

## Appendix

In appendix, we provide the proof of our theorems in the paper.

### 1 Proof of theorem 4

**Theorem.** Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$  have  $\sigma$ -bounded gradient. Let  $\eta_t = \eta_{\Delta_{\text{unbiased}}} = c_{\text{unbiased}} / \sqrt{\Delta + 1}$  for  $0 \leq \Delta \leq T-1$  where  $c_{\text{unbiased}} = \sqrt{\frac{f(x_0) - f(x^*)}{(2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1)L\sigma^2}}$  and let  $T$  be a multiple of  $m$ . Further let  $p_m = 1$ , and  $p_i = 0$  for  $0 \leq i < m$ . Then the output  $x_a$  of Alg. 2 we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_a)^2\|] \leq \frac{\sqrt{(2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1)}}{(1 - \lambda)} \sqrt{\frac{2(f(x^0) - f(x^*))L}{T}} \sigma$$

*Proof.* As the learning rate decay from 1 to  $T$ , we use Definition 2 to bound gradients  $v_t^{s+1}$  as following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\|v_t^{s+1}\|^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\|(1 - \lambda)\nabla f_{i_t}(x_t^{s+1}) - \lambda(\nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{x}^s) - \nabla f(\tilde{x}^s))\|^2] \\ &\leq 2(\mathbb{E}[\|(1 - \lambda)\nabla f_{i_t}(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] + \|\lambda(\nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{x}^s) - \nabla f(\tilde{x}^s))\|^2) \\ &\leq 2((1 - \lambda)^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] + \lambda^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{x}^s)\|^2]) \\ &\leq (4\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 2)\sigma^2, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where the first inequality we followed Lemma 3 when  $r=2$ . The second inequality we followed (a)  $\sigma$ -bounded gradient property of  $f$  and (b) the fact that for a random variable  $\zeta$  followed  $\mathbb{E}[\|\zeta - \mathbb{E}[\zeta]\|^2] \leq \mathbb{E}[\|\zeta\|^2]$ .

Since  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -smooth, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f(x_{t+1}^{s+1})] &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) + \langle \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}), x_{t+1}^{s+1} - x_t^{s+1} \rangle] \\ &\quad + \frac{L}{2} \|x_{t+1}^{s+1} - x_t^{s+1}\|^2. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

Using Alg. 2 to update and since  $\mathbb{E}[\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})] = \nabla f(x_t^{s+1})$  (unbiasedness of the stochastic gradients), Ineq. 2 would be updated as:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x_{t+1}^{s+1})] \leq \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) - \eta_{\Delta}(1 - \lambda) \|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2 + \frac{L\eta_{\Delta}^2}{2} \|v_t^{s+1}\|^2]. \tag{3}$$

Adding the bound of  $v_t^{s+1}$  from Ineq. 1 to Ineq. 3, we can

obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f(x_{t+1}^{s+1})] &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1})] - \eta_{\Delta}(1 - \lambda) \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] + \frac{L\eta_{\Delta}^2}{2} \mathbb{E}[\|v_t^{s+1}\|^2] \\ &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1})] - \eta_{\Delta}(1 - \lambda) \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] + \frac{L\eta_{\Delta}^2}{2} (4\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 2)\sigma^2 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Thus the Ineq. 4 can be alternated as

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] \leq \frac{1}{\eta_{\Delta}(1 - \lambda)} \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) - f(x_{t+1}^{s+1})] + \frac{L\eta_{\Delta}(2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1)}{(1 - \lambda)} \sigma^2, \tag{5}$$

where  $t \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ ,  $s \in \{0, \dots, S-1\}$ ,  $\Delta \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}$ , and  $T = mS$ .

The minimum upper bound in Ineq. 6 can be achieved when  $t = m-1$  and  $s = S-1$ , and use the constant  $\eta$  we can obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{t,s} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] &\leq \frac{1}{T} \sum_{s=0}^{S-1} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{E}[\|f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] + \frac{L\eta(2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1)}{(1 - \lambda)} \sigma^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T} \left( \frac{1}{\eta(1 - \lambda)} \mathbb{E}[f(x^0) - f(x^T)] \right) + \frac{L\eta(2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1)}{(1 - \lambda)} \sigma^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T\eta(1 - \lambda)} (f(x^0) - f(x^*)) + \frac{L\eta(2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1)}{(1 - \lambda)} \sigma^2 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

The first inequality can hold due to the minimum is less than average. The second inequality is achieved from Eq 5, and the third one is followed the fact that  $f(x^*) \leq f(x^T)$ . To calculate learning rate  $\eta$ , we take the derivative of the last inequality in Inequality 6 as

$$\frac{\partial \left( \frac{1}{T\eta(1 - \lambda)} (f(x^0) - f(x^*)) + \frac{L\eta(2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1)}{(1 - \lambda)} \sigma^2 \right)}{\partial \eta} = 0 \tag{7}$$

Thus,  $\eta_{\Delta_{\text{unbiased}}} = \eta = c / \sqrt{\Delta + 1}$ , where  $c_{\text{unbiased}} = \sqrt{\frac{f(x^0) - f(x^*)}{(2\lambda^2 - 2\lambda + 1)L\sigma^2}}$ . Bring the result of  $\eta_{\Delta_{\text{unbiased}}} = \eta = c_{\text{unbiased}} / \sqrt{\Delta + 1}$  to Eq. 6, we can achieve the upper bound

of expectation as

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{t,s} \mathbb{E}[\| \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) \|^2] \\ & \leq \frac{1}{T(1-\lambda)} \left( \frac{\sqrt{T}(f(x^0) - f(x^*))}{c_{\text{unbiased}}} \right) + \frac{Lc_{\text{unbiased}}\sigma^2}{(1-\lambda)} \quad (8) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}(1-\lambda)} \left( \frac{1}{c_{\text{unbiased}}} (f(x^0) - f(x^*)) + Lc_{\text{unbiased}}\sigma^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

□

For the case that the learning rate depends on the data size  $n$ , we provide one useful lemma in Lemma 1 firstly that can be used for proofing our Theorems.

**Lemma 1.** For  $c_{\text{unbiased}}, c_{t+1}, \beta_t > 0$ , we have

$$c_{\text{unbiased}} = c_{t+1}(1 + \eta_t \beta_t (1 - \lambda) + 2(1 - \lambda)^2 \eta_t^2 L^2) + L^3 \eta_t^2.$$

Let  $\eta_t, \beta_t$  and  $c_{t+1}$  is given so that the  $\Omega_{\text{unbiased}} > 0$  can be showed as

$$\Omega_{\text{unbiased}} = \eta_t - \frac{c_{t+1} \eta_t (1 - \lambda)}{\beta_t} - (1 - \lambda)^2 L \eta_t^2 - 2(1 - \lambda)^4 c_{t+1} \eta_t^2$$

Thus, the iterates in Alg. 2 satisfy the bound:

$$\mathbb{E}[\| \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) \|^2] \leq \frac{R_t^{s+1} - R_{t+1}^{s+1}}{\Omega_{\text{unbiased}}}$$

where  $R_{\text{unbiased}}^{s+1} := \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) + c_{\text{unbiased}} \| (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2]$  for  $0 \leq s \leq S - 1$ .

*Proof.* To further bound the result in Ineq. 26 since  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -smooth, we require to bound the intermediate iterates  $v_t^{s+1}$ , which is showed following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\| v_t^{s+1} \|^2] \\ & = \mathbb{E}[\| (1 - \lambda)(\nabla f_{i_t}(x_t^{s+1}) - \lambda(\nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{x}^s) - \nabla f(\tilde{x}^s))) \|^2] \\ & = \mathbb{E}[\| \zeta_t^{s+1} + \lambda \nabla f(\tilde{x}^s) - (1 - \lambda) \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) + (1 - \lambda) \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) \|^2] \\ & \leq 2\mathbb{E}[\| (1 - \lambda) \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) \|^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[\| \zeta_t^{s+1} - \mathbb{E}[\zeta_t^{s+1}] \|^2] \\ & \leq 2(1 - \lambda)^2 \mathbb{E}[\| \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) \|^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[\| (1 - \lambda) \nabla f_{i_t}(x_t^{s+1}) - \lambda \nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{x}^s) \|^2] \\ & \leq 2(1 - \lambda)^2 \mathbb{E}[\| \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) \|^2] + 2L^2 \mathbb{E}[\| (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2], \quad (9) \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . In the first inequality, the variable  $\zeta$  is showed as

$$\zeta_t^{s+1} = \frac{1}{\Pi_t} \sum_{i_t \in I_t} ((1 - \lambda) \nabla f_{i_t}(x_t^{s+1}) - \lambda \nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{x}^s)), \quad (10)$$

since  $\mathbb{E}[\zeta_t^{s+1}] = (1 - \lambda) \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) - \lambda \nabla f(\tilde{x}^s)$ . The second inequality is obtain from Ineq. 9. And the last inequality, we followed the Eq. 2 and  $L$ -smooth function:  $\| \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y) \| \leq L \| x - y \|$ .

Consider now the Lyapunov function:

$$R_t^{s+1} := \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) + c_t \| (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2]. \quad (11)$$

To bound Eq. 11, we require the bound of  $\mathbb{E}[\| (1 - \lambda)x_{t+1}^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2]$  as following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\| (1 - \lambda)x_{t+1}^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2] \\ & = \mathbb{E}[\| (1 - \lambda)(x_{t+1}^{s+1} - x_t^{s+1}) + (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2] \\ & = \mathbb{E}[\| (1 - \lambda)x_{t+1}^{s+1} - x_t^{s+1} \|^2 + \| (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2 + \\ & \quad 2\langle (1 - \lambda)(x_{t+1}^{s+1} - x_t^{s+1}), ((1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s) \rangle] \\ & = \mathbb{E}[\eta_t^2 (1 - \lambda)^2 \| v_t^{s+1} \|^2 + \| (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2] - \\ & \quad 2\eta_t (1 - \lambda) \mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}), (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \rangle] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}[(1 - \lambda)^2 \eta_t^2 \| v_t^{s+1} \|^2 + \| (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2] + \\ & \quad 2\eta_t (1 - \lambda) \mathbb{E}[\frac{1}{2\beta_t} \| \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) \|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_t \| (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2] \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

The second equality follows from the unbiasedness of the update of Alg 2. The last inequality follows from application of Cauchy-Schwarz and Young's inequality. Combing Eq 9, Eq 11 and Eq 12, we can achieve the bound of  $R_{t+1}^{s+1} := \mathbb{E}[f(x_{t+1}^{s+1}) + c_{t+1} \| (1 - \lambda)x_{t+1}^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2]$  as

$$\begin{aligned} R_{t+1}^{s+1} & \leq \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) - \eta_t \| \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) \|^2] + \frac{L\eta_t^2}{2} \| v_t^{s+1} \|^2 + \\ & \mathbb{E}[c_{t+1} \eta_t^2 (1 - \lambda)^2 \| v_t^{s+1} \|^2 + c_{t+1} \| (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2] - \\ & 2c_{t+1} (1 - \lambda) \eta_t \mathbb{E}[\frac{1}{2\beta_t} \| \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) \|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_t \| (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) - (\eta_t + \frac{c_{t+1} \eta_t (1 - \lambda)}{\beta_t}) \| \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) \|^2] + \\ & (\frac{L\eta_t^2}{2} + c_{t+1} \eta_t^2 (1 - \lambda)^2) \mathbb{E}[\| v_t^{s+1} \|^2] + \\ & (c_{t+1} + c_{t+1} \eta_t \beta_t (1 - \lambda)) \mathbb{E}[\| (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2] \\ & = \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1})] - \\ & (\eta_t - \frac{c_{t+1} \eta_t (1 - \lambda)}{\beta_t} - (1 - \lambda)^2 L \eta_t^2 - 2(1 - \lambda)^4 c_{t+1} \eta_t^2) \mathbb{E}[\| \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) \|^2] + \\ & (c_{t+1} (1 + \eta_t \beta_t (1 - \lambda) + 2(1 - \lambda)^2 \eta_t^2 L^2) + L^3 \eta_t^2) \mathbb{E}[\| (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2] \\ & \leq R_t^{s+1} - (\eta_t - \frac{c_{t+1} \eta_t (1 - \lambda)}{\beta_t} - (1 - \lambda)^2 L \eta_t^2 - 2(1 - \lambda)^4 c_{t+1} \eta_t^2) \mathbb{E}[\| \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) \|^2] + \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

The last inequality follows  $R_t^{s+1} := \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) + c_t \| (1 - \lambda)x_t^{s+1} - \lambda \tilde{x}^s \|^2]$  where

$$c_{\text{unbiased}} = c_{t+1}(1 + \eta_t \beta_t (1 - \lambda) + 2(1 - \lambda)^2 \eta_t^2 L^2) + L^3 \eta_t^2. \quad (14)$$

Thus the Ineq. 13 can be alternated as

$$\mathbb{E}[\| \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) \|^2] \leq \frac{R_t^{s+1} - R_{t+1}^{s+1}}{\Omega_{\text{unbiased}}}, \quad (15)$$

where  $\Omega_{\text{unbiased}} = \eta_t - \frac{c_{t+1} \eta_t (1 - \lambda)}{\beta_t} - (1 - \lambda)^2 L \eta_t^2 - 2(1 - \lambda)^4 c_{t+1} \eta_t^2$  □

## 2 Proof of Theorem 5

**Theorem.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , let  $c_m = 0$ ,  $\eta_t = \eta > 0$ ,  $\beta_t = \beta > 0$ ,  $c_{\text{unbiased}} = c_{t+1}(1 + (1 - \lambda)\eta\beta + 2(1 - \lambda)^2\eta^2 L^2) + L^3\eta^2$ , so the

intermediate result  $\Omega_{\text{unbiased}} = (\eta_t - (1-\lambda)\frac{c_{t+1}\eta_t}{\beta_t} - (1-\lambda)^2 L \eta_t^2 - 2(1-\lambda)^4 c_{t+1} \eta_t^2) > 0$ , for  $0 \leq t \leq m-1$ . Define the minimum value of  $\gamma_{\text{unbiased}} := \min_t \Omega_{\text{unbiased}}$ . Further let  $p_i = 0$  for  $0 \leq i < m$  and  $p_m = 1$ , and  $T$  is a multiple of  $m$ . So the output  $x_a$  of Alg. 2 we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_a)\|^2] \leq \frac{f(x^0) - f(x^*)}{T \gamma_{\text{unbiased}}},$$

where  $x^*$  is the optimal solution to Problem 1.

*Proof.* Using the result from Lemma 2 and  $\eta_t = \eta$  when  $t \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ , we can achieve the following bound:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] \leq \frac{R_0^{s+1} - R_m^{s+1}}{\gamma_{\text{unbiased}}}, \quad (16)$$

Thus, the bound in Ineq. 16 can updated as

$$\sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[f(\tilde{x}^s) - f(\tilde{x}^{s+1})]}{\gamma_{\text{unbiased}}}, \quad (17)$$

where  $R_0^{s+1} = \mathbb{E}[f(\tilde{x}^s)]$  since  $x_0^{s+1} = \tilde{x}^s$  and  $R_m^{s+1} = \mathbb{E}[f(\tilde{x}^{s+1})]$  since  $x_m^{s+1} = \tilde{x}^{s+1}$ , which we use the condition that  $c_m = 0$ ,  $p_m = 1$ , and  $p_i = 0$  for  $i < m$ . For the total number of iterations  $T = Sm$ , we further sum up iteration  $s$  as

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{s=0}^{S-1} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] \leq \frac{f(x^0) - f(x^*)}{T \gamma_{\text{unbiased}}}, \quad (18)$$

where the  $\tilde{x}^0 = x^0$  and  $\tilde{x}^* = x^*$ . Thus, we can obtain our final result.  $\square$

### 3 Proof of Theorem 6

**Theorem.** Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , let  $\eta = \frac{1}{3L n^\alpha}$  ( $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ , and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ),  $\beta = \frac{L}{n^{b\alpha}}$  ( $b > 0$ ),  $m_{\text{unbiased}} = \lfloor \frac{3n^{(3a+b)\alpha}}{(1-\lambda)} \rfloor$  and  $T$  is the total number of iterations. Then, we can obtain the lower bound  $\gamma_{\text{unbiased}} \geq \frac{(1-\lambda)\nu}{9n^{(2a-b)\alpha}L}$  in Theorem 5. For the output  $x_a$  of Alg. 2 we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_a)\|^2] \leq \frac{9n^{(2a-b)\alpha}L[f(x^0) - f(x^*)]}{(1-\lambda)T\nu},$$

where  $x_*$  is an optimal solution to Eq. 1.

*Proof.* Using the relation in Eq 14 and  $c_m = 0$ , we estimated the upper bound of  $c_0$  as

$$c_0 = L^3 \eta^2 \frac{(1 + \theta_{\text{unbiased}})^m - 1}{\theta_{\text{unbiased}}}, \quad (19)$$

where  $\theta_{\text{unbiased}} = 2(1-\lambda)^2 L^2 \eta^2 + \eta \beta (1-\lambda)$ . Let  $\eta = \frac{1}{3L n^\alpha}$  and  $\beta = \frac{L}{n^{b\alpha}}$ , the  $\theta$  can be alternated as:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{\text{unbiased}} &= 2(1-\lambda)^2 L^2 \eta^2 + \eta \beta (1-\lambda) = \frac{(1-\lambda)}{3n^{(a+b)\alpha}} + \frac{2(1-\lambda)^2}{9n^{2a\alpha}} \\ &\leq \frac{1-\lambda}{3n^{(3a+b)\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Using the above bound  $\theta$ , we can get the further bound of  $c_0$  as

$$\begin{aligned} c_0 &= \frac{L^3[(1 + \theta_{\text{unbiased}})^m - 1]}{9L^2 n^{2a\alpha} \left( \frac{1-\lambda}{3n^{(a+b)\alpha}} + \frac{2(1-\lambda)^2}{9n^{2a\alpha}} \right)} \\ &= \frac{L^3[(1 + \theta_{\text{unbiased}})^m - 1]}{3L^2(1-\lambda)n^{(a-b)\alpha} + 2L^2(1-\lambda)^2} \leq \frac{L^3(e-1)}{3L^2 n^{(a-b)\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

In the first inequality, due to the value of  $(1 + \theta_{\text{unbiased}})^{m_{\text{unbiased}}}$  is increasing when  $m_{\text{unbiased}} = \lfloor \frac{1}{\theta_{\text{unbiased}}} \rfloor > 0$ , we can use

$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{l})^l = e$  (the  $e$  is Euler's number) to calculate upper bound of  $(1 + \theta)^{m_{\text{unbiased}}}$ . Next, the lower bound of  $\gamma_{\text{unbiased}}$  is given as:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{\text{unbiased}} &= \min_t \left( \eta - \frac{c_{t+1}\eta}{\beta} (1-\lambda) - (1-\lambda)^2 L \eta^2 - 2(1-\lambda)^4 c_{t+1} \eta^2 \right) \\ &\geq \left( \eta - \frac{c_0 \eta}{\beta} (1-\lambda) - (1-\lambda)^2 L \eta^2 - 2(1-\lambda)^4 c_0 \eta^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{(1-\lambda)\nu}{9L n^{(2a-b)\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where  $\nu$  is independent of  $n$ . According to Theorem 5, we can achieve our result.  $\square$

To guarantee theoretical asymptotic convergence for the biased estimator in the first case of the learning rate, the rate should be close to 0 at the end of iteration  $T \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 4 Proof of theorem 7

**Theorem.** Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$  have  $\sigma$ -bounded gradient. Let  $\eta_{\text{biased}} = \eta_\Delta = c_{\text{biased}} / \sqrt{\Delta + 1}$  for  $0 \leq \Delta \leq T-1$  where  $c_{\text{biased}} = \sqrt{\frac{f(x_0) - f(x^*)}{2\lambda L \sigma^2}}$  and let  $T$  be a multiple of  $m$ . Further let  $p_m = 1$ , and  $p_i = 0$  for  $0 \leq i < m$ . Then the output  $x_a$  of Alg. 3 we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_a)\|^2] \leq \frac{2(1-\lambda)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} \sqrt{\frac{2(f(x^0) - f(x^*))L}{T}} \sigma$$

*Proof.* As the learning rate decay from 1 to  $T$ , we use Definition 2 to bound gradients  $v_t^{s+1}$  as following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\|v_t^{s+1}\|^2] &= \mathbb{E}[\|(1-\lambda)(\nabla f_{i_t}(x_t^{s+1}) - \nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{x}^s)) + \lambda \nabla f(\tilde{x}^s)\|^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\|(1-\lambda)\nabla f_{i_t}(x_t^{s+1}) - (1-\lambda)\nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{x}^s) + \lambda \nabla f(\tilde{x}^s)\|^2] \\ &\leq 2(\mathbb{E}[\|(1-\lambda)\nabla f_{i_t}(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] + \mathbb{E}[\|(1-\lambda)\nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{x}^s) - \lambda \nabla f(\tilde{x}^s)\|^2]) \\ &\leq 2((1-\lambda)^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] + (1-\lambda)^2 \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{x}^s)\|^2]) \\ &\leq 4(1-\lambda)^2 \sigma^2, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where the first inequality we followed Lemma 3 when  $r=2$ . The second inequality we followed (a)  $\sigma$ -bounded

gradient property of  $f$  and (b) the fact that for a random variable  $\zeta$  which has a upper bounding as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\| (1-\lambda)\zeta - \lambda\mathbb{E}[\zeta] \|^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[(1-\lambda)^2 \|\zeta\|^2 - 2(1-\lambda)\lambda\zeta\mathbb{E}[\zeta] + \lambda^2\mathbb{E}^2[\zeta]] \\ &= (1-\lambda)^2\mathbb{E}[\|\zeta\|^2] - (2\lambda-3\lambda^2)\mathbb{E}^2[\zeta] \\ &\leq (1-\lambda)^2\mathbb{E}[\|\zeta\|^2], \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where the inequality should satisfy a condition that  $0 \leq \lambda \leq \frac{2}{3}$ .

Since  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -smooth, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f(x_{t+1}^{s+1})] &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) + \langle \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}), x_{t+1}^{s+1} - x_t^{s+1} \rangle] \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathcal{L}}{2} \|x_{t+1}^{s+1} - x_t^{s+1}\|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Using Alg. 3 to update and since  $\mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}), x_{t+1}^{s+1} - x_t^{s+1} \rangle] = \mathbb{E}[(\lambda-2)\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2]$  (unbiasedness of the stochastic gradients when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ ), Ineq. 25 would be updated as:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x_{t+1}^{s+1})] \leq \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) - \lambda\eta_\Delta \|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2 + \frac{\mathcal{L}\eta_\Delta^2}{2} \|v_t^{s+1}\|^2]. \quad (26)$$

Adding the bound of  $v_t^{s+1}$  from Ineq. 23 to Ineq. 26, we can obtain that:

$$\mathbb{E}[f(x_{t+1}^{s+1})] \leq \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1})] - \lambda\eta_\Delta \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] + \frac{\mathcal{L}\eta_\Delta^2}{2} (4(1-\lambda)^2)\sigma^2 \quad (27)$$

Thus the Ineq. 27 can be alternated as

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] \leq \frac{1}{\eta_\Delta \lambda} \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) - f(x_{t+1}^{s+1})] + \frac{\mathcal{L}\eta_\Delta}{\lambda} (2(1-\lambda)^2)\sigma^2, \quad (28)$$

where  $t \in \{0, \dots, m-1\}$ ,  $s \in \{0, \dots, S-1\}$ ,  $\Delta \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}$ , and  $T = mS$ .

The minimum upper bound in Ineq. 29 can be achieved when  $t = m-1$  and  $s = S-1$ , then we can obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{t,s} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] &\leq \\ \frac{1}{T} \sum_{s=0}^{S-1} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{E}[\|f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] &+ \frac{\mathcal{L}\eta_\Delta}{\lambda} (2(1-\lambda)^2)\sigma^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T} \frac{1}{\eta\lambda} \mathbb{E}[f(x^0) - f(x^T)] + \frac{\mathcal{L}\eta(2(1-\lambda)^2)}{\lambda} \sigma^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{T\eta\lambda} (f(x^0) - f(x^*)) + \frac{\mathcal{L}\eta}{\lambda} (2(1-\lambda)^2)\sigma^2 \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

The first inequality can hold due to the minimum is less than average. The second inequality is achieved from Eq 28, and the third one is followed the fact that  $f(x^*) \leq f(x^T)$ . To calculate learning rate  $\eta_\Delta = \eta$ , we take the derivative of the last inequality in Inequality 29 as

$$\frac{\partial \left( \frac{1}{T\eta\lambda} (f(x^0) - f(x^*)) + \frac{\mathcal{L}\eta}{\lambda} (2(1-\lambda)^2)\sigma^2 \right)}{\partial \eta} = 0 \quad (30)$$

Thus,  $\eta_\Delta = \eta = c/\sqrt{\Delta+1}$ , where  $c = \sqrt{\frac{f(x^0) - f(x^*)}{2\lambda\mathcal{L}\sigma^2}}$ . Bring the result of  $\eta_\Delta = \eta = c/\sqrt{\Delta+1}$  to Eq. 29, we can achieve the upper bound of expectation as

$$\min_{t,s} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{T}} \left( \frac{1}{c\lambda} (f(x^0) - f(x^*)) + 2\mathcal{L}c\sigma^2 \right). \quad (31)$$

For the case that the learning rate depends on the data size  $n$ , we provide one useful lemma in Lemma 2 firstly that can be used for proving our Theorems.

**Lemma 2.** For  $c_t, c_{t+1}, \beta_t > 0$ , we have

$$c_{t_{\text{biased}}} = c_{t+1}(1 + \eta_t\beta_t + 2(1-\lambda)^2\eta_t^2\mathcal{L}^2) + \mathcal{L}^3\eta_t^2(1-\lambda)^2.$$

Let  $\eta_t, \beta_t$  and  $c_{t+1}$  is given so that the  $\Omega_t > 0$  can be showed as

$$\Omega_{t_{\text{biased}}} = \eta_t - \frac{c_{t+1}\eta_t}{\beta_t} - \lambda^2\mathcal{L}\eta_t^2 - 2\lambda^2c_{t+1}\eta_t^2$$

Thus, the iterates in Alg. 3 satisfy the bound:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] \leq \frac{R_{t_{\text{biased}}}^{s+1} - R_{t+1_{\text{biased}}}^{s+1}}{\Omega_{t_{\text{biased}}}}$$

where  $R_t^{s+1} := \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) + c_{t_{\text{biased}}} \|x_t^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2]$  for  $0 \leq s \leq S-1$ .

*Proof.* To further bound the result in Ineq. 26 since  $f$  is  $\mathcal{L}$ -smooth, we require to bound the intermediate iterates  $v_t^{s+1}$ , which is showed following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[\|v_t^{s+1}\|^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\|(1-\lambda)(\nabla f_{i_t}(x_t^{s+1}) - \nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{x}^s)) + \lambda\nabla f(\tilde{x}^s)\|^2] \\ &= \mathbb{E}[\|(1-\lambda)\zeta_t^{s+1} + \lambda\nabla f(\tilde{x}^s) - \lambda\nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) + \lambda\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] \\ &\leq 2\mathbb{E}[\|\lambda\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] + 2\mathbb{E}[\|(1-\lambda)\zeta_t^{s+1} - \lambda\mathbb{E}[\zeta_t^{s+1}]\|^2] \\ &\leq 2\lambda^2\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] + 2(1-\lambda)^2\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f_{i_t}(x_t^{s+1}) - \nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{x}^s)\|^2] \\ &\leq 2\lambda^2\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] + 2(1-\lambda)^2\mathcal{L}^2\mathbb{E}[\|x_t^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2], \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . In the first inequality, the variable  $\zeta$  is showed as

$$\zeta_t^{s+1} = \frac{1}{|I_t|} \sum_{i_t \in I_t} (\nabla f_{i_t}(x_t^{s+1}) - \nabla f_{i_t}(\tilde{x}^s)), \quad (33)$$

since  $\mathbb{E}[\zeta_t^{s+1}] = \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}) - \nabla f(\tilde{x}^s)$ . The second inequality is obtain from Ineq. 24.

Consider now the Lyapunov function:

$$R_{t_{\text{biased}}}^{s+1} := \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) + c_{t_{\text{biased}}} \|x_t^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2]. \quad (34)$$

To bound Eq. 34, we require the bound of  $\mathbb{E}[\|x_{t+1}^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2]$

as following:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}[\|x_{t+1}^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\|x_{t+1}^{s+1} - x_t^{s+1} + x_t^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\|x_{t+1}^{s+1} - x_t^{s+1}\|^2 + \|x_t^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2 + 2\langle x_{t+1}^{s+1} - x_t^{s+1}, x_t^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s \rangle] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[\eta_t^2 \|v_t^{s+1}\|^2 + \|x_t^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2 - 2\eta_t \mathbb{E}[\langle \nabla f(x_t^{s+1}), x_t^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s \rangle]] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}[\eta_t^2 \|v_t^{s+1}\|^2 + \|x_t^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2] + \\
&2\eta_t \mathbb{E}[\frac{1}{2\beta_t} \|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_t \|x_t^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2]
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

The second equality follows from the unbiasedness of the update of Alg 3. The last inequality follows from application of Cauchy-Schwarz and Young's inequality. Combing Eq 32, Eq 34 and Eq 35, we can achieve the bound of  $R_{t+1}^{s+1} := \mathbb{E}[f(x_{t+1}^{s+1}) + c_{t+1} \|x_{t+1}^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2]$  as

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{t+1}^{s+1} &\leq \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) - \eta_t \|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2 + \frac{L\eta_t^2}{2} \|v_t^{s+1}\|^2] + \\
&\mathbb{E}[c_{t+1}\eta_t^2 \|v_t^{s+1}\|^2 + c_{t+1} \|x_t^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2] + \\
&2c_{t+1}\eta_t \mathbb{E}[\frac{1}{2\beta_t} \|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\beta_t \|x_t^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2] \\
&\leq \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1}) - (\eta_t - \frac{c_{t+1}\eta_t}{\beta_t}) \|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] + \\
&(\frac{L\eta_t^2}{2} + c_{t+1}\eta_t^2) \mathbb{E}[\|v_t^{s+1}\|^2] + (c_{t+1} + c_{t+1}\eta_t\beta_t) \mathbb{E}[\|x_t^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2] \\
&= \mathbb{E}[f(x_t^{s+1})] - (\eta_t - \frac{c_{t+1}\eta_t}{\beta_t} - \lambda^2 L\eta_t^2 - 2\lambda^2 c_{t+1}\eta_t^2) \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] + \\
&(c_{t+1}(1 + \eta_t\beta_t + 2(1 - \lambda)^2 \eta^2 L^2) + (1 - \lambda)^2 L^3 \eta_t^2) \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] \\
&\leq R_{t+1}^{s+1} - (\eta_t - \frac{c_{t+1}\eta_t}{\beta_t} - \lambda^2 L\eta_t^2 - 2\lambda^2 c_{t+1}\eta_t^2) \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2].
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

The last inequality follows  $R_{t+1}^{s+1} := \mathbb{E}[f(x_{t+1}^{s+1}) + c_{t+1} \|x_{t+1}^{s+1} - \tilde{x}^s\|^2]$  where

$$c_{t+1} = c_{t+1}(1 + \eta_t\beta_t + 2(1 - \lambda)^2 \eta^2 L^2) + (1 - \lambda)^2 L^3 \eta_t^2. \tag{37}$$

Thus the Ineq. 36 can be alternated as

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] \leq \frac{R_{t+1}^{s+1} - R_{t+1}^{s+1}}{\Omega_{t+1}^{s+1}}, \tag{38}$$

$$\text{where } \Omega_{t+1}^{s+1} = \eta_t - \frac{c_{t+1}\eta_t}{\beta_t} - \lambda^2 L\eta_t^2 - 2\lambda^2 c_{t+1}\eta_t^2 \quad \square$$

## 5 Proof of Theorem 8

**Theorem.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , let  $c_m = 0$ ,  $\eta_t = \eta > 0$ ,  $\beta_t = \beta > 0$ ,  $c_{t+1} = c_{t+1}(1 + \eta\beta + 2(1 - \lambda)^2 \eta^2 L^2) + L^3 \eta^2 (1 - \lambda)^2$ , so the intermediate result  $\Omega_{t+1}^{s+1} = (\eta_t - \frac{c_{t+1}\eta_t}{\beta_t} - \lambda^2 L\eta_t^2 - 2\lambda^2 c_{t+1}\eta_t^2) > 0$ , for  $0 \leq t \leq m - 1$ . Define the minimum value of  $\gamma_{n, \text{biased}} := \min_t \Omega_{t+1}^{s+1}$ . Further let  $p_i = 0$  for  $0 \leq i < m$  and  $p_m = 1$ , and  $T$  is a multiple of  $m$ . So the output  $x_a$  of Alg. 3 we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_a)\|^2] \leq \frac{f(x^0) - f(x^*)}{T\gamma_{n, \text{biased}}},$$

where  $x^*$  is the optimal solution to Problem 1.

*Proof.* Using the result from Lemma 2 and  $\eta_t = \eta$  when  $t \in \{0, \dots, m - 1\}$ , we can achieve the following bound:

$$\sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] \leq \frac{R_0^{s+1} - R_m^{s+1}}{\gamma_{n, \text{biased}}}, \tag{39}$$

Thus, the bound in Ineq. 39 can updated as

$$\sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[f(\tilde{x}^s) - f(\tilde{x}^{s+1})]}{\gamma_{n, \text{biased}}}, \tag{40}$$

where  $R_0^{s+1} = \mathbb{E}[f(\tilde{x}^s)]$  since  $x_0^{s+1} = \tilde{x}^s$  and  $R_m^{s+1} = \mathbb{E}[f(\tilde{x}^{s+1})]$  since  $x_m^{s+1} = \tilde{x}^{s+1}$ , which we use the condition that  $c_m = 0$ ,  $p_m = 1$ , and  $p_i = 0$  for  $i < m$ . For the total number of iterations  $T = Sm$ , we further sum up iteration  $s$  as

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_{s=0}^{S-1} \sum_{t=0}^{m-1} \mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_t^{s+1})\|^2] \leq \frac{f(x^0) - f(x^*)}{T\gamma_{n, \text{biased}}}, \tag{41}$$

where the  $\tilde{x}^0 = x^0$  and  $\tilde{x}^* = x^*$ . Thus, we can obtain our final result.  $\square$

## 6 Proof of Theorem 9

**Theorem.** Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , let  $\eta = \frac{1}{3L n^{\alpha a}}$  ( $0 \leq a \leq 1$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ),  $\beta = \frac{L}{n^{b\alpha}}$  ( $b > 0$ ),  $m_{\text{biased}} = \lfloor \frac{3n^{2a\alpha}}{2(1 - \lambda)} \rfloor$  and  $T$  is the total number of iterations. Then, we can obtain the lower bound  $\gamma_{n, \text{biased}} \geq \frac{(1 - \lambda)\lambda v_1}{9L n^{(2a-b)\alpha}}$  in Theorem 8. For the output  $x_a$  of Alg. 3 we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\nabla f(x_a)\|^2] \leq \frac{9L n^{(2a-b)\alpha} [f(x^0) - f(x^*)]}{\lambda(1 - \lambda)T v_1},$$

where  $x_*$  is an optimal solution to Eq. 1.

*Proof.* Using the relation in Eq 37 and  $c_m = 0$ , we estimated the upper bound of  $c_0$  as

$$c_0 = L^3 \eta^2 (1 - \lambda)^2 \frac{(1 + \theta_{\text{biased}})^m - 1}{\theta_{\text{biased}}}, \tag{42}$$

where  $\theta_{\text{biased}} = 2(1 - \lambda)^2 L^2 \eta^2 + \eta\beta$ . Let  $\eta = \frac{1}{3L n^{\alpha a}}$  and  $\beta = \frac{L}{n^{b\alpha}}$ , the  $\theta_{\text{biased}}$  can be alternated as:

$$\begin{aligned}
\theta_{\text{biased}} &= 2(1 - \lambda)^2 L^2 \eta^2 + \eta\beta = \frac{2(1 - \lambda)^2}{9n^{2a\alpha}} + \frac{1}{3n^{(a+b)\alpha}} \\
&\leq \frac{2(1 - \lambda)}{3n^{2a\alpha}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Using the above bound  $\theta$ , we can get the further bound of  $c_0$  as

$$c_0 = \frac{(1 - \lambda)^2 L [(1 + \theta_{\text{biased}})^m - 1]}{2(1 - \lambda)^2 + \frac{3}{n^{(b-a)\alpha}}} \leq \frac{L(1 - \lambda)^2 (e - 1)}{3n^{(a-b)\alpha}}, \tag{44}$$

where  $0 \leq \mu_0 \leq 1$  and  $n \geq 1$ . In the first inequality, due to the value of  $(1 + \theta_{\text{biased}})^{m_{\text{biased}}}$  is increasing when  $m_{\text{biased}} = \lfloor \frac{1}{\theta} \rfloor > 0$ , we can use  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \frac{1}{l})^l = e$  (the  $e$  is Euler's number) to calculate upper bound of  $(1 + \theta_{\text{biased}})^{m_{\text{biased}}}$ . Next, the lower bound of  $\gamma_{n_{\text{biased}}}$  is given as:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{n_{\text{biased}}} &= \min_t \left( \eta - \frac{c_{t+1}\eta}{\beta} - \lambda^2 L \eta^2 - 2\lambda^2 c_{t+1} \eta_t^2 \right) \\ &\geq \left( \eta - \frac{c_0 \eta}{\beta} - \lambda^2 L \eta^2 - 2\lambda^2 c_0 \eta^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{(1-\lambda)\lambda v_1}{\lambda L n^{(2a-2b)\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where  $v_1$  is independent of  $n$ . According to Theorem 8, we can achieve our result.  $\square$

## 7 Proof of Corollary 1

**Corollary.** Suppose  $f \in \mathcal{F}_n$ , the IFO complexity of Alg. 4 (with parameters from Theorem 10) achieves an  $\epsilon$ -accurate solution that is  $\mathcal{O}(\min\{1/\epsilon^2, n^{1/5}/\epsilon\})$ , where the number of IFO calls is minimized when  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 2$  and  $\alpha = 1/5$ .

*Proof.* This result of IFO is  $\mathcal{O}(\min\{1/\epsilon^2, n^{1/5}/\epsilon\})$ . For the first term of IFO follows from Theorem 7, it is same with SGD IFO calls.

For the second term of IFO follows from Theorem 6 and fact that  $m = \lfloor \frac{3n^{(3a+b)\alpha}}{(1-\lambda)} \rfloor$ . Suppose  $\alpha < \frac{1}{(3a+b)}$ , then  $m = o(n)$ . However,  $n$  IFO calls invested in calculating the average gradient at the end of each epoch. In other words, computation of average gradient requires  $n$  IFO calls for every  $m$  iterations of algorithm. Using this relationship, we get  $\mathcal{O}(n + n^{(1-\frac{\alpha}{2})\epsilon})$  in this case. On the other hand, when  $\alpha > \frac{1}{(3a+b)}$ , the total number of IFO calls made

by Alg 4 in each epoch is  $\Omega(n)$  since  $m = \lfloor \frac{3n^{(3a+b)\alpha}}{(1-\lambda)} \rfloor$ .

As a result, the oracle calls required for calculating the average gradient (per epoch) is of lower order, leading to  $\mathcal{O}(n + n^\alpha/\epsilon)$  IFO calls. Consequently,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{(3a+b)}$  is key result to achieve IFO calls as following:

To achieve a lowest upper bound in Theorem 10, the best choice is  $a = 1$ ,  $b = 2$ . Thus,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{5}$ , and IFO in second case is  $n^{1/5}/\epsilon$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** For random variables  $z_1, \dots, z_r$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\|z_1 + \dots + z_r\|^2] \leq r \mathbb{E}[\|z_1\|^2 + \dots + \|z_r\|^2]. \quad (46)$$