TABLE II: Results.

		RQ1						RQ2					RQ3				RQ4			
		H1.1	H1.2	H1.3	Н	1.4	H2.1				H2.2	H3.1		H3.2	H4.1		H4.2			
Environment	ES	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8	M9	M10	M11	M12	M13	M14	M15	M16	M17	M18	LS
SPEAR— Workload (#variables/#clauses): $w_1: 774/5934, w_2: 1008/7728, w_3: 1554/11914, w_4: 978/7498$; Version: $v_1: 1.2, v_2: 2.7$																				
$ec_1: [h_2 \to h_1, w_1, v_2]$	S	1.00	0.22	0.97	0.92	0.92	9	7	7	0	1	25	25	25	1.00	0.47	0.45	1	1.00	3.6
$ec_2: [h_4 \to h_1, w_1, v_2]$	L	0.59	24.88	0.91	0.76	0.86	12	7	4	2	0.51	41	27	21	0.98	0.48	0.45	1	0.98	1.3
$ec_3: [h_1, w_1 \to w_2, v_2]$	L	0.96	1.97	0.17	0.44	0.32	9	7	4	3	1	23	23	22	0.99	0.45	0.45	1	1.00	13.8
$ec_4: [h_1, w_1 \to w_3, v_2]$	M	0.90	3.36	-0.08	0.30	0.11	7	7	4	3	0.99	22	23	22	0.99	0.45	0.49	1	0.94	7.5
$ec_5: [h_1, w_1, v_2 \to v_1]$	S	0.23	0.30	0.35	0.28	0.32	6	5	3	1	0.32	21	7	7	0.33	0.45	0.50	1	0.96	1.9
$ec_6: [h_1, w_1 \to w_2, v_1 \to v_2]$	L	-0.10	0.72	-0.05	0.35	0.04	5	6	1	3	0.68	7	21	7	0.31	0.50	0.45	1	0.96	9.6
$ec_7: [h_1 \to h_2, w_1 \to w_4, v_2 \to v_1]$	VL	-0.10	6.95	0.14	0.41	0.15	6	4	2	2	0.88	21	7	7	-0.44	0.47	0.50	1	0.97	15.3
x264— Workload (#pictures/size): $w_1: 8/2, w_2: 32/11, w_3: 128/44$; Version: $v_1: r2389, v_2: r2744, v_3: r2744$																				
$ec_1: [h_2 \to h_1, w_3, v_3]$	SM	0.97	1.00	0.99	0.97	0.92	9	10	8	0	0.86	21	33	18	1.00	0.49	0.49	1	1	1.5
$ec_2: [h_2 \to h_1, w_1, v_3]$	S	0.96	0.02	0.96	0.76	0.79	9	9	8	0	0.94	36	27	24	1.00	0.49	0.49	1	1	1.2
$ec_3:[h_1,w_1\to w_2,v_3]$	M	0.65	0.06	0.63	0.53	0.58	9	11	8	1	0.89	27	33	22	0.96	0.49	0.49	1	1	5.0
$ec_4:[h_1,w_1\to w_3,v_3]$	M	0.67	0.06	0.64	0.53	0.56	9	10	7	1	0.88	27	33	20	0.96	0.49	0.49	1	1	4.6
$ec_5: [h_1, w_3, v_2 \to v_3]$	L	0.05	1.64	0.44	0.43	0.42	12	10	10	0	0.83	47	33	29	1.00	0.49	0.49	1	1	6.2
$ec_6: [h_1, w_3, v_1 \to v_3]$	L	0.06	1.54	0.43	0.43	0.37	11	10	9	0	0.80	46	33	27	0.99	0.49	0.49	1	1	6.2
$ec_7: [h_1, w_1 \to w_3, v_2 \to v_3]$	L	0.08	1.03	0.26	0.25	0.22	8 8	10 9	5 5	1 2	0.78 0.58	33 33	33 21	20 18	0.94 0.94	0.49	0.49	1	1	6.2 6.5
$ec_8: [h_2 \to h_1, w_1 \to w_3, v_2 \to v_3]$		0.09	14.51	0.26	0.23	0.25								18	0.94	0.49	0.49	1	1	0.5
SQLite— Workload: $w_1 : write - se$				$w_3: rec$, .				-	: 3.7.6	$.3, v_2 : 3$								
$ec_1: [h_3 \to h_2, w_1, v_1]$	S	0.99	0.37	0.82	0.35	0.31	5	2	2	0	1	13	9	8	1.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.9
$ec_2: [h_3 \to h_2, w_2, v_1]$	M	0.97	1.08	0.88	0.40	0.49	5	5	4	0	1	10	11	9	1.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.1
$ec_3:[h_2,w_1 o w_2,v_1]$	S	0.96	1.27	0.83	0.40	0.35	2	3	1	0	1	9	9	7	0.99	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.2
$ec_4: [h_2, w_3 \rightarrow w_4, v_1]$	M	0.50	1.24	0.43	0.17	0.43	1	1	0	0	1	4	2	2	1.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.0
$ec_5: [h_1, w_1, v_1 \rightarrow v_2]$	M	0.95	1.00 2.80	0.79 0.44	0.24	0.29	2	4 4	1	0	1	12 7	11 11	7	0.99 0.96	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	3.2 8.8
$ec_6: [h_1, w_2 \rightarrow w_1, v_1 \rightarrow v_2]$	L	0.51 0.53	4.80	0.44	0.25 0.42	0.30 0.47	3	5	2	1	0.31	7	13	6 6	0.96	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	7.2
$ec_7: [h_2 \to h_1, w_2 \to w_1, v_1 \to v_2]$							-	-		1		•		-		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.2
SaC— Workload: $w_1 : srad, w_2 : pf$		-	, -	*	/ -		~				, -		, .	0 ,	0	0.10	0.17	0.00	0.72	126.2
$ec_1:[h_1,w_1\to w_2,v_1]$	L	0.66	25.02	0.65	0.10	0.79	13	14	8	0	0.88	82	73	52	0.27	0.18	0.17	0.88	0.73	136.3
$ec_2: [h_1, w_1 \rightarrow w_3, v_1]$	L	0.44	15.77	0.42	0.10	0.65	13	10	8	0	0.91	82	63	50	0.56	0.18	0.12	0.90	0.84	79.8
$ec_3:[h_1,w_1\to w_4,v_1]$	S	0.93 0.96	7.88	0.93	0.36	0.90	12	10	9 10	0	0.96 0.94	37 34	64 58	34	0.94	0.16	0.15	0.26	0.88	60.8
$ec_4:[h_1,w_1 o w_5,v_1]$	L M	0.76	2.82 1.82	0.78 0.84	0.06 0.67	0.81 0.86	16 17	12 11	9	0	0.94 0.95	34 79	58 61	25 47	0.04	0.15 0.27	0.22 0.13	0.19 0.83	0.88	331.0 46.0
$ec_5: [h_1, w_2 \rightarrow w_3, v_1]$	S	0.76 0.91	5.54	0.80	0.00	0.80	17	11	8	0	0.95	79 64	65	31	0.55 -0.40	0.27	0.15	0.83	0.88	71.0
$ec_6: [h_1, w_2 \rightarrow w_4, v_1]$	L	0.68	25.31	0.57	0.00	0.71	14	14	8	0	0.83	67	59	29	0.05	0.13	0.13	0.12	-0.13	412.1
$ec_7:[h_1,w_2\to w_5,v_1]$	L	0.68	23.31 1.70	0.56	0.00	0.71 0.91	14	13	9	1	0.88	57	59 67	36	0.03	0.21	0.22	0.09	0.67	122.4
$egin{aligned} m{ec_8} : [h_1, w_3 ightarrow w_4, v_1] \ m{ec_9} : [h_1, w_3 ightarrow w_5, v_1] \end{aligned}$	VL	0.06	3.68	0.30	0.00	0.64	16	10	9	0	0.90	51	58	35	-0.52	0.11	0.14	0.03	-0.41	1363.8
$ec_{9} : [h_{1}, w_{3} \rightarrow w_{5}, v_{1}]$ $ec_{10} : [h_{1}, w_{4} \rightarrow w_{5}, v_{1}]$	L	0.70	4.85	0.20	0.00	0.04	12	12	11	0	0.95	58	57	43	0.29	0.11	0.21	0.64	-0.41	470.0
$ec_{10} : [h_1, w_4 \rightarrow w_5, v_1]$ $ec_{11} : [h_1, w_6 \rightarrow w_7, v_1]$	S	0.70	5.79	0.70	0.00	0.73	36	30	28	2	0.89	109	164	102	0.29	N/A	N/A	N/A	-0.14 N/A	31.0
$ec_{11} : [h_1, w_6 \rightarrow w_7, v_1]$ $ec_{12} : [h_1, w_6 \rightarrow w_8, v_1]$	S	1.00	0.52	0.77	0.23	0.97	38	30	22	6	0.94	51	53	43	0.99	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	12.4
$ec_{12}: [h_1, w_6 \rightarrow w_8, v_1]$ $ec_{13}: [h_1, w_8 \rightarrow w_7, v_1]$	S	1.00	0.32	0.92	0.53	0.99	30	33	26	1	0.98	53	89	51	1.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	85.7
$ec_{13}: [h_1, w_9 \rightarrow w_{7}, v_1]$ $ec_{14}: [h_1, w_9 \rightarrow w_{10}, v_1]$	L	0.24	4.85	0.56	0.33	0.77	22	21	18	3	0.69	237	226	94	0.86	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	69.9
cc14 · [111, wg / w10, v1]	L	0.2-1	7.03	0.50	∪. 1	0.77		21	10		0.07	201		7-1	0.00	1 1/ / 1	1 1/ / 1	1 1/ / 1	1 1/ / 1	

ES: Expected severity of change (Sec. III-B): S: small change; SM: small medium change; M: medium change; L: large change; VL: very large change. LS: Linear shift transfer learning [44] SaC workload descriptions: srad: random matrix generator; pfilter: particle filtering; hotspot: heat transfer differential equations; k-means: clustering; nw: optimal matching; nbody: simulation of dynamic systems; cg: conjugate gradient; gc: garbage collector. Hardware descriptions (ID: Type/CPUs/Clock (GHz)/RAM (GiB)/Disk): h1: NUC/4/1.30/15/SSD; h2: NUC/2/2.13/7/SCSI; h3:Station/2/2.8/3/SCSI; h4: Amazon/1/2.4/1/SSD; h5: Amazon/1/2.4/0.5/SSD; h6: Azure/1/2.4/3/SCSI Metrics: M1: Pearson correlation; M2: Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence; M3: Spearman correlation; M4/M5: Perc. of top/bottom conf.; M6/M7: Number of influential options in source/target;

Metrics: M1: Pearson correlation; M2: Kullback-Leibler (KL) divergence; M3: Spearman correlation; M4/M5: Perc. of top/bottom conf.; M6/M7: Number of influential options in source/target; M8/M9: Number of options agree/disagree; M10: Correlation btw importance of options; M11/M12: Number of interactions in the source/target; M13: Number of interactions agree on effects; M14: Correlation btw the coeffs of models; M15/M16: Perc. of invalid conf. in source/target; M17: Perc. of invalid conf. common btw environments; M18: Correlation btw coeffs of models