LENTRONAT

Letrozole (Tablets)

Non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor (inhibitor of oestrogen biosynthesis); antineoplastic agent.

COMPOSITION AND PHARMACEUTICAL FORM

Active substance: 4,4'-[(1H-1,2,4-triazol-1-yl)-methylene]bis-benzonitrile (INN/USAN-

Each film-coated tablet contains 2.5 mg Letrozole USP.

For excipients, see section EXCIPIENTS

Yellow colored film-coated, round shaped tablets debossed with 'N' on one side and 'L' on other side.

INDICATIONS

Letrozole is not indicated in hormone receptor negative disease.

Letrozole is indicated in:

- · Adjuvant treatment of postmenopausal women with hormone receptor positive invasive early breast cancer.
- Extended adjuvant treatment of invasive early breast cancer in post menopausal women who have received prior standard adjuvant tamoxifen therapy for five years.
- · First-line treatment in postmenopausal women with hormone-dependent advanced breast cancer.
- Treatment of advanced breast cancer after relapse or disease progression, in women with natural or artificially induced postmenopausal endorcrine status, who have previously been treated with anti-oestrogens.

DOSAGE AND ADMINISTRATION

Adults

The recommended dose of letrozole is 2.5 mg once daily. In the adjuvant and extended adjuvant setting, treatment with letrozole should continue for 5 years or until disease relapse/ recurrence occurs, whichever comes first. In patients with metastatic disease, treatment with letrozole should continue until tumor progression is evident.

Special populations

Hepatic impairment

No dose adjustment of letrozole is required for patients with mild to moderate hepatic insufficiency (Child-Pugh score A or B). Insufficient data are available for patients with severe hepatic impairment, but patients with severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh score C) should be kept under close supervision (see sections WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS and CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY - Pharmacokinetics).

Renal impairment

No dosage adjustment of letrozole is required for patients with renal insufficiency with creatinine clearance (CLcr) ≥10 mL/min. Insufficient data are available in cases of renal insufficiency with CLcr <10 mL/min (see sections WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS and CLINI-CAL PHARMACOLOGY - Pharmacokinetics).

Pediatrics

Letrozole is not recommended for use in children and adolescents. The safety and efficacy of letrozole in children and adolescents aged up to 17 years have not been established. Limited data are available and no recommendation on a posology can be made

Geriatrics

No dose adjustment is required for elderly patients.

Method of administration

Letrozole should be taken orally and can be taken with or without food because food has no effect on the extent of absorption.

The missed dose should be taken as soon as the patient remembers. However, if it is almost time for the next dose, the missed dose should be skipped, and the patient should go back to her regular dosage schedule. Doses should not be doubled because with daily doses over the 2.5 mg recommended dose, over-proportionality in systemic exposure was observed (see section CLINICAL PHARMACOLOGY).

CONTRAINDICATIONS

Known hypersensitivity to the active substance or to any of the excipients.

Premenopausal endocrine status; pregnancy, lactation (see sections WOMEN OF CHILD-BEARING POTENTIAL PREGNANCY AND LACTATION and PRECLINICAL SAFETY

WARNINGS AND PRECAUTIONS

Bone effects

Osteoporosis and/or bone fractures have been reported with the use of letrozole. During treatment with letrozole, women with osteoporosis or are at risk of osteoporosis should have their bone mineral density formally assessed at the commencement of treatment and at regular intervals thereafter. Treatment or prophylaxis for osteoporosis should be initiated as appropriate and carefully monitored (see section UNDESIRABLE EFFEECTS and PHAR-MACODYNAMICS).

Renal impairment

Letrozole have not been investigated in patients with creatinine clearance <10 mL/min. The potential risk/benefit to such patients should be carefully considered before administration

Hepatic impairment

In patients with severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh score C), systemic exposure and terminal half-life were approximately doubled compared to healthy volunteers. Such patients should therefore be kept under close supervision (see PHARMACOKINETICS).

Menopausal status

In patients whose menopausal status is unclear, luteinising hormone (LH), follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH) and/or oestradiol levels should be measured before initiating treatment with letrozole. Only women of postmenopausal endocrine status should receive letrozole.

Fertility

The pharmacological action of letrozole is to reduce oestrogen production by aromatase inhibition. In premenopausal women, the inhibition of oestrogen synthesis leads to feedback increases in gonadotropin (LH, FSH) levels. Increased FSH levels in turn stimulate follicular

Interactions

Co-administration of letrozole with tamoxifen, other anti-oestrogens or oestrogen-containing

therapies should be avoided as these substances may diminish the pharmacological action of letrozole. The mechanism of this interaction is unknown (see section INTERACTIONS).

INTERACTIONS

Letrozole is mainly metabolized in the liver and the cytochrome P450 enzymes CYP3A4 and CYP2A6 mediate the metabolic clearance of letrozole. Therefore, the systemic elimination of letrozole may be influenced by drugs known to affect the CYP3A4 and CYP2A6.

Drugs that may increase Letrozole serum concentrations

Inhibitors of CYP3A4 and CYP2A6 activities could decrease the metabolism of letrozole and thereby increase plasma concentrations of letrozole. The concomitant administration of medications that strongly inhibit these enzymes (strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: including but not limited to ketoconazole, itraconazole, voriconazole, ritonavir, clarithromycin, and telithromycin: CYP2A6 (e.g. methoxsalen) may increase exposure to letrozole. Therefore caution is recommended in patients for whom strong CYP3A4 and CYP2A6 inhibitors are indicated.

Drugs that may decrease Letrozole serum concentrations

Inducers of CYP3A4 activity could increase the metabolism of letrozole and thereby decrease plasma concentrations of letrozole. The concomitant administration of medications that induce CYP3A4 (e.g. phenytoin, rifampicin, carbamazepine, phenobarbital, and St. John's Wort) may reduce exposure to letrozole. Therefore caution is recommended in patients for whom strong CYP3A4 inducers are indicated. No drug inducer is known for CYP2A6.

Co-administration of letrozole (2.5mg) and tamoxifen 20 mg daily resulted in a reduction of letrozole plasma levels by 38% on average. There is limited clinical experience to date on the use of letrozole in combination with other anti-cancer agents other than tamoxifen.

Drugs that may have their systemic serum concentrations altered by Letrozole

In vitro, letrozole inhibits the cytochrome P450 isoenzymes CYP2A6 and, moderately, CY-P2C19 but the clinical relevance is unknown. Caution is therefore indicated when giving letrozole concomitantly with medicinal products whose elimination is mainly dependent on CYP2C19 and whose therapeutic index is narrow (e.g. phenytoin, clopidrogel). No substrate with a narrow therapeutic index is known for CYP2A6.

Clinical interaction studies with cimetidine (a known non-specific inhibitor of CYP2C19 and CYP3A4 and warfarin (sensitive substrate for CYP2C9 with a narrow therapeutic window and commonly used as co-medication in the target population of letrozole) indicated that the coadministration of letrozole with these drugs does not result in clinically significant drug

A review of the clinical trial database indicated no evidence of other clinically relevant interaction with other commonly prescribed drugs.

WOMEN OF CHILD-BEARING POTENTIAL, PREGNANCY AND LACTATION

There have been post-marketing reports of spontaneous abortions and congenital anomalies in infants of mothers who have taken letrozole (see section WARNINGS AND PRECAU-TIONS FOR USE). The physician needs to discuss the necessity of adequate contraception with women who have the potential to become pregnant including women who are perimenopausal or who recently became postmenopausal, until their postmenopausal status is fully established (see section PRECLINICAL SAFETY DATA).

Letrozole is contraindicated during pregnancy and lactation (see section CONTRAINDICA-TIONS)

Isolated cases of birth defects (labial fusion, ambiguous genitalia) have been reported in pregnant women exposed to letrozole (see also section PRECLINICAL SAFETY DATA).

FFFFCTS ON ABILITY TO DRIVE AND USE MACHINES

Since fatigue and dizziness have been observed with the use of letrozole and somnolence has been reported uncommonly, caution is advised when driving or using machines.

UNDESIRABLE EFFECTS

Summary of the safety profile

Letrozole was generally well tolerated across all studies as first-line and second-line treatment for advanced breast cancer, as adjuvant treatment of early breast cancer and as extended adjuvant treatment in women who have received prior standard tamoxifen therapy. Approximately one third of the patients treated with letrozole in the metastatic and neoadiuvant settings, approximately 75% of the patients in the adjuvant setting (both letrozole and tamoxifen arms, at a median treatment duration of 60 months), and approximately 80% of the patients in the extended adjuvant setting (both letrozole and placebo arms, at a median tment duration of 60 months) experienced adverse reactions. Generally, the observed adverse reactions are mainly mild or moderate in nature, and most are associated with oestrogen deprivation.

The most frequently reported adverse reactions in the clinical studies were hot flushes. arthralgia, nausea and fatigue. Many adverse reactions can be attributed to the normal pharmacological consequences of oestrogen deprivation (e.g. hot flushes, alopecia and vaginal bleeding). The following adverse drug reactions, listed in Table 1, were reported from clinical studies and from post marketing experience with letrozole.

Tabulated summary of adverse drug reactions from clinical trials

Adverse reactions are ranked under headings of frequency, the most frequent first, using the following convention: very common ≥10%, common ≥1% to <10%, uncommon ≥0.1% to <1%, rare ≥0.01% to <0.1%, very rare <0.01%, not known (cannot be estimated from the available data).

Adverse drug reactions

Immune system disorders

Metabolism and nutrition disorders Very common

Uncommon

Infections and infestations

Nervous system disorders Uncommon

Anaphylactic reaction Hypercholesterolemia Anorexia, appetite increase

Urinary tract infection

Tumour pain¹

Leukopenia

Anxiety (including nervousness), irritability

Somnolence, insomnia, memory impairment, dysaesthesia (including paresthesia, hypoes thesia), taste disturbance, cerebrovascular accident, carpal tunnel syndrome

Cardiac disorders Uncommon

Palpitations, tachycardia, ischemic cardiac events (including new or worsening angina angina requiring surgery, myocardial infarc

Hot flushes

tion and myocardial ischemia)

cerebrovascular infarction

diarrhoea, abdominal pair

Increased hepatic enzymes

lar rash) Pruritus, urticaria

Increased urinary frequency

Increased sweating

Trigger finger

Weight increase

Weight loss

Nausea, vomiting, dyspepsia, constipation

Alopecia, dry skin, rash (including erythema-

tous, maculopapular, psoriaform, and vesicu-

Angioedema, toxic epidermal necrolysis, ery thema multiforme

Dyspnoea, cough

Hepatitis

Vascular disorders Very commor

Common Hypertension Thrombophlebitis (including superficial and deep vein thrombophlebitis) Pulmonary embolism, arterial thrombosis,

Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal

Gastrointestinal disorders Common

Hepato-biliary disorders

disorders

Uncommon Verv rare

Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders Very commor Common

Not known

Musculoskeletal and connective tissue

Very common Arthralgia Myalgia, bone pain1, osteoporosis, bone fractures Uncommon Arthritis

Renal and urinary disorders

Reproductive system and breast disorders Vaginal bleeding Uncommon Vaginal discharge, vaginal dryness, breast

General disorders and administration site conditions

Very common Fatigue (including asthenia, malaise) Common Peripheral oedema General edema, pyrexia, mucosal dryness

Investigations Uncommon

¹ Adverse drug reactions reported only in the metastatic setting

Description of selected adverse drug reactions

Cardiac adverse reactions

Common

In the adjuvant setting, in addition to the data presented in Table 6, the following adverse events were reported for letrozole and tamoxifen, respectively (median treatment duration of 5 years): angina requiring surgery (1.0% vs. 1.0%); cardiac failure (1.1% vs. 0.6%); hypertension (5.6% vs. 5.7%); cerebrovascular accident/transient ischaemic attack (2.1% vs. 1.9%).

In the extended adjuvant setting for letrozole (median duration of treatment 5 years) and placebo (median duration of treatment 3 years), respectively: angina requiring surgery (0.8% vs. 0.6%); new or worsening angina (1.4% vs. 1.0%); myocardial infarction (1.0% vs. 0.7%); thromboembolic event* (0.9% vs. 0.3%); stroke/transient ischaemic attack* (1.5% vs. 0.8%) were reported.

Events marked * were statistically significantly different in the two treatment arms

Skeletal adverse reactions

For skeletal safety data from the adjuvant setting, please refer to Table 6.

In the extended adjuvant setting, significantly more patients treated with letrozole experienced bone fractures or osteoporosis (bone fractures, 10.4% and osteoporosis, 12.2%) than patients n the placebo arm (5.8% and 6.4%, respectively). Median duration of treatment was 5 years for letrozole, compared with 3 years for placebo.

OVERDOSE

solated cases of overdosage with letrozole have been reported.

No specific treatment for overdosage is known; treatment should be symptomatic and sup-

PHARMACODYNAMICS

Pharmacodynamic effects

The elimination of oestrogen-mediated stimulatory effects is a prerequisite for tumour response in cases where the growth of tumour tissue depends on the presence of oestrogens. In postmenopausal women, oestrogens are mainly derived from the action of the aromatase enzyme, which converts adrenal androgens - primarily androstenedione and testosterone - to pestrone (F1) and pestradiol (F2). The suppression of pestrogen biosynthesis in peripheral tissues and the cancer tissue itself can therefore be achieved by specifically inhibiting the aromatase enzyme.

Letrozole is a non-steroidal aromatase inhibitor. It inhibits the aromatase enzyme by competitively binding to the haem of the cytochrome P450 subunit of the enzyme, resulting in a reduction of oestrogen biosynthesis in all tissues.

In healthy postmenopausal women, single doses of 0.1 mg, 0.5 mg and 2.5 mg letrozole suppress serum oestrone and oestradiol by 75 to 78 % and 78 % from baseline, respectively. Maximum suppression is achieved in 48 to 78 hours.

In postmenopausal patients with advanced breast cancer, daily doses of 0.1 to 5 mg suppress plasma concentration of oestradiol, oestrone, and oestrone sulphate by 75 to 95 % from baseline in all patients treated. With doses of 0.5 mg and higher, many values of oestrone and oestrone sulphate are below the limit of detection in the assays, indicating that higher oestrogen suppression is achieved with these doses. Oestrogen suppression was maintained throughout treatment in all these patients.

Letrozole is highly specific in inhibiting aromatase activity. Impairment of adrenal steroidogen esis has not been observed. No clinically relevant changes were found in the plasma concentrations of cortisol, aldosterone, 11-deoxycortisol, 17-hydroxy-progesterone, and ACTH, or in plasma renin activity among postmenopausal patients treated with a daily dose of letrozole 0.1 to 5 mg. The ACTH stimulation test performed after 6 and 12 weeks of treatment with daily doses of 0.1 mg, 0.25 mg, 0.5 mg, 1 mg, 2.5 mg, and 5 mg did not indicate any attenuation of aldosterone or cortisol production. Thus, glucocorticoid and mineralocorticoid supplementation

is not necessary.

No changes were noted in plasma concentrations of androgens (androstenedione and testosterone) among healthy postmenopausal women after 0.1 mg, 0.5 mg, and 2.5 mg single doses of letrozole or in plasma concentrations of androstenedione among postmenopausal patients treated with daily doses of 0.1 to 5 mg, indicating that the blockade of oestrogen biosynthesis does not lead to accumulation of androgenic precursors. Plasma levels of LH and FSH are not affected by letrozole in patients, nor is thyroid function as evaluated by TSH, T4 and T3 uptake.

PHARMACOKINETICS

Absorption

Letrozole is rapidly and completely absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract (mean absolute bioavailability: 99.9%). Food slightly decreases the rate of absorption (median tmax: 1 hour fasted versus 2 hours fed; and mean Cmax; 129 ± 20.3 nmol/L fasted versus 98.7 ± 18.6 nmol/L fed), but the extent of absorption (AUC) is not changed. The minor effect on the absorption rate is not considered to be of clinical relevance, and therefore letrozole may be taken without regard to meal times.

Plasma protein binding of letrozole is approximately 60%, mainly to albumin (55%). The concentration of letrozole in erythrocytes is about 80% of that in plasma. After administration of 2.5 mg 14C-labelled letrozole, approximately 82% of the radioactivity in plasma was unchanged compound. Systemic exposure to metabolites is therefore low. Letrozole is rapidly and extensively distributed to tissues. Its apparent volume of distribution at steady state is about 1.87 ± 0.47 L/kg.

Metabolism and elimination

Metabolic clearance to a pharmacologically inactive carbinol metabolite is the major elimination pathway of letrozole (CLm= 2.1 L/h), but is relatively slow when compared to hepatic blood flow (about 90 L/h). The cytochrome P450 isoenzymes 3A4 and 2A6 were found to be capable of converting letrozole to this metabolite. Formation of minor unidentified metabolites, and direct renal and faecal excretion play only a minor role in the overall elimination of letrozole Within 2 weeks after administration of 2.5 mg 14C-labelled letrozole to healthy postmenopausal volunteers, $88.2 \pm 7.6\%$ of the radioactivity was recovered in urine and $3.8 \pm 0.9\%$ in faeces. At least 75 % of the radioactivity recovered in urine up to 216 hours (84.7 ± 7.8% of the dose) was attributed to the glucuronide of the carbinol metabolite, about 9% to two unidentified metabolites, and 6 % to unchanged letrozole

The apparent terminal elimination half-life in plasma is about 2 days. After daily administration of 2.5 mg, steady-state levels are reached within 2 to 6 weeks. Plasma concentrations at steady state are approximately 7 times higher than concentrations measured after a single dose of 2.5 mg, while they are 1.5 to 2 times higher than the steady-state values predicted from the concentrations measured after a single dose, indicating a slight nonlinearity in the pharmacokinetics of letrozole upon daily administration of 2.5 mg. Since steady-state levels are maintained over time, it can be concluded that no continuous accumulation of letrozole occurs.

Linearity/non-linearity

The pharmacokinetics of letrozole were dose proportional after single oral doses up to 10 mg (dose range: 0.01 to 30 mg) and after daily doses up to 1.0 mg (dose range: 0.1 to 5mg). After a 30 mg single oral dose there was a slightly dose over-proportional increase in AUC value. With daily doses of 2.5 and 5 mg the AUC values increased about 3.8 and 12 fold instead of 2.5 and 5 fold, respectively, when compared to the 1.0 mg/day dose. The recommended dose of 2.5 mg/day may thus be a borderline dose at which an onset of over-proportionality becomes apparent, whereas at 5 mg/day the over-proportionality is more pronounced.

Special populations

Age had no effect on the pharmacokinetics of letrozole

In a study involving volunteers with varying degrees of renal function (24-hour creatinine clearance 9 to 116 mL/min), no effect on the pharmacokinetics systemic exposure of letrozole was found after a single dose of 2.5 mg. Therefore, no dose adjustment is required for patients with renal impairment (CLcr ≥10 mL/min). Little information is available in patients with severe impairment of renal function (CLcr <10 mL/min). In a similar study involving subjects with varying degrees of hepatic function, the mean AUC values of the volunteers with moderate hepatic impairment (Child- Pugh score B) was 37% higher than in normal subjects, but still within the range seen in subjects without impaired function. In a study comparing the pharmacokinetics of letrozole after a single oral dose in eight subjects with liver cirrhosis and severe hepatic impairment (Child-Pugh score C) to those in healthy volunteers (n=8), AUC and tage increased by 95 and 187%, respectively. Breast-cancer patients with severe hepatic impairment are thus expected to be exposed to higher levels of letrozole than patients without severe hepatic dysfunction. However, since in patients dosed at 5 or 10 mg/day no increase in toxicity was observed, a dose reduction in patients with severe hepatic impairment appears not to be warranted, although such patients should be kept under close supervision. In addition, in two well-controlled studies involving 359 patients with advanced breast cancer, no effect of renal impairment (calculated creatinine clearance: 20 to 50 mL/min) or hepatic dysfunction was found on the letrozole concentration.

CLINICAL STUDIES

Adjuvant treatment

Study BIG 1-98

BIG 1-98 was a multicenter, double-blind study in which over 8,000 postmenopausal women with hormone receptor-positive early breast cancer were randomized to one of the following treatments: A. tamoxifen for 5 years; B. Letrozole for 5 years; C. tamoxifen for 2 years followed by Letrozole for 3 years; D. Letrozole for 2 years followed by tamoustien for 3 years. The primary endpoint was disease-free survival (DFS); secondary efficacy endpoints were

time to distant metastasis (TDM), distant disease-free survival (DDFS), overall survival (OS), systemic disease-free survival (SDFS), invasive contralateral breast cancer and time to breast

Efficacy results at a median follow-up of 26 and 60 months

Data in Table 2 reflect the results of the Primary Core Analysis (PCA) based on data from the monotherapy arms (A and B) and from the two switching arms (C and D) at a median treatment duration of 24 months and a median follow-up of 26 months and at a median treatment duration of 25 months and at a median treatment duration of 26 months and at a median treatment duration of 27 months and at a median treatment duration of 28 months and at a median treatment duration of 28 months and at a median treatment duration of 28 months and at a median treatment duration of 28 months and at a median treatment duration of 28 months and at a median treatment duration of 28 months and at a median treatment duration of 29 months and at a median treatment duration of 29 months and at a median treatment duration of 29 months and at a median treatment duration of 29 months and at a median treatment duration of 29 months and at a median treatment duration of 29 months and at a median treatment duration of 29 months and at a median treatment duration of 20 months and at a median treatment duration of 20 months and at a median treatment duration of 20 months and at a median treatment duration of 20 months and at a median treatment duration of 20 months and at a median treatment duration of 20 months and at a median treatment duration of 20 months a tion of 32 months and a median follow-up of 60 months.

The 5-year DFS rates were 84% for letrozole and 81.4% for tamoxifen. Table 2 Primary Core Analysis: Disease-free and overall survival, at a median follow-up of 26 months and at median follow-up of 60 months (ITT population)

	Primary Core Analysis					
	Median	follow-up	26 months	Median	follow-up	60 months
	letrozole	Tamoxifen	HR¹	letrozole	Tamoxifen	HR ¹
	N=4003	N=4007	(95% CI) <i>P</i>	N=4003	N=4007	(95% CI) <i>P</i>
Disease-free survival (primary)- events (protocol definition²)	- 351	428	0.81 (0.70, 0.93) 0.003	585	664	0.86 (0.70, 0.93) 0.008
Overall survival (secondary) Number of deaths	166	192	0.86 (0.70, 1.06)	330	374	0.87 (0.75,1.01)

HR = Hazard ratio: CI = confidence interval.

1 Log rank test, stratified by randomisation option and use of chemotherapy (yes/no)

² DFS events: loco-regional recurrence, distant metastasis, invasive contralateral breast can

Neoplasms benign, malignant and unspecified (including cysts and polyps)

Blood and the lymphatic system disorders

Not known

Common Psychiatric disorders

Eye disorders

Cataract, eye irritation, blurred vision

cer, second (non-breast) primary malignancy, death from any cause without a prior cancer

Results at a median follow-up of 96 months (monotherapy arms only)

The Monotherapy Arms Analysis (MAA) long-term update of the efficacy of letrozole monotherapy compared to tamoxifen monotherapy (median duration of adjuvant treatment: 5 years) is presented in Table 3

Table 3 Monotherapy Arms Analysis: Disease-free and overall survival at a median follow-up of 96 months (ITT population)

letrozole	Tamoxifen	Hazard Ratio ¹	P Value
N=2463	N=2459	(95 % CI)	
626	698	0.87 (0.78, 0.97)	0.01
301	342	0.86 (0.74, 1.01)	0.06
393	436	0.89 (0.77, 1.02)	0.08
626	649	0.83 (0.74, 0.92)	-
393	419	0.81 (0.70, 0.93)	-
	N=2463 626 301 393 626	N=2463 N=2459 626 698 301 342 393 436 626 649	626 698 0.87 (0.78, 0.97) 301 342 0.86 (0.74, 1.01) 393 436 0.89 (0.77, 1.02) 626 649 0.83 (0.74, 0.92)

- Log rank test, stratified by randomization option and use of chemotherapy (yes/no)
- ² DFS events: loco-regional recurrence, distant metastasis, invasive contralateral breast cancer.
- second (non-breast) primary malignancy, death from any cause without a prior cancer event 3 Observations in the tamoxifen arm censored at the date of selectively switching to letrozole

Sequential Treatments Analysis (STA)

The Sequential Treatments Analysis (STA) addresses the second primary question of BIG 1-98, namely whether sequencing of tamoxifen and letrozole would be superior to monotherapy. There were no significant differences in DFS, OS, SDFS, or DDFS from switch with respect to monotherapy (Table 4).

Table 4 Sequential treatments analysis of disease-free survival with letrozole as initial endocrine agent (STA switch population

	N	Number of events ¹	Hazard ratio ²	(97.5% confidence interval)	Cox model P- value
[Letrozole→]Tamoxifen	1,460	254	1.03	(0.84, 1.26)	0.72
Letrozole	1,463	249			

1 Protocol definition, including second non-breast primary malignancies, after switch / beyond two

2 Adjusted by chemotherapy use

There were no significant differences in DFS, OS, SDFS or DDFS in any of the STA from randomization pairwise comparisons (Table 5).

Table 5 Sequential Treatments Analyses from randomization (STA-R) of disease-free survival (ITT STA-R population)

	Letrozole → Tamoxifen	Letrozole	
Number of patients	1,540	1,546	
Number of patients with DFS events (protocol definition)	330	319	
Hazard ratio1 (99% CI)	1.04 (0.85, 1.27)		
	Letrozole → Tamoxifen	Tamoxifen ²	
Number of patients	1,540	1,548	
Number of patients with DFS events (protocol definition)	330	353	
Hazard ratio ¹ (99% CI)	0.92 (0.75, 1.12)		

Adjusted by chemotherapy use (yes/no)

² 626 (40%) patients selectively crossed to letrozole after tamoxifen arm unblinded in 2005

Some adverse reactions have been reported with notably different frequencies in the adjuvant treatment setting. The following tables provide information on significant differences in letrozole versus tamoxifen monotherapy and in the letrozole-tamoxifen sequential treatment therapy:

Table 6 Adjuvant Letrozole monotherapy versus tamoxifen monotherapy - adverse

		ozole 2448	Tamoxifen N=2447		
	During treat- ment (median 5 years)	Any time after randomiza- tion (median 96 months)	During treatment (median 5 years)	Any time after rand- omization (median 96 months)	
Bone fracture	10.2%	14.7%	7.2%	11.4%	
Osteoporosis	5.1%	5.1%	2.7%	2.7%	
Thromboembolic events	2.1%	3.2%	3.6%	4.6%	
Myocardial infarction	1.0%	1.7%	0.5%	1.1%	
Endometrial hyperplasia / endometrial cancer	0.2%	0.4%	2.3%	2.9%	

Note: Median duration of treatment 60 months. Reporting period includes treatment period plus 30 days after stopping treatment

"Any time after randomization" includes the follow-up period after completion or cessation o

Table 7 Sequential treatment versus Letrozole monotherapy - adverse events with sig-

	Letrozole mono- therapy 5 years	Letrozole >Tamox- ifen 2 years + 3 years	Tamoxifen >Letrozole 2 years + 3 years	
	N=1535	N=1527	N=1541	
Bone fracture	10.0%	7.7%*	9.7%	
Endometrial prolifera- tive disorders	0.7%	3.4%**	1.7%**	
Hypercholester- olemia	52.5%	44.2%*	40.8%*	
Hot flushes	37.6%	41.7%**	43.9%**	
Vaginal bleeding	6.3%	9.6%**	12.7%**	

* Significantly less than with letrozole monotherapy

Study D2407

- **Significantly more than with letrozole monotherapy
- Note: Reporting period is during treatment or within 30 days of stopping treatment

Study D2407 was an open-label, randomized, multicenter post authorization safety study designed to compare the effects of adjuvant treatment with letrozole and tamoxifen on bone mineral density (BMD) and serum lipid profiles. A total of 263 patients were assigned either letrozole for 5 years or tamoxifen for 2 years followed by letrozole for 3 years. At 24 months there was a statistically significant difference in the primary end-point; the lumbar

spine BMD (L2-L4) showed a median decrease of 4.1% for letrozole compared to a median increase of 0.3% for tamoxifen.

No patient with a normal BMD at baseline became osteoporotic during 5 years of treatment and only 1 patient with osteopenia at baseline (T score of -1.9) developed osteoporosis during the treatment period (assessment by central review).

The results for total hip BMD were similar to those for lumbar spine but less pronounced.

Although treatment differences at the end of 5 years were attenuated such that there was no statistically significant difference between treatments in the protocol-defined clinically relevant BMD-related changes overall, there remained substantial differences in the effects of the two treatments on BMD and skeletal events. In patients with a normal T-score at baseline, signifi-cantly more patients in the letrozole arm than in the sequential treatment arm had reductions of at least 6% in lumbar spine BMD within 1 year or cumulative reductions of at least 8% over the entire treatment period. Although there was no significant difference overall between treatment arms in clinical fractures, three-quarters of the fractures in the sequential treatment arm occurred after the switch to letrozole. However, both clinical fractures and impending fractures tended to occur in patients whose skeletal status was compromised, i.e. patients with lower BMD T-scores at baseline, and patients with a history of fractures.

Consistent with previous findings, letrozole alone does not appear to have a significant effect on lipid metabolism. The effect of tamoxifen in reducing total cholesterol and LDL cholesterol that was observed during the first 2 years of the study was not maintained after the switch to

Extended adjuvant treatment (MA-17)

In a multicenter, double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study (MA-17), over 5,100 postmenopausal women with receptor-positive or unknown primary breast cancer who had completed adjuvant treatment with tamoxifen (4.5 to 6 years) were randomized to either letrozole

The primary endpoint was disease-free survival, defined as the interval between randomization and the earliest occurrence of loco-regional recurrence, distant metastasis, or contralateral breast cancer.

The first planned interim analysis at a median follow-up of around 28 months (25% of patients being followed up for at least 38 months), showed that letrozole significantly reduced the risk of breast cancer recurrence by 42% compared with placebo (HR 0.58: 95% CI 0.45, 0.76: P=0.00003). The benefit in favor of letrozole was observed regardless of nodal status. Th was no significant difference in overall survival: (letrozole 51 deaths; placebo 62; HR 0.82;

Consequently, after the first interim analysis the study was unblinded and continued in an open-label fashion and patients in the placebo arm were allowed to switch to Letrozole for up to 5 years. Over 60% of eligible patients (disease-free at unblinding) opted to switch to letrozole. The final analysis included 1.551 women who switched from placebo to letrozole at a median of 31 months (range 12 to 106 months) after completion of tamoxifen adjuvant therapy. Median duration for letrozole after switch was 40 months.

The final analysis conducted at a median follow-up of 62 months confirmed the significant reduction in the risk of breast cancer recurrence with letrozole.

	Media	an follow-up	28 months	Median follow-up 62 months		
	Letrozole	Placebo	HR (95% CI) ²	Letrozole	Placebo	HR (95% CI) ²
	N=2582	N=2586	P value	N=2582	N=2586	P value
Disease-free surviv	val ³					
Events	92 (3.6%)	155 (6.0%)	0.58	209 (8.1%)	286 (11.1%)	0.75
			(0.45, 0.76)			(0.63, 0.89)
			0.00003			
4-year DFS rate	94.4%	89.8%		94.4%	91.4%	
Disease-free survival3	3, including dea	aths from any	/ cause			
Events	122 (4.7%)	193 (7.5%)	0.62	344	402 (15.5%)	0.89
			(0.49, 0.78)	(13.3%)		(0.77, 1.03)
5 year DFS rate	90.5%	80.8%		88.8%	86.7%	
Distant metastases						
Events	57 (2.2%)	93 (3.6%)	0.61	142	169	0.88
			(0.44, 0.84)	(5.5%)	(6.5%)	(0.70, 1.10)
Overall survival						
Deaths	51 (2.0%)	62 (2.4%)	0.82	236 (9.1%)	232 (9.0%)	1.13
			(0.56, 1.19)			(0.95, 1.36)
Deaths ⁴				2365 (9.1%)	170 ⁶ (6.6%)	0.78
						(0.64, 0.96)

HR = Hazard ratio: CI = Confidence Interval

When the study was unblinded in 2003, 1551 patients in the randomized placebo arm (60% of those eligible to switch - i.e. who were disease-free) switched to letrozole at a median 31 months after randomization. The analyses presented here ignore the selective crossove

² Stratified by receptor status, nodal status and prior adjuvant chemotherapy.

³ Protocol definition of disease-free survival events: loco-regional recurrence, distant metastasis or

⁴ Exploratory analysis, censoring follow-up times at the date of switch (if it occurred) in the placebo

⁵ Median follow-up 62 months

⁶ Median follow-up until switch (if it occurred) 37 months.

In the MA-17 bone substudy in which concomitant calcium and vitamin D were given, greater decreases in BMD compared to baseline occurred with letrozole compared with placebo. The only statistically significant difference occurred at 2 years and was in total hip BMD (letrozole median decrease of 3.8% vs placebo median decrease of 2.0%).

In the MA-17 lipid substudy there were no significant differences between letrozole and placebo in total cholesterol or in any lipid fraction.

In the updated quality of life substudy there were no significant differences between treatments in physical component summary score or mental component summary score, or in any domain score in the SF-36 scale. In the MENQOL scale, significantly more women in the letrozole arm than in the placebo arm were most bothered (generally in the first year of treatment) by those symptoms deriving from estrogen deprivation – hot flushes and vaginal dryness. The symptom that bothered most patients in both treatment arms was aching muscles, with a statistically significant difference in favor of placebo.

Neoadiuvant treatment

A double blind trial (P024) was conducted in 337 postmenopausal breast cancer patients randomly allocated either letrozole 2.5 mg for 4 months or tamoxifen for 4 months. At baseline all patients had tumors stage T2-T4c, N0-2, M0, ER and/or PgR positive and none of the patients would have qualified for breast-conserving surgery. Based on clinical assessment there were 55% objective responses in the Letrozole arm versus 36% for the tamoxifen arm (P<0.001). This finding was consistently confirmed by ultrasound (letrozole 35% vs tamoxifen 25%, P=0.04) and mammography (letrozole 34% vs tamoxifen 16%, P<0.001). In total 45% of patients in the letrozole group versus 35% of patients in the tamoxifen group (P=0.02) underwent breast-conserving therapy). During the 4-month pre-operative treatment period, 12% of patients treated with letrozole and 17% of patients treated with tamoxifen had disease progression on clinical assessment.

One controlled double-blind trial was conducted comparing letrozole 2.5 mg to tamoxifen 20 mg as first-line therapy in postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer. In 907 women, letrozole was superior to tamoxifen in time to progression (primary endpoint) and in overall objective response, time to treatment failure and clinical benefit.

The results are summarized in Table 9:

Table 9 Results at a median follow-up of 32 months

Variable	Statistic	Letrozole	Tamoxifen	
		N=453	N=454	
Time to progression Median		9.4 months	6.0 months	
	(95% CI for median)	(8.9, 11.6 months)	(5.4, 6.3 months)	
	Hazard ratio (HR)	0.72		
	(95% CI for HR)	(0.62, 0.83)		
		P<	0.0001	
Objective response ra	ate CR+PR	145 (32%)	95 (21%)	
(ORR)				
	(95% CI for rate)	(28, 36%)	(17, 25%)	
	Odds ratio		1.78	
	(95% CI for odds ratio)	(1	.32, 2.40)	
		P	=0.0002	

Time to progression was significantly longer, and response rate significantly higher for letrozole irrespective of whether adjuvant anti-estrogen therapy had been given or not. Time to progression was significantly longer for letrozole irrespective of dominant site of disease. Median time to progression was 12.1 months for letrozole and 6.4 months for tamoxifen in patients with soft tissue disease only and median 8.3 months for letrozole and 4.6 months for tamoxifen in patients with visceral metastases.

Study design allowed patients to cross over upon progression to the other therapy or discontinue from the study. Approximately 50% of patients crossed over to the opposite treatment arm and crossover was virtually completed by 36 months. The median time to crossover was 17 months (letrozole to tamoxifen) and 13 months (tamoxifen to letrozole).

Letrozole treatment in the first-line therapy of advanced breast cancer resulted in a median overall survival of 34 months compared with 30 months for tamoxifen (logrank test P=0.53, not significant). The absence of an advantage for letrozole on overall survival could be explained by the crossover design of the study.

Second-line treatment

Two well-controlled clinical trials were conducted comparing two letrozole doses (0.5 mg and 2.5 mg) to megestrol acetate and to aminoglutethimide, respectively, in postmenopausal women with advanced breast cancer previously treated with anti-estrogens.

Time to progression was not significantly different between letrozole 2.5 mg and megestrol acetate (P=0.07). Statistically significant differences were observed in favour of letrozole 2.5 mg compared to megestrol acetate in overall objective tumor response rate (24% vs 16%, P=0.04), and in time to treatment failure (P=0.04). Overall survival was not significantly different between the 2 arms (P=0.2).

In the second study, the response rate was not significantly different between letrozole 2.5 mg and aminoglutethimide (*P*=0.06). Letrozole 2.5 mg was statistically superior to aminoglutethimide for time to progression (P=0.008), time to treatment failure (P=0.003) and overall

Male breast cancer

Use of Letrozole in men with breast cancer has not been studied

PRECLINICAL SAFETY DATA

In a variety of preclinical safety studies conducted in standard animal species, there was no evidence of systemic or target organ toxicity.

Letrozole showed a low degree of acute toxicity in rodents exposed to up to 2000 mg/kg. In dogs, letrozole caused signs of moderate toxicity at 100 mg/kg.

In repeated-dose toxicity studies in rats and dogs up to 12 months, the main findings observed can be attributed to the pharmacological action of the compound.

Oral administration of letrozole to female rats resulted in decreases in mating and pregnancy ratios and increases in pre-implantation.

Effects on the liver (increased weight, hepatocellular hypertrophy, fatty changes) were observed, mainly at high dose levels. Increased incidences of hepatic vacualation (both sexes, nigh dose) and necrosis (intermediate and high dose females) were also noted in rats treated for 104 weeks in a carcinogenicity study. They may have been associated with the endocrine effects and hepatin enzyme-inducing properties of letrozole . However, a direct drug effect cannot be ruled out

The pharmacological effects of letrozole resulted in skeletal, neuroendocrine and reproductive findings in a juvenile rat study. Bone growth and maturation were decreased from the lowest dose (0.003 mg/kg/day) in males and increased from the lowest dose (0.003 mg/kg) in females. Bone Mineral Density (BMD) was also decreased at that dose in females. In the same study, decreased fertility at all doses was accompanied by hypertrophy of the hypophysis, testicular changes which included a degeneration of the seminiferous tubular epithelium and atrophy of the female reproductive tract. With the exception of bone size in females and morphological changes in the testes, all effects were at least partially reversible.

In a 104-week mouse carcinogenicity study, dermal and systemic inflammation occurred, particularly at the highest dose of 60 mg/kg, leading to increased mortality at this dose leve

Both in vitro and in vivo investigations on letrozole's mutagenic potential revealed no indication of any genotoxicity

In a 104-week rat carcinogenicity study, no treatment-related tumours were noted in male rats. In female rats, a reduced incidence of benign and malignant mammary tumours at all the doses of letrozole was found.

In a 104-week mouse carcinogenicity study, no treatment-related tumors were noted in male mice. In female mice, a generally dose-related increase in the incidence of benign ovarian granulosa theca cell tumors was observed at all doses of letrozole tested. These tumors were considered to be related to the pharmacological inhibition of estrogen synthesis and may be due to increased LH resulting from the decrease in circulating estrogen.

Oral administration of letrozole to gravid Sprague-Dawley rats resulted in a slight increase in the incidence of fetal malformation (domed head and fused centrum/vertebrae) among the animals treated. However, it was not possible to show whether this was an indirect consequence of the pharmacological properties (inhibition of oestrogen biosynthesis), or a direct effect of letrozole in its own right (see recommendations in sections CONTRAINDICATIONS and PREGNANCY AND LACTATION).

Preclinical observations were confined to those associated with the recognized pharmacological action, which is the only safety concern for human use derived from animal studies.

EXCIPIENTS

Colloidal silicon dioxide, lactose monohydrate, magnesium stearate, microcrystalline cellulose, opadry yellow, pregelatinized starch, sodium starch glycolate

Opadry yellow contains hypermellose, titanium dioxide, ferric oxide (yellow), polyethylene gly-

INCOMPATIBILITIES

PACKAGE QUANTITIES

Blister packs of 10 tablets, 3 blisters housed in a carton.

STORAGE

Do not store above 30°C and do protect from moisture. Letrozole must not be used after the date marked "EXP" on the pack.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR USE AND HANDLING

No specific instructions for use/handling.

Note: Letrozole should be kept out of the reach and sight of children.

Marketed by: NATCO PHARMA ASIA PTE LTD

111, North Bridge Road,

#16-04 Peninsula Plaza Singapore 179098.