Plaidypvs Cheat Sheet

Propositional dL rules

$$\begin{array}{|c|c|c|}\hline & \mathbf{notR} & \frac{\Gamma,P\vdash\Delta}{\Gamma\vdash\neg P,\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{notL} & \frac{\Gamma\vdash P,\Delta}{\Gamma,\neg P\vdash\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{notL} & \frac{\Gamma\vdash P,\Delta}{\Gamma,\neg P\vdash\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{andR} & \frac{\Gamma\vdash P,\Delta & \Gamma\vdash Q,\Delta}{\Gamma\vdash P,Q,\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{andL} & \frac{\Gamma\vdash P,Q,\Delta & \Gamma\vdash Q,\Delta}{\Gamma\vdash P,Q,\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{andL} & \frac{\Gamma,P\vdash Q,\Delta & \Gamma,Q\vdash \Delta}{\Gamma,P\vdash Q,\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{orR} & \frac{\Gamma\vdash P,Q,\Delta}{\Gamma\vdash P\vee Q,\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{orL} & \frac{\Gamma\vdash P,Q,\Delta}{\Gamma\vdash P\vee Q\vdash\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{orL} & \frac{\Gamma,P\vdash \Delta & \Gamma,Q\vdash \Delta}{\Gamma,P\lor Q\vdash\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{cut} & \frac{\Gamma\vdash C,\Delta & \Gamma,C\vdash\Delta}{\Gamma\vdash Q,\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{weakR} & \frac{\Gamma\vdash P,\Delta & P\vdash Q}{\Gamma\vdash Q,\Delta} \\ \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{impliesR} & \frac{\Gamma,P\vdash Q,\Delta}{\Gamma\vdash P,\Delta} & \Gamma,Q\vdash\Delta}{\Gamma,P\vdash Q,\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{impliesL} & \frac{\Gamma\vdash P,Q,\Delta & \Gamma,Q\vdash A}{\Gamma\vdash P,\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{impliesL} & \frac{\Gamma\vdash P,Q,\Delta & \Gamma,Q\vdash A}{\Gamma\vdash P,\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{iffL} & \frac{\Gamma\vdash P,Q,\Delta & \Gamma,Q\vdash A}{\Gamma\vdash P,\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{trueR} & \frac{\Gamma\vdash T,\Delta}{\Gamma\vdash T,\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{axiom} & \overline{\Gamma,P\vdash P,\Delta} \\ & \mathbf{weakL} & \frac{P,\Gamma\vdash \Delta & Q\vdash P}{\Gamma,Q\vdash \Delta} \\ \end{array} \\ & \mathbf{veakL} & \frac{P,\Gamma\vdash \Delta & Q\vdash P}{\Gamma,Q\vdash \Delta} \\ \end{array}$$

- flatten Disjunctively simplifies the dL sequent by applying trueR, falseR, orR, impliesR, notR, axiom, falseL.
- ground Disjunctively and conjunctively simplifies the dL sequent by applying flatten and additional splitting lemmas andR, orL, and impliesL.
- inst Instantiates a universal quantifier in the dL-antecedent by applying for all L or an existential quantifier in the dL-consequent by applying exists L.
- skolem Skolemizes an existential quantifier in dL-antecedent by applying exists or an universal quantifier in the dL-consequent by applying forall R.
- grind Repeatedly uses ground and skolem, and a number of rewrites related to real expressions. This strategy has the option to use the MetitTarski automatic theorem prover as an outside oracle to discharge the proof if possible.

Quantifier rules

$$\begin{aligned} & \underbrace{\mathbf{r} \vdash p(e), \Delta}_{\Gamma \vdash \exists x : p(x), \Delta} \text{ (any } e) \\ & \mathbf{forallL} \frac{\Gamma, p(e) \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \forall x : p(x) \vdash \Delta} \text{ (any } e) \\ & \mathbf{forallR} \frac{\Gamma \vdash p(y), \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash \forall x : p(x), \Delta} \text{ (} y \text{ Skolem symbol)} \\ & \underbrace{\mathbf{r} \vdash p(y), \Delta}_{\Gamma, \exists x : p(x) \vdash \Delta} \text{ (} y \text{ Skolem symbol)} \end{aligned}$$

Structural rules

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{moveR} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash Q, \, P, \, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash P, \, Q, \, \Delta} & \mathbf{hideR} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash P, \, \Delta} \\ \mathbf{moveL} & \frac{\Gamma, \, Q, \, P \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \, P, \, Q \vdash \Delta} & \mathbf{hideL} & \frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta}{\Gamma, \, P \vdash \Delta} \end{array}$$

Hybrid program rewrites

```
\begin{aligned} \mathbf{boxd} & \langle \alpha \rangle P \leftrightarrow \neg [\alpha] \, \neg P \\ \mathbf{assignb} & \left[ x := \ell \right] P = \mathbf{SUB}(\ell)(P) \\ \mathbf{assignd} & \langle x := \ell_2 \rangle P = \mathbf{SUB}(\ell)(P) \\ \mathbf{testb} & \left[ ?Q \right] P = Q \to P \\ \mathbf{testd} & \langle ?Q \rangle P = Q \wedge P \\ \mathbf{choiceb} & \left[ \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \right] P \leftrightarrow \left[ \alpha_1 \right] P \wedge \left[ \alpha_2 \right] P \\ \mathbf{choiced} & \langle \alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \rangle P \leftrightarrow \langle \alpha_1 \rangle P \wedge \langle \alpha_2 \rangle P \\ \mathbf{composeb} & \left[ \alpha_1 ; \alpha_2 \right] P \leftrightarrow \left[ \alpha_1 \right] \left[ \alpha_2 \right] P \\ \mathbf{composed} & \langle \alpha_1 ; \alpha_2 \rangle P \leftrightarrow \langle \alpha_1 \rangle \langle \alpha_2 \rangle P \\ \mathbf{iterateb} & \left[ \alpha^* \right] P = P \wedge \left[ \alpha \right] \left[ \alpha^* \right] P \\ \mathbf{iterateb} & \langle \alpha^* \rangle P = P \vee \langle \alpha \rangle \langle \alpha^* \rangle P \\ \mathbf{anyb} & \left[ x := * \& Q(x) \right] P(x) = \exists x : Q(x) \to P(x) \end{aligned}
```

Hybrid program rules

$$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{Hybrid program rules} \\ \hline \textbf{Mb} \frac{\vdash P \to Q}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P \to [\alpha] \, Q, \Delta} \\ \textbf{Md} \frac{\vdash P \to Q}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P \to [\alpha] \, Q, \Delta} \\ \textbf{K} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, (P \to Q), \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P \to [\alpha] \, Q, \Delta} \\ \textbf{Kop} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P \to [\alpha] \, Q, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P \to [\alpha] \, J \quad J \vdash P} \\ \textbf{Cop} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha^*] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{MbR} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha^*] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{MbL} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{MbL} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta} \\ \textbf{The} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [\alpha] \, P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash$$

Differential equation rules

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{dinit} \frac{\varGamma,Q \vdash [x'=f(x) \& Q] P, \Delta}{\varGamma \vdash [x'=f(x) \& Q] P, \Delta} \\ & \operatorname{dW} \frac{Q \vdash P}{\varGamma \vdash [x'=f(x) \& Q] P, \Delta} \\ & \operatorname{dI} \frac{Q \vdash [x':=f(x)] (P)'}{P \vdash [x'=f(x) \& Q] P, \Delta} \\ & \operatorname{dC} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [x'=f(x) \& Q] P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [x'=f(x) \& Q] P, \Delta} \\ & \operatorname{dC} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [x'=f(x) \& Q] P, \Delta}{\Gamma \vdash [x'=f(x) \& Q] P, \Delta} \\ & \operatorname{dG} \frac{\Gamma \vdash G, G \vdash P, \varGamma \vdash \exists y [x'=f(x), y'=a(x) \cdot y + b(x) \& Q] G, \Delta}{\varGamma \vdash [x'=f(x) \& Q] P, \Delta} \\ & \operatorname{dS} \frac{\Gamma \vdash [x'=f(x) \& Q] P}{\varGamma \vdash \forall t \geq 0 \ (\forall 0 \leq s \leq t \ Q(y(s))) \rightarrow [x:=y(t)] P} \end{aligned}$$