Tutorial 4

Exercise 1 (compulsory)

Consider the following two claims:

Claim 1: Every language L which is a subset of A_{TM} ($L \subseteq A_{TM}$) is undecidable.

Claim 2: Every language L which is a superset of A_{TM} ($A_{TM} \subseteq L$) is undecidable.

Which of these claims are true? Provide the right arguments or give counter-examples.

Exercise 2 (compulsory)

Which of the following languages are decidable? If you claim that a particular language is decidable, provide a decider for the language.

- $L_1 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \langle A, B \rangle \mid A \text{ and } B \text{ are DFA and } L(A) \cap L(B) = \emptyset \}$
- $L_2 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ contains more than 5 states } \}$
- $\bullet \ L_3 \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid \ M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts } w \text{ in less than 1000 computational steps } \}$
- $\bullet \ \ L_4 \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{\langle M,w\rangle \mid \ M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts } w \text{ in a finite number of computational steps } \}$
- $L_5 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ on } w \text{ halts either in accept or reject state} \}$

Exercise 3 (compulsory)

In the proof of Theorem 4.11 we construct a machine D and reach a contradiction by running D on the input $\langle D \rangle$. Why could we not instead of constructing D continue the proof as follows?

Construct the machine D_1 :

 D_1 = "On input $\langle M \rangle$, where M is a TM:

- 1. Run H on $\langle M, \langle M \rangle \rangle$.
- 2. Return the answer of H, i.e. if H accepted, then D_1 accepts, if H rejected, then D_1 rejects."

We see that D_1 accepts $\langle D_1 \rangle$ if and only if D_1 accepts $\langle D_1 \rangle$. This is obviously true and therefore there is no contradiction and there H must exist. Consequently, A_{TM} must be decidable.

Justify your answer.

Exercise 4 (compulsory)

Using the diagonalization method show that the language

$$HALT_{TM} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ on } w \text{ halts either in accept or reject state} \}$$

is undecidable. Hint: modify slightly the proof of undecidability A_{TM} .

Exercise 5 (optional)

Let us call a Turing machine repetitive (abbriviated by RTM), if it only loops forever if we encounter the same configuration C more than once during a computation. Is the acceptance problem decidable for the class of repetitive Turing machines? First, define explicitly the language you want to show is decidable/undecidable and then give the arguments.