

Deprecate changing kind in class template specialization Bengt Gustafsson

Kona - 2022

Presentation contents

- Rationale
- Proposal contents

Rationale

- Changing kind of a name between definition and explicit specialization is most often a bug.
- If parsing can rely on the kind in the definition we can get rid of a majority of all disambiguation using typename and template.
- The reasons for not having get<> as a member function in tuple etc. are thereby removed.

Proposal contents

- Deprecate changing kind of names in one revision (C++23?)
- Forbid kind change and allow compilers to rely on kind in class template definition during parsing in the next revision.

Alternatives

- Just ask compiler vendors to issue warnings ASAP.
- Forbid kind change directly in C++26.

Alternative approach (not in P2669R0)

- Don't forbid changing kind as such.
- Still let compiler use kind from definition when parsing unless disambiguated to something else.
- Needs a way to disambiguate to value.
- More confusion risk when errors are to be diagnosed.

Examples

```
#include<vector>
template<typename T> void foo(std::vector<T> v)
  typename std::vector<T>::iterator iter = v.begin(); // Why! Boring!
template<typename T> void printIter()
  std::cout << valuename vector<T>::iterator; // Alternative: disambiguate when expecting other kind
// User does change the kind in a specialization. template<> class vector<MyType> {
  static int iterator;
int main()
  printIter<MyType>();
```