

**IN THE GENERAL DIVISION OF
THE HIGH COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF SINGAPORE**

[2021] SGHC 171

Suit No 1154 of 2018

Between

- (1) Puspa Sinnappa
- (2) Jothi Puthaluan

(Both as the personal representatives and
administrators of the estate of Puthalvan
Vadiveloo)

... Plaintiffs

And

- (1) Balasingam s/o Rengasamy
- (2) Yeoh Brothers Services Pte
Ltd t/a Aero Supply Chartered
Bus & Transport Trading

... Defendants

JUDGMENT

[Damages] — [Assessment]

[Damages] — [Death]

[Damages] — [Measure of damages] — [Personal injuries cases]

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION.....	1
ESTATE CLAIM.....	2
GENERAL DAMAGES FOR PAIN AND SUFFERING	2
DEPENDENCY CLAIM.....	3
MULTIPLICAND	10
CONCLUSION.....	17
ANNEX A.....	19
ANNEX B.....	21
ANNEX B1.....	24
ANNEX C.....	25
ANNEX C1.....	28
ANNEX D.....	30
ANNEX D1.....	33
ANNEX E.....	35

This judgment is subject to final editorial corrections approved by the court and/or redaction pursuant to the publisher's duty in compliance with the law, for publication in LawNet and/or the Singapore Law Reports.

**Puspa Sinnappa (personal representative and administrator of
the estate of Puthalvan Vadiveloo, deceased) and another**

v

Balasingam s/o Rengasamy and another

[2021] SGHC 171

General Division of the High Court — Suit No 1154 of 2018

Andrew Ang SJ

27–30 October 2020, 22 January, 21 April, 17 May, 2 July 2021, 6 July 2021

6 July 2021

Judgment reserved.

Andrew Ang SJ:

Introduction

1 This was an estate and dependency claim brought by the 1st and 2nd Plaintiffs (the wife and daughter of the deceased and co-administrators of his estate)¹ arising from a road accident that took place on 23 November 2015. The accident involved the Defendants' motor vehicle and a motorcycle under the control of the deceased ("the Deceased"). The 1st Defendant was the driver of the vehicle which was owned by the 2nd Defendant.² Unfortunately, the accident caused the death of the Deceased, who was the owner and rider,³ and injured the 1st Plaintiff, who was riding pillion. The 1st Plaintiff has

¹ Statement of Claim at para 1.

² Statement of Claim at para 2.

³ Affidavit of Evidence-in-chief of Puspa Sinnappa at para 4.

commenced a separate action in the State Court for damages arising from her personal injury.

2 In regard to liability, a consent interlocutory judgment was entered on 10 October 2019 with the Defendants agreeing to bear 85% liability and the estate accepting 15% on account of contributory negligence.⁴

3 It has fallen to me to assess the quantum of damages as well as to fix interest and costs.

Estate claim

4 Special damages covering medical expenses, funeral associated expenses and those incurred in taking out probate have been agreed. Interest thereon shall be at the rate of $\frac{1}{2}$ of 5.33% from date of accident to date of judgment.

General damages for pain and suffering

5 The Deceased was taken to Ng Teng Fong General Hospital following the accident. Upon arrival, he was unconscious with a Glasgow Coma Scale of 3. He was noted to be bleeding from the nose and there was an obvious depressed skull fracture.⁵

6 There were other extensive injuries but it is not necessary for me to itemise them. The deceased was alive for a little over a day before he died. On the basis that with a Glasgow Coma Scale of 3 the Deceased would not have

⁴ HC/JUD 551/2019.

⁵ Affidavit of Evidence-in-chief of Dr Lee Eng Kiang, p 6.

felt pain, the Defendants submitted that an award of \$2,500 would be fair.⁶ The Plaintiffs, on the other hand submitted that pain and suffering included fright reaction on the part of the Deceased. They also submitted, on the authority of the Court of Appeal decision in *Tan Kok Lam (next friend to Teng Eng) v Hong Choon Peng* [2001] 1 SLR(R) 786, that an award should be made for the loss of amenities as that was an objective fact regardless of whether the Deceased was able to appreciate the loss. Accordingly, they submitted that an award of \$4,000 for pain and suffering and loss of amenities would not be unfair.⁷ I agree.

7 Interest on the general damages (although negligible) will run from date of service of writ to date of judgment at the rate of 5.33% per annum.

Dependency claim

8 The statutory basis for a dependency claim is in section 20 of the Civil Law Act (Cap 43, 1999 Rev Ed). Sections 20(1) and 20(2) provide as follows:

Right of action for wrongful act causing death

20.—(1) If death is caused by any wrongful act, neglect or default which is such as would (if death has not ensued) have entitled the person injured to maintain an action and recover damages in respect thereof, the person who would have been liable if death had not ensued shall be liable to an action for damages, notwithstanding the death of the person injured.

(2) Subject to section 21(2), every such action shall be for the benefit of the dependants of the person (referred to in this section and in sections 21 and 22 as the deceased) whose death has been so caused.

Section 22(1) goes on to provide that in every action brought under s 20, the court may award such damages as are proportioned to the losses resulting from

⁶ Defendants' written submissions at para 12.

⁷ Plaintiffs' opening statement at para 4.

the death to the dependants respectively. Section 22(1A) mandates that the court shall take into account any moneys or other benefits which the deceased would be likely to have given to the dependants by way of maintenance, gift, bequest or devise or which the dependants would likely have received by way of succession from the deceased, had the deceased lived beyond the date of the wrongful death.

9 The loss of dependency is normally assessed by the multiplier-multiplicand method. The annual value of the dependency (the multiplicand) is aggregated over the number of years that the dependency might reasonably be expected to last but discounted in recognition of the fact that a lump sum is being given up front instead of by way of periodical payments over the years (the multiplier).

10 The assessment of the multiplicand is done in one of two ways; the court may simply add together the value of benefits received by the dependants from the deceased (the “Traditional Method”) or deduct a percentage from the deceased’s annual income representing personal expenditure exclusive to himself (the “Percentage Deduction Method”), leaving the rest as being for the benefit of the family. The latter method has the advantage of avoiding tedious enquiry into numerous items of expenditure spent on the dependants but is inappropriate where the deceased was a high income earner and, therefore, having the means for discretionary personal expenditure. Happily, the parties are agreed on employing the Percentage Deduction Method.

11 In *Harris v Empress Motors Ltd* [1984] 1 WLR 212 (“*Harris v Empress Motors*”) (which was referred to by the Court of Appeal in *Ho Yeow Kim v Lai Hai Kuen* [1999] 1 SLR(R) 1068), the court held that the conventional figure for deduction for personal expenses where the family unit consists of a husband

and wife would be 33% – the rationale of this being the assumption that the husband and wife would spend $\frac{1}{3}$ of the income each and $\frac{1}{3}$ for their joint benefit; but where there are children, the conventional deduction would be 25% (*Harris v Empress Motors* at 217).

12 The percentages suggested in *Harris v Empress Motors* are merely a rule of thumb and should not be applied in a mechanistic manner. They should be departed from where the facts of the case warrant it. The Defendants submit that by reason of the fact that at the time of the accident the wife was working, the conventional percentage deductions of 25% and 33% attributable to the husband’s exclusively personal expenditure should be replaced by significantly higher percentages ranging from 40% to 50%.⁸ The effect is to reduce the quantum attributable to dependency. No explanation was offered as to how these higher percentages were derived. We shall return to this when dealing with the multiplicand.

13 The Deceased died at the age of 40 in the accident, leaving behind three dependants, namely, his wife and two daughters aged 45, 20 and 10 years at the time of the accident. The Deceased had been working as a Cell Leader in Honeywell Aerospace Singapore Pte Ltd (“Honeywell”) at its factory in Jurong.

14 He was a hardworking man whose life revolved around his family and his job with little time for other pursuits. Moreover, he was a frugal man who spent very little on himself. His wife managed his finances as she held the ATM card for the account into which his salary was paid. She gave him \$50 each time for his personal expenditure and when that ran out after a few days she would give him \$50 more. He ate breakfast and lunch at the Honeywell canteen at

⁸ Defendants’ written submissions at para 107 to para 108.

subsidised prices. For example, breakfast cost only \$1.20 and lunch, about \$3.⁹ Apart from expenses related to the motorcycle he used, there was little else he spent money on as he did not have any hobby and drank only very occasionally, such as during Deepavali with his brothers, but even then consumed little. He did not drink at home. It is little wonder that \$50 would last him a few days. Even for his haircut, he would want the wife to be with him. They did their shopping together in Malaysia for which she would exchange Singapore dollars withdrawn with his ATM card for Malaysian currency. In contrast to his meagre expenses, the two daughters cost much more to maintain because of their educational expenses. Prior to the accident, the elder daughter, the 2nd Plaintiff, was given \$500 each month to cover her meal expenses. In 2019, she enrolled for a part-time course of study leading to a Bachelor of Arts with Honours degree in Accounting and Finance with Coventry University in collaboration with PSB Academy. This cost \$20,186 in fees.¹⁰ The 1st Plaintiff gave evidence that the deceased would certainly have supported her through tertiary education. I have no reason to doubt that. In addition, the parents were paying a total of approximately \$190 in insurance premium on her behalf.¹¹

15 The second daughter, Darshana, had tuition in three subjects costing \$320 per week.¹² Her School Fees and Miscellaneous fees were \$400 per

⁹ NB: I am assuming that when the 1st Plaintiff said "...the lunch is in his own company which is subsidised. We only spend about \$3 a day", she was referring to \$3 for one person (Notes of Evidence (28 October 2020) page 16 line 22 to line 23).

¹⁰ Plaintiffs' Bundle of Documents (Vol 1) at page 234.

¹¹ Plaintiffs' Bundle of Documents (Vol 1) at page 255.

¹² Notes of Evidence (28 October 2020) page 21 line 18.

month.¹³ She also had a daily allowance of \$6 (which increased to \$10 when she reached Primary 5).¹⁴

16 The 1st Plaintiff and her husband had also taken out a residential property loan for which monthly instalments were \$1,475 per month for years 1 to 3 before increasing to \$1,556 in year 4. From documentary evidence produced, there was payment to Singapore Power of approximately \$149 per month and payment to Singtel of approximately \$330 per month.¹⁵ The latter seems high to me, but it might be for a package. In any case, this was not queried by the Defendants. One other item of expenditure which emerged in the cross examination of the 1st Plaintiff was the \$12,000 annual premium for an insurance policy which was purchased in Malaysia covering the Deceased, the 1st Plaintiff and the two daughters.¹⁶ The 1st Plaintiff did clarify that once the family acquired permanent residence status in Singapore, they would have to give up the policy. However, she also testified that they would have to take up insurance in Singapore once that happened.¹⁷

17 The foregoing is of course not a comprehensive itemisation, the Plaintiffs having sensibly opted to adopt the Percentage Deduction method. They largely came out in evidence as a result of cross-examination of the 1st Plaintiff. Nevertheless, they show that the household expenses and children's educational expenses were such that it was unlikely that on account of the wife's income, the Deceased could have spent more on himself even if he were so

¹³ Plaintiffs' Bundle of Documents (Vol 1) at page 249.

¹⁴ Notes of Evidence (27 October 2020) page 84 line 19 to line 21.

¹⁵ Plaintiffs' Bundle of Documents (Vol 1) at pages 254 and 256.

¹⁶ Notes of Evidence (28 October 2020) page 23 line 6.

¹⁷ Notes of Evidence (27 October 2020) page 53 line 27 to line 29.

inclined. Moreover, his frugality, the long hours that he spent at work and his lack of a hobby meant that he would not have required more than the conventional 25% for his exclusive use. Even the petrol and maintenance expenses for the motorcycle were family expenses, the vehicle being for the transportation of other family members as well. I am therefore of the view that at least until the elder daughter became financially independent the appropriate deduction is 25%. For subsequent dependency periods the wife's income should be taken into account, leading to increased percentage deduction as we shall see.

18 The Plaintiffs computed the working life of the Deceased as ending at age 70, whereas the Defendants submit that it should be only until age 65. I agree with the Plaintiffs for the reasons which follow.

19 In his 2019 National Day Rally speech on 18 August of that year, the Prime Minister announced that the retirement age and re-employment age will be raised by gradual steps to 65 and 70 respectively by the year 2030. This increase would have been implemented well before the Deceased would have reached retirement age. The evidence of Ms Chong Wan Juen ("Ms Chong"), the Human Resource Manager at Honeywell, was that Honeywell currently offers re-employment beyond the statutory retirement age of 62 up to the age of 67, subject to a health check and a performance rating of 5. It is reasonable to assume that Honeywell's re-employment policy would in due course be adjusted in line with later Government policy. It is also reasonable to assume that the Deceased, who had consistently attained a performance rating of at least 5, would qualify.

20 There is no basis to question his ability to pass a health check, there being no evidence to suggest otherwise. Indeed his wife testified that he was in good health. In any case, any possibility that he might in the future fall into ill

health would already be taken into account in the multiplier for the vicissitudes of life. A further consideration which suggests that, but for the accident, the Deceased would have worked for as long as he was permitted to is the reality that, given the life expectancy in Singapore, not many people can confidently retire and depend for the rest of their lives on their CPF savings. I therefore accept that it is not unreasonable to assume that the Deceased would have worked until he attained the age of 70 years.

21 The remaining working life of the Deceased had he not suffered the wrongful death is therefore 30 years. However, to arrive at the multiplier, this figure has to be discounted to take into account the benefit of receiving a lump sum payment in advance and the vicissitudes of life. With the introduction of actuarial tables in amendments to the Supreme Court Practice Directions, multipliers can be arrived at which more realistically reflect the discounted value of an accelerated receipt of compensation and the vicissitudes of life. If the actuarial tables were to apply in the present case, the multiplier would be 21.6 years. However, the tables may only be used in proceedings that are heard on or after 1 April 2021. Unfortunately for the Plaintiffs, the present case falls on the wrong side of that cut off date.

22 The plaintiffs submit that an 18-year multiplier should apply whereas the defendants propose 15 years. I am of the view that 18 years would be fair. It implies an embedded rate of return of nearly 4% per annum which, though high would be closer to reality than the annual rate of return of more than 5% which the multiplier of 15 years implies.

Multiplicand

23 The multiplicand is based on the available annual income post-tax and after deduction of employee's CPF contributions for the year, as this is the income from which the dependants would receive their financial support. From this resultant figure, there has to be deducted a percentage thereof representing expenditure exclusively personal to the deceased.

24 As the annual income would not be static throughout the putative working life of the deceased, salary increments and promotions (if any) would also have to be taken into account.

25 I have studied the Plaintiffs' computation of the annual income which the Deceased may reasonably be expected to earn had he not died prematurely as a result of the accident and have the following comments:

(a) The computation builds in an annual increment of 3% in the base salary. This is supported by the evidence of Ms Chong, the Human Resource Manager. On the other hand, the Defendants' suggestion of 2.7% is not supported by the evidence. Referring to Plaintiffs' Exhibit P7 at page 6 (on guidelines for the recommended variable bonus and merit increment range for employees), counsel for the Defendants suggested that the increment should be the mean between 2.1% and 3.3% i.e. 2.7%.¹⁸ However that same chart also showed that the "Average Guidance" would be 95% of the country merit increment budget. On the basis of a modest budget of 3% taken as an example, the deceased's increment would already be 95% of 3% i.e. 2.85%. (It should be noted that for the years 2015 to 2019 the country merit increment

¹⁸ Defendant's written submissions (9 December 2020) at para 63.

budget ranged from 3% in 2018 and 2019 to 4% in 2015 with 2016 and 2017 having a budget of 3.5%. The budget for 2020 was 0% but this was an exceptional year caused by the COVID-19 pandemic). If the country budget was just a little higher at 3.16%, the increment that the Deceased would get would be 3%. Hence the estimate of 3% given by the employer's representative is reasonable.

(b) Ms Chong also opined that the Deceased would be eligible for a promotion in the course of his career with the employer. However, if the Deceased opted for such promotion, he would have to forgo earning overtime pay. Since overtime pay constitutes a large part of the annual income, and on the basis that the Deceased would have preferred to continue earning overtime to support the family and to pay mortgage instalments for the HDB apartment that they had moved into just about a month before the fatal accident, the Plaintiffs' computation omits any reference to a promotion. In contrast, the Defendants assume that the Deceased would be promoted by year 2023,¹⁹ thereby very substantially reducing the income which the Deceased would be expected to earn during his working life. In my view, this is an unreasonable assumption. The Deceased cannot be expected to opt for a promotion which would in effect drastically cut down his earnings such that his ability to support the family would be seriously compromised. However, I do not consider it reasonable to assume that the Deceased would continue to clock up the same overtime hours throughout his career. Accordingly, I would build in a $\frac{1}{3}$ reduction in his overtime hours at, say, age 55 years and a further $\frac{1}{3}$ reduction at say age 60. I do not apply a final $\frac{1}{3}$ reduction at

¹⁹ Defendant's written submissions at para 78.

age 65 because by then the wife will have retired, having attained 70 years of age, leaving him as the sole bread winner.

(c) Another item that requires determination is the variable bonus. Variable bonuses for the years 2016 to 2020 can be derived from actual site achievements in the years 2015 to 2019, using the chart at the Plaintiffs' Exhibit P7 at page 6. For the years 2021 to 2025, the Defendants propose an average site achievement of 30%.²⁰ On that basis, the Deceased would be credited with a variable bonus of 30% of the maximum of 2.5 months' bonus *ie*, 0.75 months. Whilst I accept that the COVID-19 pandemic would have an impact on the employer's performance, it is overly pessimistic to assume that the pandemic would have an adverse effect throughout the 5-year period. I would increase the average achievement to 40%.

(i) For years 2026 onwards, possibly erring on the side of caution, I would allow an average achievement of 60% on the assumption that for every good year which achieves 90%, there will be a bad year which achieves 30% performance.

26 I now consider the 1st Plaintiff's income. She earned much less than the Deceased. This was estimated by the Defendants to be 39.4% of the Deceased's take-home pay at the time of the accident.²¹ From 2000 to 2006 the 1st Plaintiff was working for STATS ChipPAC Industries. Sometime in 2006 she joined Honeywell as a quality technician, but from 2010 to 2011 she stayed home to look after the second daughter because of difficulty in employing a helper. In 2012 she joined Leica for about 13 months doing documentation for incoming

²⁰ Defendant's written submissions at para 89.

²¹ Defendant's written submissions at para 98.

parts. In 2013 she left to join Ciba Vision but left after 4 months to undergo knee surgery in Malaysia followed by a break of 8 months for recuperation. In 2014 she joined Eaton Industries as a quality technician.²² Owing to injuries she sustained in the accident which took her husband's life, she lost her job in 2016. In August 2018 she managed to secure a job in SATS Ltd ("SATS") but owing to COVID-19 which severely affected the aviation industry, the number of hours she worked at SATS were reduced. To supplement her income, she found employment at Sim Ley Pte Ltd as a Quality Inspector. Unfortunately, that did not last long. She then took up a job at the Singapore Expo to look after COVID-19 patients so as to provide for the family as the sole breadwinner.

27 Under cross-examination the 1st Plaintiff was asked whether she agreed that once the children became independent in the future, there would be less motivation for the Deceased to work the same long hours. She disagreed and reiterated that she would become a full-time housewife at some point.²³ She had earlier testified that just two weeks before the accident, she had discussed with her husband the question of her retirement and he had promised her that he would make her a housewife. The Defendants suggested to her that to enjoy the later years of their lives the husband would then not work as many hours as he did before. Her reply was that if he did not, they would not be able to "afford to go for holiday". Moreover, with everything becoming more expensive and their having to take up insurance in Singapore, if the husband did not work hard, they would not be able to afford "all those thing[sic] in Singapore" (referring to medical bills and insurance).²⁴ Counsel for the Defendants persisted and sought the 1st Plaintiff's agreement that the husband would work a reduced number of

²² Notes of Evidence (27 October 2020) pages 21 to 24.

²³ Notes of Evidence (28 October 2020) page 41 line 15 to line 16.

²⁴ Notes of Evidence (27 October 2020) page 53 line 25 to line 31.

hours. She replied in effect that unlike in the past when commuting between Malaysia and Singapore each day would entail three hours of travelling time, from their flat in Singapore it would take only five minutes to get to work.²⁵ She also mentioned that previously they had to leave home at 5.30am, arriving at the factory at 7.00am. In short, therefore, living in Singapore near the place of work meant not only that the husband spent much less time travelling to work, but also that he did not have to wake up at before 5.30am.

28 I stated earlier that after the elder daughter became independent, the wife's income should be taken into account when determining the percentage deduction attributed to the deceased husband's personal expenses. Although the wife gave evidence of her intention of eventually becoming a full-time housewife, I am disinclined to believe that she would stop working given the financial needs of the family. How then should we compute the dependency? A principled approach was applied in the decision in *Coward v Comex Houlder Diving Ltd* (18 July 1988, Court of Appeal) (UK) ("*Coward v Comex*"). Under this method, the wife's income is pooled together with the husband's and it is taken that he would have spent 25% of that pooled income on himself (or $\frac{1}{3}$ where there was no dependent child). The balance of the husband's income after allowing for his increased expenses would then constitute the amount of dependency.

29 The wife's income at the time of the accident was 39.4% (rounded up to 40%) of the husband's take home pay. For lack of any evidence as to her income going forward, I shall assume that she would continue to take home 40% of the husband's take home pay.

²⁵ Notes of Evidence (27 October 2020) page 54 line 6 to line 12.

30 Applying the *Coward v Comex* method, the dependency where there is a dependent child works out to be 65%. This is derived as shown below.

(a) Let husband's take home pay be H. The wife's would then be $4/10H$ and the combined take-home pay would be $14/10H$.

(b) Applying the conventional 25% to the combined income, the husband's personal expenditure = $25/100$ of $14/10H = \frac{1}{4} \times 14/10H = 7/20H$. Therefore, the dependency = $20 - 7/20H = 65\%$ of H.

(c) Applying the same method of computation, we arrive at a figure of 53.33% dependency where there are no dependent children.

31 The multiplicand is not one constant figure throughout. Apart from the provision for increments and the staged reduction of overtime, the dependency also varies according to whether there is income contribution by the wife and whether there is any dependent child.

32 It is therefore necessary to divide dependency into four tranches:

(a) The first 5 years at 75% dependency (*ie*, not taking the wife's income contribution into account for the reasons mentioned in [17] above).

(b) The next 7 years at 65% dependency.

(c) Thereafter, until the Deceased (but for the accident) would have reached the age of 65 years, at 53.33% dependency.

(d) Thereafter, until the Deceased would have reached the age of 70 years at 66.66%. This is because the wife (5 years older than he) would have stopped working when she attained 70 years of age.

33 In regard to the overtime hours, I assume that at age 55 years the deceased would have shed $\frac{1}{3}$ of his overtime hours and that 5 years down the road he would further shed a similar number of hours. As I said earlier, I do not provide for a final reduction of the remaining overtime hours because the wife would have stopped working at 70 years, leaving him as the sole breadwinner.

34 The foregoing guide-posts for the computation of the dependency claim were communicated to counsel at a hearing on 21 April 2021 and further clarified on 17 May and 2 July 2021.

35 I am grateful to counsel for the Plaintiffs for working out the rather involved computation and to counsel for the Defendants for reviewing the same. The entire set of tables from which the computations were derived are annexed hereto as Annexes A to E.

36 Based on the tables, the following are the four tranches of dependency awarded on the basis of 100% liability:

For the 1st tranche of 5 years	\$147,551.91
For the 2nd tranche of 7 years	\$211,976.19
For the 3rd tranche of 13 years	\$369,784.78
For the 4th tranche of 5 years	\$220,553.99
Total	\$949,866.87
Add 53.33% of loss of CPF (\$356,813.31 x 53.33% x 18/30)	\$114,173.12
Total dependency claim based on 100% liability	<u>\$1,064,039.99</u>

37 Interest on pre-trial loss of dependency is computed as shown below:

Loss of dependency from date of death up to date of hearing	\$274,713.70
--	--------------

Interest at ½ of 5.33% per annum	\$41,245.20
----------------------------------	-------------

I do not consider it appropriate to award interest on the pre-trial loss of CPF as the CPF benefit would not be enjoyed until much later in retirement.

Conclusion

38 In the result the following are awarded on a 100% liability basis.

Agreed funeral associated expenses	\$7,400.00
Agreed probate expenses	\$5,247.00
Bereavement	\$15,000.00
Total	<u>\$27,647.00</u>
Interest at ½ of 5.33% per annum from date of accident to date of judgment	\$4,142.18
Total including interest	<u>\$31,789.18</u>

General Damages	\$4,000.00
Interest from date service of writ to date of judgment at 5.33% per annum	\$564.19

Loss of dependency and CPF	\$1,064,039.99
Interest on pre-trial loss of dependency	\$41,245.20

Total of the damages and interest	<u>\$1,141,638.56</u>
-----------------------------------	-----------------------

(At 85% liability on account of contributory negligence)	<u>\$970,392.78</u>
--	---------------------

39 I will hear the parties on costs.

Andrew Ang
Senior Judge

Raj Singh Shergill and Sonia Lim (Lee Shergill LLP) for the
plaintiffs;
Shahira Binte Mohd Anuar and Teo Weng Kie (Tan Kok Quan
Partnership) for the defendants.

Annex A

DIFFERENT TRANCHES OF MULTIPLIER CALCULATED BASED ON TABLE OF DISPOSABLE INCOME LESS CPF & INCOME TAX

<u>Calculation based 1st period of 5 years</u> <u>Deceased's age 41 to 45</u>		<u>Calculation based 2nd period of 7 years</u> <u>Deceased's age 46 to 52</u>		<u>Calculation based 3rd period of 13 years</u> <u>Deceased's age 53 to 65</u>		<u>Calculation based 4th period of 5 years</u> <u>Deceased's age 66 to 70</u>	
Total disposable income for the 1st 5 years	\$327,893.14	Total disposable income for the next 7 years	\$543,528.70	Total disposable income for the next 13 years	\$1,155,649.67	Total disposable income for the next 5 years	\$551,384.98
Average disposable income for the 1st 5 years	\$65,578.63	Average disposable income for the next 7 years	\$77,646.96	Average disposable income for the next 13 years	\$88,896.13	Average disposable income for the next 5 years	\$110,277.00
At 75%	\$49,183.97	At 65%	\$50,470.52	At 53.33%	\$47,408.31	At 2/3	\$73,518.00
5/30 x 18 years x \$49,183.97	\$147,551.91	7/30 x 18 years x \$50,470.52	\$211,976.19	13/30 x 18 years x \$47,408.31	\$369,784.78	5/30 x 18 years x \$72,139.68	\$220,553.99

Hence, total Dependency Claim at \$147,551.91 + \$211,976.19 + \$369,784.78 + \$220,553.99 :
Add 53.55% of CPF balance used for joint benefit (\$356,813.31 x 18/30 x 53.33%) :

At 100%
\$949,866.87
\$114,173.12
\$1,064,039.99

Puspa Sinnappa v Balasingam s/o Rengasamy

[2021] SGHC 171

Puspa Sinnappa v Balasingam s/o Rengasamy

[2021] SGHC 171

Annex B

COMPUTATION OF DECEASED'S DISPOSABLE INCOME LESS CPF & INCOME TAX

			(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)
Years of work after death	Year	Age of deceased	Basic salary per month (applying a 3% increment each year) *(see Explanatory Note 3)	Annual basic salary being aggregate of (a) x 12 months	Overtime pay per month (applying a 3% increment each year)	Annual overtime pay being aggregate of (c) x 12 months	AWS 1 month + variable bonus *(see Explanatory Note 4)	Annual medical benefits for the Deceased and immediate family at \$1,700 per year	Total annual OW (Ordinary Wages) being aggregate of (b) and (d)	Total annual AW (Additional Wages) being aggregate of (e) and (f)	Total annual gross income for the year being the aggregate of (g) and (h)	Deceased's annual CPF contribution (OW+AW) *(see Computation of Deceased's CPF)	Deceased's Income Tax Payable *(see Computation of Deceased's Income Tax)	Deceased's Disposable Income for the year being (i) less (j) and (k)
0	2015 (year of death)	40	\$2,984.54 *(see Explanatory Note 1)		\$2,573.37 *(see Explanatory Note 2)									
1	2016	41	\$3,074.08	\$36,888.91	\$2,650.57	\$31,806.85	\$9,789.02	\$1,700.00	\$68,695.77	\$11,489.02	\$80,184.79	\$16,036.96	\$1,610.35	\$62,537.48
2	2017	42	\$3,166.30	\$37,995.58	\$2,730.09	\$32,761.06	\$10,063.48	\$1,700.00	\$70,756.64	\$11,763.48	\$82,520.12	\$16,504.02	\$1,741.13	\$64,274.97
3	2018	43	\$3,261.29	\$39,135.45	\$2,811.99	\$33,743.89	\$9,989.40	\$1,700.00	\$72,879.34	\$11,689.40	\$84,568.74	\$16,737.88	\$1,868.16	\$65,962.70
4	2019	44	\$3,359.13	\$40,309.51	\$2,896.35	\$34,756.21	\$9,799.91	\$1,700.00	\$75,065.72	\$11,499.91	\$86,565.63	\$16,699.98	\$2,010.60	\$67,855.05
5	2020	45	\$3,459.90	\$41,518.80	\$2,983.24	\$35,798.89	\$6,189.08	\$1,700.00	\$77,317.69	\$7,889.08	\$85,206.77	\$15,977.82	\$1,966.03	\$67,262.93
6	2021	46	\$3,563.70	\$42,764.36	\$3,072.74	\$36,872.86	\$7,023.46	\$1,700.00	\$79,637.22	\$8,723.46	\$88,360.68	\$16,144.69	\$2,455.12	\$69,760.87
7	2022	47	\$3,670.61	\$44,047.29	\$3,164.92	\$37,979.05	\$7,234.16	\$1,700.00	\$82,026.34	\$8,934.16	\$90,960.50	\$16,186.83	\$2,634.16	\$72,139.51
8	2023	48	\$3,780.73	\$45,368.71	\$3,259.87	\$39,118.42	\$7,451.19	\$1,700.00	\$84,487.13	\$9,151.19	\$93,638.32	\$16,230.24	\$2,818.57	\$74,589.51
9	2024	49	\$3,894.15	\$46,729.77	\$3,357.66	\$40,291.97	\$7,674.72	\$1,700.00	\$87,021.74	\$9,374.72	\$96,396.47	\$16,274.94	\$3,008.51	\$77,113.01
10	2025	50	\$4,010.97	\$48,131.67	\$3,458.39	\$41,500.73	\$7,904.96	\$1,700.00	\$89,632.40	\$9,604.96	\$99,237.36	\$16,320.99	\$3,204.15	\$79,712.22
11	2026	51	\$4,131.30	\$49,575.62	\$3,562.15	\$42,745.75	\$10,147.52	\$1,700.00	\$92,321.37	\$11,847.52	\$104,168.89	\$16,769.50	\$3,625.93	\$83,773.45
12	2027	52	\$4,255.24	\$51,062.88	\$3,669.01	\$44,028.12	\$10,451.94	\$1,700.00	\$95,091.01	\$12,151.94	\$107,242.95	\$16,830.39	\$3,972.44	\$86,440.12
13	2028	53	\$4,382.90	\$52,594.77	\$3,779.08	\$45,348.97	\$10,765.50	\$1,700.00	\$97,943.74	\$12,465.50	\$110,409.24	\$16,893.10	\$4,789.36	\$88,726.78
14	2029	54	\$4,514.38	\$54,172.61	\$3,892.45	\$46,709.44	\$11,088.47	\$1,700.00	\$100,882.05	\$12,788.47	\$113,670.52	\$16,957.69	\$5,156.97	\$91,555.85
15	2030	55	\$4,649.82	\$55,797.79	\$2,672.82	\$32,073.79	\$11,421.12	\$1,700.00	\$87,871.58	\$13,121.12	\$100,992.70	\$17,024.22	\$3,207.79	\$80,760.69
16	2031	56	\$4,789.31	\$57,471.73	\$2,753.00	\$33,035.97	\$11,763.76	\$1,700.00	\$90,507.70	\$13,463.76	\$103,971.46	\$15,810.79	\$3,598.48	\$84,562.18
17	2032	57	\$4,932.99	\$59,195.88	\$2,835.59	\$34,027.08	\$12,116.67	\$1,700.00	\$93,222.96	\$13,816.67	\$107,039.63	\$15,876.08	\$3,943.81	\$87,219.74
18	2033	58	\$5,080.98	\$60,971.75	\$2,920.66	\$35,047.93	\$12,480.17	\$1,700.00	\$96,019.69	\$14,180.17	\$110,199.86	\$15,943.33	\$4,299.50	\$89,957.03

19	2034	59	\$5,233.41	\$62,800.91	\$3,008.28	\$36,099.36	\$12,854.57	\$1,700.00	\$98,900.27	\$14,554.57	\$113,454.84	\$16,012.60	\$4,665.86	\$92,776.38
20	2035	60	\$5,390.41	\$64,684.93	\$1,549.26	\$18,591.17	\$13,240.21	\$1,700.00	\$83,276.11	\$14,940.21	\$98,216.32	\$16,083.94	\$2,939.27	\$79,193.11
21	2036	61	\$5,552.12	\$66,625.48	\$1,595.74	\$19,148.89	\$13,637.42	\$1,700.00	\$85,774.38	\$15,337.42	\$101,111.79	\$10,698.83	\$3,627.49	\$86,785.47
22	2037	62	\$5,718.69	\$68,624.25	\$1,643.61	\$19,723.35	\$14,046.54	\$1,700.00	\$88,347.59	\$15,746.54	\$104,094.13	\$10,748.95	\$3,964.70	\$89,380.49
23	2038	63	\$5,890.25	\$70,682.97	\$1,692.92	\$20,315.06	\$14,467.94	\$1,700.00	\$90,998.04	\$16,167.94	\$107,165.97	\$10,800.57	\$4,312.02	\$92,053.38
24	2039	64	\$6,066.96	\$72,803.46	\$1,743.71	\$20,924.53	\$14,901.97	\$1,700.00	\$93,728.00	\$16,601.97	\$110,329.97	\$10,853.74	\$4,669.77	\$94,806.46
25	2040	65	\$6,248.96	\$74,987.57	\$1,796.02	\$21,552.26	\$15,349.03	\$1,700.00	\$96,539.82	\$17,049.03	\$113,588.86	\$10,908.51	\$4,808.24	\$97,872.11
26	2041	66	\$6,436.43	\$77,237.19	\$1,849.90	\$22,198.81	\$15,809.50	\$1,700.00	\$99,436.00	\$17,509.50	\$116,945.51	\$6,265.67	\$5,728.18	\$104,951.66
27	2042	67	\$6,629.53	\$79,554.31	\$1,905.40	\$22,864.76	\$16,283.79	\$1,700.00	\$102,419.07	\$17,983.79	\$120,402.86	\$6,298.87	\$6,121.96	\$107,982.04
28	2043	68	\$6,828.41	\$81,940.94	\$1,962.56	\$23,550.70	\$16,772.30	\$1,700.00	\$105,491.64	\$18,472.30	\$123,963.95	\$6,333.06	\$6,527.55	\$111,103.33
29	2044	69	\$7,033.26	\$84,399.17	\$2,021.44	\$24,257.24	\$17,275.47	\$1,700.00	\$108,656.41	\$18,975.47	\$127,631.88	\$6,368.28	\$6,945.31	\$114,318.28
30	2045	70	\$7,244.26	\$86,931.14	\$2,082.08	\$24,984.96	\$17,793.74	\$1,700.00	\$111,916.10	\$19,493.74	\$131,409.84	\$6,404.56	\$11,975.61	\$113,029.67
													Total :	\$2,578,456.49

Explanatory Notes:

1. Based on Deceased's last drawn salary "\$2,984.54" (see PBOD 132)
2. Deceased's average monthly OT pay "\$2,573.37" (see PBOD pg 136 & 137). From age 55 to 59, Deceased earns 2/3 of overtime and from 60 years onwards, Deceased earns 1/3 overtime
3. PW3 projected the Deceased's wage increments at 3% per year had he continued in his employment with Honeywell (see NE 29 Oct pg 17:10-17, pg 18:5-8; NE 29 Oct pg 52:6-10, pg 55:1-13)
4. Based on annual (on-target) variable bonus opportunity at 2.5 months (see PBOD vol III pg 766; NE 29 Oct pg 19:17 to 20:25). On site achievements for year 2016 to 2020 are as per the actual site achievements tabulated at P7 pg 6, for year 2021 to 2025 at 40% and from year 2026 onwards at 60% of the site achievements.

Annex B1

COMPUTATION OF DECEASED'S PRE-TRIAL DISPOSABLE INCOME LESS CPF & INCOME TAX (FOR INTEREST)

			(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)
Years of work after death	Year	Age of deceased	Basic salary per month (applying a 3% increment each year) *(see Explanatory Note 3)	Annual basic salary being aggregate of (a) x 12 months	Overtime pay per month (applying a 3% increment each year)	Annual overtime pay being aggregate of (c) x 12 months	AWs 1 month + variable bonus *(see Explanatory Note 4)	Annual medical benefits for the Deceased and immediate family at \$1,700 per year	Total annual OW (Ordinary Wages) being aggregate of (b) and (d)	Total annual AW (Additional Wages) being aggregate of (e) and (f)	Total annual gross income for the year being the aggregate of (g) and (h)	Deceased's annual CPF contribution (OW+AW) *(see Computation of Deceased's CPF)	Deceased's Income Tax Payable *(see Computation of Deceased's Income Tax)	Deceased's Disposable Income for the year being (i) less (j) and (k)	%	Deceased's Disposable Income for the year at 75% & 65% respectively
0	24.11.15 (date of death)	40	\$2,984.54	\$2,984.54	\$2,573.37	\$2,573.37	\$2,984.54	\$141.67	\$5,557.91	\$3,126.21	\$8,684.12	\$1,736.82	\$0.00	\$6,947.29	75%	\$5,210.47
1	2016	41	\$3,074.08	\$36,888.91	\$2,650.57	\$31,806.85	\$9,789.02	\$1,700.00	\$68,695.77	\$11,489.02	\$80,184.79	\$16,036.96	\$1,610.35	\$62,537.48	75%	\$46,903.11
2	2017	42	\$3,166.30	\$37,995.58	\$2,730.09	\$32,761.06	\$10,063.48	\$1,700.00	\$70,756.64	\$11,763.48	\$82,520.12	\$16,504.02	\$1,741.13	\$64,274.97	75%	\$48,206.23
3	2018	43	\$3,261.29	\$39,135.45	\$2,811.99	\$33,743.89	\$9,989.40	\$1,700.00	\$72,879.34	\$11,689.40	\$84,568.74	\$16,737.88	\$1,868.16	\$65,962.70	75%	\$49,472.03
4	2019	44	\$3,359.13	\$40,309.51	\$2,896.35	\$34,756.21	\$9,799.91	\$1,700.00	\$75,065.72	\$11,499.91	\$86,565.63	\$16,699.98	\$2,010.60	\$67,855.05	75%	\$50,891.29
5	2020	45	\$3,459.90	\$41,518.80	\$2,983.24	\$35,798.89	\$6,189.08	\$1,700.00	\$77,317.69	\$7,889.08	\$85,206.77	\$15,977.82	\$1,966.03	\$67,262.93	75%	\$50,447.20
6	Up to 02.07.21	46	\$3,563.70	\$21,612.12	\$3,072.74	\$18,634.67	\$3,459.76	\$852.33	\$40,246.79	\$4,312.09	\$44,558.88	\$8,139.84	\$136.93	\$36,282.11	65%	\$23,583.37
Total :														\$371,122.55		\$274,713.70

Explanatory Notes:

- Based on Deceased's last drawn salary "\$2,984.54" (see PBOD 132)
- Deceased's average monthly OT pay "\$2,573.37" (see PBOD pg 136 & 137). From age 55 to 59, Deceased earns 2/3 of overtime and from 60 years onwards, Deceased earns 1/3 overtime
- PW3 projected the Deceased's wage increments at 3% per year had he continued in his employment with Honeywell (see NE 29 Oct pg 17:10-17, pg 18:5-8; NE 29 Oct pg 52:6-10, pg 55:1-13)
- Based on annual (on-target) variable bonus opportunity at 2.5 months (see PBOD vol III pg 766; NE 29 Oct pg 19:17 to 20:25). On site achievements for year 2016 to 2020 are as per the actual site achievements tabulated at P7 pg 6, for year 2021 to 2025 at 40% and from year 2026 onwards at 60% of the site achievements.
- Calculation for year 2015 is taken from December 2015 (as deceased was paid for the month of November 2015) and calculation for year 21 is from 01.01.2021 to 02.07.2021.

Puspa Sinnappa v Balasingam s/o Rengasamy

[2021] SGHC 171

Annex C

COMPUTATION OF DECEASED'S CPF

			(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)	(s)	(t)	(u)	(v)	(w)	
Years of work after death	Year	Age of deceased	Basic salary per month (applying a 3% increment each year) *(see Explanatory Note 3)	Annual basic salary being aggregate of (a) x 12 months	Overtime pay per month (applying a 3% increment each year)	Annual overtime pay being aggregate of (c) x 12 months	AWS 1 month + variable bonus *(see Explanatory Note 4)	Annual medical benefits for the Deceased and immediate family at \$1,700 per year	Total annual OW (Ordinary Wages) being aggregate of (b) and (d)	Total annual AW (Additional Wages) being aggregate of (e) and (f)	Total annual gross income for the year being aggregate of (g) and (h)	Employee's CPF contribution rate applicable on both OW and AW (based on the Deceased's Age) *(see Explanatory Note 5)	Employee's CPF annual OW contribution cap, based on CPF Guidelines *(see Explanatory Note 6)	Employee's total monthly OW being the aggregate of (j) and (k)	Employee's CPF actual OW contribution (taking into account the applicable CPF contribution rate cap) *(see Explanatory Notes 5 & 6)	Employee's CPF actual AW contribution being the applicable CPF contribution rate x (h) *(see Explanatory Notes 5 & 7)	Total annual CPF contribution (OW+AW) being the aggregate of (m) and (n)	Employee's CPF contribution rate applicable on both OW and AW (based on the Deceased's Age) *(see Explanatory Note 5)	Employee's CPF annual OW contribution cap, based on CPF guidelines *(see Explanatory Note 6)	Employee's CPF actual OW contribution (taking into account the applicable CPF contribution rate cap) *(see Explanatory Notes 5 & 6)	Employee's CPF actual AW contribution being the applicable CPF contribution rate x (h) *(see Explanatory Notes 5 & 7)	Employee's total annual CPF contribution (OW+AW) being the aggregate of (r) and (s)	Deceased's Total CPF contributions (Employee's + Deceased's shares) being the aggregate of (t) and (u)	Annual Deductions from CPF Account based on Deceased's 2015 CPF Statement (ElderShield, Dependents' Protection Scheme, MediShield, Home Protection Scheme, Mortgage) *(see Explanatory Note 8)	Deceased Total CPF Payout being (u) less (v)	
0	2015 (year of death)	40	\$2,984.54 *(see Explanatory Note 1)			\$2,573.37 *(see Explanatory Note 2)																				
1	2016	41	\$3,074.08	\$36,888.91	\$2,650.57	\$31,806.85	\$9,789.02	\$1,700.00	\$68,695.77	\$11,489.02	\$80,184.79	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$5,724.65	\$13,739.15	\$2,297.80	\$16,036.96	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$11,678.28	\$1,953.13	\$13,631.41	\$29,668.37	\$15,041.58	\$14,626.79	
2	2017	42	\$3,166.30	\$37,995.58	\$2,730.09	\$32,761.06	\$10,063.48	\$1,700.00	\$70,756.64	\$11,763.48	\$82,520.12	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$5,896.39	\$14,151.33	\$2,352.70	\$16,504.02	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,028.63	\$1,999.79	\$14,028.42	\$30,532.45	\$15,041.58	\$15,490.87	
3	2018	43	\$3,261.29	\$39,135.45	\$2,811.99	\$33,743.89	\$9,989.40	\$1,700.00	\$72,739.34	\$11,689.40	\$84,568.74	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$6,073.28	\$14,400.00	\$2,337.88	\$16,737.88	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,389.49	\$1,987.20	\$14,376.69	\$31,114.57	\$15,041.58	\$16,072.99	
4	2019	44	\$3,359.13	\$40,309.51	\$2,896.35	\$34,756.21	\$9,799.91	\$1,700.00	\$75,065.72	\$11,499.91	\$86,565.63	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$6,255.48	\$14,400.00	\$2,299.98	\$16,699.98	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,240.00	\$1,954.98	\$14,194.98	\$30,894.97	\$15,041.58	\$15,853.39	
5	2020	45	\$3,459.90	\$41,518.80	\$2,983.24	\$35,798.89	\$6,189.08	\$1,700.00	\$77,317.69	\$7,889.08	\$85,206.77	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$6,443.14	\$14,400.00	\$1,577.82	\$15,977.82	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,240.00	\$1,341.14	\$13,581.14	\$29,558.96	\$15,041.58	\$14,517.38	
6	2021	46	\$3,563.70	\$42,764.36	\$3,072.74	\$36,872.86	\$7,023.46	\$1,700.00	\$79,637.22	\$8,723.46	\$88,360.68	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$6,636.44	\$14,400.00	\$1,744.69	\$16,144.69	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,240.00	\$1,482.99	\$13,722.99	\$29,867.68	\$15,041.58	\$14,826.10	
7	2022	47	\$3,670.61	\$44,047.29	\$3,164.92	\$37,979.05	\$7,234.16	\$1,700.00	\$82,026.34	\$8,934.16	\$90,960.50	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$6,835.53	\$14,400.00	\$1,786.83	\$16,186.83	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,240.00	\$1,518.81	\$13,758.81	\$29,945.64	\$15,041.58	\$14,904.06	
8	2023	48	\$3,780.73	\$45,368.71	\$3,259.87	\$39,118.42	\$7,451.19	\$1,700.00	\$84,487.13	\$9,151.19	\$93,638.32	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$7,040.59	\$14,400.00	\$1,830.24	\$16,230.24	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,240.00	\$1,555.70	\$13,795.70	\$30,025.94	\$15,041.58	\$14,984.36	
9	2024	49	\$3,894.15	\$46,729.77	\$3,357.66	\$40,291.97	\$7,674.72	\$1,700.00	\$87,021.74	\$9,374.72	\$96,396.47	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$7,251.81	\$14,400.00	\$1,874.94	\$16,274.94	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,240.00	\$1,593.70	\$13,833.70	\$30,108.65	\$15,041.58	\$15,067.07	
10	2025	50	\$4,010.97	\$48,131.67	\$3,458.39	\$41,500.73	\$7,904.96	\$1,700.00	\$89,632.40	\$9,604.96	\$99,237.36	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$7,469.37	\$14,400.00	\$1,920.99	\$16,320.99	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,240.00	\$1,632.84	\$13,872.84	\$30,193.84	\$15,041.58	\$15,152.26	
11	2026	51	\$4,131.30	\$49,575.62	\$3,562.15	\$42,745.75	\$10,147.52	\$1,700.00	\$92,321.37	\$11,847.52	\$104,168.89	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$7,693.45	\$14,400.00	\$2,369.50	\$16,769.50	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,240.00	\$2,014.08	\$14,254.08	\$31,023.58	\$15,041.58	\$15,982.00	
12	2027	52	\$4,255.24	\$51,062.88	\$3,669.01	\$44,028.12	\$10,451.94	\$1,700.00	\$95,091.01	\$12,151.94	\$107,242.95	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$7,924.25	\$14,400.00	\$2,430.39	\$16,830.39	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,240.00	\$2,065.83	\$14,305.83	\$31,136.22	\$15,041.58	\$16,094.64	
13	2028	53	\$4,382.90	\$52,594.77	\$3,779.08	\$45,348.97	\$10,765.50	\$1,700.00	\$97,943.74	\$12,465.50	\$110,409.24	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$8,161.98	\$14,400.00	\$2,493.10	\$16,893.10	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,240.00	\$2,119.14	\$14,359.14	\$31,252.24	\$15,041.58	\$16,210.66	
14	2029	54	\$4,514.38	\$54,172.61	\$3,892.45	\$46,709.44	\$11,088.47	\$1,700.00	\$100,882.05	\$12,788.47	\$113,670.52	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$8,406.84	\$14,400.00	\$2,557.69	\$16,957.69	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,240.00	\$2,174.04	\$14,414.04	\$31,371.73	\$15,041.58	\$16,330.15	
15	2030	55	\$4,649.82	\$55,797.79	\$4,027.82	\$48,147.79	\$11,421.12	\$1,700.00	\$103,912.91	\$13,121.12	\$116,034.03	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$8,652.33	\$14,400.00	\$2,624.22	\$17,024.22	17.00%	\$12,240.00	\$12,240.00	\$2,230.59	\$14,470.59	\$31,494.82	\$15,041.58	\$16,453.24	
16	2031	56	\$4,789.31	\$57,471.73	\$4,164.73	\$49,631.73	\$11,763.76	\$1,700.00	\$107,070.70	\$13,463.76	\$119,534.46	18.50%	\$13,320.00	\$7,542.31	\$13,320.00	\$2,490.79	\$15,810.79	18.50%	\$13,320.00	\$13,320.00	\$2,490.79	\$15,810.79	\$31,621.59	\$15,041.58	\$16,580.01	
17	2032	57	\$4,932.99	\$59,195.88	\$4,305.59	\$50,801.88	\$12,116.67	\$1,700.00	\$110,218.67	\$13,816.67	\$124,035.34	18.50%	\$13,320.00	\$7,768.58	\$13,320.00	\$2,556.08	\$15,876.08	18.50%	\$13,320.00	\$13,320.00	\$2,556.08	\$15,876.08	\$31,752.17	\$15,041.58	\$16,710.59	
18	2033	58	\$5,080.98	\$60,971.75	\$4,450.66	\$52,622.41	\$12,480.17	\$1,700.00	\$113,482.64	\$14,180.17	\$127,662.81	18.50%	\$13,320.00	\$8,001.64	\$13,320.00	\$2,623.33	\$15,943.33	18.50%	\$13,320.00	\$13,320.00	\$2,623.33	\$15,943.33	\$31,886.66	\$15,041.58	\$16,845.08	
19	2034	59	\$5,233.41	\$62,800.91	\$4,602.86	\$54,498.36	\$12,854.57	\$1,700.00	\$116,803.27	\$14,554.57	\$131,357.84	18.50%	\$13,320.00	\$8,241.69	\$13,320.00	\$2,692.60	\$16,012.60	18.50%	\$13,320.00	\$13,320.00	\$2,692.60	\$16,012.60	\$32,025.19	\$15,041.58	\$16,983.61	
20	2035	60	\$5,390.41	\$64,684.93	\$4,759.26	\$56,443.26	\$13,240.21	\$1,700.00	\$120,263.47	\$14,940.21	\$135,203.68	18.50%	\$13,320.00	\$8,482.33	\$13,320.00	\$2,763.94	\$16,083.94	18.50%	\$13,320.00	\$13,320.00	\$2,763.94	\$16,083.94	\$32,167.86	\$15,041.58	\$17,126.30	
21	2036	61	\$5,552.12	\$66,625.48	\$4,914.61	\$58,540.09	\$13,637.42	\$1,700.00	\$124,807.51	\$15,337.42	\$140,144.93	12.25%	\$8,820.00	\$7,147.86	\$8,820.00	\$1,878.83	\$10,698.83	13.75%	\$9,900.00	\$9,900.00	\$2,108.89	\$12,008.89	\$22,707.73	\$15,041.58	\$7,666.15	
22	2037	62	\$5,718.69	\$68,624.25	\$5,081.61	\$60,705.86	\$14,046.94	\$1,700.00	\$129,454.80	\$15,746.94	\$145,201.74	12.25%	\$8,820.00	\$7,362.30	\$8,820.00	\$1,928.95	\$10,748.95	13.75%	\$9,900.00	\$9,900.00	\$2,165.15	\$12,065.15	\$22,814.10	\$15,041.58	\$7,772.52	
23	2038	63	\$5,890.25	\$70,682.97	\$5,253.61	\$62,936.56	\$14,467.94	\$1,700.00	\$134,102.50	\$16,167.94	\$150,270.44	12.25%	\$8,820.00	\$7,583.17	\$8,820.00	\$1,980.57	\$10,800.57	13.75%	\$9,900.00	\$9,900.00	\$2,223.09	\$12,123.09	\$22,923.66	\$15,041.58	\$7,882.08	
24	2039	64	\$6,066.96	\$72,803.46	\$5,432.53	\$65,135.99	\$14,901.97	\$1,700.00	\$139,004.96	\$16,601.97	\$155,606.93	12.25%	\$8,820.00	\$7,810.67	\$8,820.00	\$2,033.74	\$10,853.74	13.75%	\$9,900.00	\$9,900.00	\$2,282.77	\$12,182.77	\$23,036.51	\$15,041.58	\$7,994.93	
25	2040	65	\$6,248.96	\$74,987.57	\$5,617.02	\$67,364.59	\$15,349.03	\$1,700.00	\$143,713.62	\$17,049.03	\$160,762.65	12.25%	\$8,820.00	\$8,044.99	\$8,820.00	\$2,088.51	\$10,908.51	13.75%	\$9,900.00	\$9,900.00	\$2,344.24	\$12,244.24	\$23,152.75	\$15,041.58	\$8,111.17	
26	2041	66	\$6,436.43	\$77,237.19	\$5,800.51	\$69,637.70	\$15,809.50	\$1,700.00	\$148,443.20	\$17,509.50	\$165,952.70	7.00%	\$5,040.00	\$8,286.33	\$5,040.00	\$1,225.67	\$6,265.67	9.75%	\$7,020.00	\$7,020.00	\$1,707.18	\$8,727.18	\$14,992.84	\$15,041.58	-\$48.74	
27	2042	67	\$6,629.53	\$79,554.31	\$5,995.44	\$71,549.75	\$16,283.79	\$1,700.00	\$153,233.54	\$17,983.79	\$171,217.33	7.00%	\$5,040.00	\$8,534.92	\$5,040.00	\$1,258.87	\$6,298.87	9.75%	\$7,020.00	\$7,020.00	\$1,753.42	\$8,773.42	\$15,072.28	\$15,041.58	\$30.70	
28	2043	68	\$6,828.41	\$81,940.94	\$6,196.56	\$73,737.50	\$16,772.30	\$1,700.00	\$158,109.80	\$18,472.30	\$176,582.10	7.00%	\$5,040.00	\$8,790.97	\$5,040.00	\$1,293.06	\$6,333.06	9.75%	\$7,020.00	\$7,020.00	\$1,801.05	\$8,821.05	\$15,154.11	\$15,041.58	\$112.53	
29	2044	69	\$7,033.26	\$84,399.17	\$6,414.44	\$76,213.61	\$17,275.47	\$1,700.00	\$163,085.08	\$19,050.47	\$182,135.55	7.00%	\$5,040.00	\$9,054.70	\$5,040.00	\$1,328.28	\$6,368.28	9.75%	\$7,020.00	\$7,020.00	\$1,850.11	\$8,870.11	\$15,238.39	\$15,041.58	\$196.81	
30	2045	70	\$7,244.26	\$86,931.14	\$6,682.08	\$78,613.22	\$17,793.74	\$1,700.00	\$168,107.00	\$19,593.74	\$187,700.74	7.00%	\$5,040.00	\$9,326.34	\$5,040.00	\$1,364.56	\$6,404.56	9.75%	\$7,020.00	\$7,020.00	\$1,900.64	\$8,920.64	\$15,325.20	\$15,041.58	\$283.62	
												Total gross income from year 2016 to 2045 = \$3,113,650.53												Deceased's Total CPF Payout from 2016 to 2045 =		\$356,813.31

Explanatory Notes:

1. Based on Deceased's last drawn salary "\$2,984.54" (see PBOD 132)
2. Deceased's average monthly OT pay "\$2,573.37" (see PBOD pg 136 & 137). From age 55 to 59, Deceased earns 2/3 of overtime and from 60 years onwards, Deceased earns 1/3 overtime
3. PW3 projected the Deceased's wage increments at 3% per year had he continued in his employment with Honeywell (see NE 29 Oct pg 17:10-17, pg 18:5-8; NE 29 Oct pg 52:6-10, pg 55:1-13)
4. Based on annual (on-target) variable bonus opportunity at 2.5 months (see PBOD vol III pg 766; NE 29 Oct pg 19:17 to 20:25). On site achievements for year 2016 to 2020 are as per the actual site achievements tabulated at P7 pg 6, for year 2021 to 2025 at 40% and from year 2026 onwards at 60% of the site achievements.
5. See current CPF contribution rates and table of summary for projected contribution rates for Deceased after CPF raise together with printouts of the articles documenting the raise (see PBOD pg 143-157)
6. The prevailing Ordinary Wage (OW) ceiling on which CPF contributions are payable is \$6,000 per month.
7. The prevailing Additional Wage (AW) ceiling subject to CPF contributions per year for an employee is \$102,000. Calculation for Deceased's income: \$102,000 - \$72,000 being total OW subject to CPF for the year = \$30,000 of AW subject to CPF at the prevailing rate
8. Deceased's CPF statement for 2015 reflecting the amount Deceased's bore annually i.e. an average of \$1,175 per month i.e. \$14,100 per annum towards housing, and about \$941.58 per annum for insurance cover. This totals \$15,041.58 per annum. (see PBOD pg 133)

Puspa Sinnappa v Balasingam s/o Rengasamy

[2021] SGHC 171

Annex C1

COMPUTATION OF DECEASED'S CPF

			(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)
Years of work after death	Year	Age of deceased	Basic salary per month (applying a 3% increment each year) *(see Explanatory Note 3)	Annual basic salary being aggregate of (a) x 12 months	Overtime pay per month (applying a 3% increment each year)	Annual overtime pay being aggregate of (c) x 12 months	AWS 1 month + variable bonus *(see Explanatory Note 4)	Annual medical benefits for the Deceased and immediate family at \$1,700 per year	Total annual OW (Ordinary Wages) being aggregate of (b) and (d)	Total annual AW (Additional Wages) being aggregate of (e) and (f)	Total annual gross income for the year being aggregate of (g) and (h)	Employee's CPF contribution rate applicable on both OW and AW (based on the Deceased's Age) *(see Explanatory Note 5)	Employee's CPF annual OW contribution cap, based on CPF Guidelines *(see Explanatory Note 6)	Employee's total monthly OW being the aggregate of (a) and (c)	Employee's CPF actual OW annual contribution (taking into account the applicable CPF contribution rate cap *(see Explanatory Notes 5 & 6)	Employee's CPF actual AW contribution being the applicable CPF contribution rate x (h) *(see Explanatory Notes 5 & 7)	Employee's Total annual CPF contribution (OW+AW) being the aggregate of (m) and (n)
0	24.11.15 (date of death)	40	\$2,984.54	\$2,984.54	\$2,573.37	\$2,573.37	\$2,984.54	\$141.67	\$5,557.91	\$3,126.21	\$8,684.12	20.00%	\$1,200.00	\$5,557.91	\$1,111.58	\$625.24	\$1,736.82
1	2016	41	\$3,074.08	\$36,888.91	\$2,650.57	\$31,806.85	\$9,789.02	\$1,700.00	\$68,695.77	\$11,489.02	\$80,184.79	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$5,724.65	\$13,739.15	\$2,297.80	\$16,036.96
2	2017	42	\$3,166.30	\$37,995.58	\$2,730.09	\$32,761.06	\$10,063.48	\$1,700.00	\$70,756.64	\$11,763.48	\$82,520.12	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$5,896.39	\$14,151.33	\$2,352.70	\$16,504.02
3	2018	43	\$3,261.29	\$39,135.45	\$2,811.99	\$33,743.89	\$9,989.40	\$1,700.00	\$72,879.34	\$11,689.40	\$84,568.74	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$6,073.28	\$14,400.00	\$2,337.88	\$16,737.88
4	2019	44	\$3,359.13	\$40,309.51	\$2,896.35	\$34,756.21	\$9,799.91	\$1,700.00	\$75,065.72	\$11,499.91	\$86,565.63	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$6,255.48	\$14,400.00	\$2,299.98	\$16,699.98
5	2020	45	\$3,459.90	\$41,518.80	\$2,983.24	\$35,798.89	\$6,189.08	\$1,700.00	\$77,317.69	\$7,889.08	\$85,206.77	20.00%	\$14,400.00	\$6,443.14	\$14,400.00	\$1,577.82	\$15,977.82
6	Up to 02.07.21	46	\$3,563.70	\$21,612.12	\$3,072.74	\$18,634.67	\$3,459.76	\$852.33	\$40,246.79	\$4,312.09	\$44,558.88	20.00%	\$7,277.42	\$6,636.44	\$7,277.42	\$862.42	\$8,139.84
										Total gross income from date of death to 2 July 2021:	\$472,289.05					Deceased's Total CPF from date of death to 2 July 2021:	\$91,833.32

Explanatory Notes:

- Based on Deceased's last drawn salary "\$2,984.54" (see PBOD 132)
- Deceased's average monthly OT pay "\$2,573.37" (see PBOD pg 136 & 137). From age 55 to 59, Deceased earns 2/3 of overtime and from 60 years onwards, Deceased earns 1/3 overtime
- PW3 projected the Deceased's wage increments at 3% per year had he continued in his employment with Honeywell (see NE 29 Oct pg 17:10-17, pg 18:5-8; NE 29 Oct pg 52:6-10, pg 55:1-13)
- Based on annual (on-target) variable bonus opportunity at 2.5 months (see PBOD vol III pg 766; NE 29 Oct pg 19:17 to 20:25). On site achievements for year 2016 to 2020 are as per the actual site achievements tabulated at P7 pg 6, for year 2021 to 2025 at 40% and from year 2026 onwards at 60% of the site achievements.
- See current CPF contribution rates and table of summary for projected contribution rates for Deceased after CPF raise together with printouts of the articles documenting the raise (see PBOD pg 143-157)
- The prevailing Ordinary Wage (OW) ceiling on which CPF contributions are payable is \$6,000 per month.
- The prevailing Additional Wage (AW) ceiling subject to CPF contributions per year for an employee is \$102,000. Calculation for Deceased's income: \$102,000 - \$72,000 being total OW subject to CPF for the year = \$30,000 of AW subject to CPF at the prevailing rate
- Deceased's CPF statement for 2015 reflecting the amount Deceased's bore annually i.e. an average of \$1,175 per month i.e. \$14,100 per annum towards housing, and about \$941.58 per annum for insurance cover. This totals \$15,041.58 per annum. (see PBOD pg 133)
- Calculation for year 2015 is taken from December 2015 (as deceased was paid for the month of November 2015) and calculation for year 2021 is from 01.01.2021 to 02.07.2021

Puspa Sinnappa v Balasingam s/o Rengasamy

[2021] SGHC 171

Annex D

COMPUTATION OF DECEASED'S INCOME TAX PAYABLE

			(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)		
			Headers in IRAS paper tax return														Income Tax Payable					
Years of work after death	Year	Age of deceased	Basic salary per month (applying a 3% increment each year) *(see Explanatory Note 3)	Annual basic salary being aggregate of (a) x 12 months	Overtime pay per month (applying a 3% increment each year)	Annual overtime pay being aggregate of (c) x 12 months	AWS 1 month + variable bonus *(see Explanatory Note 4)	Annual medical benefits for the Deceased and immediate family at \$1,700 per year	Total annual OW (Ordinary Wages) being aggregate of (b) and (d)	Total annual AW (Additional Wages) being aggregate of (e) and (f)	Total annual gross income for the year being aggregate of (g) and (h) Also to be declared as Employment Income in IRAS paper tax return	Earned Income Relief *(see Explanatory Note 5)	Spouse Relief *(see Explanatory Note 6)	Qualifying Child Relief *(see Explanatory Note 7)	CPF / Provident Fund Relief *(see Explanatory Note 8)	Total Personal Reliefs being the aggregate of (j) (k) (l) and (m)	Chargeable Income being (i) less (n)	Tax Payable for the first tier of chargeable income *(see Explanatory Note 9)	Tax Payable for the second tier of chargeable income *(see Explanatory Note 9)	Total Income Tax Payable being the aggregate of (p) and (q)		
0	2015 (year of death)	40	\$2,984.54 *(see Explanatory Note 1)		\$2,573.37 *(see Explanatory Note 2)																	
1	2016	41	\$3,074.08	\$36,888.91	\$2,650.37	\$31,806.85	\$9,789.02	\$1,700.00	\$68,695.77	\$11,489.02	\$80,184.79	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$16,036.96	\$25,036.96	\$55,147.83	\$550.00	\$1,060.35	\$1,610.35		
2	2017	42	\$3,166.30	\$37,995.58	\$2,730.09	\$32,761.06	\$10,063.48	\$1,700.00	\$70,756.64	\$11,763.48	\$82,520.12	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$16,504.02	\$25,504.02	\$57,016.10	\$550.00	\$1,191.13	\$1,741.13		
3	2018	43	\$3,261.29	\$39,135.45	\$2,811.99	\$33,743.89	\$9,989.40	\$1,700.00	\$72,879.34	\$11,689.40	\$84,568.74	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$16,737.88	\$25,737.88	\$58,830.86	\$550.00	\$1,318.16	\$1,868.16		
4	2019	44	\$3,359.13	\$40,309.51	\$2,896.35	\$34,756.21	\$9,799.91	\$1,700.00	\$75,065.72	\$11,499.91	\$86,565.63	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$16,699.98	\$25,699.98	\$60,865.65	\$550.00	\$1,460.60	\$2,010.60		
5	2020	45	\$3,459.90	\$41,518.80	\$2,983.24	\$35,798.89	\$6,189.08	\$1,700.00	\$77,317.69	\$7,889.08	\$85,206.77	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$15,977.82	\$24,977.82	\$60,238.96	\$550.00	\$1,416.03	\$1,966.03		
6	2021	46	\$3,563.70	\$42,764.36	\$3,072.74	\$36,872.86	\$7,023.46	\$1,700.00	\$79,697.22	\$8,723.46	\$88,360.68	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00	\$16,144.69	\$21,144.69	\$67,215.99	\$550.00	\$1,905.12	\$2,455.12		
7	2022	47	\$3,670.61	\$44,047.29	\$3,164.92	\$37,979.05	\$7,234.16	\$1,700.00	\$82,026.34	\$9,944.16	\$90,960.50	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00	\$16,186.83	\$21,186.83	\$69,779.67	\$550.00	\$2,084.16	\$2,634.16		
8	2023	48	\$3,780.73	\$45,368.71	\$3,259.87	\$39,118.42	\$7,451.19	\$1,700.00	\$84,487.13	\$9,151.19	\$93,638.32	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00	\$16,230.24	\$21,230.24	\$72,408.08	\$550.00	\$2,268.57	\$2,818.57		
9	2024	49	\$3,894.15	\$46,729.77	\$3,357.66	\$40,391.97	\$7,674.72	\$1,700.00	\$87,021.74	\$9,374.72	\$96,396.47	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00	\$16,274.94	\$21,274.94	\$75,121.52	\$550.00	\$2,458.51	\$3,008.51		
10	2025	50	\$4,010.97	\$48,131.67	\$3,458.39	\$41,700.73	\$7,904.96	\$1,700.00	\$89,632.40	\$9,604.96	\$99,237.36	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00	\$16,320.99	\$21,320.99	\$77,916.37	\$550.00	\$2,654.15	\$3,204.15		
11	2026	51	\$4,131.30	\$49,575.62	\$3,562.15	\$42,745.75	\$10,147.52	\$1,700.00	\$92,321.37	\$11,847.52	\$104,168.89	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00	\$16,769.50	\$21,769.50	\$82,399.38	\$3,350.00	\$275.93	\$3,625.93		
12	2027	52	\$4,255.24	\$51,062.88	\$3,669.01	\$44,028.12	\$10,451.94	\$1,700.00	\$95,091.01	\$12,151.94	\$107,242.95	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00	\$16,830.39	\$21,830.39	\$85,412.56	\$3,350.00	\$622.44	\$3,972.44		
13	2028	53	\$4,382.90	\$52,594.77	\$3,779.08	\$45,348.97	\$10,765.50	\$1,700.00	\$97,943.74	\$12,465.50	\$110,409.24	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,893.10	\$17,893.10	\$88,516.14	\$3,350.00	\$1,439.36	\$4,789.36		
14	2029	54	\$4,514.38	\$54,172.61	\$3,892.45	\$46,709.44	\$11,088.47	\$1,700.00	\$100,882.05	\$12,788.47	\$113,670.52	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,957.69	\$17,957.69	\$89,712.83	\$3,350.00	\$1,806.97	\$5,156.97		
15	2030	55	\$4,649.82	\$55,797.79	\$3,672.82	\$32,073.79	\$11,421.12	\$1,700.00	\$87,874.58	\$13,121.12	\$100,992.70	\$6,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$17,024.22	\$23,024.22	\$77,968.48	\$550.00	\$2,657.79	\$3,207.79		
16	2031	56	\$4,789.31	\$57,471.73	\$2,753.00	\$33,035.97	\$11,763.76	\$1,700.00	\$90,507.70	\$13,463.76	\$103,971.46	\$6,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15,810.79	\$21,810.79	\$82,160.66	\$3,350.00	\$248.48	\$3,598.48		
17	2032	57	\$4,932.99	\$59,195.88	\$2,835.59	\$34,027.08	\$12,116.67	\$1,700.00	\$93,222.96	\$13,816.67	\$107,039.63	\$6,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15,876.08	\$21,876.08	\$83,163.54	\$3,350.00	\$593.81	\$3,943.81		
18	2033	58	\$5,080.98	\$60,971.75	\$2,920.66	\$35,047.93	\$12,480.17	\$1,700.00	\$96,019.69	\$14,180.17	\$110,199.86	\$6,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$15,943.33	\$21,943.33	\$84,256.53	\$3,350.00	\$949.50	\$4,299.50		
19	2034	59	\$5,233.41	\$62,800.91	\$3,008.28	\$36,099.36	\$12,854.57	\$1,700.00	\$98,900.27	\$14,554.57	\$113,454.84	\$6,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,012.60	\$22,012.60	\$85,442.34	\$3,350.00	\$1,315.86	\$4,665.86		
20	2035	60	\$5,390.41	\$64,684.99	\$3,149.26	\$37,391.17	\$13,240.21	\$1,700.00	\$102,276.11	\$14,940.21	\$117,216.32	\$6,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,083.94	\$22,083.94	\$86,713.38	\$550.00	\$2,369.27	\$5,039.27		
21	2036	61	\$5,551.12	\$66,623.40	\$1,595.74	\$19,148.89	\$13,637.42	\$1,700.00	\$105,774.38	\$15,337.42	\$121,111.79	\$6,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,158.83	\$22,158.83	\$88,011.96	\$3,350.00	\$277.49	\$3,627.49		
22	2037	62	\$5,716.69	\$68,624.25	\$1,649.61	\$19,723.35	\$14,046.54	\$1,700.00	\$109,347.59	\$15,746.54	\$125,094.13	\$6,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,240.95	\$22,240.95	\$89,345.18	\$3,350.00	\$614.70	\$3,964.70		
23	2038	63	\$5,886.25	\$70,682.97	\$1,692.92	\$20,315.06	\$14,467.94	\$1,700.00	\$113,985.04	\$16,167.94	\$129,152.97	\$6,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,320.57	\$22,320.57	\$90,685.40	\$3,350.00	\$962.02	\$4,312.02		
24	2039	64	\$6,066.96	\$72,803.46	\$1,743.71	\$20,924.53	\$14,901.97	\$1,700.00	\$118,728.00	\$16,601.97	\$135,329.97	\$6,000.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16,393.74	\$22,393.74	\$92,078.13	\$3,350.00	\$1,319.77	\$4,669.77		
25	2040	65	\$6,248.96	\$74,987.57	\$1,796.02	\$21,552.26	\$15,349.03	\$1,700.00	\$123,539.82	\$17,049.03	\$140,588.86	\$6,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$10,908.51	\$20,908.51	\$92,680.35	\$3,350.00	\$1,458.24	\$4,808.24		
26	2041	66	\$6,436.43	\$77,237.19	\$1,849.90	\$22,198.81	\$15,809.50	\$1,700.00	\$128,349.00	\$17,509.50	\$145,858.51	\$6,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$6,265.67	\$16,265.67	\$100,679.84	\$3,350.00	\$2,378.18	\$5,728.18		
27	2042	67	\$6,629.53	\$79,554.31	\$1,905.40	\$22,864.76	\$16,283.79	\$1,700.00	\$133,163.79	\$17,963.79	\$151,127.58	\$6,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$6,298.87	\$16,298.87	\$104,104.00	\$3,350.00	\$2,771.96	\$6,121.96		
28	2043	68	\$6,828.41	\$81,940.94	\$1,962.56	\$23,570.70	\$16,772.30	\$1,700.00	\$138,093.64	\$18,472.30	\$156,565.95	\$6,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$6,333.06	\$16,333.06	\$107,630.88	\$3,350.00	\$3,177.55	\$6,527.55		
29	2044	69	\$7,033.26	\$84,399.17	\$2,021.44	\$24,237.24	\$17,275.47	\$1,700.00	\$143,175.47	\$18,975.47	\$162,150.95	\$6,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$6,368.28	\$16,368.28	\$111,269.60	\$3,350.00	\$3,595.31	\$6,945.31		
30	2045	70	\$7,244.26	\$86,931.14	\$2,082.08	\$24,984.96	\$17,793.74	\$1,700.00	\$148,778.74	\$19,483.74	\$168,262.48	\$6,000.00	\$2,000.00	\$0.00	\$6,404.56	\$16,404.56	\$113,005.27	\$7,950.00	\$4,025.61	\$11,975.61		
Total gross income from year 2016 to 2045 =											\$3,113,650.53			Total income tax payable from year 2016 to 2045 =							\$122,196.99	

Explanatory Notes:

1. Based on Deceased's last drawn salary "\$2,984.54" (see PBOD 132)
2. Deceased's average monthly OT pay "\$2,573.37" (see PBOD pg 136 & 137). From age 55 to 59, Deceased earns 2/3 of overtime and from 60 years onwards, Deceased earns 1/3 overtime
3. PW3 projected the Deceased's wage increments at 3% per year had he continued in his employment with Honeywell (see NE 29 Oct pg 17:10-17, pg 18:5-8; NE 29 Oct pg 52:6-10, pg 55:1-13)
4. Based on annual (on-target) variable bonus opportunity at 2.5 months (see PBOD vol III pg 765; NE 29 Oct pg 19:17 to 20:25). On site achievements for year 2016 to 2020 are as per the actual site achievements tabulated at P7 pg 5, for year 2021 to 2025 at 40% and from year 2026 onwards at 60% of the site achievements.
5. See <https://www.iras.gov.sg/IRASHome/Individuals/Locals/Working-Out-Your-Taxes/Deductions-for-Individuals/Earned-Income-Relief/>. The amount of relief is based on age and taxable earned income in the previous year ie. \$1,000 (age below 55), \$6,000 (age 55 to 59) and \$8,000 (age 60 and above)
6. Wife would be retired when Deceased reaches the age of 65
7. See <https://www.iras.gov.sg/irashome/Individuals/Locals/Working-Out-Your-Taxes/Deductions-for-Individuals/Qualifying-Child-Relief-QCR-/Handicapped-Child-Relief-HCR-/>. From Deceased's age 41 to 45, both children financially dependent. From Deceased's age 45 to 51, only younger child remains dependent. From Deceased's age 53 onwards, both children attain financial independence
8. Deceased's computation of annual CPF contributions are tabulated in "Computation of Deceased's CPF"
9. See <https://www.iras.gov.sg/irashome/Individuals/Locals/Working-Out-Your-Taxes/Income-Tax-Rates/> for the breakdown of tax payable for 1st and 2nd tiers of chargeable income

Puspa Sinnappa v Balasingam s/o Rengasamy

[2021] SGHC 171

Annex D1

COMPUTATION OF DECEASED'S PRE-TRIAL INCOME TAX PAYABLE (FOR INTEREST)

			(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)	(i)	(j)	(k)	(l)	(m)	(n)	(o)	(p)	(q)	(r)					
											Headers in IRAS paper tax return										Income Tax Payable				
Years of work after death	Year	Age of deceased	Basic salary per month (applying a 3% increment each year) *(see Explanatory Note 3)	Annual basic salary being aggregate of (a) x 12 months	Overtime pay per month (applying a 3% increment each year)	Annual overtime pay being aggregate of (c) x 12 months	AW5 1 month + variable bonus *(see Explanatory Note 4)	Annual medical benefits for the Deceased and immediate family at \$1,700 per year	Total annual OW (Ordinary Wages) being aggregate of (b) and (d)	Total annual AW (Additional Wages) being aggregate of (e) and (f)	Total annual gross income being aggregate of (g) and (h) Also to be declared as Employment Income in IRAS paper tax return	Earned Income Relief *(see Explanatory Note 5)	Spouse Relief *(see Explanatory Note 6)	Qualifying Child Relief *(see Explanatory Note 7)	CPF / Provident Fund Relief *(see Explanatory Note 8)	Total Personal Reliefs being the aggregate of (j) (k) (l) and (m)	Chargeable Income being (i) less (n)	Tax Payable for the first tier of chargeable income *(see Explanatory Note 9)	Tax Payable for the second tier of chargeable income *(see Explanatory Note 9)	Total Income Tax Payable being the aggregate of (p) and (q)					
0	24.11.13 (date of death)	40	\$2,984.34	\$2,984.34	\$2,573.37	\$2,573.37	\$2,984.34	\$141.67	\$3,557.91	\$3,126.21	\$6,684.12	\$83.33	\$0.00	\$666.67	\$1,736.82	\$2,486.82	\$6,197.30	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00					
1	2016	41	\$3,074.08	\$36,888.91	\$2,650.57	\$31,806.85	\$9,789.02	\$1,700.00	\$68,693.77	\$11,489.02	\$80,184.79	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$16,036.96	\$23,036.96	\$39,147.83	\$330.00	\$1,060.35	\$1,610.35					
2	2017	42	\$3,166.30	\$37,995.36	\$2,730.09	\$32,761.06	\$10,063.48	\$1,700.00	\$70,756.64	\$11,763.48	\$82,520.12	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$16,204.02	\$23,504.02	\$37,016.10	\$330.00	\$1,191.13	\$1,741.13					
3	2018	43	\$3,261.29	\$39,135.45	\$2,811.99	\$33,743.89	\$9,969.40	\$1,700.00	\$72,879.34	\$11,689.40	\$84,568.74	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$16,737.88	\$23,737.88	\$38,830.86	\$330.00	\$1,318.16	\$1,868.16					
4	2019	44	\$3,359.13	\$40,309.51	\$2,896.35	\$34,756.21	\$9,799.91	\$1,700.00	\$75,065.72	\$11,499.91	\$86,565.63	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$16,899.98	\$23,699.98	\$60,865.85	\$330.00	\$1,460.60	\$2,010.60					
5	2020	45	\$3,459.90	\$41,518.80	\$2,983.24	\$35,798.89	\$6,189.08	\$1,700.00	\$77,317.69	\$7,889.08	\$85,206.77	\$1,000.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$15,977.82	\$24,977.82	\$60,228.96	\$330.00	\$1,416.03	\$1,966.03					
6	Up to 02.07.21	46	\$3,563.70	\$21,612.12	\$3,072.74	\$18,634.67	\$3,459.76	\$852.33	\$40,246.79	\$4,312.09	\$44,558.88	\$501.37	\$0.00	\$2,005.48	\$8,139.84	\$10,646.69	\$33,912.19	\$0.00	\$136.93	\$136.93					
Total gross income from date of death to 2 July 2021 =											\$472,289.05			Total income tax payable from date of death to 2 July 2021 =										\$9,333.18	

Explanatory Notes:

- Based on Deceased's last drawn salary "\$2,984.34" (see PBOD 132)
- Deceased's average monthly OT pay "\$2,573.37" (see PBOD pg 136 & 137). From age 35 to 39, Deceased earns 2/3 of overtime and from 60 years onwards, Deceased earns 1/3 overtime
- PW3 projected the Deceased's wage increments at 3% per year had he continued in his employment with Honeywell (see NE 29 Oct pg 17-10-17, pg 18-5-8; NE 29 Oct pg 32-6-10, pg 33-1-13)
- Based on annual (on-target) variable bonus opportunity at 2.5 months (see PBOD vol III pg 766; NE 29 Oct pg 19-17 to 20-23). On site achievements for year 2016 to 2020 are as per the actual site achievements tabulated at P7 pg 6, for year 2021 to 2025 at 40% and from year 2026 onwards at 60% of the site achievements.
- See <https://www.iras.gov.sg/IRASHome/Individuals/Local/Working-Out-Your-Taxes/Deductions-for-Individuals/Earned-Income-Relief/>. The amount of relief is based on age and taxable earned income in the previous year i.e. \$1,000 (age below 35), \$6,000 (age 35 to 59) and \$8,000 (age 60 and above)
- Wife would be retired when Deceased reaches the age of 63
- See <https://www.iras.gov.sg/IRASHome/Individuals/Local/Working-Out-Your-Taxes/Deductions-for-Individuals/Qualifying-Child-Relief-QCR-/Handicapped-Child-Relief-HCR-/>. From Deceased's age 41 to 45, both children financially dependent. From Deceased's age 45 to 51, only younger child remains dependent.
- From Deceased's age 53 onwards, both children attain financial independence
- Deceased's computation of annual CPF contributions are tabulated in "Computation of Deceased's CPF"
- See <https://www.iras.gov.sg/IRASHome/Individuals/Local/Working-Out-Your-Taxes/Income-Tax-Rates/> for the breakdown of tax payable for 1st and 2nd tiers of chargeable income
- Calculation for year 2015 is taken from December 2015 (as deceased was paid for the month of November 2015) and calculation for year 2021 is from 01.01.2021 to 02.07.2021

Annex E

Calculation of Interest

Interest at	2.67%	per annum					
on the sum of	\$274,713.70	(\$ 7,334.86	per annum)			
date of death	24/11/2015						
to 2 July 2021	2/7/2021	(2048	days)	at 100%	\$	41,164.80
Interest per day (\$7,334.86 / 365) at 100%: <u>\$ 20.10</u>							