

Rule based parameter passing Bengt Gustafsson Kona - 2022

### Presentation contents

- Rationale
- Credits
- Proposal contents

#### Rationale

- C++ parameter passing is very complicated.
- Non-template and template parameters work differently.
- The implicit object reference works in yet another way.
- Writing overloads for optimal performance is tedious.
- Non-optimality does not generate compiler warnings.
- Optimal calling convention differs by type (P2666).

#### Credits

- Thanks to Herb Sutter who wrote D0708 to highlight this as a problematic area and created statistics on the learning issues around this.
- Thanks to Barry Revzin who wrote P2481, requesting solutions to the automatic CRTP of deducing this.

### Relation to other proposals

- P2665: Overload selection:
   Let compiler select by value or by reference.
- P2666: Last use optimization: Simplifies finding rvalues when calling functions.
- Coming up later:
   Labelled types provides named parameters.
   Simplified declarators with all of the type first.

### Proposal contents

- Type sets are user declared sets of types.
- Type sets are used to generate function declarations.
- Type sets can be templates.
- First template parameter injected as for concepts.
- Type sets inhibit universal references.
- Type set templates can be applied to functions.
- Standard type sets included in std::

### Basic syntax

Type sets are enclosed in angle brackets.

<float, double, const long double&>

Function parameters can be type sets.

auto sin(<float, double, const long double&> a);

#### Generates:

```
auto cos(float a);
auto cos(double a);
auto cos(const long double& a);
```

type\_set is a new keyword to name a type set.

```
type_set floats = <float, double, const long double&>;
auto cos(floats a);
```

# Usually type sets are templates

• type\_set declarations can be templates

```
template<typename T> type_set fwd = <T, const T&, T&&>;
```

type\_set templates are substituted similarly to concepts

### Type sets inhibit universal references

- Parameter uncvreffed type is first deduced as usual
- This type is substituted into the type set template
- The resulting types are never universal references

```
template<typename T> type_set fwd = <T, const T&, T&&>;

template<typename T> void func(fwd T x);

<u>Generates:</u>

template<typename T> void func(T x);
template<typename T> void func(const T& x);
template<typename T> void func(T&& x);
template<typename T> void func(T&& x);

template<typename T> void append(<T&> container, int value); // Not a universal reference!
```

# Type set templates can be applied to functions

A type set template name can replace trailing cvref

```
// P2481 optional::transform example.
template<typename T> struct optional {
    template<typename F> constexpr auto transform(this fwd optional, F&&);
};

// This proposal: No deducing this required.
template<typename T> struct optional {
    template<typename F> constexpr auto transform(F&&) fwd;
};
```

### Safe to refactor member functions

- As this proposal *generates* function declarations it is safe to refactor current code without ABI or API problems.
- In contrast using deducing this refactoring is *not* safe as the pointer type changes from a member function pointer to a function pointer.

```
template<typename T> struct MyClass {
   Other& f() { return m_other; }
   const Other& f() const { return m_other; }
};
Other& (MyClass<T>::*)(); // Pointer type for first overload

template<typename T> struct MyClass {
   template<typename U> auto& f(this U& self) requires std::is_same_t<U, T> { return m_other; }
};
Other& (*)(MyClass<T>& self); // Pointer type for first template specialization.
```

# Subtle problem solved with deducing this

\*this is const in a const qualified member function.

```
template<typename T> type_set dual = <const T&, T&>;
template<typename T> class MyClass {
   auto& get() dual { return m_member; }
};
```

But \*this is Ivalue in a rvalue qualified member function.

```
template<typename T> type_set fwd = <const T&, T&&>;

template<typename T> class MyClass {
    auto&& get() fwd { return m_member; } // Impossible
};

template<typename T> class MyClass {
    auto&& get(this fwd MyClass self) { return std::forward<decltype(self)>(self).m_member; }
};
```

### Standard type sets in std::

Standard type sets in namespace nested in std

```
inline namespace std::type_set_templates {
   template<typename T> type_set in = <const T, const T&>;
   template<typename T> type_set ref = <T&>; // Name clash!
   template<typename T> type_set dual = <const T&, T&>;
   template<typename T> type_set fwd = <T, const T&, T&&>;
   template<typename T> type_set mv = <T, T&&>;
}
using namespace std::type_set_templates;
```

- The names thus usable without qualification
- If shadowed they can be qualified with std:: only.

### Issues treated in the proposal

- Should type sets be usable in all declarations?
- Overloading between regular and generated functions.
- Can type set templates be chained?
- Can type sets be declared in class and/or block scope?
- How about dependent type sets?
- Alternate spellings (including reusing typedef).
- The effects of <Type> as an inlined type alias.

### Open issues

- Implementation in .cpp files? Yes
- Name mangling: Same or different? Same
- Can trailing type set template make \*this an rvalue?
- Can a type set used in a parameter declaration cause arrays to be passed by value?
- Reassessing using using to declare type sets.

### Trailing type set template makes \*this an rvalue

- To reduce confusion and increase WYSIWYG:
- \*this is rvalue in a rvalue qualified member function.

```
template<typename T> type_set fwd = <const T&, T&&>;
template<typename T> class MyClass {
   auto&& get() fwd { return m_member; } // Thanks in part to P2666 "Last use optimization"
};
template<typename T> class MyClass {
   auto&& get(this fwd MyClass self) { return self.m_member; } // Does not need a special rule
};
```

### Passing arrays by value

#### To reduce confusion and increase WYSIWYG:

### Reassessing using using to declare type sets.

- The reason for a new keyword was to be able to disambiguate a dependent name as a **type\_set**.
- But: Parsing does not need to know if a dependent name is a type or a type set.
- We could drop <>, at the cost of loosing in-line type set feature:

```
using floats = float, double, const double&;
template<typename T> using fwd = T, const T&, T&&;
auto sin(<float, double, const long double&> a);
```