## Customer Meeting Report 15/12/2023

Ref: CMR\_PFE\_2023\_16\_15\_12

## **Progress report:**

- The branch "doc" has been validated and merged
- US #7 (versioning of configuration settings and produced data) finished and in validation.
- US #9 (orientation of the different types of people to the different types of zones) in development. Calculating population orientation parameters takes longer than expected.
- US #11 (end of the simulation enhancement) in development. Squash tests implemented in the code. Development of the train management in progress (trains must stop at the terminus)
- US #20 (*manage peak attendance*) finished, merged and closed. attendancePeak has been refactored into "gaussianPeak" in another branch.
- US #28 (management line closure) developed, tested and refactored in "multipleStationsClosed". This US was splitted in 3 other US to better answer to the customer's needs:
  - US #42 (create line closure event)
  - US #43 (create unexpected line closure event)
  - US #44 (create planned line closure event)
- TS #38 (import features bugs fixing) finished, merged and closed.
- US #39 (configure day type via the HMI) developed. A demonstration will be made during the meeting.
- US #40 (import or export both config files at the same time via the HMI) developed, tested and documented. Waiting for validation.
- US #41 (manage ramp peak events) in development. Due to similarities between this US and US #20, the work goes well and should be finished soon.
- US #42 (create line closure event) begun.

## **Questions to customer:**

The team does not have any questions.

## **Customer's questions:**

- What is the difference between US #43 + #44 and #42?
  - o The first two are for the simulator, and the other concerns only the editor
- Does the days parametrized in the json impact the simulation?
  - o Not today but at the end of the project, a csv file will allow it
- Will the peaks depend on the days' types?
  - o Yes, they will