

1

By definition $6x^2 + 5x + 1 \equiv_p 0$ infers that

$$p|6x^2 + 5x + 1$$

which validates the following for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$pk = 6x^2 + 5x + 1$$

$$6x^2 + 5x + 1 - pk = 0$$

Now, assume I can factor the above equation into

$$(3x - w)(2x + w) = 0$$

For some $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ which satisfies both

$$3w - 2w = 5x$$

$$w^2 = 1 - pk$$

Then

That

Now, if I can find a $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ that satisfies $3w - 2w = 5x$ and $w^2 = 1 - pk$ and where k

2

$$\begin{aligned} n_1 = 2, \quad c_1 = 1, \quad m_1 = 105, \quad 105d_1 \equiv_2 1, \quad d_1 = 1 \\ n_2 = 3, \quad c_2 = 2, \quad m_2 = 70, \quad 70d_2 \equiv_3 1, \quad d_2 = 1 \\ n_3 = 5, \quad c_3 = 0, \quad m_3 = 42, \quad 42d_3 \equiv_5 1, \quad d_3 = 3 \\ n_4 = 7, \quad c_4 = 3, \quad m_4 = 30, \quad 30d_4 \equiv_7 1, \quad d_4 = 4 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$x \equiv_{210} 1 \cdot 105 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 70 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 42 \cdot 3 + 3 \cdot 30 \cdot 4 \equiv_{210} 535 \equiv_{210} 115$$

$$x \equiv_{210} \mathbf{115}$$

3

$U_{15} = \{1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14\}$ The order and generated group of each unit is

$$\begin{aligned} |1| = 1, \quad \langle 1 \rangle &= \{1\} \\ |2| = 4, \quad \langle 2 \rangle &= \{2, 4, 8, 2\} \\ |4| = 2, \quad \langle 4 \rangle &= \{4, 1\} \\ |7| = 4, \quad \langle 7 \rangle &= \{7, 4, 13, 1\} \\ |8| = 4, \quad \langle 8 \rangle &= \{8, 4, 2, 1\} \\ |11| = 2, \quad \langle 11 \rangle &= \{11, 1\} \\ |13| = 4, \quad \langle 13 \rangle &= \{13, 4, 7, 1\} \\ |14| = 2, \quad \langle 14 \rangle &= \{14, 1\} \end{aligned}$$

No unit modulo 15 is able to generate the entire U_{15} group. Therefore U_{15} is not cyclic.

4

Since $n = 433$ is prime, $\phi(n) = N = 432 = 2^4 \cdot 3^3$.

$$N_1 = \frac{N}{2^4} = 27 \quad N_2 = \frac{N}{3^4} = 16$$

Now I will compute g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2

$$\begin{aligned} g_1 &\equiv_n 7^{27} \equiv_n 265 \\ g_2 &\equiv_n 7^{16} \equiv_n 374 \\ h_1 &\equiv_n 166^{27} \equiv_n 250 \\ h_2 &\equiv_n 166^{16} \equiv_n 335 \end{aligned}$$

Iterating over k until $g_i^k \equiv_n h_i$ is found

For g_1

$$\begin{aligned} 265^2 &\equiv_n 153 \\ 265^3 &\equiv_n 198 \\ &\dots \\ 265^{15} &\equiv_n 250 \end{aligned}$$

For g_2

$$\begin{aligned} 374^2 &\equiv_n 17 \\ 374^3 &\equiv_n 296 \\ &\dots \\ 374^{20} &\equiv_n 335 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} g_1^{15} &\equiv_n 265^{15} \equiv_n 250 \equiv_n h_1 \Rightarrow k_1 = 15 \\ g_2^{20} &\equiv_n 374^{20} \equiv_n 335 \equiv_n h_2 \Rightarrow k_2 = 20 \end{aligned}$$

Using this result I will calculate x using the Chinese Remainder theorem.

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv_{16} 15 \\ x \equiv_{27} 20 \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 15 + 16y \\ 15 + 16y &\equiv_{27} 20 \Rightarrow \\ 16y &\equiv_{27} 5 \\ y &\equiv_{27} 5 \cdot 22 \\ y &\equiv_{27} 2 \\ x &= 15 + 32 + 432k \end{aligned}$$

One such solution is $x = \mathbf{47}$

5

Both g^a and g^b can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} (g^m)^{a'} \\ (g^m)^{b'} \end{aligned}$$

Where m is the order of g modulo n and $a' = \frac{a}{m}$, $b' = \frac{b}{m}$.

I know the values a' and b' exist because of this proof by contradiction.

Assume there is an x such that $g^x \equiv_n 1$ but $m \nmid x$ where $m = |g|$. I then split x into components as follows.
 $x = a_0m + a_1$ where $1 \leq a_1 < m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} g^{a_0m+a_1} &\equiv_n 1 \\ &= g^{a_0m} \cdot g^{a_1} \equiv_n 1 \\ &= (g^m)^{a_0} \cdot g^{a_1} \equiv_n 1 \\ &= 1^{a_0} \cdot g^{a_1} \equiv_n 1 \\ &= g^{a_1} \equiv_n 1 \end{aligned}$$

With $1 \leq a_1 < m$ this is a contradiction, because if such a_1 were to exist it would itself be the order.

Now that I know such an $a', b' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and further that they share the divisor m , then let $d = \gcd(a, b)$. $m|d$, so let $d' = \frac{d}{m}$

6

By expanding the defintion of c_1 and c_2 I get

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv_p m g_1^{s_1} \\ x \equiv_q m g_2^{s_2} \end{cases}$$

And then expanding g_1 and g_2 you get

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv_p m (g^{r_1(p-1)})^{s_1} \\ x \equiv_q m (g^{r_2(q-1)})^{s_2} \end{cases}$$

Then using propoities of exponentials

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv_p m (g^{s_1 r_1})^{(p-1)} \\ x \equiv_q m (g^{s_2 r_2})^{(q-1)} \end{cases}$$

Fermat's little theorem lets us cancel the g^{\dots} term

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv_p m \\ x \equiv_q m \end{cases}$$

Because p and q are pairwise prime the solution to this Chinese Remainder will be m

7

7.1

$$\begin{aligned} 1794677960^{(32411-1)/2} &\equiv_{32411} -1 \\ 525734818^{(32411-1)/2} &\equiv_{32411} 1 \\ 420526487^{(32411-1)/2} &\equiv_{32411} -1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence Alice's message is 1, 0, 1

7.2

$$N = 3149 = 47 \cdot 67$$

$$2322^{(47-1)/2} \equiv_{47} -1$$

$$719^{(47-1)/2} \equiv_{47} 1$$

$$202^{(47-1)/2} \equiv_{47} 1$$

Hence Alice's message is 1, 0, 0

7.3

$$(568980706 \cdot 705130839^2) \% 781044643 = \mathbf{517254876}$$

$$(568980706 \cdot 631364468^2) \% 781044643 = \mathbf{4308279}$$

$$(631364468^2) \% 781044643 = \mathbf{111914931}$$

8

Using the problem definition I will define d, a', b'

$$d = \gcd(a, b)$$

$$a' = \frac{a}{d}$$

$$b' = \frac{b}{d}$$

Consequently

$$\gcd(a', b') = 1$$

$$(g^d)^{a'} \equiv_n (g^d)^{b'}$$

Using Lagranges Theorem, I know the only valid value for $g^d \equiv_n 1$

9

because $n = 433$ is prime $\phi(n) = n - 1 = 432 = 2^4 \cdot 3^3$. i will choose $n_1 = 2^4 = 16$, and $n_2 = 3^3 = 27$. next i will compute g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2

$$g_1 = 7^{27} \equiv_n$$

10

10.1

show that n is a carmichael number

$n = 1729 = 7 \cdot 13 \cdot 19$ and hence it is composite. pick any a coprime with n . by fermats little theorem

$$a^6 \equiv_7 1$$

$$a^{12} \equiv_{13} 1$$

$$a^{18} \equiv_{19} 1$$

$n - 1 = 1728 = 2^6 \cdot 3^3$ so it is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned}
1728/6 = 288 &\rightarrow a^{1278} \equiv_7 (a^6)^{288} \equiv_7 1 \\
1728/12 = 144 &\rightarrow a^{1278} \equiv_{13} (a^{12})^{144} \equiv_{13} 1 \\
1728/18 = 96 &\rightarrow a^{1278} \equiv_{19} (a^{18})^{96} \equiv_{19} 1
\end{aligned}$$

hence, $a^{n-1} \equiv_n 1$ and n is carmichael

10.2

$n - 1 = 2^6 \cdot 27$ so using 3 as a base

$$\begin{aligned}
3^{27} &\equiv_{1728} 664 \\
3^{2 \cdot 27} &\equiv_{1729} 1
\end{aligned}$$

returns **not prime**

since 3 shows the compositeness of 1728 it is a miller-rabin witness.

11

using the given equation

$$(763 \cdot 773)^2 \equiv_n (2^6 \cdot 3^3)^2$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
a &= 763 \cdot 773 = 589799 \\
b &= 2^6 \cdot 3^3 = 1728
\end{aligned}$$

so $\gcd(52907, a - b) = \mathbf{277}$ is a non-trivial factor of 52907

11.1

i only have to check values $\phi(n)/p_i$ where p_i is the i^{th} prime.

$$\phi(113) = 112 = 2^4 \cdot 7$$

avoiding redundant values, i will only check 56 and 16 for $g = 2$

$$2^{16} \equiv_{113} 1092^{56} \equiv_{113} 1$$

hence, the order of 2 mod 113 is at least 56 and therefore not a prime generator.

11.2

using lagrange's theorem, the order of 3 must divide $\phi(n)$

$$\begin{aligned}
3^{56} &\equiv_{113} 112 \\
3^{16} &\equiv_{113} 16
\end{aligned}$$

if the order of 3 was anything but $\phi(n)$ one of congruences would have been congruent to 1
hence the order of 3 modulus 113 is $\phi(113)$ or 112