Columbia Asia Healthcare Sdn Bhd and another *v* Hong Hin Kit Edward and another and other suits [2014] SGHC 83

Case Number : Suits No 861 and 862 of 2008 and 964 of 2009

Decision Date : 22 April 2014
Tribunal/Court : High Court
Coram : Woo Bih Li J

Counsel Name(s): Harish Kumar and Jonathan Toh (Rajah & Tann LLP) and Troy Yeo (Troy Yeo &

Co) for the plaintiffs in Suit 964 and for the defendants in Suits 861 and 862; Niru Pillai and Liew Teck Huat (Global Law Alliance LLC) for the defendants in Suit 964 and for the third parties in Suits 861 and 862; Michael Khoo SC and Ong Lee

Woei (Michael Khoo & Partners) for the plaintiffs in Suits 861 and 862.

Parties : Columbia Asia Healthcare Sdn Bhd − P T Nusautama Medicalindo − Edward Hong

Hin Kit — Albert Hong Hin Kay

Contract - Breach

Contract - Remedies - Damages

Contract - Privity of Contract - Contracts (Rights of Third Parties) Act

[Editorial note: This is the supplementary judgment to the main judgment reported at [2014] 3 SLR 87.]

22 April 2014

Woo Bih Li J:

- I refer to my judgment dated 10 April 2014 ("the Judgment"). It has come to my attention that I should make some formal orders in response to the prayers for relief in the three actions mentioned in the Judgment, although the outcomes are obvious from the Judgment.
- 2 For the avoidance of doubt, I state the following in addition to the Judgment. The definitions therein will apply here as well.

Suit 964

- There is no need for a formal order in respect of the first prayer of the claim by Columbia for damages to be assessed for over-payment for the Sale Shares and/or the diminution in value of PTNM and/or the Sale Shares and/or receiving title to the Land that is encumbered as I have already granted Columbia the costs of cure to be assessed for the Encumbrance Issue.
- 4 The second prayer of the claim by Columbia is addressed by the orders in the Judgment.
- 5 I dismiss all the Hongs' prayers for relief in their counterclaim in Suit 964.

Suit 861

- 6 I dismiss PTNM's counterclaim for damages for allegedly defective servers.
- I make no order on the first prayer of the claim against the Hongs in the third party action which is a prayer for a declaration that the claims of Thermal Industries are within the meaning of "Liabilities" as defined in s 2.1.24 of the SSA. The first prayer is unnecessary in the light of the orders made in the Judgment.
- 8 The second prayer of the claim against the Hongs is already addressed substantively by the orders made in the Judgment.
- 9 I dismiss the third prayer for a declaration that Thermal Industries is the *alter ego* of Edward Hong. As stated in the Judgment, Thermal Industries is entitled to make its claim whether or not it is controlled by Edward Hong.
- 10 I dismiss the Hongs' counterclaim for an order rectifying s 2.1.24 of the SSA.

Suit 862

- I make no order on the first prayer of the claim against the Hongs in the third party action which is a prayer for a declaration that the claims of Thermal International are within the meaning of "Liabilities" in s 2.1.24 of the SSA. The first prayer is unnecessary in the light of the orders made in the Judgment.
- 12 The second prayer of the claim against the Hongs is already addressed substantively by the orders made in the Judgment.
- I dismiss the third prayer for a declaration that Thermal International is the *alter ego* of Edward Hong.
- 14 I dismiss the Hongs' counterclaim for an order rectifying s 2.1.24 of the SSA.

General

15 I amend the beginning of [356(c)] of the Judgment to read as follows:

"In respect of the Tax Exposure Issue and the Inflated Revenue Issue, ..." (amendments underlined).

16 This supplementary judgment is to take effect from the date of the Judgment.

 ${\bf Copyright} \ @ \ {\bf Government} \ {\bf of} \ {\bf Singapore}.$