ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Journal of Clinical Virology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jcv



Short communication

SARS-CoV-2-specific antibody detection in healthcare workers in Germany with direct contact to COVID-19 patients



Johannes Korth^{a,*}, Benjamin Wilde^a, Sebastian Dolff^b, Olympia E. Anastasiou^c, Adalbert Krawczyk^b, Michael Jahn^a, Sebastian Cordes^d, Birgit Ross^e, Stefan Esser^f, Monika Lindemann^g, Andreas Kribben^a, Ulf Dittmer^c, Oliver Witzke^b, Anke Herrmann^c

- ^a Department of Nephrology, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Hufelandstr. 55, 45147 Essen, Germany
- ^b Department of Infectious Diseases, West German Centre of Infectious Diseases, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Hufelandstr. 55, 45147 Essen, Germany
- ^c Institute for Virology, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Virchowstr. 179, 45147 Essen, Germany
- ^d Department of Pneumology, University Hospital Essen, University Hospital Essen, Tüschener Weg 40, 45239 Essen, Germany
- e Hospital Hygiene, University Hospital Essen, University Duisburg-Essen, Hufelandstr. 55, 45147 Essen, Germany
- ^f Clinic of Dermatology, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, Hufelandstr. 55, 45147 Essen, Germany
- g Institute for Transfusion Medicine, University Hospital Essen, University of Duisburg-Essen, 45147 Essen, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: SARS-CoV-2 Healthcare workers COVID-19 Seroprevalence Antibody detection

ABSTRACT

Background: The novel coronavirus SARS-CoV-2 is associated with a severe respiratory manifestation, COVID-19, and presents a challenge for healthcare systems worldwide. Healthcare workers are a vulnerable cohort for SARS-CoV-2 infection due to frequent and close contact to patients with COVID-19.

Study design: Serum samples from 316 healthcare workers of the University Hospital Essen, Germany were tested for SARS-CoV-2-IgG antibodies. A questionnaire was used to collect demographic and clinical data. Healthcare workers were grouped depending on the frequency of contact to COVID-19 patients in high-risk-group (n=244) with daily contact to known or suspected SARS-CoV-2 positive patients, intermediated-risk-group (n=37) with daily contact to patients without known or suspected SARS-CoV-2 infection at admission and low-risk-group (n=35) without patient contact.

Results: In 5 of 316 (1.6 %) healthcare workers SARS-CoV-2-IgG antibodies could be detected. The seroprevalence was higher in the intermediate-risk-group vs. high-risk-group (2/37 (5.4 %) vs. 3/244 (1.2 %), p = 0.13). Four of the five subject were tested negative for SARS-CoV-2 via PCR. One (20 %) subject was not tested via PCR since he was asymptomatic.

Conclusion: The overall seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2 in healthcare workers of a tertiary hospital in Germany is low (1.6 %). The data indicate that the local hygiene standard might be effective.

1. Background

In 2019, a novel coronavirus was identified. It first appeared in Wuhan, China and caused a cluster of pneumonia cases. The virus was named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2). The World Health Organization designated the disease COVID-19 (coronavirus disease 2019) [1]. It caused a pandemic, and lead to a challenge for healthcare systems. The number of confirmed cases worldwide increased to 3.3 million and the German public health

institute (Robert Koch institute) reported 161,703 cases and 6575 deaths on May 2, 2020 in Germany. In response to the disease spreading, German hospitals started to create capacities by canceling elective admissions. The University Hospital of Essen was designated as hospital of admission for COVID-19 patients for an area of around 5 million residents.

The main route of transmission is person-to-person spread [2]. A vulnerable cohort for infection due to frequent and close contact to COVID-19 patients are healthcare workers [3,4]. To avoid patient-to-

Abbreviation: SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus 2; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; FFP, filtering face piece; ELISA, Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay; IgG, Immunoglobulin G; S, spike protein subunit 1; vs., versus; n, number; SD, standard deviation; S/co, signal-to-cut-off ratio

^{*}Corresponding author at: Department of Nephrology, University Hospital Essen, Hufelandstr. 55, 45147 Essen, Germany. E-mail address: Johannes.korth@uk-essen.de (J. Korth).

staff transmission adherence to strict hygiene standards is important [5] The infection with the SARS-CoV-2 usually leads to seroconversion 11–14 days after the first symptoms [6]. However, due to asymptomatic and oligosymptomatic infections [7,8] testing only symptomatic individuals can lead to a significant underestimation of the SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence.

2. Objectives

The study presents the results of the first SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence study in 316 healthcare workers of the University Hospital Essen, Germany. In addition, this study evaluates the local hygiene standards by the rate of infections on the designated COVID-19 units after admission of the first COVID-19 patient at the beginning of March.

3. Study design

Health care workers of the University Hospital Essen were recruited in this prospective cross-sectional monocentric study. Participants were grouped depending on the frequency of contact to COVID-19 patients: (i) High-risk group with daily contact to COVID-19 patients on the designated wards and on the intensive care units, (ii) intermediated-risk group with daily non-COVID-19 patient contact and as a control (iii) low-risk group without daily patient contact. For staff members of the high-risk group on designated COVID-19 wards a local hygiene standard was prepared (Fig. 1). Staff on wards without known or suspected COVID-19 patients (intermediate-risk group) adhere to basic hygiene standards according to the WHO. In addition, all staff members have to wear surgical mask since April 14th. The period of sampling was from March 25th, 2020 until April 21th, 2020. Anti-SARS-CoV-2-IgG antibodies were detected in sera using an semi-quantitative enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Euroimmun Medizinische Labordiagnostika, Lübeck, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

Fisher's exact test was used as applicable. Informed consent was obtained from all participants. This study has been approved by the ethics committee of the medical faculty of the University Duisburg-Essen (20-9208-BO).

4. Results

Overall, 317 employees participated in the study. Since one subject was diagnosed for SARS-CoV-2 infection via PCR after a vacation in March 2020 and the comparison between groups was focused on

Essentials of the hygiene standards for COVID-19 of the University Hospital Essen

Isolation of patients with confirmed and/or suspected COVID-19 infection on designated wards/departments until hospital discharge

Healthcare workers with contact to SARS-CoV-2/COVID-19 patients need personal protective equipment (PPE) consisting of respiratory protection, N95 Respirators, a disposable coat, waterproof gowns, single use gloves and a face shield/protective goggles

Ventilated patients must be equipped with heat and moisture exchanger/bacterial filter

Hand hygiene must be performed according to the World Health Organization Guidelines

Fig. 1. Essentials of the hygiene standard for COVID-19 of the University Hospital Essen.

unknown infection of healthcare workers, the subject (intermediate-risk group) was excluded from further analyzes. Subjects characteristics are listed in Table 1. SARS-CoV-2-IgG antibodies were detected in 5 of 316 (1.6 %) subjects. Out of these, 3 of 244 (1.2 %) subjects belonging to the high-risk group and in 2 of 37 (5.4 %) belonging to the intermediaterisk group. The seroprevalence was higher in the intermediate-risk vs. high-risk group with an odds ratio of 0.22 (confidence interval 95 % 0.04-1.35; p = 0.13). No participant of the control group tested positive for SARS-CoV-2-IgG antibodies. The mean S/CO-value was 2.7 +/-1.4 and did not differ significantly between groups (Table 1). Four of the five subjects (80 %) with detectable antibodies reported COVID-19 associated symptoms in the past 3 months including headache (40 %) and sneezing (40 %). General malaise, anosmia and fever were observed in just one case each. None of the subjects suffered from cough, sore throat or dyspnea. One of the five subjects (20 %) had no symptoms at all. None of the subjects were hospitalized. The transmission route could not be elucidated in all cases: Three subjects had suspected infection after unprotected professional COVID-19 exposure and in two cases the route of infection is unknown. PCR of a nasopharyngeal swab was performed in 4 out of the 5 cases without SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection.

5. Discussion

The aim of this study was to determine the SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence in healthcare workers of the University Hospital Essen, Germany. In 5 of 316 (1.6 %) healthcare workers antibodies could be detected. The seroprevalence was lower in the high-risk group vs. intermediate-risk group (3/244 (1.2 %) vs. 2/37 (5.4 %), with an odds ratio of 0.22 (confidence interval 95 % 0.04–1.35; p = 0.13). No participant of the low-risk group tested positive for SARS-CoV-2-IgG antibodies. These findings reveal the overall seroprevalence is low, which corresponds to another study from the Santa Clara County, United States with approximately 3500 subjects and a seroprevalence of 1.5 % [9]. Other studies observe higher infection rates in healthcare workers. In a study of 957 employees in another German tertiary hospital 52 (5.4 %) employees tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 PCR. The authors underline the vulnerability of healthcare workers in the ongoing pandemic. Similar findings were observed in a Dutch study of 1353 healthcare workers of whom 86 (6%) were tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 via nasal swab [10]. Interestingly the authors suppose the infection to be community acquired during the early phase of pandemic. An even higher rate of infection of healthcare workers was observed in a study from the United Kingdom by Keeley et al., where 1533 symptomatic healthcare workers were tested for SARS-CoV-2 infection via PCR of whom 282 (18 %) were tested positive [11]. They conclude that early identification of symptomatic staff members is crucial to avoid virus transmission due to staff members and to maximize the available workforce. However, comparison to the current study is restricted since the other studies used nasal swab and PCR to determine the rate of infection, although the nasal swab has a volatile positive rate which depends on the practical application and the onset of infection [12] and the PCR has to be improved [13].

An important approach to prevent patient-to-staff infection is the adherence to hygiene guidelines. The low seroprevalence in the highrisk group might be an indicator that the local hygiene standard is effective (Fig. 1). However, the higher seroprevalence in the intermediate-group suggests that the awareness of COVID-19 patient-to staff transmission must be maintained, even in non—COVID-19 wards. Yet the current study did not evaluate the adherence to local guidelines. To estimate the efficiency of the hygiene standard determination of SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence might be performed. Furthermore it can provide an overview of the current seroprevalence of the population in the context of missing vaccination and in the context development of herd immunity [14]. Healthcare workers with immunity against SARS-CoV-2 may be less vulnerable for SARS-CoV-2 infection. However antibody

Table 1 subject characteristics, SD standard deviation, SARS-CoV-2 severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2, IgG immunoglobulin G, S/co signal-to-cut-off ratio.

	high-risk		intermediate-risk		low-risk		overall	
	n	%	n	%	n	%	n	%
	244	77%	37	12%	35	11%	316	100 %
female	157	64%	21	57%	26	74%	204	65%
age (+/- SD)	36.7 (+/-	- 10.7)			42.3 (+/- 13.2)			
profession								
nurse	178	73 %	27	73 %	2	6%	207	66%
physician	59	24%	10	27%	9	26 %	78	25%
lab assistant	0	0%	0	0%	20	57%	20	6%
other	7	3%	0	0%	4	11%	11	3%
SARS-CoV-2 IgG positive	3	1,2%	2	5,4%	0	0%	5	1,6%
SARS-CoV-2 IgG S/co (+/- SD)	3.0 (+/- 1.8)		2.2 (+/- 1.1)		0		2.7 (+/- 1.4)	
symptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection								
sneeze	0		2		0		2	40 %
headache	1		1		0		2	40 %
anosmia	0		1		0		1	20 %
muscle ache	1		0		0		1	20 %
general malaise	1		0		0		1	20 %
diarrhoea	0		1		0		1	20 %
fever	1		0		0		1	20 %
cough	0		0		0		0	0%
shortness of breath	0		0		0		0	0%
sore throat	0		0		0		0	0%
asymptomatic	1		0		0		1	20 %

detection is no assurance of protective immunity [15].

An interesting finding of the current study underlines the limitation of the nasal swab diagnostic since in four subject the PCR diagnostic was negative though antibody detection was positive. In addition one subject with SARS-CoV-2 antibody detection was asymptomatic. Asymptomatic infections could be observed in other studies in up to 50 % of cases [16,17]. This is another important aspect for the adherence to hygiene standards since asymptomatic infection provide a route of community acquired SARS-CoV-2 infection into hospital care.

In conclusion the seroprevalence of healthcare workers of a tertiary hospital in Germany was 1.6 %. The local hygiene standard might be effective to provide patient-to-staff transmission at the COVID-19 wards. Awareness for COVID-19 infections is crucial even in non—COVID-19 wards.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflict of interest

Andreas Kribben has received grants for clinical studies, speaker's fee, honoraria and travel expenses from Actelion, Amgen, Amicus, Alexion, Astellas, Bayer, Baxter, Binding Site, Bristol Myers, Chiesi, CytoSorbents, Fresenius, GlaxoSmithKline, Hexal, Janssen, Kyowa Kirin, MSD, Novartis, Otsuka, Peripal, Pfizer, Roche, Sanofi, Shire, Teva, and Vifor Fresenius Medical. Oliver Witzke has received research grants for clinical studies, speaker's fees, honoraria and travel expenses from Amgen, Alexion, Astellas, Basilea, Biotest, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Correvio, Chiesi, Gilead, Hexal, Janssen, Dr. F. Köhler Chemie, MSD, Novartis, Roche, Pfizer, Sanofi, TEVA and UCB. Johannes Korth has received grants speaker's fee, honoraria and travel expenses from Astellas, Basilea, Chiesi, Janssen, Novartis and Roche. The other authors declared no conflict of interests.

Author contributions

Johannes Korth: Project administration, Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft; Anke Herrmann: Project administration, Investigation, Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft; Benjamin, Wilde: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft; Sebastian Dolff: Methodology, Writing - Original Draft; Olympia E. Anastasiou: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing -Original Draft, Validation; Adalbert Krawczyk: Methodology, Investigation, Validation; Michael Jahn: Resources, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft; Sebastian Cordes: Resources, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft; Birgit Ross: : Methodology, Investigation; Stefan Esser: : Methodology, Investigation, Resources; Monika Lindemann: Methodology, Investigation, Resources; Andreas Kribben: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Original Draft; Ulf Dittmer: Conceptualization, Methodology, Writing - Original Draft, Investigation; Oliver Witzke: Conceptualization, Methodology, Investigation, Writing - Original Draft; All authors approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Hannah Pfeng, Assia Omairatte, Emel Güngör and Dana Haffke for excellent assistance. Oliver Witzke is supported by an unrestricted grant of the Rudolf-Ackermann-Stiftung (Stiftung für Klinische Infektiologie).

References

- [1] N. Zhu, D. Zhang, W. Wang, X. Li, B. Yang, J. Song, X. Zhao, B. Huang, W. Shi, R. Lu, P. Niu, F. Zhan, X. Ma, D. Wang, W. Xu, G. Wu, G.F. Gao, W. Tan, A novel coronavirus from patients with pneumonia in China, 2019, N. Engl. J. Med. (2020), https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmoa2001017.
- [2] S. Hoehl, A. Berger, M. Kortenbusch, J. Cinatl, D. Bojkova, H. Rabenau, P. Behrens, B. Böddinghaus, U. Götsch, F. Naujoks, P. Neumann, J. Schork, P. Tiarks-Jungk, A. Walczok, M. Eickmann, M.J.G.T. Vehreschild, G. Kann, T. Wolf, R. Gottschalk, S. Ciesek, Evidence of SARS-CoV-2 infection in returning travelers from Wuhan, China, N. Engl. J. Med. (2020), https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc2001899.
- [3] Y. Luo, E. Trevathan, Z. Qian, Y. Li, J. Li, W. Xiao, N. Tu, Z. Zeng, P. Mo, Y. Xiong, G. Ye, Asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection in household contacts of a healthcare

- provider, Wuhan, China, Emerg. Infect. Dis. 26 (2020), https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2608.201016.
- [4] D.N. Weissman, M.A. de Perio, L.J. Radonovich, COVID-19 and risks posed to personnel during endotracheal intubation, JAMA (2020), https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.2020.6627.
- [5] S.C.Y. Wong, R.T.S. Kwong, T.C. Wu, J.W.M. Chan, M.Y. Chu, S.Y. Lee, H.Y. Wong, D.C. Lung, Risk of nosocomial transmission of coronavirus disease 2019: an experience in a general ward setting in Hong Kong, J. Hosp. Infect. (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2020.03.036.
- [6] A. Petherick, Developing antibody tests for SARS-CoV-2, Lancet 395 (2020) 1101–1102, https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30788-1.
- [7] X. Pan, D. Chen, Y. Xia, X. Wu, T. Li, X. Ou, L. Zhou, J. Liu, Asymptomatic cases in a family cluster with SARS-CoV-2 infection, Lancet Infect. Dis. 20 (2020) 410–411, https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30114-6.
- [8] J. Wu, J. Liang, H. Zhou, F. Peng, B. Wang, W. Jiang, B. Jia, T. Luo, Clinical features and outcomes of asymptomatic cases of SARS-CoV-2 infection, J. Infect. (2020), https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.04.027.
- [9] E. Bendavid, B. Mulaney, N. Sood, S. Shah, E. Ling, R. Bromley-Dulfano, C. Lai, Z. Weissberg, R. Saavedra, J. Tedrow, D. Tversky, A. Bogan, T. Kupiec, D. Eichner, R. Gupta, J. Ioannidis, J. Bhattacharya, COVID-19 antibody seroprevalence in Santa Clara County, California, MedRxiv (2020), https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.04.14. 20062463 2020.04.14.20062463.
- [10] M.F.Q.K.- Van Den Bergh, SARS- CoV- 2 Infection in 86 Healthcare Workers in Two Dutch Hospitals in March, (2020), pp. 1–16.
- [11] A.J. Keeley, C. Evans, H. Colton, M. Ankcorn, A. Cope, A. State, T. Bennett, P. Giri, T.I. de Silva, M. Raza, Roll-out of SARS-CoV-2 testing for healthcare workers at a

- large NHS Foundation Trust in the United Kingdom, March 2020, Euro Surveill. 25 (2020) 1–4, https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.14.2000433.
- [12] Y. Yang, M. Yang, C. Shen, F. Wang, J. Yuan, J. Li, M. Zhang, Z. Wang, L. Xing, J. Wei, L. Peng, G. Wong, H. Zheng, M. Liao, K. Feng, J. Li, Q. Yang, J. Zhao, Z. Zhang, L. Liu, Y. Liu, Evaluating the accuracy of different respiratory specimens in the laboratory diagnosis and monitoring the viral shedding of 2019-nCoV infections, MedRxiv (2020), https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.02.11.20021493
- [13] T. Toptan, S. Hoehl, S. Westhaus, D. Bojkova, A. Berger, Optimized qRT-PCR Approach for the Detection of Intra- and Extra- Cellular SARS-CoV-2 RNAs, (2020).
- [14] K.O. KWOK, F. Lai, W.I. Wei, S.Y.S. WONG, J. TANG, Herd immunity estimating the level required to halt the COVID-19 epidemics in affected countries, J. Infect. 6 (2020) 0–1, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2020.03.027.
- [15] R.A.P.M. Perera, C.K.P. Mok, O.T.Y. Tsang, H. Lv, R.L.W. Ko, N.C. Wu, M. Yuan, S. Leung, J.M.C. Chan, T.S.H. Chik, C.Y.C. Choi, K. Leung, K.H. Chan, K.C.K. Chan, J.T. Wu, I.A. Wilson, A.S. Monto, L.L.M. Poon, M. Peiris, Serological Assays for Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), March 2020, 2, (2020).
- [16] M. Day, Covid-19: identifying and isolating asymptomatic people helped eliminate virus in Italian village, BMJ. 368 (2020) m1165, https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj. m1165.
- [17] K. Mizumoto, K. Kagaya, A. Zarebski, G. Chowell, Estimating the asymptomatic proportion of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) cases on board the Diamond Princess cruise ship, Yokohama, Japan, 2020, Eurosurveillance 25 (2020) 1–5, https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.es.2020.25.10.2000180.