

# Computability and Complexity

## Lecture 8

Big-O and small-o notation  
Time complexity class  $\text{TIME}(t(n))$   
The class P and examples

given by Jiri Srba

## Question

Assume that a problem (language) is decidable. Does it mean that we can realistically solve it?

# Complexity Theory

## Question

Assume that a problem (language) is decidable. Does it mean that we can realistically solve it?

## Answer

NO, not always. It can require too much resources (time, memory).

**Complexity Theory** aims at making general conclusions about the time and space requirements of decidable problems (languages).

## Question

Assume that a problem (language) is decidable. Does it mean that we can realistically solve it?

## Answer

NO, not always. It can require too much resources (time, memory).

**Complexity Theory** aims at making general conclusions about the time and space requirements of decidable problems (languages).

Our computational model is a Turing machine. How do we measure the time and memory?

- **Time** = the number of computation steps.
- **Memory** = the number of used tape cells.

From now on, we consider **only deciders and decidable languages!**

## Definition (Asymptotic Upper Bound)

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  be functions. We write  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  iff

- there are positive integers  $c$  and  $n_0$  such that
- $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$  for every  $n \geq n_0$ .

## Definition (Asymptotic Upper Bound)

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  be functions. We write  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  iff

- there are positive integers  $c$  and  $n_0$  such that
- $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$  for every  $n \geq n_0$ .

Examples:

- $2n^2 + 5 = O(n^2)$
- $7n^5 + 88n^4 + n^2 + 104 = O(n^5)$
- $\log_2(n^8) = O(\log n)$

## Definition (Asymptotic Upper Bound)

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  be functions. We write  $f(n) = O(g(n))$  iff

- there are positive integers  $c$  and  $n_0$  such that
- $f(n) \leq c \cdot g(n)$  for every  $n \geq n_0$ .

Examples:

- $2n^2 + 5 = O(n^2)$
- $7n^5 + 88n^4 + n^2 + 104 = O(n^5)$
- $\log_2(n^8) = O(\log n)$

Other notation:

- $2^{O(n)}$  means an upper bound  $O(2^{cn})$  for some constant  $c$
- $n^{O(1)}$  is a polynomial upper bound  $O(n^c)$  for some constant  $c$

## Definition (Strict Asymptotic Upper Bound)

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  be functions. We write  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  iff

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0 .$$



## Definition (Strict Asymptotic Upper Bound)

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  be functions. We write  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  iff

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0 .$$

Examples:

- $n^2 = o(n^3)$
- $\sqrt{n} = o(n)$
- $n \log n = o(n^2)$
- $n^{100} = o(2^n)$

## Definition (Strict Asymptotic Upper Bound)

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  be functions. We write  $f(n) = o(g(n))$  iff

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0 .$$

Examples:

- $n^2 = o(n^3)$
- $\sqrt{n} = o(n)$
- $n \log n = o(n^2)$
- $n^{100} = o(2^n)$

## Intuition

- $f(n) = O(g(n))$  means "asymptotically  $f \leq g$ "
- $f(n) = o(g(n))$  means "asymptotically  $f < g$ "

# Motivation Example

$M = "$  On input  $w$ :

1. Scan the tape and reject if  $w$  is not of the form  $0^*1^*$ .
2. Scan the tape and cross one 0 and one 1.
3. If all 0's crossed and some 1 left, or  
all 1's crossed and some 0 left, then reject.
4. If all symbols crossed then accept else goto step 2."

Clearly,  $L(M) = \{0^k1^k \mid k \geq 0\}$ .

# Motivation Example

$M =$  " On input  $w$ :

1. Scan the tape and reject if  $w$  is not of the form  $0^*1^*$ .
2. Scan the tape and cross one 0 and one 1.
3. If all 0's crossed and some 1 left, or  
all 1's crossed and some 0 left, then reject.
4. If all symbols crossed then accept else goto step 2."

Clearly,  $L(M) = \{0^k1^k \mid k \geq 0\}$ .

## Question

What is the running time of  $M$ ?

# Motivation Example

$M =$  " On input  $w$ :

1. Scan the tape and reject if  $w$  is not of the form  $0^*1^*$ .
2. Scan the tape and cross one 0 and one 1.
3. If all 0's crossed and some 1 left, or  
all 1's crossed and some 0 left, then reject.
4. If all symbols crossed then accept else goto step 2."

Clearly,  $L(M) = \{0^k1^k \mid k \geq 0\}$ .

## Question

What is the running time of  $M$ ?

## Answer (Worst Case Analysis)

The machine  $M$  will on  $w$  perform  $O(|w|^2)$  steps in the worst case.

# Running Time of a Turing Machine

## Definition (Running Time of a TM)

Let  $M$  be a deterministic decider. The **running time** or **(worst-case) time complexity** of  $M$  is a function

$$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

where  $f(n)$  is the maximum number of steps that  $M$  performs on any input of length  $n$ .

# Running Time of a Turing Machine

## Definition (Running Time of a TM)

Let  $M$  be a deterministic decider. The **running time** or **(worst-case) time complexity** of  $M$  is a function

$$f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$$

where  $f(n)$  is the maximum number of steps that  $M$  performs on any input of length  $n$ .

## Convention

From now on  $n$  always denotes the length of the input string.

Example: Running time of  $M$  from the previous slide is  $O(n^2)$ .

# Growth Rates of Different Running Times

Assume that your computer performs 1 billion steps per second.  
The table shows the CPU time when computing on input of size  $n$ .

$n$	$f(n) = n$	$f(n) = n^2$	$f(n) = n^3$	$f(n) = 2^n$
10	0.01 microsec	0.1 microsec	1 microsec	1 microsec
20	0.02 microsec	0.4 microsec	8 microsec	1 millisec
50	0.05 microsec	2.5 microsec	125 microsec	13 days
100	0.1 microsec	10 microsec	1 millisec	$4 \times 10^{13}$ years



# The Complexity Class $\text{TIME}(t(n))$

## Definition (Time Complexity Class $\text{TIME}(t(n))$ )

Let  $t : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  be a function.

$$\text{TIME}(t(n)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{L(M) \mid M \text{ is a decider running in time } O(t(n))\}$$

# The Complexity Class $\text{TIME}(t(n))$

## Definition (Time Complexity Class $\text{TIME}(t(n))$ )

Let  $t : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  be a function.

$$\text{TIME}(t(n)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{L(M) \mid M \text{ is a decider running in time } O(t(n))\}$$

**In other words:**  $\text{TIME}(t(n))$  is the **class (collection)** of languages that are decidable by TMs running in time  $O(t(n))$ .

**Fact:**  $\text{TIME}(n) \subset \text{TIME}(n^2) \subset \text{TIME}(n^3) \subset \dots \subset \text{TIME}(2^n) \subseteq \dots$

Examples:

- $\{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\} \in \text{TIME}(n^2)$

# The Complexity Class $\text{TIME}(t(n))$

## Definition (Time Complexity Class $\text{TIME}(t(n))$ )

Let  $t : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  be a function.

$$\text{TIME}(t(n)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{L(M) \mid M \text{ is a decider running in time } O(t(n))\}$$

**In other words:**  $\text{TIME}(t(n))$  is the **class (collection)** of languages that are decidable by TMs running in time  $O(t(n))$ .

**Fact:**  $\text{TIME}(n) \subset \text{TIME}(n^2) \subset \text{TIME}(n^3) \subset \dots \subset \text{TIME}(2^n) \subseteq \dots$

Examples:

- $\{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\} \in \text{TIME}(n^2)$
- $\{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\} \in \text{TIME}(n \log n)$  ... see the next slide

# The Complexity Class $\text{TIME}(t(n))$

## Definition (Time Complexity Class $\text{TIME}(t(n))$ )

Let  $t : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0}$  be a function.

$$\text{TIME}(t(n)) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{L(M) \mid M \text{ is a decider running in time } O(t(n))\}$$

**In other words:**  $\text{TIME}(t(n))$  is the **class (collection)** of languages that are decidable by TMs running in time  $O(t(n))$ .

**Fact:**  $\text{TIME}(n) \subset \text{TIME}(n^2) \subset \text{TIME}(n^3) \subset \dots \subset \text{TIME}(2^n) \subseteq \dots$

Examples:

- $\{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\} \in \text{TIME}(n^2)$
- $\{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\} \in \text{TIME}(n \log n)$  ... see the next slide
- $\{w \# w \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^*\} \in \text{TIME}(n^2)$

Example:  $\{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\} \in \text{TIME}(n \log n)$

$M =$  "On input  $w$ :

1. Scan the tape and reject if  $w$  is not of the form  $0^* 1^*$ .
2. Repeat as long as some 0's and some 1's are on the tape:
3.     If there is an odd number of uncrossed symbols, reject.
4.     Else, cross off every second 0 and every second 1.
5. If everything is crossed then accept, else reject."

## Example: $\{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\} \in \text{TIME}(n \log n)$

$M =$  "On input  $w$ :

1. Scan the tape and reject if  $w$  is not of the form  $0^* 1^*$ .
2. Repeat as long as some 0's and some 1's are on the tape:
3. If there is an odd number of uncrossed symbols, reject.
4. Else, cross off every second 0 and every second 1.
5. If everything is crossed then accept, else reject."

Observe:

- $L(M) = \{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\}$
- $M$  runs in time  $O(n \log n)$

Conclusion:  $\{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\} \in \text{TIME}(n \log n)$

Example:  $\{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\} \in \text{TIME}(n \log n)$

$M =$  "On input  $w$ :

1. Scan the tape and reject if  $w$  is not of the form  $0^* 1^*$ .
2. Repeat as long as some 0's and some 1's are on the tape:
3. If there is an odd number of uncrossed symbols, reject.
4. Else, cross off every second 0 and every second 1.
5. If everything is crossed then accept, else reject."

Observe:

- $L(M) = \{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\}$
- $M$  runs in time  $O(n \log n)$

Conclusion:  $\{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\} \in \text{TIME}(n \log n)$

Fact:

Language  $\{0^k 1^k \mid k \geq 0\}$  is decidable on 2-tape TM in time  $O(n)$ .

# Relationship between $k$ -Tape and Single-Tape TMs

## Theorem

Let  $t(n)$  be a function s.t.  $t(n) \geq n$ .

Every  $k$ -tape TM running in time  $t(n)$  has an equivalent single-tape TM running in time  $O(t^2(n))$ .

Proof: Use the simulation of a multi-tape TM  $M$  by a single-tape TM  $M'$  (from Lecture 2) and analyze the running time of  $M'$ .  $\square$



# Relationship between $k$ -Tape and Single-Tape TMs

## Theorem

Let  $t(n)$  be a function s.t.  $t(n) \geq n$ .

Every  $k$ -tape TM running in time  $t(n)$  has an equivalent single-tape TM running in time  $O(t^2(n))$ .

Proof: Use the simulation of a multi-tape TM  $M$  by a single-tape TM  $M'$  (from Lecture 2) and analyze the running time of  $M'$ .  $\square$

Note:

- The single-tape TM is only **polynomially** slower than the multi-tape TM.
- If the multi-tape TM runs in polynomial time, the single-tape TM will also run in polynomial time.

Polynomial running time is defined by  $O(n^k)$  for some constant  $k$ .

## Church-Turing Thesis

Turing machines capture exactly the informal notion of algorithm.

- **But**, the presented simulation of a 2-tape TM on a single-tape TM needs quadratically more time.
- In fact, 2-tape TMs cannot be simulated by single-tape TMs with the same time complexity.

# Polynomial Time Equivalence of Deterministic Models

## Church-Turing Thesis

Turing machines capture exactly the informal notion of algorithm.

- But, the presented simulation of a 2-tape TM on a single-tape TM needs quadratically more time.
- In fact, 2-tape TMs cannot be simulated by single-tape TMs with the same time complexity.

## Polynomial Time Equivalence of Deterministic Models

All reasonable **deterministic models** of computation are polynomial time equivalent, i.e., they can simulate each other with only polynomial increase in the respective running times.

## Definition

The **class P** is the class of languages decidable in polynomial time on deterministic single-tape Turing machine, i.e.,

$$P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \text{TIME}(n^k) .$$

## Definition

The **class P** is the class of languages decidable in polynomial time on deterministic single-tape Turing machine, i.e.,

$$P \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \text{TIME}(n^k) .$$

Discussion:

- The class P is **robust** (the class remains the same even if we choose some other deterministic model of computation).
- The class P roughly corresponds to the class of problems **realistically solvable** on a computer.

# Examples of Languages in the Class P

$PATH \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \langle G, s, t \rangle \mid G \text{ is a graph with a path from node } s \text{ to } t \}$

- Depth-first search algorithm in pseudo-code takes quadratic time  $O(n^2)$  to decide  $PATH$  (note that  $n$  is the total size of the graph, not the number of nodes).
- Because the class  $P$  is robust, it is decidable in polynomial time also on deterministic single-tape TM.
- Hence  $PATH \in P$ .

# Examples of Languages in the Class P

$PATH \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \langle G, s, t \rangle \mid G \text{ is a graph with a path from node } s \text{ to } t \}$

- Depth-first search algorithm in pseudo-code takes quadratic time  $O(n^2)$  to decide  $PATH$  (note that  $n$  is the total size of the graph, not the number of nodes).
- Because the class  $P$  is robust, it is decidable in polynomial time also on deterministic single-tape TM.
- Hence  $PATH \in P$ .

$EVEN \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \langle k \rangle \mid k \text{ is an even number} \}$

- $EVEN \in P$

# Examples of Languages in the Class P

$PATH \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \langle G, s, t \rangle \mid G \text{ is a graph with a path from node } s \text{ to } t \}$

- Depth-first search algorithm in pseudo-code takes quadratic time  $O(n^2)$  to decide  $PATH$  (note that  $n$  is the total size of the graph, not the number of nodes).
- Because the class  $P$  is robust, it is decidable in polynomial time also on deterministic single-tape TM.
- Hence  $PATH \in P$ .

$EVEN \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{ \langle k \rangle \mid k \text{ is an even number} \}$

- $EVEN \in P$

## Agreement:

When encoding numbers, we use a binary encoding (or any other encoding with base at least 2) but not the unary encoding.



## Focus of Algorithms and Data Structures:

- study of **concrete algorithms** and the analysis of their precise complexity
- running times of algorithms  $O(n^3)$  vs.  $O(n^2)$  make a difference
- running times depend on the chosen model of computation (usually a pseudo-code)

## Focus of Algorithms and Data Structures:

- study of **concrete algorithms** and the analysis of their precise complexity
- running times of algorithms  $O(n^3)$  vs.  $O(n^2)$  make a difference
- running times depend on the chosen model of computation (usually a pseudo-code)

## Focus of Complexity Theory:

- study of **problems (languages)** rather than concrete algorithms
- the difference between  $O(n^3)$  and  $O(n^2)$  is not crucial (it depends on the chosen model anyway)
- the conclusions should be general enough to be valid for any choice of a (deterministic) computational model

- Big-O and small-o notation.
- Running time (worst-case time complexity) of a TM.
- Complexity classes  $\text{TIME}(t(n))$ , P, and polynomial time equivalence of deterministic models.
- Simulation of multi-tape TMs by single-tape TMs with quadratic increase in running time.