833	Camrose	0	
835	Edmonton	9	1.1%
840	Lloydminster	0	
845	Cold Lake	0	
850	Grande Prairie	0	
860	Wood Buffalo	0	
865	Wetaskiwin	0	
905	Cranbrook	0	
913	Penticton	0	
915	Kelowna	5	0.6%
918	Vernon	4	0.5%
920	Salmon Arm	0	
925	Kamloops	23	2.8%
930	Chilliwack	2	0.2%

# VSCMA06: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 6th place visited			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
932	Abbotsford - Mission	0	
933	Vancouver	44	<div><div></div></div> 5.3%
934	Squamish	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
935	Victoria	30	<div><div></div></div> 3.6%
937	Duncan	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
938	Nanaimo	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
939	Parksville	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
940	Port Alberni	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
943	Courtenay	0	
944	Campbell River	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
945	Powell River	0	
950	Williams Lake	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
952	Quesnel	0	
955	Prince Rupert	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
960	Kitimat	0	
965	Terrace	0	
970	Prince George	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
975	Dawson Creek	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
977	Fort St. John	0	
990	Whitehorse	7	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
995	Yellowknife	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
996	Strong metropolitan influenced zone	13	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
997	Moderate metropolitan influenced zone	93	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
998	Weak metropolitan influenced zone	233	<div><div></div></div> 28.0%
999	No metropolitan influenced zone	9	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
9996	Valid skip	19947	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	470	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSTRC06: Tourism region code - 6th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=844 /-] [Invalid=20405 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Avalon	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
5	Eastern	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
10	Central	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
15	Western	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
20	Labrador	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
99	Not stated (NFLD)	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
101	PEI	21	<div><div></div></div> 2.5%
201	Annapolis Valley	0	
202	Yarmouth and Acadian Shores	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%

VSTRC06: Tourism region code - 6th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
205	South Shore	0	
206	South Shore	8	0.9%
210	Halifax-Dartmouth	0	
211	Halifax-Metro	18	2.1%
215	Eastern Shore	1	0.1%
220	Cape Breton	16	1.9%
225	Northumberland Shore	3	0.4%
230	Fundy Shore	0	
232	Fundy Shore and Annapolis Valley	2	0.2%
299	Not stated (NS)	0	
300	Appalachian Range	0	
302	Miramichi River	0	
304	Acadian Coastal Drive	9	1.1%
308	Fundy	13	1.5%
318	River Valley	3	0.4%
399	Not stated (NB)	2	0.2%
401	Îles-de-la-Madeleine	0	
405	Gaspésie	10	1.2%
410	Bas-Saint-Laurent	4	0.5%
415	Québec	37	4.4%
420	Charlevoix	2	0.2%
425	Chaudière-Appalaches	0	
430	Centre du Québec	0	
435	Mauricie	6	0.7%
440	Cantons-de-l'est	6	0.7%
445	Montréal	4	0.5%
450	Lanaudière	5	0.6%
455	Laurentides	2	0.2%
460	Montréal	23	2.7%
465	Outaouais	2	0.2%
470	Abiti - Témiscamingue	1	0.1%
475	Saguenay - Lac-Saint-Jean	9	1.1%
480	Manicouagan	12	1.4%
485	Duplessis	0	
491	Baie James	0	
492	Nunavik	0	
493	Eeyou Istchee	0	
495	Laval	0	
499	Not stated (Que)	1	0.1%
501	Essex	0	
502	Southwest Ontario	5	0.6%
505	Southwestern Ontario	0	
506	Niagara Falls & Wine Country	42	5.0%
510	Niagara region	0	
511	Hamilton, Halton and Brant	4	0.5%

VSTRC06: Tourism region code - 6th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
515	South-Central Ontario	0	
516	Huron, Perth, Waterloo and Wellington	6	0.7%
520	Toronto and area	0	
521	Greater Toronto Area	27	3.2%
525	Central Ontario	0	
526	York, Durham and Hills of Headwaters	4	0.5%
530	St. Lawrence River Corridor	0	
531	BruceGreySimcoe	7	0.8%
535	Ottawa region	0	
536	Kawarthas and Northumberland	4	0.5%
540	Eastern Ontario	0	
541	South Eastern Ontario	8	0.9%
545	North-Central	0	
546	Ottawa and Countryside	18	2.1%
550	North-East	0	
551	Haliburton Highlands to the Ottawa Valley	1	0.1%
555	North-West	0	
556	Muskoka, Parry Sound and Algonquin Park	6	0.7%
560	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North East	0	
565	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North Central	1	0.1%
570	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North West	2	0.2%
599	Not stated (Ont)	2	0.2%
601	Winnipeg	3	0.4%
605	South East	1	0.1%
610	South Central	0	
615	South West	0	
620	North Central	0	
625	Parklands	2	0.2%
630	Interlake	0	
635	Northern	0	
699	Not stated (Man)	1	0.1%
701	Southwestern	1	0.1%
705	Southeastern (without Regina)	0	
710	Regina	3	0.4%
715	West Central (without Saskatoon)	0	
720	Saskatoon	2	0.2%
725	East Central	0	
730	Northern	0	
799	Not stated (SASK)	0	
801	Alberta North	0	
805	Alberta Central	3	0.4%
810	Edmonton and Area	9	1.1%
815	Canadian Rockies	121	14.3%
820	Calgary and Area	39	4.6%
825	Alberta South	12	1.4%

# VSTRC06: Tourism region code - 6th place visited			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
899	Not stated (ALTA)	1	0.1%
901	Vancouver Islands	54	6.4%
905	Vancouver, Coast & Mountains	80	9.5%
910	Thompson - Okanagan	49	5.8%
915	Kootenay Rockies	26	3.1%
920	Cariboo Chicoltin Coast	8	0.9%
925	Northern B.C.	26	3.1%
981	Yukon	26	3.1%
991	Northwest Territories	2	0.2%
992	Nunavut	0	
993	Territory (Not Stated)	0	
999	Not stated (BC)	1	0.1%
9996	Valid skip	19947	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	458	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNTSVS06: Number of nights - 6th place visited			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-145] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1302 /-] [Invalid=19947 /-] [Mean=1.897 /-] [StdDev=7.355 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip	19947	
997	Don't know	0	
998	Refusal	0	
999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV06A: Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Hotel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=810 /-] [Invalid=20439 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 1: Hotel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	333	41.1%
2	No	477	58.9%
6	Valid skip	20439	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV06B: Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Motel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		

# VACCV06B: Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Motel			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=810 /-] [Invalid=20439 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 2: Motel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	53	<div><div></div></div> 6.5%
2	No	757	<div><div></div></div> 93.5%
6	Valid skip	20439	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV06C: Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Home of friends or relatives			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=810 /-] [Invalid=20439 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 3: Home of friends or relatives		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	91	<div><div></div></div> 11.2%
2	No	719	<div><div></div></div> 88.8%
6	Valid skip	20439	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV06D: Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Camp or trailer park			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=810 /-] [Invalid=20439 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 4: Camp or trailer park		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	114	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
2	No	696	<div><div></div></div> 85.9%
6	Valid skip	20439	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV06E: Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Cottage or cabin			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=810 /-] [Invalid=20439 /-]		

# VACCV06E: Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Cottage or cabin			
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 5: Cottage or cabin		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	16	<div><div></div></div> 2.0%
2	No	794	<div><div></div></div> 98.0%
6	Valid skip	20439	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV06G: Accommodation type - 6th place visited - Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=810 /-] [Invalid=20439 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 7: Other		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	110	<div><div></div></div> 13.6%
2	No	700	<div><div></div></div> 86.4%
6	Valid skip	20439	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSPRCD07: Census provincial code - 7th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-62] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=541 /-] [Invalid=20708 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
11	Prince Edward Island	12	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
12	Nova Scotia	41	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
13	New Brunswick	19	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
24	Quebec	77	<div><div></div></div> 14.2%
35	Ontario	66	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
46	Manitoba	5	<div><div></div></div> 0.9%
47	Saskatchewan	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
48	Alberta	117	<div><div></div></div> 21.6%
59	British Columbia	174	<div><div></div></div> 32.2%
60	Yukon	22	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
61	Northwest Territories	0	
62	Nunavut	0	

# VSPRCD07: Census provincial code - 7th place visited			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip	20708	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSCMA07: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 7th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=533 /-] [Invalid=20716 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Territories (outside census agglomerations, Canada)	13	<div></div> 2.4%
1	St. John's	1	<div></div> 0.2%
5	Bay Roberts	0	
10	Grand Falls - Windsor	0	
15	Corner Brook	2	<div></div> 0.4%
105	Charlottetown	7	<div></div> 1.3%
110	Summerside	1	<div></div> 0.2%
205	Halifax	8	<div></div> 1.5%
210	Kentville	0	
215	Truro	2	<div></div> 0.4%
220	New Glasgow	0	
225	Cape Breton	3	<div></div> 0.6%
305	Moncton	5	<div></div> 0.9%
310	Saint John	3	<div></div> 0.6%
320	Fredericton	1	<div></div> 0.2%
328	Bathurst	1	<div></div> 0.2%
329	Miramichi	0	
330	Cambellton	0	
335	Edmundston	0	
403	Matane	1	<div></div> 0.2%
404	Rimouski	0	
405	Rivi�re-du-Loup	2	<div></div> 0.4%
406	Baie-Comeau	0	
408	Saguenay	1	<div></div> 0.2%
410	Alma	1	<div></div> 0.2%
411	Dolbeau-Mistassini	0	
412	Sept-�les	0	
421	Qu�bec	14	<div></div> 2.6%
428	Saint-Georges	0	
430	Thetford Mines	0	
433	Sherbrooke	0	
437	Cowansville	0	
440	Victoriaville	0	
442	Trois-Rivi�res	2	<div></div> 0.4%

VSCMA07: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 7th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
444	Shawinigan	0	
446	La Tuque	0	
447	Drummondville	0	
450	Granby	0	
452	Saint-Hyacinthe	0	
454	Sorel-Tracy	0	
456	Joliette	0	
459	Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	0	
462	Montréal	18	3.4%
465	Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	0	
468	Lachute	0	
480	Val-d'Or	0	
481	Amos	0	
485	Rouyn-Noranda	0	
501	Cornwall	0	
502	Hawkesbury	0	
505	Ottawa - Gatineau	6	1.1%
512	Brockville	1	0.2%
515	Pembroke	0	
516	Petawawa	0	
521	Kingston	3	0.6%
522	Belleville	0	
527	Cobourg	0	
528	Port Hope	0	
529	Peterborough	0	
530	Kawartha Lakes	0	
531	Centre Wellington	0	
532	Oshawa	1	0.2%
533	Ingersoll	0	
535	Toronto	24	4.5%
537	Hamilton	1	0.2%
539	St. Catharines - Niagara	11	2.1%
541	Kitchener - Cambridge - Waterloo	1	0.2%
543	Brantford	0	
544	Woodstock	0	
546	Tillsonburg	0	
547	Norfolk	0	
550	Guelph	0	
553	Stratford	0	
555	London	0	
556	Chatham-Kent	0	
557	Leamington	0	
559	Windsor	1	0.2%
562	Sarnia	0	
566	Owen Sound	0	

VSCMA07: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 7th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
567	Collingwood	1	0.2%
568	Barrie	1	0.2%
569	Orillia	0	
571	Midland	1	0.2%
575	North Bay	0	
580	Greater Sudbury	0	
582	Elliot Lake	0	
584	Temiskaming Shores	0	
586	Timmins	0	
590	Sault Ste. Marie	0	
595	Thunder Bay	0	
598	Kenora	0	
602	Winnipeg	3	0.6%
605	Steinbach	0	
607	Portage la Prairie	0	
610	Brandon	0	
640	Thompson	0	
705	Regina	0	
710	Yorkton	0	
715	Moose Jaw	0	
720	Swift Current	2	0.4%
725	Saskatoon	0	
735	North Battleford	0	
745	Prince Albert	0	
750	Estevan	0	
805	Medicine Hat	0	
806	Brooks	1	0.2%
810	Lethbridge	0	
820	Okotoks	0	
821	High River	0	
825	Calgary	13	2.4%
826	Strathmore	0	
828	Canmore	8	1.5%
830	Red Deer	2	0.4%
831	Sylvan Lake	0	
832	Lacombe	0	
833	Camrose	0	
835	Edmonton	8	1.5%
840	Lloydminster	0	
845	Cold Lake	0	
850	Grande Prairie	0	
860	Wood Buffalo	0	
865	Wetaskiwin	0	
905	Cranbrook	1	0.2%
913	Penticton	1	0.2%

# VSCMA07: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 7th place visited			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
915	Kelowna	2	0.4%
918	Vernon	1	0.2%
920	Salmon Arm	0	
925	Kamloops	14	2.6%
930	Chilliwack	0	
932	Abbotsford - Mission	1	0.2%
933	Vancouver	27	5.1%
934	Squamish	3	0.6%
935	Victoria	17	3.2%
937	Duncan	0	
938	Nanaimo	4	0.8%
939	Parksville	0	
940	Port Alberni	0	
943	Courtenay	0	
944	Campbell River	3	0.6%
945	Powell River	0	
950	Williams Lake	2	0.4%
952	Quesnel	1	0.2%
955	Prince Rupert	1	0.2%
960	Kitimat	0	
965	Terrace	0	
970	Prince George	3	0.6%
975	Dawson Creek	1	0.2%
977	Fort St. John	1	0.2%
990	Whitehorse	9	1.7%
995	Yellowknife	0	
996	Strong metropolitan influenced zone	9	1.7%
997	Moderate metropolitan influenced zone	75	14.1%
998	Weak metropolitan influenced zone	180	33.8%
999	No metropolitan influenced zone	2	0.4%
9996	Valid skip	20231	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	485	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSTRC07: Tourism region code - 7th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=541 /-] [Invalid=20708 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Avalon	1	0.2%
5	Eastern	0	
10	Central	0	
15	Western	4	0.7%

VSTRC07: Tourism region code - 7th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
20	Labrador	0	
99	Not stated (NFLD)	0	
101	PEI	12	2.2%
201	Annapolis Valley	0	
202	Yarmouth and Acadian Shores	3	0.6%
205	South Shore	0	
206	South Shore	5	0.9%
210	Halifax-Dartmouth	0	
211	Halifax-Metro	8	1.5%
215	Eastern Shore	1	0.2%
220	Cape Breton	12	2.2%
225	Northumberland Shore	1	0.2%
230	Fundy Shore	0	
232	Fundy Shore and Annapolis Valley	10	1.8%
299	Not stated (NS)	1	0.2%
300	Appalachian Range	0	
302	Miramichi River	0	
304	Acadian Coastal Drive	5	0.9%
308	Fundy	11	2.0%
318	River Valley	3	0.6%
399	Not stated (NB)	0	
401	ÃŽles-de-la-Madeleine	0	
405	GaspÃ©sie	12	2.2%
410	Bas-Saint-Laurent	2	0.4%
415	QuÃ©bec	15	2.8%
420	Charlevoix	3	0.6%
425	ChaudiÃ©re-Appalaches	1	0.2%
430	Centre du QuÃ©bec	0	
435	Mauricie	5	0.9%
440	Cantons-de-l'est	1	0.2%
445	MontÃ©rÃ©gie	2	0.4%
450	LanaudiÃ©re	1	0.2%
455	Laurentides	3	0.6%
460	MontrÃ©al	16	3.0%
465	Outaouais	2	0.4%
470	Abiti - TÃ©miscamingue	0	
475	Saguenay - Lac-Saint-Jean	4	0.7%
480	Manicouagan	8	1.5%
485	Duplessis	0	
491	Baie James	0	
492	Nunavik	0	
493	Eeyou Istchee	0	
495	Laval	0	
499	Not stated (Que)	2	0.4%
501	Essex	0	

VSTRC07: Tourism region code - 7th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
502	Southwest Ontario	1	0.2%
505	Southwestern Ontario	0	
506	Niagara Falls & Wine Country	11	2.0%
510	Niagara region	0	
511	Hamilton, Halton and Brant	2	0.4%
515	South-Central Ontario	0	
516	Huron, Perth, Waterloo and Wellington	2	0.4%
520	Toronto and area	0	
521	Greater Toronto Area	19	3.5%
525	Central Ontario	0	
526	York, Durham and Hills of Headwaters	6	1.1%
530	St. Lawrence River Corridor	0	
531	BruceGreySimcoe	5	0.9%
535	Ottawa region	0	
536	Kawarthas and Northumberland	0	
540	Eastern Ontario	0	
541	South Eastern Ontario	5	0.9%
545	North-Central	0	
546	Ottawa and Countryside	4	0.7%
550	North-East	0	
551	Haliburton Highlands to the Ottawa Valley	3	0.6%
555	North-West	0	
556	Muskoka, Parry Sound and Algonquin Park	4	0.7%
560	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North East	2	0.4%
565	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North Central	0	
570	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North West	1	0.2%
599	Not stated (Ont)	1	0.2%
601	Winnipeg	3	0.6%
605	South East	0	
610	South Central	0	
615	South West	0	
620	North Central	0	
625	Parklands	1	0.2%
630	Interlake	0	
635	Northern	1	0.2%
699	Not stated (Man)	0	
701	Southwestern	2	0.4%
705	Southeastern (without Regina)	0	
710	Regina	0	
715	West Central (without Saskatoon)	0	
720	Saskatoon	0	
725	East Central	0	
730	Northern	0	
799	Not stated (SASK)	1	0.2%
801	Alberta North	1	0.2%

# VSTRC07: Tourism region code - 7th place visited			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
805	Alberta Central	7	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
810	Edmonton and Area	8	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
815	Canadian Rockies	78	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
820	Calgary and Area	13	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
825	Alberta South	10	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
899	Not stated (ALTA)	0	
901	Vancouver Islands	38	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
905	Vancouver, Coast & Mountains	59	<div><div></div></div> 10.9%
910	Thompson - Okanagan	38	<div><div></div></div> 7.0%
915	Kootenay Rockies	16	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
920	Cariboo Chicoltin Coast	6	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
925	Northern B.C.	16	<div><div></div></div> 3.0%
981	Yukon	22	<div><div></div></div> 4.1%
991	Northwest Territories	0	
992	Nunavut	0	
993	Territory (Not Stated)	0	
999	Not stated (BC)	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.2%
9996	Valid skip	20231	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	477	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNTSVS07: Number of nights - 7th place visited			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-106] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1018 /-] [Invalid=20231 /-] [Mean=1.434 /-] [StdDev=5.357 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip	20231	
997	Don't know	0	
998	Refusal	0	
999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV07A: Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Hotel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=517 /-] [Invalid=20732 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 1: Hotel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	201	<div><div></div></div> 38.9%
2	No	316	<div><div></div></div> 61.1%
6	Valid skip	20732	
7	Don't know	0	

# VACCV07A: Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Hotel			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV07B: Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Motel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=517 /-] [Invalid=20732 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 2: Motel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	38	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
2	No	479	<div><div></div></div> 92.6%
6	Valid skip	20732	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV07C: Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Home of friends or relatives			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=517 /-] [Invalid=20732 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 3: Home of friends or relatives		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	38	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
2	No	479	<div><div></div></div> 92.6%
6	Valid skip	20732	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV07D: Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Camp or trailer park			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=517 /-] [Invalid=20732 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 4: Camp or trailer park		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	106	<div><div></div></div> 20.5%
2	No	411	<div><div></div></div> 79.5%
6	Valid skip	20732	
7	Don't know	0	

# VACCV07D: Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Camp or trailer park			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV07E: Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Cottage or cabin			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=517 /-] [Invalid=20732 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 5: Cottage or cabin		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	14	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
2	No	503	<div><div></div></div> 97.3%
6	Valid skip	20732	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV07G: Accommodation type - 7th place visited - Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=517 /-] [Invalid=20732 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 7: Other		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	76	<div><div></div></div> 14.7%
2	No	441	<div><div></div></div> 85.3%
6	Valid skip	20732	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSPRCD08: Census provincial code - 8th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-62] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=377 /-] [Invalid=20872 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	6	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
11	Prince Edward Island	8	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
12	Nova Scotia	31	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
13	New Brunswick	13	<div><div></div></div> 3.4%
24	Quebec	53	<div><div></div></div> 14.1%
35	Ontario	38	<div><div></div></div> 10.1%

VSPRCD08: Census provincial code - 8th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
46	Manitoba	1	0.3%
47	Saskatchewan	4	1.1%
48	Alberta	88	23.3%
59	British Columbia	123	32.6%
60	Yukon	12	3.2%
61	Northwest Territories	0	
62	Nunavut	0	
96	Valid skip	20872	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VSCMA08: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 8th place visited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=373 /-] [Invalid=20876 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Territories (outside census agglomerations, Canada)	7	1.9%
1	St. John's	0	
5	Bay Roberts	0	
10	Grand Falls - Windsor	0	
15	Corner Brook	0	
105	Charlottetown	6	1.6%
110	Summerside	0	
205	Halifax	8	2.1%
210	Kentville	1	0.3%
215	Truro	1	0.3%
220	New Glasgow	0	
225	Cape Breton	4	1.1%
305	Moncton	1	0.3%
310	Saint John	1	0.3%
320	Fredericton	0	
328	Bathurst	0	
329	Miramichi	0	
330	Cambellton	1	0.3%
335	Edmundston	0	
403	Matane	0	
404	Rimouski	1	0.3%
405	Rivi�re-du-Loup	0	
406	Baie-Comeau	0	
408	Saguenay	4	1.1%
410	Alma	2	0.5%
411	Dolbeau-Mistassini	0	
412	Sept-�les	0	

VSCMA08: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 8th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
421	QuÃ©bec	9	2.4%
428	Saint-Georges	0	
430	Thetford Mines	0	
433	Sherbrooke	0	
437	Cowansville	0	
440	Victoriaville	0	
442	Trois-RiviÃ¨res	2	0.5%
444	Shawinigan	0	
446	La Tuque	0	
447	Drummondville	1	0.3%
450	Granby	0	
452	Saint-Hyacinthe	0	
454	Sorel-Tracy	0	
456	Joliette	0	
459	Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	0	
462	MontrÃ©al	12	3.2%
465	Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	0	
468	Lachute	0	
480	Val-d'Or	0	
481	Amos	0	
485	Rouyn-Noranda	0	
501	Cornwall	0	
502	Hawkesbury	0	
505	Ottawa - Gatineau	1	0.3%
512	Brockville	0	
515	Pembroke	0	
516	Petawawa	0	
521	Kingston	3	0.8%
522	Belleville	0	
527	Cobourg	0	
528	Port Hope	0	
529	Peterborough	0	
530	Kawartha Lakes	0	
531	Centre Wellington	0	
532	Oshawa	0	
533	Ingersoll	0	
535	Toronto	13	3.5%
537	Hamilton	0	
539	St. Catharines - Niagara	10	2.7%
541	Kitchener - Cambridge - Waterloo	0	
543	Brantford	0	
544	Woodstock	0	
546	Tillsonburg	0	
547	Norfolk	0	
550	Guelph	0	

VSCMA08: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 8th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
553	Stratford	1	0.3%
555	London	1	0.3%
556	Chatham-Kent	0	
557	Leamington	0	
559	Windsor	0	
562	Sarnia	0	
566	Owen Sound	0	
567	Collingwood	1	0.3%
568	Barrie	0	
569	Orillia	0	
571	Midland	0	
575	North Bay	0	
580	Greater Sudbury	0	
582	Elliot Lake	0	
584	Temiskaming Shores	0	
586	Timmins	0	
590	Sault Ste. Marie	0	
595	Thunder Bay	1	0.3%
598	Kenora	1	0.3%
602	Winnipeg	0	
605	Steinbach	0	
607	Portage la Prairie	0	
610	Brandon	0	
640	Thompson	0	
705	Regina	0	
710	Yorkton	0	
715	Moose Jaw	2	0.5%
720	Swift Current	0	
725	Saskatoon	1	0.3%
735	North Battleford	1	0.3%
745	Prince Albert	0	
750	Estevan	0	
805	Medicine Hat	0	
806	Brooks	0	
810	Lethbridge	3	0.8%
820	Okotoks	0	
821	High River	0	
825	Calgary	19	5.1%
826	Strathmore	0	
828	Canmore	2	0.5%
830	Red Deer	2	0.5%
831	Sylvan Lake	0	
832	Lacombe	0	
833	Camrose	0	
835	Edmonton	1	0.3%

# VSCMA08: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 8th place visited			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
840	Lloydminster	0	
845	Cold Lake	0	
850	Grande Prairie	0	
860	Wood Buffalo	0	
865	Wetaskiwin	0	
905	Cranbrook	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
913	Penticton	0	
915	Kelowna	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
918	Vernon	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
920	Salmon Arm	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
925	Kamloops	7	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
930	Chilliwack	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
932	Abbotsford - Mission	0	
933	Vancouver	24	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
934	Squamish	0	
935	Victoria	17	<div><div></div></div> 4.6%
937	Duncan	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
938	Nanaimo	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
939	Parksville	0	
940	Port Alberni	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
943	Courtenay	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
944	Campbell River	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
945	Powell River	0	
950	Williams Lake	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
952	Quesnel	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.3%
955	Prince Rupert	0	
960	Kitimat	0	
965	Terrace	0	
970	Prince George	8	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
975	Dawson Creek	0	
977	Fort St. John	0	
990	Whitehorse	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.3%
995	Yellowknife	0	
996	Strong metropolitan influenced zone	9	<div><div></div></div> 2.4%
997	Moderate metropolitan influenced zone	30	<div><div></div></div> 8.0%
998	Weak metropolitan influenced zone	118	<div><div></div></div> 31.6%
999	No metropolitan influenced zone	11	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
9996	Valid skip	20387	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	489	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSTRC08: Tourism region code - 8th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=377 /-] [Invalid=20872 /-]		

VSTRC08: Tourism region code - 8th place visited

Universe		All respondents.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Avalon	0	
5	Eastern	1	0.3%
10	Central	0	
15	Western	5	1.3%
20	Labrador	0	
99	Not stated (NFLD)	0	
101	PEI	8	2.1%
201	Annapolis Valley	0	
202	Yarmouth and Acadian Shores	1	0.3%
205	South Shore	0	
206	South Shore	3	0.8%
210	Halifax-Dartmouth	0	
211	Halifax-Metro	8	2.1%
215	Eastern Shore	2	0.5%
220	Cape Breton	9	2.4%
225	Northumberland Shore	3	0.8%
230	Fundy Shore	0	
232	Fundy Shore and Annapolis Valley	4	1.1%
299	Not stated (NS)	1	0.3%
300	Appalachian Range	1	0.3%
302	Miramichi River	0	
304	Acadian Coastal Drive	1	0.3%
308	Fundy	7	1.9%
318	River Valley	4	1.1%
399	Not stated (NB)	0	
401	ÃŽles-de-la-Madeleine	0	
405	GaspÃ©sie	9	2.4%
410	Bas-Saint-Laurent	1	0.3%
415	QuÃ©bec	9	2.4%
420	Charlevoix	2	0.5%
425	ChaudiÃ©re-Appalaches	0	
430	Centre du QuÃ©bec	1	0.3%
435	Mauricie	3	0.8%
440	Cantons-de-l'est	0	
445	MontÃ©rÃ©gie	0	
450	LanaudiÃ©re	2	0.5%
455	Laurentides	2	0.5%
460	MontrÃ©al	12	3.2%
465	Outaouais	0	
470	Abiti - TÃ©miscamingue	0	
475	Saguenay - Lac-Saint-Jean	6	1.6%
480	Manicouagan	5	1.3%
485	Duplessis	0	
491	Baie James	0	

VSTRC08: Tourism region code - 8th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
492	Nunavik	0	
493	Eeyou Istchee	0	
495	Laval	0	
499	Not stated (Que)	1	0.3%
501	Essex	0	
502	Southwest Ontario	1	0.3%
505	Southwestern Ontario	0	
506	Niagara Falls & Wine Country	10	2.7%
510	Niagara region	0	
511	Hamilton, Halton and Brant	2	0.5%
515	South-Central Ontario	0	
516	Huron, Perth, Waterloo and Wellington	1	0.3%
520	Toronto and area	0	
521	Greater Toronto Area	11	2.9%
525	Central Ontario	0	
526	York, Durham and Hills of Headwaters	0	
530	St. Lawrence River Corridor	0	
531	BruceGreySimcoe	1	0.3%
535	Ottawa region	0	
536	Kawarthas and Northumberland	3	0.8%
540	Eastern Ontario	0	
541	South Eastern Ontario	4	1.1%
545	North-Central	0	
546	Ottawa and Countryside	1	0.3%
550	North-East	0	
551	Haliburton Highlands to the Ottawa Valley	0	
555	North-West	0	
556	Muskoka, Parry Sound and Algonquin Park	1	0.3%
560	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North East	1	0.3%
565	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North Central	0	
570	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North West	2	0.5%
599	Not stated (Ont)	0	
601	Winnipeg	0	
605	South East	1	0.3%
610	South Central	0	
615	South West	0	
620	North Central	0	
625	Parklands	0	
630	Interlake	0	
635	Northern	0	
699	Not stated (Man)	0	
701	Southwestern	2	0.5%
705	Southeastern (without Regina)	0	
710	Regina	0	
715	West Central (without Saskatoon)	1	0.3%

# VSTRC08: Tourism region code - 8th place visited			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
720	Saskatoon	1	0.3%
725	East Central	0	
730	Northern	0	
799	Not stated (SASK)	0	
801	Alberta North	2	0.5%
805	Alberta Central	4	1.1%
810	Edmonton and Area	1	0.3%
815	Canadian Rockies	52	13.8%
820	Calgary and Area	20	5.3%
825	Alberta South	8	2.1%
899	Not stated (ALTA)	1	0.3%
901	Vancouver Islands	35	9.3%
905	Vancouver, Coast & Mountains	30	8.0%
910	Thompson - Okanagan	21	5.6%
915	Kootenay Rockies	10	2.7%
920	Cariboo Chicoltin Coast	6	1.6%
925	Northern B.C.	20	5.3%
981	Yukon	12	3.2%
991	Northwest Territories	0	
992	Nunavut	0	
993	Territory (Not Stated)	0	
999	Not stated (BC)	1	0.3%
9996	Valid skip	20387	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	485	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNTSVS08: Number of nights - 8th place visited			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-102] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=862 /-] [Invalid=20387 /-] [Mean=0.995 /-] [StdDev=3.942 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip	20387	
997	Don't know	0	
998	Refusal	0	
999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV08A: Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Hotel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=363 /-] [Invalid=20886 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 1: Hotel		

# VACCV08A: Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Hotel			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	140	<div></div> 38.6%
2	No	223	<div></div> 61.4%
6	Valid skip	20886	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV08B: Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Motel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=363 /-] [Invalid=20886 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 2: Motel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	30	<div></div> 8.3%
2	No	333	<div></div> 91.7%
6	Valid skip	20886	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# VACCV08C: Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Home of friends or relatives			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=363 /-] [Invalid=20886 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 3: Home of friends or relatives		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	19	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
2	No	344	<div><div></div></div> 94.8%
6	Valid skip	20886	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV08D: Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Camp or trailer park			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=363 /-] [Invalid=20886 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 4: Camp or trailer park		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	86	<div><div></div></div> 23.7%
2	No	277	<div><div></div></div> 76.3%
6	Valid skip	20886	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV08E: Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Cottage or cabin			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=363 /-] [Invalid=20886 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 5: Cottage or cabin		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
2	No	358	<div><div></div></div> 98.6%
6	Valid skip	20886	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV08G: Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		

# VACCV08G: Accommodation type - 8th place visited - Other			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=363 /-] [Invalid=20886 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 7: Other		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	45	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
2	No	318	<div><div></div></div> 87.6%
6	Valid skip	20886	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSPRCD09: Census provincial code - 9th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-62] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=266 /-] [Invalid=20983 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.1%
11	Prince Edward Island	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
12	Nova Scotia	21	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
13	New Brunswick	12	<div><div></div></div> 4.5%
24	Quebec	37	<div><div></div></div> 13.9%
35	Ontario	18	<div><div></div></div> 6.8%
46	Manitoba	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
47	Saskatchewan	4	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
48	Alberta	64	<div><div></div></div> 24.1%
59	British Columbia	93	<div><div></div></div> 35.0%
60	Yukon	7	<div><div></div></div> 2.6%
61	Northwest Territories	0	
62	Nunavut	0	
96	Valid skip	20983	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSCMA09: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 9th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=261 /-] [Invalid=20988 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Territories (outside census agglomerations, Canada)	7	<div><div></div></div> 2.7%
1	St. John's	0	
5	Bay Roberts	0	
10	Grand Falls - Windsor	0	

VSCMA09: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 9th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
15	Corner Brook	0	
105	Charlottetown	1	0.4%
110	Summerside	0	
205	Halifax	5	1.9%
210	Kentville	0	
215	Truro	1	0.4%
220	New Glasgow	2	0.8%
225	Cape Breton	2	0.8%
305	Moncton	0	
310	Saint John	1	0.4%
320	Fredericton	0	
328	Bathurst	0	
329	Miramichi	1	0.4%
330	Cambellton	0	
335	Edmundston	0	
403	Matane	0	
404	Rimouski	1	0.4%
405	Rivi�re-du-Loup	1	0.4%
406	Baie-Comeau	0	
408	Saguenay	0	
410	Alma	0	
411	Dolbeau-Mistassini	0	
412	Sept-�les	0	
421	Qu�bec	6	2.3%
428	Saint-Georges	0	
430	Thetford Mines	0	
433	Sherbrooke	1	0.4%
437	Cowansville	0	
440	Victoriaville	0	
442	Trois-Rivi�res	1	0.4%
444	Shawinigan	0	
446	La Tuque	0	
447	Drummondville	0	
450	Granby	0	
452	Saint-Hyacinthe	0	
454	Sorel-Tracy	0	
456	Joliette	0	
459	Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	0	
462	Montr�al	13	5.0%
465	Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	0	
468	Lachute	0	
480	Val-d'Or	0	
481	Amos	0	
485	Rouyn-Noranda	0	
501	Cornwall	0	

VSCMA09: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 9th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
502	Hawkesbury	0	
505	Ottawa - Gatineau	1	0.4%
512	Brockville	0	
515	Pembroke	0	
516	Petawawa	0	
521	Kingston	1	0.4%
522	Belleville	0	
527	Cobourg	0	
528	Port Hope	0	
529	Peterborough	0	
530	Kawartha Lakes	0	
531	Centre Wellington	0	
532	Oshawa	0	
533	Ingersoll	0	
535	Toronto	6	2.3%
537	Hamilton	0	
539	St. Catharines - Niagara	5	1.9%
541	Kitchener - Cambridge - Waterloo	0	
543	Brantford	0	
544	Woodstock	0	
546	Tillsonburg	0	
547	Norfolk	0	
550	Guelph	0	
553	Stratford	0	
555	London	1	0.4%
556	Chatham-Kent	0	
557	Leamington	0	
559	Windsor	0	
562	Sarnia	0	
566	Owen Sound	0	
567	Collingwood	0	
568	Barrie	0	
569	Orillia	0	
571	Midland	0	
575	North Bay	0	
580	Greater Sudbury	0	
582	Elliot Lake	0	
584	Temiskaming Shores	0	
586	Timmins	0	
590	Sault Ste. Marie	2	0.8%
595	Thunder Bay	0	
598	Kenora	0	
602	Winnipeg	1	0.4%
605	Steinbach	0	
607	Portage la Prairie	0	

VSCMA09: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 9th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
610	Brandon	0	
640	Thompson	0	
705	Regina	2	0.8%
710	Yorkton	0	
715	Moose Jaw	0	
720	Swift Current	0	
725	Saskatoon	1	0.4%
735	North Battleford	0	
745	Prince Albert	0	
750	Estevan	0	
805	Medicine Hat	0	
806	Brooks	0	
810	Lethbridge	0	
820	Okotoks	1	0.4%
821	High River	0	
825	Calgary	10	3.8%
826	Strathmore	0	
828	Canmore	6	2.3%
830	Red Deer	0	
831	Sylvan Lake	0	
832	Lacombe	0	
833	Camrose	0	
835	Edmonton	5	1.9%
840	Lloydminster	0	
845	Cold Lake	0	
850	Grande Prairie	2	0.8%
860	Wood Buffalo	0	
865	Wetaskiwin	0	
905	Cranbrook	3	1.1%
913	Penticton	1	0.4%
915	Kelowna	0	
918	Vernon	0	
920	Salmon Arm	0	
925	Kamloops	2	0.8%
930	Chilliwack	1	0.4%
932	Abbotsford - Mission	0	
933	Vancouver	20	7.7%
934	Squamish	0	
935	Victoria	10	3.8%
937	Duncan	1	0.4%
938	Nanaimo	2	0.8%
939	Parksville	0	
940	Port Alberni	1	0.4%
943	Courtenay	0	
944	Campbell River	1	0.4%

VSCMA09: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 9th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
945	Powell River	0	
950	Williams Lake	0	
952	Quesnel	1	0.4%
955	Prince Rupert	1	0.4%
960	Kitimat	0	
965	Terrace	0	
970	Prince George	2	0.8%
975	Dawson Creek	0	
977	Fort St. John	1	0.4%
990	Whitehorse	0	
995	Yellowknife	0	
996	Strong metropolitan influenced zone	6	2.3%
997	Moderate metropolitan influenced zone	29	11.1%
998	Weak metropolitan influenced zone	82	31.4%
999	No metropolitan influenced zone	10	3.8%
9996	Valid skip	20496	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	492	

Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.

VSTRC09: Tourism region code - 9th place visited

Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=266 /-] [Invalid=20983 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Avalon	0	
5	Eastern	0	
10	Central	0	
15	Western	3	1.1%
20	Labrador	0	
99	Not stated (NFLD)	0	
101	PEI	5	1.9%
201	Annapolis Valley	0	
202	Yarmouth and Acadian Shores	1	0.4%
205	South Shore	0	
206	South Shore	3	1.1%
210	Halifax-Dartmouth	0	
211	Halifax-Metro	5	1.9%
215	Eastern Shore	0	
220	Cape Breton	6	2.3%
225	Northumberland Shore	4	1.5%
230	Fundy Shore	0	
232	Fundy Shore and Annapolis Valley	2	0.8%
299	Not stated (NS)	0	

VSTRC09: Tourism region code - 9th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
300	Appalachian Range	3	<div></div> 1.1%
302	Miramichi River	1	<div></div> 0.4%
304	Acadian Coastal Drive	2	<div></div> 0.8%
308	Fundy	5	<div></div> 1.9%
318	River Valley	0	
399	Not stated (NB)	1	<div></div> 0.4%
401	Ãšles-de-la-Madeleine	0	
405	GaspÃ©sie	5	<div></div> 1.9%
410	Bas-Saint-Laurent	2	<div></div> 0.8%
415	QuÃ©bec	6	<div></div> 2.3%
420	Charlevoix	1	<div></div> 0.4%
425	ChaudiÃ©re-Appalaches	0	
430	Centre du QuÃ©bec	0	
435	Mauricie	1	<div></div> 0.4%
440	Cantons-de-l'est	1	<div></div> 0.4%
445	MontÃ©rÃ©gie	1	<div></div> 0.4%
450	LanaudiÃ©re	1	<div></div> 0.4%
455	Laurentides	2	<div></div> 0.8%
460	MontrÃ©al	12	<div></div> 4.5%
465	Outaouais	0	
470	Abiti - TÃ©miscamingue	0	
475	Saguenay - Lac-Saint-Jean	0	
480	Manicouagan	3	<div></div> 1.1%
485	Duplessis	0	
491	Baie James	0	
492	Nunavik	0	
493	Eeyou Istchee	0	
495	Laval	0	
499	Not stated (Que)	2	<div></div> 0.8%
501	Essex	0	
502	Southwest Ontario	1	<div></div> 0.4%
505	Southwestern Ontario	0	
506	Niagara Falls & Wine Country	5	<div></div> 1.9%
510	Niagara region	0	
511	Hamilton, Halton and Brant	0	
515	South-Central Ontario	0	
516	Huron, Perth, Waterloo and Wellington	0	
520	Toronto and area	0	
521	Greater Toronto Area	6	<div></div> 2.3%
525	Central Ontario	0	
526	York, Durham and Hills of Headwaters	0	
530	St. Lawrence River Corridor	0	
531	BruceGreySimcoe	0	
535	Ottawa region	0	
536	Kawartha and Northumberland	0	

VSTRC09: Tourism region code - 9th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
540	Eastern Ontario	0	
541	South Eastern Ontario	1	0.4%
545	North-Central	0	
546	Ottawa and Countryside	1	0.4%
550	North-East	0	
551	Haliburton Highlands to the Ottawa Valley	0	
555	North-West	0	
556	Muskoka, Parry Sound and Algonquin Park	2	0.8%
560	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North East	0	
565	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North Central	2	0.8%
570	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North West	0	
599	Not stated (Ont)	0	
601	Winnipeg	1	0.4%
605	South East	0	
610	South Central	0	
615	South West	0	
620	North Central	1	0.4%
625	Parklands	0	
630	Interlake	0	
635	Northern	0	
699	Not stated (Man)	0	
701	Southwestern	0	
705	Southeastern (without Regina)	1	0.4%
710	Regina	2	0.8%
715	West Central (without Saskatoon)	0	
720	Saskatoon	1	0.4%
725	East Central	0	
730	Northern	0	
799	Not stated (SASK)	0	
801	Alberta North	2	0.8%
805	Alberta Central	2	0.8%
810	Edmonton and Area	5	1.9%
815	Canadian Rockies	39	14.7%
820	Calgary and Area	11	4.1%
825	Alberta South	5	1.9%
899	Not stated (ALTA)	0	
901	Vancouver Islands	23	8.6%
905	Vancouver, Coast & Mountains	27	10.2%
910	Thompson - Okanagan	11	4.1%
915	Kootenay Rockies	13	4.9%
920	Cariboo Chicoltin Coast	4	1.5%
925	Northern B.C.	13	4.9%
981	Yukon	7	2.6%
991	Northwest Territories	0	
992	Nunavut	0	

# VSTRC09: Tourism region code - 9th place visited			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
993	Territory (Not Stated)	0	
999	Not stated (BC)	2	0.8%
9996	Valid skip	20496	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	487	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNTSVS09: Number of nights - 9th place visited			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-70] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=753 /-] [Invalid=20496 /-] [Mean=0.839 /-] [StdDev=3.61 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip	20496	
997	Don't know	0	
998	Refusal	0	
999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV09A: Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Hotel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=264 /-] [Invalid=20985 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 1: Hotel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	91	34.5%
2	No	173	65.5%
6	Valid skip	20985	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV09B: Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Motel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=264 /-] [Invalid=20985 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 2: Motel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	27	10.2%
2	No	237	89.8%
6	Valid skip	20985	
7	Don't know	0	

# VACCV09B: Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Motel			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV09C: Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Home of friends or relatives			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=264 /-] [Invalid=20985 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 3: Home of friends or relatives		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	15	<div><div></div></div> 5.7%
2	No	249	<div><div></div></div> 94.3%
6	Valid skip	20985	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV09D: Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Camp or trailer park			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=264 /-] [Invalid=20985 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 4: Camp or trailer park		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	62	<div><div></div></div> 23.5%
2	No	202	<div><div></div></div> 76.5%
6	Valid skip	20985	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV09E: Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Cottage or cabin			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=264 /-] [Invalid=20985 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 5: Cottage or cabin		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
2	No	259	<div><div></div></div> 98.1%
6	Valid skip	20985	
7	Don't know	0	

# VACCV09E: Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Cottage or cabin			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV09G: Accommodation type - 9th place visited - Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=264 /-] [Invalid=20985 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 7: Other		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	39	<div><div></div></div> 14.8%
2	No	225	<div><div></div></div> 85.2%
6	Valid skip	20985	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSPRCD10: Census provincial code - 10th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-62] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=172 /-] [Invalid=21077 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
11	Prince Edward Island	6	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
12	Nova Scotia	20	<div><div></div></div> 11.6%
13	New Brunswick	4	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
24	Quebec	21	<div><div></div></div> 12.2%
35	Ontario	10	<div><div></div></div> 5.8%
46	Manitoba	0	
47	Saskatchewan	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
48	Alberta	47	<div><div></div></div> 27.3%
59	British Columbia	58	<div><div></div></div> 33.7%
60	Yukon	0	
61	Northwest Territories	0	
62	Nunavut	0	
96	Valid skip	21077	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSCMA10: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 10th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=170 /-] [Invalid=21079 /-]		

VSCMA10: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 10th place visited

Universe All respondents.

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Territories (outside census agglomerations, Canada)	0	
1	St. John's	1	0.6%
5	Bay Roberts	0	
10	Grand Falls - Windsor	0	
15	Corner Brook	0	
105	Charlottetown	4	2.4%
110	Summerside	0	
205	Halifax	13	7.6%
210	Kentville	0	
215	Truro	0	
220	New Glasgow	0	
225	Cape Breton	0	
305	Moncton	1	0.6%
310	Saint John	1	0.6%
320	Fredericton	1	0.6%
328	Bathurst	0	
329	Miramichi	0	
330	Cambellton	0	
335	Edmundston	0	
403	Matane	0	
404	Rimouski	0	
405	Rivi�re-du-Loup	1	0.6%
406	Baie-Comeau	0	
408	Saguenay	0	
410	Alma	0	
411	Dolbeau-Mistassini	0	
412	Sept-�les	0	
421	Qu�bec	3	1.8%
428	Saint-Georges	0	
430	Thetford Mines	0	
433	Sherbrooke	0	
437	Cowansville	0	
440	Victoriaville	0	
442	Trois-Rivi�res	0	
444	Shawinigan	0	
446	La Tuque	0	
447	Drummondville	0	
450	Granby	0	
452	Saint-Hyacinthe	0	
454	Sorel-Tracy	0	
456	Joliette	0	
459	Saint-Jean-sur-Richelieu	0	
462	Montr�al	9	5.3%
465	Salaberry-de-Valleyfield	0	

VSCMA10: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 10th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
468	Lachute	0	
480	Val-d'Or	0	
481	Amos	0	
485	Rouyn-Noranda	0	
501	Cornwall	0	
502	Hawkesbury	0	
505	Ottawa - Gatineau	0	
512	Brockville	0	
515	Pembroke	0	
516	Petawawa	0	
521	Kingston	1	0.6%
522	Belleville	1	0.6%
527	Cobourg	0	
528	Port Hope	0	
529	Peterborough	0	
530	Kawartha Lakes	0	
531	Centre Wellington	0	
532	Oshawa	0	
533	Ingersoll	0	
535	Toronto	2	1.2%
537	Hamilton	0	
539	St. Catharines - Niagara	3	1.8%
541	Kitchener - Cambridge - Waterloo	0	
543	Brantford	0	
544	Woodstock	0	
546	Tillsonburg	0	
547	Norfolk	0	
550	Guelph	0	
553	Stratford	0	
555	London	0	
556	Chatham-Kent	0	
557	Leamington	0	
559	Windsor	0	
562	Sarnia	0	
566	Owen Sound	0	
567	Collingwood	0	
568	Barrie	0	
569	Orillia	0	
571	Midland	0	
575	North Bay	0	
580	Greater Sudbury	1	0.6%
582	Elliot Lake	0	
584	Temiskaming Shores	0	
586	Timmins	0	
590	Sault Ste. Marie	0	

VSCMA10: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 10th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
595	Thunder Bay	0	
598	Kenora	0	
602	Winnipeg	0	
605	Steinbach	0	
607	Portage la Prairie	0	
610	Brandon	0	
640	Thompson	0	
705	Regina	0	
710	Yorkton	0	
715	Moose Jaw	0	
720	Swift Current	0	
725	Saskatoon	1	0.6%
735	North Battleford	0	
745	Prince Albert	0	
750	Estevan	0	
805	Medicine Hat	0	
806	Brooks	0	
810	Lethbridge	0	
820	Okotoks	1	0.6%
821	High River	0	
825	Calgary	22	12.9%
826	Strathmore	0	
828	Canmore	2	1.2%
830	Red Deer	1	0.6%
831	Sylvan Lake	0	
832	Lacombe	0	
833	Camrose	0	
835	Edmonton	1	0.6%
840	Lloydminster	0	
845	Cold Lake	0	
850	Grande Prairie	0	
860	Wood Buffalo	0	
865	Wetaskiwin	0	
905	Cranbrook	1	0.6%
913	Penticton	0	
915	Kelowna	5	2.9%
918	Vernon	1	0.6%
920	Salmon Arm	0	
925	Kamloops	3	1.8%
930	Chilliwack	0	
932	Abbotsford - Mission	2	1.2%
933	Vancouver	5	2.9%
934	Squamish	0	
935	Victoria	11	6.5%
937	Duncan	0	

# VSCMA10: CMA /Census Agglomeration code - 10th place visited			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
938	Nanaimo	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
939	Parksville	0	
940	Port Alberni	0	
943	Courtenay	0	
944	Campbell River	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
945	Powell River	0	
950	Williams Lake	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
952	Quesnel	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
955	Prince Rupert	0	
960	Kitimat	0	
965	Terrace	0	
970	Prince George	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
975	Dawson Creek	0	
977	Fort St. John	0	
990	Whitehorse	0	
995	Yellowknife	0	
996	Strong metropolitan influenced zone	5	<div><div></div></div> 2.9%
997	Moderate metropolitan influenced zone	21	<div><div></div></div> 12.4%
998	Weak metropolitan influenced zone	36	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
999	No metropolitan influenced zone	3	<div><div></div></div> 1.8%
9996	Valid skip	20590	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	489	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSTRC10: Tourism region code - 10th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-999] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=172 /-] [Invalid=21077 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Avalon	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%
5	Eastern	0	
10	Central	0	
15	Western	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
20	Labrador	0	
99	Not stated (NFLD)	0	
101	PEI	6	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
201	Annapolis Valley	0	
202	Yarmouth and Acadian Shores	0	
205	South Shore	0	
206	South Shore	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
210	Halifax-Dartmouth	0	
211	Halifax-Metro	13	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
215	Eastern Shore	1	<div><div></div></div> 0.6%

VSTRC10: Tourism region code - 10th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
220	Cape Breton	0	
225	Northumberland Shore	1	0.6%
230	Fundy Shore	0	
232	Fundy Shore and Annapolis Valley	2	1.2%
299	Not stated (NS)	1	0.6%
300	Appalachian Range	0	
302	Miramichi River	0	
304	Acadian Coastal Drive	1	0.6%
308	Fundy	2	1.2%
318	River Valley	1	0.6%
399	Not stated (NB)	0	
401	Îles-de-la-Madeleine	0	
405	Gaspésie	2	1.2%
410	Bas-Saint-Laurent	2	1.2%
415	Québec	3	1.7%
420	Charlevoix	0	
425	Chaudière-Appalaches	0	
430	Centre du Québec	0	
435	Mauricie	0	
440	Cantons-de-l'est	0	
445	Montréal	0	
450	Lanaudière	0	
455	Laurentides	2	1.2%
460	Montréal	9	5.2%
465	Outaouais	0	
470	Abiti - Témiscamingue	0	
475	Saguenay - Lac-Saint-Jean	0	
480	Manicouagan	2	1.2%
485	Duplessis	0	
491	Baie James	0	
492	Nunavik	0	
493	Eeyou Istchee	0	
495	Laval	0	
499	Not stated (Que)	1	0.6%
501	Essex	0	
502	Southwest Ontario	0	
505	Southwestern Ontario	0	
506	Niagara Falls & Wine Country	3	1.7%
510	Niagara region	0	
511	Hamilton, Halton and Brant	0	
515	South-Central Ontario	0	
516	Huron, Perth, Waterloo and Wellington	0	
520	Toronto and area	0	
521	Greater Toronto Area	2	1.2%
525	Central Ontario	0	

VSTRC10: Tourism region code - 10th place visited

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
526	York, Durham and Hills of Headwaters	0	
530	St. Lawrence River Corridor	0	
531	BruceGreySimcoe	0	
535	Ottawa region	0	
536	Kawarthas and Northumberland	0	
540	Eastern Ontario	0	
541	South Eastern Ontario	2	1.2%
545	North-Central	0	
546	Ottawa and Countryside	0	
550	North-East	0	
551	Haliburton Highlands to the Ottawa Valley	0	
555	North-West	0	
556	Muskoka, Parry Sound and Algonquin Park	2	1.2%
560	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North East	1	0.6%
565	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North Central	0	
570	Northern Ontario Tourism Region - North West	0	
599	Not stated (Ont)	0	
601	Winnipeg	0	
605	South East	0	
610	South Central	0	
615	South West	0	
620	North Central	0	
625	Parklands	0	
630	Interlake	0	
635	Northern	0	
699	Not stated (Man)	0	
701	Southwestern	0	
705	Southeastern (without Regina)	0	
710	Regina	0	
715	West Central (without Saskatoon)	2	1.2%
720	Saskatoon	1	0.6%
725	East Central	0	
730	Northern	0	
799	Not stated (SASK)	0	
801	Alberta North	0	
805	Alberta Central	2	1.2%
810	Edmonton and Area	1	0.6%
815	Canadian Rockies	18	10.5%
820	Calgary and Area	23	13.4%
825	Alberta South	3	1.7%
899	Not stated (ALTA)	0	
901	Vancouver Islands	15	8.7%
905	Vancouver, Coast & Mountains	13	7.6%
910	Thompson - Okanagan	14	8.1%
915	Kootenay Rockies	6	3.5%

# VSTRC10: Tourism region code - 10th place visited			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
920	Cariboo Chicoltin Coast	4	<div></div> 2.3%
925	Northern B.C.	6	<div></div> 3.5%
981	Yukon	0	
991	Northwest Territories	0	
992	Nunavut	0	
993	Territory (Not Stated)	0	
999	Not stated (BC)	0	
9996	Valid skip	20590	
9997	Don't know	0	
9998	Refusal	0	
9999	Not stated	487	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNTSVS10: Number of nights - 10th place visited			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-130] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=659 /-] [Invalid=20590 /-] [Mean=0.921 /-] [StdDev=5.866 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip	20590	
997	Don't know	0	
998	Refusal	0	
999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV10A: Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Hotel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=170 /-] [Invalid=21079 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 1: Hotel		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	59	<div></div> 34.7%
2	No	111	<div></div> 65.3%
6	Valid skip	21079	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV10B: Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Motel			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=170 /-] [Invalid=21079 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 2: Motel		

# VACCV10B: Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Motel			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	15	<div><div></div></div> 8.8%
2	No	155	<div><div></div></div> 91.2%
6	Valid skip	21079	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV10C: Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Home of friends or relatives			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=170 /-] [Invalid=21079 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 3: Home of friends or relatives		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	14	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
2	No	156	<div><div></div></div> 91.8%
6	Valid skip	21079	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV10D: Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Camp or trailer park			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=170 /-] [Invalid=21079 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 4: Camp or trailer park		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	36	<div><div></div></div> 21.2%
2	No	134	<div><div></div></div> 78.8%
6	Valid skip	21079	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV10E: Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Cottage or cabin			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=170 /-] [Invalid=21079 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 5: Cottage or cabin		

# VACCV10E: Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Cottage or cabin			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4	2.4%
2	No	166	97.6%
6	Valid skip	21079	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACCV10G: Accommodation type - 10th place visited - Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=170 /-] [Invalid=21079 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Where did your travelling party stay?		
Notes	Option 7: Other		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	24	14.1%
2	No	146	85.9%
6	Valid skip	21079	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VOWNACC: Utilized own accommodations			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16502 /-] [Invalid=4747 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Does anyone in your travelling party own any of the accommodations used on this trip?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	565	3.4%
2	No	15937	96.6%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	1011	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VTRIPRG1: Trip program - Frequent flyer points			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12757 /-] [Invalid=8492 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Was any part of your trip paid for by:		
Notes	Frequent Flyer Points or other travel rewards programs		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	337	2.6%

# VTRIPRG1: Trip program - Frequent flyer points			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
2	No	12420	97.4%
6	Valid skip	1437	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	7055	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VTRIPRG2: Trip program - Travel incentive program			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12757 /-] [Invalid=8492 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Was any part of your trip paid for by:		
Notes	An incentive travel program		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	149	1.2%
2	No	12608	98.8%
6	Valid skip	1437	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	7055	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VTRIPRG3: Trip program - Not applicable			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12757 /-] [Invalid=8492 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Was any part of your trip paid for by:		
Notes	Not applicable		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12282	96.3%
2	No	475	3.7%
6	Valid skip	1437	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	7055	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VVISIT: First Canadian visit?			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=13173 /-] [Invalid=8076 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	Was this your first visit to Canada?		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4128	31.3%
2	No	9045	68.7%

# VVISIT: First Canadian visit?			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Valid skip	1948	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	6128	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VACT01: Activity on the trip: Visit friends or family			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 01: Visit friends or family		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	7937	<div></div> 46.6%
2	No	9104	<div></div> 53.4%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VACT02: Activity on the trip: Shop			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 02: Shop		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9747	<div></div> 57.2%
2	No	7294	<div></div> 42.8%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VACT03: Activity on the trip: Sightsee			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 03: Sightsee		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	9124	<div></div> 53.5%
2	No	7917	<div></div> 46.5%

# VACT03: Activity on the trip: Sightsee			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT04: Activity on the trip: Visit a museum or art gallery			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 04: Visit a museum or art gallery		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4429	<div></div> 26.0%
2	No	12612	<div></div> 74.0%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT05: Activity on the trip: Visit a historic site			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 05: Visit a historic site		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	5476	<div></div> 32.1%
2	No	11565	<div></div> 67.9%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT06: Activity on the trip: Visit a zoo or aquarium			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 06: Visit a zoo or aquarium		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2056	<div></div> 12.1%
2	No	14985	<div></div> 87.9%

# VACT06: Activity on the trip: Visit a zoo or aquarium			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT07: Activity on the trip: Sports event - spectator			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 07: Attend a sports event as a spectator		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1609	<div><div></div></div> 9.4%
2	No	15432	<div><div></div></div> 90.6%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT08: Activity on the trip: Attend a festival or fair			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 08: Attend a festival or fair		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2789	<div><div></div></div> 16.4%
2	No	14252	<div><div></div></div> 83.6%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT09: Activity on the trip: Attend a performance			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 09: Attend a performance such as a play or concert		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2456	<div><div></div></div> 14.4%
2	No	14585	<div><div></div></div> 85.6%

# VACT09: Activity on the trip: Attend a performance			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT10: Activity on the trip: Go to a casino			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Notes	Option 10: Go to a casino		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1344	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
2	No	15697	<div><div></div></div> 92.1%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT11: Activity on the trip: Visit a theme or amusement park			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 11: Visit a theme or amusement park		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	635	<div><div></div></div> 3.7%
2	No	16406	<div><div></div></div> 96.3%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT12: Activity on the trip: Attend an aboriginal event			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 12: Attend an aboriginal event		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	199	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
2	No	16842	<div><div></div></div> 98.8%
6	Valid skip	3736	

# VACT12: Activity on the trip: Attend an aboriginal event			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT13: Activity on the trip: Go to the movies			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 13: Go to the movies		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1451	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
2	No	15590	<div><div></div></div> 91.5%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT14: Activity on the trip: Go to a restaurant, bar or club			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 14: Go to a restaurant, bar or club		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	12588	<div><div></div></div> 73.9%
2	No	4453	<div><div></div></div> 26.1%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT15: Activity on the trip: Go wildlife viewing or bird			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 15: Go wildlife viewing or bird watching		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	2025	<div><div></div></div> 11.9%
2	No	15016	<div><div></div></div> 88.1%
6	Valid skip	3736	

# VACT15: Activity on the trip: Go wildlife viewing or bird			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT16: Activity on the trip: National or provincial nature park			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 16: Visit a national or provincial nature park		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	4167	<div><div></div></div> 24.5%
2	No	12874	<div><div></div></div> 75.5%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT17: Activity on the trip: Medical or other health treatment			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 17: Go for a medical or other health treatment		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	280	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
2	No	16761	<div><div></div></div> 98.4%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT18: Activity on the trip: Business meeting, conference or seminar			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 18: Go for a business meeting, conference, or seminar		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	3119	<div><div></div></div> 18.3%
2	No	13922	<div><div></div></div> 81.7%
6	Valid skip	3736	

# VACT18: Activity on the trip: Business meeting, conference or seminar			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT19: Activity on the trip: Play individual or team sports			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 19: Play individual or team sports		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	575	3.4%
2	No	16466	96.6%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT20: Activity on the trip: Use all terrain vehicle			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 20: Use all terrain vehicle		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	133	0.8%
2	No	16908	99.2%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT21: Activity on the trip: Boating			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 21: Boating		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	796	4.7%
2	No	16245	95.3%
6	Valid skip	3736	

# VACT21: Activity on the trip: Boating			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# VACT22: Activity on the trip: Canoeing or kayaking			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 22: Canoeing or kayaking		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	595	<div><div></div></div> 3.5%
2	No	16446	<div><div></div></div> 96.5%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT23: Activity on the trip: Camping			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 23: Camping		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	530	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
2	No	16511	<div><div></div></div> 96.9%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT24: Activity on the trip: Hiking or backpacking			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 24: Hiking or backpacking		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1743	<div><div></div></div> 10.2%
2	No	15298	<div><div></div></div> 89.8%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT25: Activity on the trip: Fishing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		

# VACT25: Activity on the trip: Fishing			
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 25: Fishing		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	498	2.9%
2	No	16543	97.1%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT26: Activity on the trip: Go to a beach			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 26: Go to a beach		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	1724	10.1%
2	No	15317	89.9%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT27: Activity on the trip: Hunting			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 27: Hunting		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	77	0.5%
2	No	16964	99.5%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT28: Activity on the trip: Golfing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		

# VACT28: Activity on the trip: Golfing			
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 28: Golfing		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	222	1.3%
2	No	16819	98.7%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT29: Activity on the trip: Cycling			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 29: Cycling		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	639	3.7%
2	No	16402	96.3%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT30: Activity on the trip: Snowmobiling			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 30: Snowmobiling		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	121	0.7%
2	No	16920	99.3%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT31: Activity on the trip: Downhill skiing or snowboarding			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		

# VACT31: Activity on the trip: Downhill skiing or snowboarding			
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 31: Downhill skiing or snowboarding		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	530	<div><div></div></div> 3.1%
2	No	16511	<div><div></div></div> 96.9%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT32: Activity on the trip: Cross-country skiing or snowshoeing			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Cross-country skiing or snowshoeing		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	162	<div><div></div></div> 1.0%
2	No	16879	<div><div></div></div> 99.0%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VACT33: Activity on the trip: Other			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-2] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=17041 /-] [Invalid=4208 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who are not same day car travellers to Canada.		
Literal question	While on this trip in Canada, what activities did you or anyone in your travelling party do?		
Notes	Option 33: Other		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	Yes	814	<div><div></div></div> 4.8%
2	No	16227	<div><div></div></div> 95.2%
6	Valid skip	3736	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	472	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VPFRNO: Port factor group			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-819] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12658 /-] [Invalid=8591 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		

VPFGRNO: Port factor group

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	2	0.0%
2	2	161	1.3%
3	3	0	
4	4	0	
5	5	104	0.8%
6	6	52	0.4%
7	7	39	0.3%
8	8	22	0.2%
9	9	116	0.9%
10	10	229	1.8%
11	11	0	
12	12	75	0.6%
13	13	0	
14	14	961	7.6%
15	15	0	
16	16	76	0.6%
17	17	276	2.2%
18	18	750	5.9%
19	19	72	0.6%
20	20	0	
21	21	24	0.2%
22	22	0	
23	23	31	0.2%
24	24	0	
25	25	140	1.1%
26	26	130	1.0%
27	27	36	0.3%
28	28	38	0.3%
29	29	448	3.5%
30	30	10	0.1%
32	32	18	0.1%
101	101	10	0.1%
102	102	66	0.5%
103	103	45	0.4%
104	104	0	
105	105	20	0.2%
106	106	147	1.2%
107	107	205	1.6%
108	108	66	0.5%
109	109	69	0.5%
110	110	198	1.6%
111	111	0	
112	112	120	0.9%
113	113	407	3.2%
114	114	0	

VPFGGRNO: Port factor group

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
115	115	0	
116	116	58	0.5%
117	117	261	2.1%
118	118	331	2.6%
119	119	54	0.4%
120	120	25	0.2%
121	121	26	0.2%
122	122	18	0.1%
123	123	53	0.4%
124	124	29	0.2%
125	125	112	0.9%
126	126	21	0.2%
127	127	71	0.6%
128	128	46	0.4%
129	129	536	4.2%
130	130	9	0.1%
131	131	64	0.5%
132	132	38	0.3%
133	133	0	
134	134	0	
135	135	0	
136	136	0	
137	137	0	
138	138	0	
139	139	0	
140	140	0	
141	141	0	
142	142	0	
143	143	0	
144	144	0	
145	145	0	
146	146	0	
147	147	0	
148	148	0	
149	149	0	
150	150	0	
151	151	0	
152	152	0	
153	153	0	
154	154	0	
155	155	0	
156	156	0	
190	190	490	3.9%
201	201	8	0.1%
202	202	180	1.4%

VPFGRNO: Port factor group

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
203	203	6	0.0%
204	204	8	0.1%
205	205	0	
208	208	240	1.9%
209	209	63	0.5%
210	210	27	0.2%
211	211	344	2.7%
212	212	719	5.7%
213	213	67	0.5%
214	214	8	0.1%
215	215	0	
216	216	1462	11.6%
217	217	537	4.2%
218	218	16	0.1%
220	220	0	
221	221	0	
222	222	0	
223	223	0	
224	224	0	
225	225	0	
226	226	0	
227	227	0	
228	228	0	
229	229	0	
230	230	0	
240	240	11	0.1%
241	241	8	0.1%
242	242	8	0.1%
243	243	8	0.1%
244	244	9	0.1%
245	245	19	0.2%
246	246	8	0.1%
247	247	8	0.1%
248	248	8	0.1%
249	249	9	0.1%
250	250	8	0.1%
251	251	8	0.1%
252	252	8	0.1%
253	253	8	0.1%
254	254	8	0.1%
255	255	8	0.1%
256	256	9	0.1%
257	257	8	0.1%
258	258	8	0.1%
259	259	8	0.1%

VPFGNO: Port factor group

Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
260	260	10	0.1%
261	261	8	0.1%
300	300	0	
301	301	8	0.1%
302	302	11	0.1%
303	303	218	1.7%
304	304	8	0.1%
305	305	8	0.1%
306	306	6	0.0%
307	307	13	0.1%
308	308	48	0.4%
310	310	4	0.0%
311	311	8	0.1%
312	312	50	0.4%
313	313	75	0.6%
314	314	8	0.1%
315	315	8	0.1%
316	316	9	0.1%
317	317	37	0.3%
318	318	8	0.1%
400	400	0	
401	401	11	0.1%
402	402	8	0.1%
403	403	0	
404	404	0	
405	405	0	
406	406	25	0.2%
407	407	24	0.2%
500	500	10	0.1%
501	501	4	0.0%
502	502	45	0.4%
503	503	34	0.3%
504	504	2	0.0%
506	506	6	0.0%
507	507	312	2.5%
508	508	2	0.0%
509	509	0	
510	510	0	
511	511	0	
512	512	0	
513	513	0	
514	514	0	
515	515	0	
516	516	0	
517	517	0	

# VPFGRNO: Port factor group			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
518	518	0	
519	519	0	
520	520	0	
530	530	2	0.0%
532	532	6	0.0%
533	533	8	0.1%
534	534	6	0.0%
535	535	31	0.2%
536	536	6	0.0%
537	537	0	
538	538	0	
539	539	8	0.1%
540	540	0	
541	541	6	0.0%
542	542	0	
543	543	12	0.1%
544	544	4	0.0%
545	545	6	0.0%
546	546	17	0.1%
547		2	0.0%
550	550	16	0.1%
600	600	7	0.1%
601	601	9	0.1%
602	602	9	0.1%
603	603	92	0.7%
604	604	8	0.1%
605	605	8	0.1%
606	606	8	0.1%
607	607	84	0.7%
608	608	8	0.1%
705	705	0	
707	707	0	
709	709	0	
712	712	0	
713	713	0	
714	714	0	
715	715	0	
716	716	0	
720	720	0	
721	721	0	
722	722	0	
723	723	0	
800	800	0	
806	806	0	
807	807	0	

# VPFGRNO: Port factor group			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
808	808	0	
809	809	0	
813	813	0	
814	814	0	
816	816	0	
818	818	0	
819	819	0	
996	Valid skip	8591	
997	Don't know	0	
998	Refusal	0	
999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VCDNFARE: Total fares spent on Canadian carriers			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-16146] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=15157 /-] [Invalid=6092 /-] [Mean=565.939 /-] [StdDev=1096.477 /-]	
Universe		Respondents who used commercial carriers to enter and/or exit Canada.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	6092	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VUSFARE: Total fares spent on American carriers			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-17709] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=15157 /-] [Invalid=6092 /-] [Mean=276.467 /-] [StdDev=706.948 /-]	
Universe		Respondents who used commercial carriers to enter and/or exit Canada.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	6092	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VOTHFARE: Total fares spent on overseas carriers			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20000] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=15157 /-] [Invalid=6092 /-] [Mean=575.471 /-] [StdDev=1177.734 /-]	
Universe		Respondents who used commercial carriers to enter and/or exit Canada.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	6092	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# VTOTDAYS: Total days in Canada			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-229] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=14.802 /-] [StdDev=30.261 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VSGRCODE: Standard geographic code			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 101-699] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=8591 /-] [Invalid=12658 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
101	United Kingdom	1054	<div></div> 12.3%
103	Belgium	154	<div></div> 1.8%
104	France	618	<div></div> 7.2%
105	Germany	648	<div></div> 7.5%
106	Italy	227	<div></div> 2.6%
109	Netherlands	329	<div></div> 3.8%
114	Scandinavia	239	<div></div> 2.8%
115	Switzerland	273	<div></div> 3.2%
199	Other Europe	568	<div></div> 6.6%
201	Japan	452	<div></div> 5.3%
202	Australia	342	<div></div> 4.0%
204	New Zealand	118	<div></div> 1.4%
208	Southern Asia	128	<div></div> 1.5%
211	Hong Kong	238	<div></div> 2.8%
212	India	275	<div></div> 3.2%
235	China	636	<div></div> 7.4%
239	Israel	116	<div></div> 1.4%
241	South Korea	364	<div></div> 4.2%
247	Philippines	102	<div></div> 1.2%
299	Other Asia & Oceania	411	<div></div> 4.8%
314	Brazil	212	<div></div> 2.5%
399	Other Central & South America	151	<div></div> 1.8%
402	Mexico	440	<div></div> 5.1%
499	Other North America	38	<div></div> 0.4%
599	Caribbean	140	<div></div> 1.6%
612	North Africa	113	<div></div> 1.3%
699	Other Africa	205	<div></div> 2.4%
996	Valid skip	12658	
997	Don't know	0	
998	Refusal	0	
999	Not stated	0	

# VSGRCODE: Standard geographic code			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNIGHTSC: Nights in Canada			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-228] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=13.802 /-] [StdDev=30.261 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNIGHTS: Total number of nights in on trip.			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-228] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=13.956 /-] [StdDev=30.413 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VFARES: Total fares paid			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=15157 /-] [Invalid=6092 /-] [Mean=1417.856 /-] [StdDev=1446.055 /-]		
Universe	Respondents who used commercial carriers to enter and/or exit Canada.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	6092	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNIGHTNF: Nights in Newfoundland			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-174] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.124 /-] [StdDev=3.086 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNIGHTPE: Nights in Prince Edward Island			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-195] [Missing=*]		

# VNIGHTPE: Nights in Prince Edward Island			
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.268 /-] [StdDev=4.781 /-]	
Universe		All respondents.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VNIGHTNS: Nights in Nova Scotia			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-216] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.565 /-] [StdDev=6.3 /-]	
Universe		All respondents.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VNIGHTNB: Nights in New Brunswick			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-153] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.125 /-] [StdDev=2.312 /-]	
Universe		All respondents.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VNIGHTPQ: Nights in Quebec			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-228] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.899 /-] [StdDev=10.767 /-]	
Universe		All respondents.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VNIGHTON: Nights in Ontario			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-220] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=5.352 /-] [StdDev=20.389 /-]	
Universe		All respondents.	

# VNIGHTON: Nights in Ontario			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VNIGHTMA: Nights in Manitoba			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-180] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.269 /-] [StdDev=4.625 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VNIGHTSA: Nights in Saskatchewan			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-216] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.241 /-] [StdDev=4.604 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VNIGHTAT: Nights in Alberta			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-221] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.321 /-] [StdDev=9.242 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VNIGHTBC: Nights in British Columbia			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-225] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3.572 /-] [StdDev=16.394 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		

# VNIGHTBC: Nights in British Columbia			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNIGHTYU: Nights in Yukon			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-152] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.0519 /-] [StdDev=1.461 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNIGHTNW: Nights in Northwest Territories			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-38] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.0106 /-] [StdDev=0.334 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNIGHTNU: Nights in Nunavut			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.000518 /-] [StdDev=0.0553 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VTPROVNT: Total nights in provinces			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-228] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=13.802 /-] [StdDev=30.261 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		

# VTPROVNT: Total nights in provinces			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
999	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VPRPR01P: Number of persons visiting province - Newfoundland and Labrador			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.014 /-] [StdDev=0.17 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Newfoundland and Labrador		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VPRPR02P: Number of persons visiting province - Prince Edward Island			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.0404 /-] [StdDev=0.301 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Prince Edward Island		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VPRPR03P: Number of persons visiting province - Nova Scotia			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.0699 /-] [StdDev=0.381 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Nova Scotia		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VPRPR04P: Number of persons visiting province - New Brunswick			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.0543 /-] [StdDev=0.344 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	New Brunswick		

# VPRPR04P: Number of persons visiting province - New Brunswick			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VPRPR05P: Number of persons visiting province - Quebec			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.29 /-] [StdDev=0.749 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Quebec		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VPRPR06P: Number of persons visiting province - Ontario			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.79 /-] [StdDev=1.066 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Ontario		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VPRPR07P: Number of persons visiting province - Manitoba			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.0344 /-] [StdDev=0.275 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Manitoba		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VPRPR08P: Number of persons visiting province - Saskatchewan			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.0244 /-] [StdDev=0.24 /-]		

# VPRPR08P: Number of persons visiting province - Saskatchewan			
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Saskatchewan		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VPRPR09P: Number of persons visiting province - Alberta			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.161 /-] [StdDev=0.557 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Alberta		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VPRPR10P: Number of persons visiting province - British Columbia			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.458 /-] [StdDev=0.89 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	British Columbia		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VPRPR11P: Number of persons visiting province - Yukon			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.0263 /-] [StdDev=0.249 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Yukon		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# VPRPR12P: Number of persons visiting province - Northwest Territories			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.00405 /-] [StdDev=0.0899 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Northwest Territories		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VPRPR13P: Number of persons visiting province - Nunavut			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=9.41e-05 /-] [StdDev=0.0097 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Nunavut		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip		
97	Don't know		
98	Refusal		
99	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VTPRPRVP: Total number of people visiting provinces			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-24] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.966 /-] [StdDev=1.516 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
# VTPRSNP: Total "person-nights"			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-864] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=18.318 /-] [StdDev=38.195 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
999996	Valid skip		
999997	Don't know		
999998	Refusal		
999999	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# VPRSV01P: Number of "person-visits " - 1st place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	11904	<div><div></div></div> 56.0%
2	2	6794	<div><div></div></div> 32.0%
3	3	1181	<div><div></div></div> 5.6%
4	4	919	<div><div></div></div> 4.3%
5	5	305	<div><div></div></div> 1.4%
6	6	146	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	7	0	
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	0	
12	12 or more	0	
96	Valid skip	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VPRSV02P: Number of "person-visits " - 2nd place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7114 /-] [Invalid=14135 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	3605	<div><div></div></div> 50.7%
2	2	2530	<div><div></div></div> 35.6%
3	3	454	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
4	4	367	<div><div></div></div> 5.2%
5	5	111	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
6	6	47	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	7	0	
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	0	
12	12 or more	0	
96	Valid skip	14135	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# VPRSV03P: Number of "person-visits " - 3rd place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3710 /-] [Invalid=17539 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	1685	<div><div></div></div> 45.4%
2	2	1444	<div><div></div></div> 38.9%
3	3	275	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
4	4	221	<div><div></div></div> 6.0%
5	5	60	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
6	6	25	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	7	0	
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	0	
12	12 or more	0	
96	Valid skip	17539	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VPRSV04P: Number of "person-visits " - 4th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2208 /-] [Invalid=19041 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	894	<div><div></div></div> 40.5%
2	2	965	<div><div></div></div> 43.7%
3	3	156	<div><div></div></div> 7.1%
4	4	147	<div><div></div></div> 6.7%
5	5	38	<div><div></div></div> 1.7%
6	6	8	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
7	7	0	
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	0	
12	12 or more	0	
96	Valid skip	19041	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# VPRSV05P: Number of "person-visits " - 5th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1367 /-] [Invalid=19882 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	476	<div><div></div></div> 34.8%
2	2	651	<div><div></div></div> 47.6%
3	3	104	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
4	4	101	<div><div></div></div> 7.4%
5	5	29	<div><div></div></div> 2.1%
6	6	6	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
7	7	0	
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	0	
12	12 or more	0	
96	Valid skip	19882	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VPRSV06P: Number of "person-visits " - 6th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=844 /-] [Invalid=20405 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	251	<div><div></div></div> 29.7%
2	2	440	<div><div></div></div> 52.1%
3	3	67	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
4	4	66	<div><div></div></div> 7.8%
5	5	16	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
6	6	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.5%
7	7	0	
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	0	
12	12 or more	0	
96	Valid skip	20405	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# VPRSV07P: Number of "person-visits " - 7th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=541 /-] [Invalid=20708 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	137	<div><div></div></div> 25.3%
2	2	299	<div><div></div></div> 55.3%
3	3	45	<div><div></div></div> 8.3%
4	4	44	<div><div></div></div> 8.1%
5	5	12	<div><div></div></div> 2.2%
6	6	4	<div><div></div></div> 0.7%
7	7	0	
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	0	
12	12 or more	0	
96	Valid skip	20708	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VPRSV08P: Number of "person-visits " - 8th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=377 /-] [Invalid=20872 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	82	<div><div></div></div> 21.8%
2	2	222	<div><div></div></div> 58.9%
3	3	32	<div><div></div></div> 8.5%
4	4	31	<div><div></div></div> 8.2%
5	5	7	<div><div></div></div> 1.9%
6	6	3	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
7	7	0	
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	0	
12	12 or more	0	
96	Valid skip	20872	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# VPRSV09P: Number of "person-visits " - 9th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=266 /-] [Invalid=20983 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	54	<div><div></div></div> 20.3%
2	2	159	<div><div></div></div> 59.8%
3	3	24	<div><div></div></div> 9.0%
4	4	23	<div><div></div></div> 8.6%
5	5	4	<div><div></div></div> 1.5%
6	6	2	<div><div></div></div> 0.8%
7	7	0	
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	0	
12	12 or more	0	
96	Valid skip	20983	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VPRSV10P: Number of "person-visits " - 10th place visited			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-12] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=172 /-] [Invalid=21077 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
1	1	34	<div><div></div></div> 19.8%
2	2	104	<div><div></div></div> 60.5%
3	3	15	<div><div></div></div> 8.7%
4	4	13	<div><div></div></div> 7.6%
5	5	4	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
6	6	2	<div><div></div></div> 1.2%
7	7	0	
8	8	0	
9	9	0	
10	10	0	
11	11	0	
12	12 or more	0	
96	Valid skip	21077	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			

# VPRSN01P: Number of "person-nights" - 1st place visited	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-864] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=13.295 /-] [StdDev=33.333 /-]
Universe	All respondents.
# VPRSN02P: Number of "person-nights" - 2nd place visited	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-608] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7114 /-] [Invalid=14135 /-] [Mean=7.364 /-] [StdDev=22.763 /-]
Universe	All respondents.
# VPRSN03P: Number of "person-nights" - 3rd place visited	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-214] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3710 /-] [Invalid=17539 /-] [Mean=6.078 /-] [StdDev=16.428 /-]
Universe	All respondents.
# VPRSN04P: Number of "person-nights" - 4th place visited	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-206] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2208 /-] [Invalid=19041 /-] [Mean=6.031 /-] [StdDev=15.453 /-]
Universe	All respondents.
# VPRSN05P: Number of "person-nights" - 5th place visited	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-352] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1367 /-] [Invalid=19882 /-] [Mean=5.435 /-] [StdDev=15.054 /-]
Universe	All respondents.
# VPRSN06P: Number of "person-nights" - 6th place visited	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-145] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=844 /-] [Invalid=20405 /-] [Mean=4.977 /-] [StdDev=10.154 /-]
Universe	All respondents.
# VPRSN07P: Number of "person-nights" - 7th place visited	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-182] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=541 /-] [Invalid=20708 /-] [Mean=5.076 /-] [StdDev=10.791 /-]
Universe	All respondents.
# VPRSN08P: Number of "person-nights" - 8th place visited	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-204] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=377 /-] [Invalid=20872 /-] [Mean=4.438 /-] [StdDev=11.243 /-]
Universe	All respondents.
# VPRSN09P: Number of "person-nights" - 9th place visited	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-140] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=266 /-] [Invalid=20983 /-] [Mean=4.564 /-] [StdDev=9.786 /-]
Universe	All respondents.
# VPRSN10P: Number of "person-nights" - 10th place visited	
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-130] [Missing=*]
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=172 /-] [Invalid=21077 /-] [Mean=6.5 /-] [StdDev=14.395 /-]
Universe	All respondents.

# VPRSNATP: Number of "person-nights" in Alberta			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-608] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1.858 /-] [StdDev=13.035 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
# VPRSNBCP: Number of "person-nights" in British Columbia			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-540] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=4.585 /-] [StdDev=19.244 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
# VPRSNMAP: Number of "person-nights" in Manitoba			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-218] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.337 /-] [StdDev=5.361 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
# VPRSNNBP: Number of "person-nights" in New Brunswick			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-182] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.184 /-] [StdDev=2.84 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
# VPRSNNFP: Number of "person-nights" in Newfoundland			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-174] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.15 /-] [StdDev=3.299 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
# VPRSNNSP: Number of "person-nights" in Nova Scotia			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-264] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.754 /-] [StdDev=7.291 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
# VPRSNNUP: Number of "person-nights" in Nunavut			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-7] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		21247	<div></div> 100.0%
4		1	<div></div> 0.0%
7		1	<div></div> 0.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VPRSNNWP: Number of "person-nights" in Northwest Territories			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-76] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.0179 /-] [StdDev=0.634 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
# VPRSNONP: Number of "person-nights" in Ontario			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-825] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=7.014 /-] [StdDev=26.233 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		

# VPRSNPEP: Number of "person-nights" in Prince Edward Island			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-240] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.342 /-] [StdDev=5.287 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
# VPRSNPQP: Number of "person-nights" in Quebec			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-320] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=2.648 /-] [StdDev=13.472 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
# VPRSNSAP: Number of "person-nights" in Saskatchewan			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-864] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.343 /-] [StdDev=8.002 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
# VPRSNYUP: Number of "person-nights" in Yukon			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-152] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=0.0809 /-] [StdDev=1.673 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
# VTPRSTSP: Total number of "person-visits"			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 1-60] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=3.134 /-] [StdDev=4.006 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
996	Valid skip		
997	Don't know		
998	Refusal		
999	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VGLTRASP: Global spending: Transportation			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-11000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16636 /-] [Invalid=4613 /-] [Mean=232.845 /-] [StdDev=530.948 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Sum of package and non package spending		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	3736	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	877	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VGLACCSP: Global spending: Accommodation			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-19827] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16636 /-] [Invalid=4613 /-] [Mean=687.479 /-] [StdDev=1254.951 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Sum of package and non package spending.		

# VGLACCSP: Global spending: Accommodation			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	3736	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	877	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VGLFOOSP: Global spending: Food and beverages			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-18000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16636 /-] [Invalid=4613 /-] [Mean=467.95 /-] [StdDev=792.661 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Sum of package and non package spending.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	3736	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	877	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VGLRECSP: Global spending: Recreation and entertainment			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16636 /-] [Invalid=4613 /-] [Mean=169.889 /-] [StdDev=453.423 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Sum of package and non package spending.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	3736	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	877	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VGLOTHSP: Global spending: Other spending			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-28000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16636 /-] [Invalid=4613 /-] [Mean=43.164 /-] [StdDev=359.758 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Sum of package and non package spending.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	3736	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	877	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VGLCLOSP: Global spending: Clothing and gifts			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-15000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=16636 /-] [Invalid=4613 /-] [Mean=237.152 /-] [StdDev=610.44 /-]		

# VGLCLOSP: Global spending: Clothing and gifts			
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Sum of package and non package spending.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	3736	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	877	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VGLTOTSP: Global total spending			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-52000] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=1522.397 /-] [StdDev=2485.746 /-]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Notes	Sum of global spending in all places visited (01 to 10).		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip		
9999997	Don't know		
9999998	Refusal		
9999999	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNONTOUR: Flag for the non-tourism reasons.			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-1] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0	Non-Tourism	3463	<div></div> 16.3%
1	Tourism	17786	<div></div> 83.7%
6	Valid skip	0	
7	Don't know	0	
8	Refusal	0	
9	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VUSREGC8: U.S. regions			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 49-57] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=12658 /-] [Invalid=8591 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
49	New England	1251	<div></div> 9.9%
50	Middle Atlantic	2624	<div></div> 20.7%
51	South Atlantic	1146	<div></div> 9.1%
52	East North Central	2803	<div></div> 22.1%
53	West North Central	752	<div></div> 5.9%
54	South Central	703	<div></div> 5.6%
56	Mountain	662	<div></div> 5.2%
57	Pacific, Alaska and Hawaii	2717	<div></div> 21.5%
96	Valid skip	8591	

# VUSREGC8: U.S. regions			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNMALES: Number of male travellers on the questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21135 /-] [Invalid=114 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		7371	<div><div></div></div> 34.9%
1		11925	<div><div></div></div> 56.4%
2		1363	<div><div></div></div> 6.4%
3		339	<div><div></div></div> 1.6%
4		92	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
5		25	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
6		20	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
99		114	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VNFEMALS: Number of female travellers on the questionnaire			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21135 /-] [Invalid=114 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
0		8882	<div><div></div></div> 42.0%
1		9958	<div><div></div></div> 47.1%
2		1676	<div><div></div></div> 7.9%
3		480	<div><div></div></div> 2.3%
4		92	<div><div></div></div> 0.4%
5		22	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
6		25	<div><div></div></div> 0.1%
99		114	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VN0TO17: Number of travellers on the quest. with ages between 0 to 17 years old			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-5] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20873 /-] [Invalid=376 /-] [Mean=0.125 /-] [StdDev=0.462 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	376	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VN18TO24: Number of travellers on the quest. with ages between 18 to24 years old			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20873 /-] [Invalid=376 /-] [Mean=0.116 /-] [StdDev=0.382 /-]		

# VN18TO24: Number of travellers on the quest. with ages between 18 to24 years old			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	376	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VN25TO34: Number of travellers on the quest. with ages between 25 to34 years old			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20873 /-] [Invalid=376 /-] [Mean=0.201 /-] [StdDev=0.491 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	376	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VN35TO44: Number of travellers on the quest. with ages between 35 to44 years old			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20873 /-] [Invalid=376 /-] [Mean=0.187 /-] [StdDev=0.463 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	376	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VN45TO54: Number of travellers on the quest. with ages between 45 to54 years old			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20873 /-] [Invalid=376 /-] [Mean=0.246 /-] [StdDev=0.532 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	376	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			

# VN55TO64: Number of travellers on the quest. with ages between 55 to64 years old			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20873 /-] [Invalid=376 /-] [Mean=0.298 /-] [StdDev=0.602 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	376	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VN65: Number of travellers on the quest. with ages over 65 years old			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-6] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=20873 /-] [Invalid=376 /-] [Mean=0.324 /-] [StdDev=0.69 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
96	Valid skip	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	376	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VGLSPV01: Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 01			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-33300] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=991.049 /-] [StdDev=1761.288 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip		
9999997	Don't know		
9999998	Refusal		
9999999	Not stated		
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VGLSPV02: Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 02			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-22900] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=7114 /-] [Invalid=14135 /-] [Mean=715.103 /-] [StdDev=1377.596 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	14135	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VGLSPV03: Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 03			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-20353] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=3710 /-] [Invalid=17539 /-] [Mean=620.549 /-] [StdDev=1175.389 /-]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	17539	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	0	

# VGLSPV03: Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 03			
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VGLSPV04: Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 04			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-37364] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=2208 -/] [Invalid=19041 -/] [Mean=674.57 -/] [StdDev=1384.447 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	19041	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VGLSPV05: Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 05			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-12392] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=1367 -/] [Invalid=19882 -/] [Mean=678.252 -/] [StdDev=1095.459 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	19882	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VGLSPV06: Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 06			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-11122] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=844 -/] [Invalid=20405 -/] [Mean=675.063 -/] [StdDev=927.072 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	20405	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VGLSPV07: Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 07			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-10114] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=541 -/] [Invalid=20708 -/] [Mean=676.248 -/] [StdDev=856.72 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	20708	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	0	
<i>Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.</i>			
# VGLSPV08: Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 08			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-9519] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=377 -/] [Invalid=20872 -/] [Mean=633.35 -/] [StdDev=777.154 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	20872	
9999997	Don't know	0	

# VGLSPV08: Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 08			
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VGLSPV09: Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 09			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-4686] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=266 -/] [Invalid=20983 -/] [Mean=615.545 -/] [StdDev=663.44 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	20983	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VGLSPV10: Global spending: Sum of package and non package spending - Visit 10			
Information	[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 0-11763] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=172 -/] [Invalid=21077 -/] [Mean=851.105 -/] [StdDev=1447.995 -/]		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
9999996	Valid skip	21077	
9999997	Don't know	0	
9999998	Refusal	0	
9999999	Not stated	0	
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VPRVEXTP: Canadian province of exit			
Information	[Type= discrete] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-62] [Missing=*]		
Statistics [NW/ W]	[Valid=21249 -/] [Invalid=0 -/]		
Universe	All respondents.		
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
10	Newfoundland and Labrador	24	0.1%
11	Prince Edward Island	9	0.0%
12	Nova Scotia	704	3.3%
13	New Brunswick	422	2.0%
24	Quebec	2860	13.5%
35	Ontario	10017	47.1%
46	Manitoba	236	1.1%
47	Saskatchewan	116	0.5%
48	Alberta	1282	6.0%
59	British Columbia	5418	25.5%
60	Yukon	161	0.8%
61	Northwest Territories	0	
62	Nunavut	0	
96	Valid skip	0	
97	Don't know	0	
98	Refusal	0	
99	Not stated	0	

# VPRVEXTP: Canadian province of exit			
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			
# VWEIGHTP			
Information		[Type= continuous] [Format=numeric] [Range= 10-4767.3043999] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-] [Mean=884.638 /-] [StdDev=488.092 /-]	
# VERDATE: Date of File Creation			
Information		[Type= discrete] [Format=character] [Missing=*]	
Statistics [NW/ W]		[Valid=21249 /-] [Invalid=0 /-]	
Universe		All Respondents.	
Value	Label	Cases	Percentage
06JAN2020		21249	100.0%
Warning: these figures indicate the number of cases found in the data file. They cannot be interpreted as summary statistics of the population of interest.			