


2703ICT Assignment 2 – Peer Review/Marking Form

S/N:		First Name: Ben		Family Name: Weigt
Peer review name: Ethel Beckett		Peer review date and time: 28/9/2019		Peer review signature: 
Peer review comment:				
	Unsatisfactory	Partially Satisfactory	Good	Excellent
Submission	0 Points	0.15 Points	0.3 Points	0.5 Points Submit all the required files via L@G as per assignment specification
Documentation	0 Points	0.3 Points	0.6 Points	1 Points Description of tasks completed, not completed, approaches used, anything extra. This documentation should be provided as a page (or pages) in the website and linked to from the navigation menu.
E-R Diagram	0 Points	0.6 Points	1.2 Points	2 Points E-R diagram for what you have implemented. Linked to from the website.
Migration and Seeder	0 Points	0.6 Points	1.2 Points	2 Points Correct use of migration for creating database table, and seeder for inserting required initial data.
User Registration	0 Points	0.8 Points	1.6 Points Mostly work, but with a few small problems	2.5 Points Users can register with this website. When registering, users must provide their name, email, password, and address. Furthermore, users must register as either a: 1. Restaurant, or 2. Consumer.
User login and Log out	0 Points	0.6 Points	1.2 Points Mostly work, but with a few small problems.	2 Points Registered users can login. Users should be able to login (or get to the login page) from any page. A logged in user should be able to log out.
Add, Update, and Delete Dish	0 Points	0.6 Points	1.2 Points Mostly work, but with a few small problems	2 Points Only the restaurant users can add dishes to the list of dishes sold by his/her restaurant. They can also update and delete existing dishes.
List detail	0 Points	1 Points	2 Points	3 Points All users (including guests) can see a list of registered restaurants. They can click into any restaurant to see the dishes this restaurant sells.
Pagination	0 Points	0.6 Points	1.2 Points	2 Points

			Mostly work, but with a few small problems	The list of dishes should be paginated with at most 5 dishes per page.
Single purchase	0 Points	0.3 Points	0.6 Points Mostly work, but with a few small problems	1 Points Only consumers can purchase a dish. Since we do not deal with payment gateways in this course, we simply assume the payment is successful, and save the purchase order in the database. Then it will display the dish purchased, the price, and the delivery address (which is the consumer's address) to let the user know that the purchase is successful.
View orders	0 Points	1 Points	2 Points Mostly work, but with a few small problems	3 Points A restaurant (user) can see a list of orders customers have placed on his/her restaurant. An order should consist of the name of the consumer, that dish (name) that was ordered, and the date that the order was placed
Input validation	0 Points	0.6 Points	1.2 Points	2 Points Input validation must be performed (on server side). If invalid input is detected, the appropriate error message must be displayed, along with the previous entered value. E.g.: A dish must have a name and a price. A dish name must be unique. Price must be a number
Security and route protection	0 Points	0.3 Points	0.6 Points	1 Points Input sanitisation must be performed. All routes that are only accessible to a particular user type must be protected (including form submission route)
Auth redirect	0 Points	0.3 Points Two incorrect redirect	0.6 Points One incorrect redirect	2 Points After user registration, login, or logout, appropriate redirections should be provided. E.g. if user logs in from the details page, then after user logs in, s/he should be redirected back to that page.
Unique dish name for that restaurant	0 Points	0.3 Points	0.6 Points	1 Points When restaurant users add a new dish, the dish name must be unique for that restaurant, not across restaurants.
Image	0 Points	0.6 Points	1.2 Points Mostly work, but with a few small problems	2 Points When restaurant users add a dish, s/he can upload a photo for that dish. This photo will be displayed when this dish displayed.
Cart	0 Points	1 Points	2 Points Mostly work, but with a few small problems	3 Points In addition to requirement 6 (single purchase), consumers can add multiple dishes to a cart, see the contents in the cart, the cost of this cart (the sum of all dishes), remove any unwanted dishes,

				before purchasing these dishes. Once purchased, the cart will be emptied.
Most popular	0 Points	0.6 Points	1.2 Points	2 Points There is a page which lists the top 5 most popular (most ordered) dishes in the last 30 days.
Statistic 1	0 Points	0.3 Points	0.6 Points	1 Points Restaurants can view a statistic pages which shows the sales statics for that restaurant. This page shows: The total amount of sales (in dollar value) made by this restaurant.
Statistic 2	0 Points	0.6 Points	1.2 Points	2 Points The weekly sales total (in dollar value) for the last 12 weeks, i.e. there should be a sales total for each of the last 12 weeks.
Administrator and account approval	0 Points	1 Points	2 Points	3 Points There is another user type called administrator. There is only 1 administrator which is created through seeder. The purpose of administrator is to approve new restaurant (users). After a new restaurant user (account) is registered, s/he cannot add/remove dishes from his/her restaurant until this account is approved by the administrator. There is a page where the administrator can go to see a list of new restaurant accounts that require approval, and to approve these accounts.
UI Design	0 Points	0.6 Points Minimum effort is put in the UI. Or bootstrap UI, but not implemented well	1.2 Points Looks ok. Or standard bootstrap look.	2 Points Impressive. Easy to use. Look good. Logical redirects.
Peer review	0 Points	0.6 Points Few participations in peer review, not much experience to draw on.	1.2 Points Got it done because you need to. No effort is made to try to get the most out of it.	2 Points A peer review reflection document which describes your participation in peer review, what you have learnt being the reviewer or reviewee, and what steps have you taken to improve/optomise your peer review experience. <u>Good effort has been made to get the most out of peer review.</u>
Code structure and layout	0 Points	0.15 Points	0.3 Points	0.5 Points Correct indenting/spacing, readable.
Code commenting and naming	0 Points	0.15 Points	0.3 Points	0.5 Points Every function commented Use appropriate names for files, functions, and variables.
Understanding (0 to 1)	Base on student's demonstration and explanation the marker assigns a multiplication factor to the marks received above. E.g. if student receives 45 marks, but			Between 0 to 1

	cannot explain at all, then s/he will receive 0 for understanding. So the overall mark would be $45 \times 0 = 0$. If there is no problem with the explanation, then 1 should be awarded.	1
--	---	---