

Types and Lazy Evaluation

Outline

- ◇ Types in programming languages
 - Type safety and strong typing
 - Polymorphism
 - Type inference
 - Case study: Haskell
- ◇ Lazy Evaluation
 - Lazyness vs. strictness; purity
 - Lazy evaluation examples

Types in Programming

- ◇ A **type** is a collection of computational entities that share some common property.
- ◇ There are 3 main uses:
 - Naming and organizing concepts.
 - Making sure that bit sequences in memory are interpreted consistently.
 - Providing information (e.g. size) to the compiler about data manipulated by the program
- ◇ **Type error**: when computational entity is used in an inconsistent manner.

Type Safety

- ◇ A PL is **type safe** if no program is allowed to violate type distinctions.
- ◇ More specifically, a data of a given type cannot be "seen" as data of another type
 - *in-situ* casts are not type safe
 - pointer arithmetic is not safe
 - consequently C is not type-safe
- ◇ Compile-time vs Run-time type checking
 - **Run-time checking:** data is paired with its type during execution
 - * type consistency is checked before every operation
 - * type of data may change during execution
 - * overhead incurred
 - **Compile-time checking:** type consistency is checked at compile time
 - * Type is stripped from data during run-time
 - * Data cannot change its type during execution
 - * No type consistency checks at execution; no overhead

Type Inference

- ◇ Type safe languages:
 - **Strongly typed**: all type consistency can be checked at compile-time; there's no need for run-time checks.
 - **Weakly typed**: some type consistency checks must be done at run-time
- ◇ Some strongly typed languages **infer** (rather than just check) the types of their data
 - Haskell
 - Ocaml
- ◇ Type inference can be viewed as a type of semantics and can be defined via reasoning rules.

Polymorphism and Overloading

- ◇ **Polymorphism**: a symbol may have multiple types simultaneously
- ◇ Forms of polymorphism:
 - **Parametric polymorphism**: function may be applied to any arguments whose types match a type expression involving type variables – Haskell and Ocaml fall into this category.
 - **Ad-hoc polymorphism**: (also known as **overloading**): two or more implementations with different types are referred to by the same name
 - **Subtype polymorphism**: a *subtype* relation is defined between types; an expression with a given type can be used as argument anywhere where a subtype of the current type is expected – Haskell also has this form of polymorphism via **type classes** (not covered).

Haskell

- ◇ Functional, strongly typed, polymorphic, lazy (non-strict)
- ◇ Named after **Haskell Curry** – pioneer of **lambda calculus**
- ◇ Many implementations (some quite efficient), many extensions
- ◇ Elegant, theoretically clean
- ◇ Very well supported, see www.haskell.org
 - We shall be using the interpreter **GHCi**.

Syntax

- ◇ Expression based, $2+3$ is a legal program
- ◇ Functional abstractions: $\lambda x. x+1$
- ◇ Types
 - Rich, polymorphic type system
 - Java Generics was based on the same principle

Sample Interaction

```
Prelude> :set +t
Prelude> 2+3
5 :: Integer
Prelude> (\x -> x+1) 3
4 :: Integer
Prelude> let
    factorial x = if x == 0
                  then 1
                  else x * (factorial (x-1))
in factorial 100
93326215443944152681699238856266700490
71596826438162146859296389521759999322
99156089414639761565182862536979208272
23758251185210916864000000000000000000
000000 :: Integer
```

Syntax

- ◇ Operators are written infix
- ◇ Function application is treated as an **invisible** operator
 - $f\ x$ is function f applied to x
 - $f\ x\ y$ is evaluated as $(f\ x)\ y$ (curried evaluation).
 - $f\ (g\ y)$ means that g is applied to y first, and then f is applied to the result.

- ◇ Curried application:

```
Prelude> let f x y = x+y in let g = f 2 in g 3  
5 :: Integer
```

Interactive Environment

- ◇ Files can be edited and loaded
- ◇ Full programming features in loaded files
 - Definition of new symbols
 - Operator declarations
 - Datatypes
- ◇ The shell only allows evaluation of expressions
- ◇ Open an editor window with `:edit`

Factorial

- ◇ Type in the editor window

```
factorial x =  
    if x == 0 then 1  
    else x * (factorial (x-1))
```

- ◇ Then load the file in Hugs and run it

```
Hugs> :load r:\\cs2104_lec09\\factorial.hs  
Main> factorial 10  
3628800 :: Integer
```

- ◇ The module name has changed to **Main**
 - That is the default module name, in case we don't define one in our file
 - The file may be reloaded every time we change it
 - The command is **:reload**

Alternative Factorial Definitions

Equational definitions

```
factorial2 0 = 1
```

```
factorial2 x | x > 0 = x * (factorial2 (x-1))
```

```
Main> factorial2 10
```

```
3628800 :: Integer
```

```
Main> factorial2 10.0
```

```
3628800.0 :: Double
```

```
Main> :type factorial2
```

```
factorial2 :: (Num a, Ord a) => a -> a
```

- ◇ Every symbol has a type, automatically inferred
- ◇ `factorial2` is a function type, takes type `a` into type `a`, where
 - `a` is a numeric type
 - `a` is also an ordered type

Types

- ◇ We can specify symbol types when we define a symbol
- ◇ Good practice, adds an extra layer of verification
- ◇ Useful sometimes to restrict the types of a function

```
factorial3 :: Integer -> Integer
factorial3 0 = 1
factorial3 n | n>0 = n*(factorial (n-1))
Main> factorial3 10
3628800 :: Integer
*Main> factorial3 10.0
```

```
<interactive>:1:12:
  No instance for (Fractional Integer)
    arising from the literal '10.0'
Possible fix: add an instance declaration for (Fractional Integer)
In the first argument of 'factorial3', namely '10.0'
In the expression: factorial3 10.0
In an equation for 'it': it = factorial3 10.0
```

Types

```
factorial4 :: Int -> Int
factorial4 0 = 1
factorial4 n | n>0 = n*(factorial4 (n-1))
```

```
Main> factorial4 10
3628800 :: Int
Main> factorial4 100
0 :: Int
```

If the type is not specified, the most general type is inferred.

Pattern Matching and Equations

- ◇ Recursive functions can be defined with equations
- ◇ Left-hand side of an equation uses pattern-matching, similar to Prolog
- ◇ It doesn't work in the reverse direction
 - the append of lists will not subtract
- ◇ Very powerful, we can match complex datatypes

Infix Operators and Sections

- ◇ **Section:** partial application of an operator
- ◇ Comes in handy with infix operators
- ◇ New infix operators can be defined by user
- ◇ Infix operators can be used in prefix form if quoted

```
infix **
(**) :: Integer -> Integer -> Integer
x ** y = x*x + y*y

Main> 3**4
25 :: Integer
Main> (**) 3 4
25 :: Integer
Main> let f = (3**) in f 4
25 :: Integer
Main> let f = (**4) in f 3
25 :: Integer
Main> 3 ** 4+1
26 :: Integer
Main> 3**(4+1)
34 :: Integer
Main> let f x y = x ** 2 ** y in 3 'f' 4
ERROR - Ambiguous use of operator "(*)" with "(*)"
Main> let f x y = (x ** 2) ** y in 3 'f' 4
185 :: Integer
```

Associativity of Infix Operators

```
infixl 9 ***
(***) :: Integer -> Integer -> Integer
x *** y = (x-y)*(x-y)

Main> 5 *** 2 *** 1
64 :: Integer
Main> (5***2)***1
64 :: Integer
Main> 5 *** ( 2 *** 1)
16 :: Integer
Main> 1+5 *** 2 *** 1
225 :: Integer
Main> 1+5 *** 2 *** 1
65 :: Integer
Main>
```

- ◇ precedence 9 is highest
- ◇ `infixl` : left associative
- ◇ `infixr` : right associative

Lists

- ◇ Simple colon `:` is the list constructor.
- ◇ Empty list: `[]`
- ◇ List type: `[a]`, where `a` is the type of elements in the list.
- ◇ `head l` is the head of the list
- ◇ `tail l` is the tail of the list
- ◇ enumeration of elements: `[1,2,3]`
- ◇ list append: `++`
 - `[1,2,3]++[4,5,6]` evaluates to `[1,2,3,4,5,6]`

Higher Order Programming

```
Main> map (1+) [1,2,3]  
[2,3,4] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> foldl (*) 1 [2,3,4,5]  
120 :: Integer
```

```
Main> filter (>0) [1,-1,2,-2,3,-3]  
[1,2,3] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> foldl (++) [] [[1,2,3],[4,5,6],[7,8,9]]  
[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> foldr (++) [] [[1,2,3],[4,5,6],[7,8,9]]  
[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> foldr (++) [100,200,300] [[1,2,3],[4,5,6],[7,8,9]]  
[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,100,200,300] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> foldl (++) [100,200,300] [[1,2,3],[4,5,6],[7,8,9]]  
[100,200,300,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9] :: [Integer]
```

Higher Order Programming

```
Main> zip [1,2,3] ['a','b','c']  
[(1,'a'),(2,'b'),(3,'c')] :: [(Integer,Char)]
```

```
Main> zipWith (+) [1,2,3] [10,20,30]  
[11,22,33] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> take 5 [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]  
[1,2,3,4,5] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> drop 5 [1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]  
[6,7,8,9] :: [Integer]
```

List Comprehensions

```
Main> [1..10]
[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> [x | x <- [1..10]]
[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> [x | x <- [1..10] , x 'mod' 2 == 0]
[2,4,6,8,10] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> [x | x <- [2,4..10]]
[2,4,6,8,10] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> [x+y | x <- [1,3..10] , y<-[100,130..140]]
[101,131,103,133,105,135,107,137,109,139] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> foldr (*) 1 [1..10]
3628800 :: Integer
```

```
Main> let fact x =
      let prod = foldr (*) 1
      in prod [1..x]
      in fact 10
3628800 :: Integer
```

Polymorphic Types

```
Main> map (1+) [2,3,4]
[3,4,5] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> :type map
map :: (a -> b) -> [a] -> [b]
```

```
Main> :type map (1+)
map (1 +) :: Num a => [a] -> [a]
```

```
Main> :type (+)
(+) :: Num a => a -> a -> a
```

```
Main> foldr (+) 0 [1..5]
15 :: Integer
```

```
Main> :type foldr
foldr :: (a -> b -> b) -> b -> [a] -> b
```

```
Main> :type foldr (+)
foldr (+) :: Num a => a -> [a] -> a
```

```
Main> length ['a','b','c']
3 :: Int
```

```
Main> :type length
length :: [a] -> Int
```

```
Main> :type \x -> x
\x -> x :: a -> a
```

```
Main> :type \x y -> x
\x y -> x :: a -> b -> a
```

```
Main> :type \x y -> y
\x y -> y :: a -> b -> b
```

```
Main> :type \f g -> g (f g)
\f g -> g (f g) ::
((a -> b) -> a) -> (a -> b) -> b
```

```
Main> :type \f g x -> g (f g)
\f g x -> g (f g) ::
((a -> b) -> a) -> (a -> b) -> c -> b
```

```
Main> :type \x f g -> f g (x g)
\x f g -> f g (x g) ::
(a -> b) -> (a -> b -> c) -> a -> c
```

```
Main> :type \x y f -> f (x (\w -> f w)) (y f x)
\x y f -> f (x (\w -> f w)) (y f x) ::
((a -> b -> c) -> a) ->
((a -> b -> c) ->
((a -> b -> c) -> a) -> b) ->
(a -> b -> c) -> c
```

Type Language

$\langle type \rangle$	$::=$	$\langle typeconst \rangle$	(a)
		$\langle typevar \rangle$	(b)
		$\langle type \rangle \rightarrow \langle type \rangle$	(c)
$\langle typeconst \rangle$	$::=$	$\text{Int} \mid \text{Boolean} \mid \dots$	(d)
$\langle typevar \rangle$	$::=$	$\langle upper_case_letter \rangle$	(e)
$\langle expr \rangle$	$::=$	$\langle const \rangle$	(f)
		$\langle var \rangle$	(g)
		$(\langle expr \rangle \langle expr \rangle)$	(h)
		$(\langle var \rangle \rightarrow \langle expr \rangle)$	(i)
$\langle const \rangle$	$::=$	$0 \mid 1 \mid \dots$	(j)
		$\text{true} \mid \text{false} \mid \text{plus} \mid \dots$	
$\langle var \rangle$	$::=$	$\langle lower_case_letter \rangle$	(k)
$\langle type_assignment \rangle$	$::=$	$\langle expr \rangle :: \langle type \rangle$	(l)

Typing Judgements

$$\frac{premise_1 \quad premise_2 \quad \dots \quad premise_k}{\Gamma, \Delta \vdash e :: T}$$

Γ is a type environment, Δ is a set of type unification constraints.

Typing Rules

$$\frac{}{\{x :: T\}, \emptyset \vdash x :: T} \quad (\text{CONST})$$

$$\frac{}{\emptyset, \emptyset \vdash \text{true} :: \text{Boolean}} \quad \frac{}{\emptyset, \emptyset \vdash \text{false} :: \text{Boolean}}$$

$$\frac{}{\emptyset, \emptyset \vdash \text{plus} :: \text{Int} \rightarrow \text{Int}}$$

$$\frac{}{\emptyset, \emptyset \vdash 0 :: \text{Int}} \quad \frac{}{\emptyset, \emptyset \vdash 1 :: \text{Int}} \quad \frac{}{\emptyset, \emptyset \vdash 2 :: \text{Int}}$$

Typing Rules


$$\frac{\Gamma_1, \Delta_1 \vdash e_1 :: T_1 \quad \Gamma_2, \Delta_2 \vdash e_2 :: T_2}{\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2, \Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2 \cup \{T_1 = T_2 \rightarrow T_3\} \vdash (e_1 e_2) :: T_3} \quad (\text{APP})$$

T_3 is a new type variable

$$\frac{\{x_1 :: T_1\}, \emptyset \vdash x :: T_1 \quad \Gamma \cup \{x :: T'_1\}, \Delta \vdash e :: T_2}{\Gamma, \Delta \cup \{T_1 = T'_1\} \vdash \lambda x \rightarrow e :: T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \quad (\text{ABS})$$

Typing Example

- A type judgement is valid as long as its set of type unification constraints is satisfiable.
- Simple example: the identity function:

$$\frac{\frac{\overline{\{x : T_1\}, \phi \vdash x : T_1} \quad \overline{\{x : T_2\}, \phi \vdash x : T_2}}{\phi, \{T_1 = T_2\} \vdash \lambda x \rightarrow x : T_1 \rightarrow T_2} \quad \overline{\phi, \phi \vdash 3 : \text{int}}}{\phi, \{T_1 = T_2, T_1 = \text{int}\} \vdash (\lambda x \rightarrow x) 3 : T_2}$$


In a typing tree, every horizontal line must be a valid typing judgement.

Example: Composition Function

$$\frac{\overline{\vdash f : T_2} \quad \overline{\{f : T'_2\}, \{T_1 = T'_1, C' = C, T'_2 = A \rightarrow B, T'_1 = C' \rightarrow A\} \vdash \backslash g \rightarrow \backslash x \rightarrow (f(gx)) : T_1 \rightarrow (C \rightarrow B)}{\overline{\{T_2 = T'_2, T_1 = T'_1, C' = C, T'_2 = A \rightarrow B, T'_1 = C' \rightarrow A\} \vdash \backslash f \rightarrow \backslash g \rightarrow \backslash x \rightarrow (f(gx)) : T_2 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow (C \rightarrow B)}}$$

After solving the unification equations, the type effectively becomes

$$(A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (C \rightarrow B)$$

Type Inference Failures

◇ \ f -> (f f)

◇ \ f -> (f (x+f))

Prolog type-checker demo

Lazy Evaluation

- ◇ Nothing is evaluated before it is actually needed

```
Main> let f x = f x in f 1  
{Interrupted!}
```

```
Main> let f x = f x in [1+2,f 1]  
[3,{Interrupted!}]
```

```
Main> let f x = f x in head [1+2,f 1]  
3 :: Integer
```

```
Main> let f x = f x  
      in (\ x a b ->  
          if x == 0 then a else b  
        ) 1 (f 1) 2  
2 :: Integer
```

```
Main> take 10 [1..]  
[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10] :: [Integer]
```


Lazy Evaluation

- ◇ Nothing is evaluated before it is actually needed

```
Main> let f x = f x in f 1  
{Interrupted!}
```

```
Main> let f x = f x in  
[3,{Interrupted!}]
```

```
Main> let f x = f x in  
3 :: Integer
```

```
Main> let f x = f x  
      in (\ x a b ->  
          if x == 0  
            ) 1 (f 1) 2  
2 :: Integer
```

```
Main> take 10 [1..  
[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10]] :: [Integer]
```

- ◇ Also known as **on-demand evaluation**
- ◇ The opposite: **strict**
- ◇ Strict languages are the norm
 - strict evaluation more efficient and easier to implement
- ◇ Haskell: most well-known **lazy language**
 - Elegant abstract concepts can be imported from math due to lazy evaluation

Lazy Evaluation Implementation

- ◇ Haskell uses *memoized call by name*
- ◇ Argument to function is not computed before call; rather it is substituted for the formal argument as an expression.
- ◇ Substitution may occur in multiple places; upon the first evaluation, the value of the expression is *memoized* (i.e. stored for later use), and all subsequent references to the expression will access the memoized value, rather than recompute
- ◇ An expression that appears as actual argument may never be computed.
- ◇ Infinite computations, or exceptional conditions such as division by zero become less dangerous

Purity

- ◇ **Functions with side effect:** when called multiple times with same arguments, returns different results
 - Requires assignment
 - Do not mix well with lazy evaluation, since every expression is evaluated only once – value is memoized, and re-used in subsequent occurrences of same expression.
- ◇ **Pure function:** Function without side-effect.
 - Preferred in a lazy evaluation setting
- ◇ **Pure language:** Language where it is impossible to write functions with side-effects.
 - Usually assignment is removed
 - Haskell is a **pure language**

Infinite Lists

- ◇ Due to laziness, we can *specify* a list without end
 - Ok as long as we *don't use all the list*
 - Specification is simpler and more elegant as compared to finite lists.
- ◇ The list comprehension `[k..]` denotes the infinite list that starts at `k` and contains all the numbers greater than `k` in increasing order.
- ◇ Useful only if we only take a finite number of elements in the list
- ◇ Using recursion we can define infinite lists containing any series
- ◇ Also called **streams**.
- ◇ Lead to simple, elegant programs, all due to *lazy evaluation*

Fibonacci, etc...

```
Main> let fib = 0:1:
      (zipWith (+) fib (tail fib))
      in take 10 fib
[0,1,1,2,3,5,8,13,21,34] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> let pow2 = 1:map (2*) pow2
      in take 10 pow2
[1,2,4,8,16,32,64,128,256,512] :: [Integer]
```

```
Main> let sqrt2 = 1:map
      (\x -> (x+2.0/x)/2.0)
      sqrt2
      in take 6 sqrt2
[1.0,1.5,1.4166666666666667,1.41421568627451,
1.41421356237469,1.41421356237309] :: [Double]
```

Prime Numbers

```
Main> let primes = sieve [2..]
      where sieve (p:xs) =
            p : sieve [x | x<-xs,
                          x `mod` p /= 0]
      in take 20 primes
[2,3,5,7,11,13,17,19,23,29,31,37,41,43,
47,53,59,61,67,71] :: [Integer]
```

Hamming Numbers

```
hamming = 1 :  
    map (2*) hamming  
    'merge'  
    map (3*) hamming  
    'merge'  
    map (5*) hamming  
where  
merge (x:xs) (y:ys)  
    | x < y = x : xs 'merge' (y:ys)  
    | x > y = y : (x:xs) 'merge' ys  
    | otherwise = x : xs 'merge' ys
```