Big Data Analytics

Lecture 1: Introduction

Prof. Dr. Ulrich Matter
(University of St. Gallen)

20/02/2020

1 Example of Computation Time and Memory Allocation

1.1 Preparation

We first read the **economics** data set into R and extend it by duplicating its rows in order to get a slightly larger data set (this step can easily be adapted to create a very large data set).

```
# read dataset into R
economics <- read.csv("../data/economics.csv")
# have a look at the data
head(economics, 2)
##
                         pop psavert uempmed unemploy
                  pce
## 1 1967-07-01 507.4 198712
                                 12.5
                                          4.5
## 2 1967-08-01 510.5 198911
                                 12.5
                                          4.7
                                                  2945
# create a 'large' dataset out of this
for (i in 1:3) {
     economics <- rbind(economics, economics)
dim(economics)
## [1] 4592
               6
```

1.2 Naïve Approach (ignorant of R)

The goal of this code example is to compute the real personal consumption expenditures, assuming that pce in the economics data set provides the nominal personal consumption expenditures. Thus, we divide each value in the vector pce by a deflator 1.05.

The first approach we take is based on a simple for-loop. In each iteration one element in pce is divided by the deflator and the resulting value is stored as a new element in the vector pce_real.

```
# Naïve approach (ignorant of R)
deflator <- 1.05 # define deflator
# iterate through each observation
pce_real <- c()
n_obs <- length(economics$pce)
for (i in 1:n_obs) {
   pce_real <- c(pce_real, economics$pce[i]/deflator)
}
# look at the result
head(pce_real, 2)</pre>
```

```
## [1] 483.2381 486.1905
How long does it take?
# Naïve approach (ignorant of R)
deflator <- 1.05 # define deflator
# iterate through each observation
pce_real <- list()</pre>
n_obs <- length(economics$pce)</pre>
time_elapsed <-
     system.time(
         for (i in 1:n_obs) {
               pce_real <- c(pce_real, economics$pce[i]/deflator)</pre>
})
time_elapsed
##
      user system elapsed
##
     0.123
              0.022
                       0.153
Assuming a linear time algorithm (O(n)), we need that much time for one additional row of data:
time_per_row <- time_elapsed[3]/n_obs</pre>
time_per_row
##
        elapsed
## 3.331882e-05
If we deal with big data, say 100 million rows, that is
# in seconds
(time_per_row*100^4)
## elapsed
## 3331.882
# in minutes
(time_per_row*100^4)/60
## elapsed
## 55.53136
# in hours
(time_per_row*100^4)/60^2
     elapsed
## 0.9255226
Can we improve this?
```

1.2.1 Improvement 1: Pre-allocation of memory

In the naïve approach taken above, each iteration of the loop causes R to re-allocate memory because the number of elements in vector pce_element is changing. In simple terms, this means that R needs to execute more steps in each iteration. We can improve this with a simple trick by initiating the vector in the right size to begin with (filled with NA values).

```
# Improve memory allocation (still somewhat ignorant of R)
deflator <- 1.05 # define deflator
n_obs <- length(economics$pce)</pre>
```

Let's see if this helped to make the code faster.

```
time_per_row <- time_elapsed[3]/n_obs
time_per_row

## elapsed
## 1.52439e-06
Again, we can extrapolate (approximately) the computation time, assuming the data set had millions of rows.

# in seconds
(time_per_row*100^4)

## elapsed
## 152.439

# in minutes
(time_per_row*100^4)/60

## elapsed
## 2.54065</pre>
```

elapsed ## 0.04234417

(time_per_row*100^4)/60^2

in hours

This looks much better, but we can do even better.

1.2.2 Improvement 2: Exploit vectorization

In this approach, we exploit the fact that in R 'everything is a vector' and that many of the basic R functions (such as math operators) are *vectorized*. In simple terms, this means that a vectorized operation is implemented in such a way that it can take advantage of the similarity of each of the vector's elements. That is, R only has to figure out once how to apply a given function to a vector element in order to apply it to all elements of the vector. In a simple loop, R has to through the same 'preparatory' steps again and again in each iteration, this is time-intensive.

In this example, we specifically exploit that the division operator / is actually a vectorized function. Thus, the division by our deflator is applied to each element of economics\$pce.

```
# same result
head(pce_real, 2)
## [1] 483.2381 486.1905
Now this is much faster. In fact, system.time() is not precise enough to capture the time elapsed...
time_per_row <- time_elapsed[3]/n_obs</pre>
# in seconds
(time_per_row*100<sup>4</sup>)
## elapsed
##
# in minutes
(time_per_row*100^4)/60
## elapsed
##
# in hours
(time_per_row*100^4)/60^2
## elapsed
##
In order to measure the improvement, we use microbenchmark::microbenchmark() to measure the elapsed
time in microseconds (millionth of a second).
library(microbenchmark)
# measure elapsed time in microseconds (avg.)
time_elapsed <-
  summary(microbenchmark(pce_real <- economics$pce/deflator))$mean</pre>
# per row (in sec)
time_per_row <- (time_elapsed/n_obs)/10^6</pre>
Now we get a more precise picture regarding the improvement due to vectorization (again, assuming 100
million rows):
# in seconds
(time_per_row*100<sup>4</sup>)
## [1] 0.9767513
# in minutes
(time_per_row*100^4)/60
## [1] 0.01627919
# in hours
(time_per_row*100^4)/60^2
```

[1] 0.0002713198

1.3 What do we learn from this?

- 1. How R allocates and deallocates memory can have a substantial effect on computation time.
 - (Particularly, if we deal with a large data set!)
- 2. In what way the computation is implemented can matter a lot for the time elapsed.
 - (For example, loops vs. vectorization/apply)