## MATH/CS 27700 FALL 2020: HW 3

## BENEDICT MORRISSEY

Due: October 23rd at 9PM.

Note 1: Questions 3,5 may be postponed to the next homework if we have not covered the relative material by October 20th. If so this will be announced on October 20th.

Note 2: As noted, the "Take Home Test" Question (in red) must be worked on individually.

**Note 3**: Answers must be fully explained (unless otherwise specified). Follow the Homework policy (see syllabus) and remember to write up all solutions on your own.

(1) This question aims to prove that if  $\kappa, \eta$  are non-zero cardinals, such that at least one is infinite, then

$$\kappa \oplus \eta = \kappa \otimes \eta = \max\{\kappa, \eta\} \tag{0.1}$$

.

- (a) First deal with the case where either  $\kappa = 1$  or  $\eta = 1$ .
- (b) Assume that  $\kappa, \eta \geq 2$ , prove

$$\max\{\kappa,\eta\} \le \kappa \oplus \eta \le \kappa \otimes \eta \le \max\{\kappa,\eta\} \otimes \max\{\kappa,\eta\}.$$

Conclude from the result of question 2 (Equation 0.2) that Equation 0.1 holds.

(2) (This question is worth significantly more points than other questions) In this question we will prove that for an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  we have

$$\kappa = \kappa \otimes \kappa. \tag{0.2}$$

(a) Show that  $\kappa \leq \kappa \otimes \kappa$  for a non-zero cardinal  $\kappa$ .

We will prove equation 0.2 by contradiction<sup>1</sup>. Suppose that exists a cardinal  $\eta$  such that  $\eta \neq \eta \otimes \eta$ . Consider the set of cardinals less than or equal to  $\eta$  such that equation 0.2 does not hold. Then this set must have a minimal element  $\lambda$ , as it is a nonempty set of ordinals.

Note from Homework 1 Q 1 (b)  $\lambda \neq Card(\mathbb{N}) = \aleph_0$ . You may need this fact in part (d).

(b) Let  $\beta$  be an ordinal. Consider the relation  $\leq$  on  $\beta \times \beta$  defined by

$$(a_1, a_2) \leq (b_1, b_2)$$

if  $\max\{a_1, a_2\} \leq \max\{b_1, b_2\}$  ( $\leq$  is here the standard order on ordinals) or if  $\max\{a_1, a_2\} = \max\{b_1, b_2\}$  and  $(a_1, a_2) \leq_{lex} (b_1, b_2)$ , where  $\leq_{lex}$  refers to the lexicographic ordering on a product of two ordered sets (we use the standard order [by inclusion] on ordinals to give an order on  $\kappa$ ).

Show that  $\leq$  is a well ordering on  $\beta \times \beta$ .

- (c) Show that if  $\alpha$  is an infinite cardinal then it is a limit ordinal.
- (d) Consider the order from part (b) for the ordinal  $\beta = \lambda$  defined after part (a). Let  $(a, b) \in \lambda \times \lambda$  (a, b) are ordinals, and define the well ordered (by  $\leq$ ) set

$$I_{\prec}((a,b)) := \{(c,d) \in \lambda \times \lambda | (c,d) \preceq (a,b) \}.$$

Let  $\epsilon = \max\{a, b\} + 1$ . Show that

$$|I_{\prec}((a,b))| \le |\epsilon \times \epsilon| = |\epsilon| < \lambda, \tag{0.3}$$

(You need to show each of the three equalities/inequalities in equation 0.3 holds).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>With a few minor changes one can change this proof to a proof by transfinite induction on ordinals.

(e) From equation 0.3 conclude that if  $\gamma$  is the ordinal corresponding to the well ordered set  $I_{\preceq}((a,b))$  then

$$\gamma < \lambda$$
 (0.4)

(here  $\leq$  refers to the standard order on ordinals).

- (f) From equation 0.4 conclude that  $\lambda \otimes \lambda \leq \lambda$  obtaining a contradiction. The result follows.
- (3) We work in propositional logic with a finite language |L| = n (i.e. n propositional variables). Let  $|\Gamma| = 1$  (that is to say  $|\Gamma|$  consists of a single formula). Let m be the number of models of  $\Gamma$ . What are the possible values m can take?
- (4) "Take Home Test Question" Individual work only. Let  $\kappa, \eta, \lambda$  be cardinals. Show that  $(\kappa^{\eta})^{\lambda} = \kappa^{\eta \otimes \lambda}$ .
- (5) "Take Home Test Question" Individual work only. Show that

$$(\phi \to (\psi \to \chi)) \to ((\phi \to \psi) \to (\phi \to \chi))$$

is a tautology.