Talle

- Proview: Would to construct. Majak-type TFT with touget category looks derical (sympledic) algebraic geometry.
- Pretitionaires: PTVV. a stated sympletic str.
- Short proof of Mater thim.
- Application. / Confecture.
- Q Y: dAvot. u-shifted Symplectic.

. My talle To mostly formed on construction of the farder, Mostly. D Lagrangian corresponde &

. Mater-Application: Y=BG, or station-type by Moore tactichana

- @ Pretratuaries
 - Methodological X8 (s) = Csing (x:1k)

 P(X8, Ox8) = C*sing(X,E).
 - Qcoh(VB) ~ (\$0.1) Cet of locally court treates of k-mod. on X P(XB. E) = P(X. E) V E = Qcol.(X)

. X To compact => XB To C-compact. (i.e. Ox e Dquel, (x) is coupert obt. bergag congagnon of C(X-E). A Eiter. Autid unnecessary anothing.
- X: 0-compact devitued Stack and det. every base change An. O-offentation of deg d on X. To [X]: C(X.0x) -> (c[-d]: fordantal class C.t. & A. E. codgage, E on XA, the nogotion. - DEXAT: C(XA, E) - C(XA, E') V [-d] TE & TEO OF dg-nudule (ex) X: cpt top space . ~ Yo has d-overtation. f'() X a nesp. of d of (X, o") (Def) · Isotopic stron f: path bet for 0. In A (L.M) · Icot(f.w) 2 llag (f.w) · Lag stron of To "non-degenerate" Too stron of he Isot (f.w) ~ honotopy bet o & TL KTL -> fx(Tx) \ fx(Tx) -> OLDIT TLNTE TE-,TL-> fx(Tx) Already equips with locado o. . Path (100p) Tu Maptte NTf > Occur) ·· TC(Map Lqcohix) (Tf @ TL. OL(W), o) E OL: TF - 11_[1. 7. 7. 150 (ex) if X = xm, On grees (un) diffed symplectic strong L : (i.e) fil > X is lag. (=) I had un-1) shifted str.

If = 3 lag (fino) x lag(fr.w) - Sym (Lixle, n-1) (X.w) (i.e. Lex La has (n-1) souplecte. An.). A Fig (x) (x) (x) -> About (x) -> About (x) K/1= 1-1x . I lag => we can lift. w to w LxL: stade of path from toL ~ Steeds of putated loop XIL. ~ Map (SI X/L) ~ not str (Def) f; r -> Z mor bet 0-coupact stacks. [7] esuppod col. futurated does Boundary str. on f is path from Patry to O. Tu. Map (P(2.02), 61-67) · Bud (f. [17]) 'Space. Non-deservate means that "nelative po-cretition . A relative d-extentation on fix - I is d-out + non-degematé boundairy str. M.C.M (α) 131 641 [M] & Hatt (M. dM, 10) -> Ha(dM, 10) -> Ha(M, 10) [1] = [1] = [M] [M] .. EMJ détentues frEVJ ~ 0 Tu HalMir)

```
relation PD quarantees that if: Y -> I boulary str
(thu) fir-> I (Tw) Y. I origination
     Bud(f. [1]) - Isot (resty Sty ent m)
  where vest: Map (Z.T) - Map (x.T)
      In particular, non-deg boundary condition - l'agrangian.
       poth for no Ta. Map (P(V.Ox), EE-6)
      path. vest* State ev, w. ~ o.
(ducile) well-Defined was, non-degeneracy.
       YXMap(I,Y) 7dxwest YX.Map(Y,Y)
          1 a ten
        IXMap (2. Y) evz
    @ vest* Jevz ev* w = Jf* Evz ev* w ~ o
                           (;) fx[v]~0.
 color To this Taportaint.
(Au) for so I gil or dAr.
      Mop(f,g) := Mop(x,y) \times Mop(z,y)
    f contes vel-don 4 l'antes n-squi. g. Lag
       =) Map (f.9) has (ind-1) sumplectic str
```

if X = * 1c > cbj = k-1 Symplectic. d st. Conjecture: Any obj YTE Lagram, (* 16) felly dualizable (i.e) Bordin - J. Lagroson (*E). Green by Map (C)B. () Maple pt B of Pactors Househ oriented version. ") No evidence! 3) Applications. Conjecturally 2d · Moore - Tachillana constructed TET whose target category is coujus from symplectic holonorphic mode obj: Lie gp/ (dg-gp). Mor; holo symplectic manifold. w/ = IRSpec Squox (Txe-n) X - (g, o) 72) " more and map Ju our cace. This cetting can be Tuterprehed as follows a -> Lag, tation q - 13*/97 = T*[1] BG. 1- suplectic 1 Lag composition from product.

(3,07,)* [3,1/G]×[3,1/G] (kuk). X -) The mount rusp -> [x16] -> [3+16] lag.

pull book => 1/10) /6.

Our example. Lagrons 21. Map (Zp. V). (u-d.) ti ta 2) 21 12 ~ X Map (XB) Some Map (ZHZ, Y)

has O well owner aftern

Map (21) × Map (22).

Map (21) × Map (22).

Map (22) @ Map(Xp. Y) d-Ar. stack. 3 Compostfon. LI FI XXT. LI FI TXZ. => llag (f, 1 Textur- retur) x " - lag (j. Textux-Textur)) gille Lixyle - 3xx2 Algolog. So for, I desirbed. Atach type TET. while line difference. One unfined things cours up To the following. I'my to get" fully extended Version,) (er) Circum k - symplectic X. (=*(k)) We can define Lagronos. (x) of maps (-> X Lag If we are able to construct Lagronn (X), dej (Cox X Deg (x), obj YC) More (Tr. Yz) = logios us (Yi xx/2)

a other Tutenesticy examples
· Notation. Part.
· G: opt the gp -> BG = [4/6]] contes a 2-symplectic du.
- G. To any tre gp -+ [g*19]= T*E1] (BG).
V- G: cpl Cie gp - [GIG] = Map. (S'B. BG). 1-Squplects
O Classical OB shows (= BET; (funcated to dim.1.2). TEBET: (ob2 - LagCow2 1 - Symplectic
dumo much about S' Map ((Si)B. BET) = [ET/ET] 1-Symplection
S' KI -+ ZHG (S'KI) = D (G) Drinfeld Deable
CG(G) [G(G) o a-
Parrofpants -+ [GXG/G] [6/6] (6/6] x[G/G]
D (5 Theory col & Stude 9P. "Symplectic streamst play agraficant vole" 2-2-1
ZBG: Cob - Cor h-Cost of monordal Category G! - Ocale (Map. (Sp. BG)) = [G1G]
2 Map (Qcoh[G/G]k, Qcoh[G/G]l)
nd _ Natural transformation function?
extend this to @ Qual (BG). 3-2-10 it & fill a

@ Dio - Huong.	locally const	Jedik
Nul _ Bung(Nul)	locally const.	market and the second s
Not Bung(N) Band	pull-back push-Somued.	
More precially, space of sections 7 (Mar) = sp. of certains of 40 (Map. (M. BG) Consideration M	LM.). Zm.). Zm. Map (M.BG) LP:	A FIC
Carast-Han str (city) why?	Map (M.BC)	
· Symplectic strom this matter	s. Why?	
=> = Zea (M) Ming - sur Couposition of M-1p		
=> EBG (M) = ZBG (M') X This can also be obtained by [of Zpg (M') = [Gx6	Quast-Hauthouse	n neduction.
OR Quest-Hauttonion spores is lay to	G/G - Map (S	tion vetract of under of 1887)