# **Econometrics Notes**

### Jiahui Shui

January 9, 2025

#### **Contents** 1 Probability and Statistics Review $\mathbf{2}$ 2 1.2 2 2 1.3 2 1.4 3 1.6 4 4 4 1.9 4

### Conventions

 $\mathbb{F}$  denotes either  $\mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

 $\mathbb{N}$  denotes the set  $\{1, 2, 3, ...\}$  of natural numbers (excluding 0).

# 1 Probability and Statistics Review

What is statistics?

# 1.1 Probability Space

## 1.2 Conditional Probability and Independence

### 1.3 Random Variable

# 1.4 Convergence

**Definition 1.1** (Convergence in Probability). Let  $\{X_n\}, X$  be random variables defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . We say  $X_n$  converges to X in probability if,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| \ge \varepsilon) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Or equivalently,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| < \varepsilon) = 1, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0$$
 (1)

We denote it as  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ .

**Definition 1.2** (Almost Surely Convergence). Let  $\{X_n\}$ , X be random variables defined on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ . We say  $X_n$  converges to X almost surely, if

$$\mathbb{P}(\lim_{n \to \infty} X_n = X) = 1 \tag{2}$$

This can be written as  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ 

It is easy to say that  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s} X \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{p} X$ . But the opposite direction is not true. Counterexample: consider  $((0,1],\mathcal{B}_{(0,1]},\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda$  is Lebesgue measure. Let

$$\xi_n = \mathbf{1}_{(n/2^k - 1, (n+1)/2^k - 1)}, \quad 2^k \le n < 2^{k+1}$$

Then  $\mathbb{P}(|\xi_n| > \varepsilon) \le 1/2^k \to 0$  but  $\lim \xi_n(\omega)$  does not exist for any  $\omega \in (0,1]$ .

**Definition 1.3** (Bounded in Probability).  $\{X_n\}$  is said to be bounded in probability if  $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists M > 0$  such that

$$\inf \mathbb{P}(|X_n| \le M) \ge 1 - \varepsilon \tag{3}$$

or equivalently,  $\inf_n \mathbb{P}(|X_n| > M) < \varepsilon$ 

If  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} 0$ , the we denote it as  $X_n = o_p(1)$ .

If  $X_n$  is bounded in probability, then we denote it as  $X_n = O_p(1)$ . Moreover:

$$X_n = o_p(a_n) \Leftrightarrow \frac{X_n}{a_n} = o_p(1)$$

and

$$X_n = O_p(a_n) \Leftrightarrow \frac{X_n}{a_n} = O_p(1)$$

Exercise. Prove each of the followings:

- (i)  $o_p(1) + o_p(1) = o_p(1)$
- (ii)  $o_p(1) + O_p(1) = O_p(1)$
- (iii)  $o_p(1)O_p(1) = o_p(1)$
- (iv)  $(1 + o_p(1))^{-1} = O_p(1)$
- (v)  $o_p(a_n) = a_n o_p(1)$
- (vi)  $O_p(a_n) = a_n O_p(1)$
- (vii)  $o_p(O_p(1)) = o_p(1)$

**Remark.** (iii) is an implication of Slutsky theorem. Since  $o_p(1)O_p(1) \xrightarrow{d} 0$ , then it must converge to 0 in probability by proposition 1.6

A powerful theorem to prove convergence in probability:

**Theorem 1.4** (Continuous Mapping Theorem). Suppose that a measurable function g is (a.s.) continuous, then

$$X_n \xrightarrow{p} X_{\infty} \Rightarrow g(X_n) \xrightarrow{p} g(X_{\infty})$$
 (4)

Moreover, it applies to a.s. convergence and convergence in distribution.

**Definition 1.5** (Convergence in Distribution). We say  $X_n \stackrel{d}{\to} X$  if the distribution  $P_n := \mathbb{P}\{X_n \in \cdot\}$  converges to  $P := \mathbb{P}\{X \in \cdot\}$ . Or, equivalently

$$F_{X_n}(x) \to F(x)$$
, for any point x that  $F(x)$  is continuous (5)

Another important result is that if for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the characteristic function  $\phi_{X_n}(t) \to \phi_X(t)$ , then  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ . We can prove that

$$X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{p} X \Rightarrow X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$$
 nce in distribution is: (6)

Another important result for convergence in distribution is:

**Proposition 1.6.** If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} c$  where c is a constant, then  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} c$ .

**Proof.** Let X = c, then  $F_X(x) = \mathbf{1}_{\{x \geq c\}}$ . Hence,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ 

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(|X_n - c| < \varepsilon) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(c - \varepsilon < X_n < c + \varepsilon)$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} (F_{X_n}(c + \varepsilon) - F_{X_n}(c - \varepsilon))$$

$$= 1 - 0 = 1$$
(7)

Then we know that  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} c$ .

**Lemma 1.7** (Marginal Convergence and Joint Convergence). • If  $X_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} X$ ,  $Y_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} Y$ , then  $(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{a.s.} (X, Y)$ 

- If  $X_n \xrightarrow{p} X, Y_n \xrightarrow{p} Y$ , then  $(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{p} (X, Y)$
- If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X, Y_n \xrightarrow{d} Y$  and  $X_n, Y_n$  are independent for all n, X, Y are independent, then  $(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{d} (X, Y)$
- If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ ,  $Y_n \xrightarrow{d} c$ , then  $(X_n, Y_n) \xrightarrow{d} (X, c)$

**Theorem 1.8** (Slutsky's Theorem). Let  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$  and  $Y_n \xrightarrow{p} c$ , where c is constant.

- $X_n + Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X + c$
- $X_n Y_n \xrightarrow{d} cX$
- $X_n/Y_n \xrightarrow{d} X/c \text{ if } c \neq 0$

**Exercise.** Let  $\{X_n\}$  be independent with  $X_n \sim \text{Gamma}(\alpha_n, \beta_n)$ .  $\alpha_n \to \alpha, \beta_n \to \beta$  for some positive real number  $\alpha, \beta$ . Now, let  $\hat{\beta}_n$  be a consistent estimator for  $\beta$ . Prove that  $X_n/\hat{\beta}_n \xrightarrow{d} \text{Gamma}(\alpha, 1)$ 

**Theorem 1.9** (Delta Method). First order expansion: Suppose that g is differentiable at c, for any sequence  $0 < a_n \to \infty$ , we have

$$a_n(\boldsymbol{X}_n - \boldsymbol{c}) \xrightarrow{d} \boldsymbol{X} \Rightarrow a_n[g(\boldsymbol{X}_n) - g(\boldsymbol{c})] \xrightarrow{d} [\nabla g(\boldsymbol{c})]^{\top} \boldsymbol{X}$$
 (8)

**Theorem 1.10** (Prohorov's Theorem). If  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} X$ , then  $X_n = O_p(1)$ 

**Proof.**  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ , we can choose  $M_0$  sufficiently large such that

$$\mathbb{P}(|X| > M_0) < \varepsilon) \tag{9}$$

Then, since  $\mathbb{P}(|X_n| > M_0) \to \mathbb{P}(|X| > M_0)$ , then we can choose  $n_0$  such that for all  $n \ge n_0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(|X_n| > M_0) < \varepsilon$ . Now, we can select  $M_1$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_i| > M_1) < \varepsilon, \quad \forall i = 1, \cdots, n_0 - 1 \tag{10}$$

Then let  $M = \max(M_0, M_1)$  we have  $\mathbb{P}(|X_n| > M) < \varepsilon$  for all n.

# 1.5 Law of Large Numbers

**Theorem 1.11** (WLLN, Khintchin). If  $\{X_n\}$  are i.i.d with  $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \mu < \infty$ , then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \xrightarrow{p} \mu \tag{11}$$

**Theorem 1.12** (SLLN, Kolmogorov). If  $\{X_n\}$  are i.i.d with  $\mathbb{E}[X_1] = \mu < \infty$ , then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu \tag{12}$$

### **Central Limit Theorem** 1.6

**Theorem 1.13** (Levy CLT). Suppose that  $\{X_n\}$  i.i.d with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ , then

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2)$$
 (13)

where  $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i$ .

#### 1.7 Normal Distribution

Consider multivariate normal distribution:  $X \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ , where  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^d, \Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ . The moment generating function is

$$M_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{t}) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\mathbf{t}'\mathbf{X}}] = e^{\mathbf{t}'\boldsymbol{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{t}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\mathbf{t}}, \quad \mathbf{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
(14)

 $M_{\boldsymbol{X}}(\boldsymbol{t}) = \mathbb{E}[e^{\boldsymbol{t}'\boldsymbol{X}}] = e^{\boldsymbol{t}'\boldsymbol{\mu} + \frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{t}'\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{t}}, \quad \boldsymbol{t} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  For any  $\boldsymbol{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times d}$ , we have  $\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{X} + \boldsymbol{b} \sim N(\boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{\mu} + \boldsymbol{b}, \boldsymbol{A}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{A}')$ . The probability density function of  $\boldsymbol{X}$  is given by

$$f_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{d/2} \det(\mathbf{\Sigma})^{1/2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})' \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}(\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu})\right\}, \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$$
(15)

- If  $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim N(0,1)$  i.i.d, then  $X_1^2 + \dots + X_n^2 \sim \chi_n^2$ .
- If  $X \sim N(0,1)$  and  $Q \sim \chi_n^2$  are independent, then  $\frac{X}{\sqrt{Q}/n} \sim t_n$
- If  $Q_1 \sim \chi_m^2, Q_2 \sim \chi_n^2$  are independent, then  $\frac{Q_1/m}{Q_2/n} \sim F_{m,n}$

### 1.8 Hypothesis Testing

Consider  $H_0: \theta \in \Theta$ , this is called the null hypothesis. The alternative hypothesis is  $H_1: \theta \in \Theta_1$ , where  $\Theta_1 = \Theta \setminus \Theta_0$ . We have to decide between  $H_0$  and  $H_1$ . Let R denotes the reject region. A Test might have two types of mistake.

- Type I Error: Reject  $H_0$  when  $\theta \in \Theta_0$ .  $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X \in R)$  for  $\theta \in \Theta_0$
- Type II Error: Accept  $H_0$  when  $\theta \in \Theta_1$ .  $\mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X \in \mathbb{R}^c)$  for  $\theta \in \Theta_1$

In this notes, we will use  $\varphi$  to denote power function for a hypothesis test, i.e.

$$\varphi(\theta) = \mathbb{P}_{\theta}(X \in R) \tag{16}$$

When  $\theta \in \Theta_0$ , then  $\varphi(\theta) = \mathbb{P}(\text{Type I Error})$ . If  $\theta \in \Theta_1$ , then  $\varphi(\theta) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(\text{Type II Error})$ 

**Definition 1.14.** For  $\alpha \in [0,1]$ , a test with power function  $\varphi(\theta)$  is a *size*  $\alpha$  test if

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \varphi(\theta) = \alpha,$$

is a level  $\alpha$  test if

$$\sup_{\theta \in \Theta_0} \varphi(\theta) \le \alpha$$

**Definition 1.15.** A test is unbiased if  $\varphi(\theta') \geq \varphi(\theta'')$  for all  $\theta' \in \Theta_1$  and  $\theta'' \in \Theta_0$ . A test is consistent if

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \varphi(\theta) = 1, \quad \forall \theta \in \Theta_1$$

**Definition 1.16.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of tests. A test in class  $\mathcal{C}$  with power function  $\varphi(\theta)$  is a uniformly most powerful (UMP) class  $\mathcal{C}$  test if  $\varphi(\theta) \geq g(\theta)$  for every  $\theta \in \Theta_1$  and every  $g(\theta)$  that is a power function of a test in class  $\mathcal{C}$ . Generally we take C as the class of all level  $\alpha$  test.

**Theorem 1.17** (Neyman-Pearson Lemma). Consider testing  $H_0: \theta = \theta_0$  versus  $H_1: \theta = \theta_1$ , where the pdf or pmf corresponding to  $\theta_i$  is  $f(x|\theta_i), i = 0, 1$ . Then

$$R = \{x : f(x|\theta_1) > kf(x|\theta)\}\$$

for some  $k \geq 0$  and  $\alpha = \mathbb{P}_{\theta_0}(X \in R)$  is a UMP level  $\alpha$  test.

#### 1.9 Wald's Test