

Syntax and Semantics

Exercise Session 10

Exercise 1.

Is there a big-step transition for each of the following cases? If so, prove it.

- (i) $\langle x := 2; (\text{skip}; y := 3), [x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 5] \rangle \rightarrow ?$
- (ii) $\langle \text{if } x < y \text{ then } z := 5 \text{ else } S, [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 3, z \mapsto 5] \rangle \rightarrow ?$
where $S = (\text{if } x + 1 < y \text{ then } z := 2 \text{ else } z := 3)$
- (iii) $\langle \text{skip}; x := 3; \text{while } x \leq 5 \text{ do } (x := x + 1; y := 2), \sigma \rangle \rightarrow ?$ where $\sigma = [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 0]$.

Solution 1.

- (i) Let $\sigma = [x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 5]$.

$$[\text{COMP}] \frac{\langle x := 2, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad [\text{COMP}] \frac{\langle \text{skip}, \sigma_1 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \langle y := 3, \sigma_1 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_2}{\langle \text{skip}; y := 3, \sigma_1 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_2}}{\langle x := 2; (\text{skip}; y := 3), \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_2}$$

where $\sigma_1 = [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 5]$ and $\sigma_2 = [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 3]$. This proves that

$$\langle x := 2; (\text{skip}; y := 3), [x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 5] \rangle \rightarrow [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 3]$$

- (ii) Let $\sigma = [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 3, z \mapsto 5]$. We have the following derivation

$$[\text{IF-}\top] \frac{\langle z := 5, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 3, z \mapsto 5]}{\langle \text{if } x < y \text{ then } z := 5 \text{ else } S, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 3, z \mapsto 5]}$$

since the side condition for the rule [IF- \top] holds, as shown by the following derivation

$$[\text{LT-}\top] \frac{\sigma \vdash x \rightarrow_A 2 \quad \sigma \vdash y \rightarrow_A 3}{\sigma \vdash x < y \rightarrow_B \top}$$

Notice that it was not necessary to evaluate S .

- (iii) Let $\sigma = [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 0]$ and $W = \text{while } x \leq 5 \text{ do } (x := x + 1; y := 2)$

$$\frac{\langle \text{skip}, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma \quad \frac{\langle x := 3, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_1 \quad \frac{\langle x := x + 1, \sigma_1 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_2 \quad \langle y := 2, \sigma_2 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_3 \quad \vdots}{\langle x := x + 1; y := 2, \sigma_1 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_3} \quad \langle W, \sigma_3 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_5}{\langle x := 3; W, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_5}}{\langle \text{skip}; x := 3; W, \sigma \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_5}$$

where the derivation tree for $\langle W, \sigma_3 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_5$ is given below

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\langle x := x + 1, \sigma_3 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_4 \quad \langle y := 2, \sigma_4 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_4}{\langle x := x + 1; y := 2, \sigma_3 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_4} \quad \frac{\frac{\langle x := x + 1, \sigma_4 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_5 \quad \langle y := 2, \sigma_5 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_5}{\langle x := x + 1; y := 2, \sigma_4 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_5} \quad \langle W, \sigma_5 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_5}{\frac{\langle W, \sigma_4 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_5}{\langle W, \sigma_3 \rangle \rightarrow \sigma_5}}$$

and the states are

$$\begin{array}{lll} \sigma_1 = [x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 0] & \sigma_2 = [x \mapsto 4, y \mapsto 0] & \sigma_3 = [x \mapsto 4, y \mapsto 2] \\ \sigma_4 = [x \mapsto 5, y \mapsto 2] & \sigma_5 = [x \mapsto 6, y \mapsto 2] & \end{array}$$

The above derivation proves that

$$\langle \text{skip}; x := 3; \text{while } x \leq 5 \text{ do } (x := x + 1; y := 2), [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 0] \rangle \rightarrow [x \mapsto 6, y \mapsto 2]$$

Exercise 2.

Let $S = \text{while } \neg(2 < (1 + 1)) \text{ do } (\text{if } x < x \text{ then } x := 2 \text{ else skip})$.

- (i) Prove that S loops forever in the small-step semantics.
- (ii) Prove that there exists $k \geq 0$ s.t. in the SS-semantics for any state $s \in \text{States}$, $\langle S, s \rangle \Rightarrow^k \langle S, s \rangle$.

Solution 2.

It suffices to show (ii), indeed it proves that the small-step semantics for S reduces $\langle S, s \rangle$ to itself after some number of steps, meaning that the same steps will be taken over and over again without leading to a normal form.

The following derivation steps show that in 4 transitions $\langle S, s \rangle$ reduces to itself, i.e., $\langle S, s \rangle \Rightarrow^4 \langle S, s \rangle$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S, s \rangle &\Rightarrow \langle \text{if } \neg(2 < (1 + 1)) \text{ then } (\text{if } x < x \text{ then } x := 2 \text{ else skip}; S) \text{ else skip}, s \rangle \\ &\Rightarrow \langle \text{if } x < x \text{ then } x := 2 \text{ else skip}; S, s \rangle \\ &\Rightarrow \langle \text{skip}; S, s \rangle \\ &\Rightarrow \langle S, s \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3.

Find all the transitions (if there are any) in the transition sequence starting from $\langle S, s \rangle$ in the small-step semantics, for each of the following cases:

- (i) $S = \text{if } (\neg(x > 3) \vee y > 2) \text{ then } (x := x + 3; y := 2) \text{ else skip}$ and $s = [x \mapsto 0, y \mapsto 0]$.
- (ii) $S = \text{while } \neg(x < y) \text{ do } (x := x - 1; y := y + 1)$ and $s = [x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 0]$.

Solution 3.

(i) $S = \text{if } (\neg(x > 3) \vee y > 2) \text{ then } (x := x + 3; y := 2) \text{ else skip}$ and $s = [x \mapsto 0]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle S, s \rangle &= \langle \text{if } (\neg(x > 3) \vee y > 2) \text{ then } (x := x + 3; y := 2) \text{ else skip}, [x \mapsto 0] \rangle \\
&\Rightarrow \langle x := x + 3; y := 2, [x \mapsto 0] \rangle && (\text{IF-}\top, \text{ since } [x \mapsto 0] \vdash (\neg(x > 3) \vee y > 2) \rightarrow_B \top) \\
&\Rightarrow \langle y := 2, [x \mapsto 3] \rangle && (\text{COMP2 and ASSIGN}) \\
&\Rightarrow [x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 2] && (\text{ASSIGN})
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Let $S = \text{while } \neg(x < y) \text{ do } (x := x - 1; y := y + 1)$ and $s = [x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 0]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle S, s \rangle &= \langle \text{while } \neg(x < y) \text{ do } (x := x - 1; y := y + 1), [x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 0] \rangle \\
&\Rightarrow \langle \text{if } \neg(x < y) \text{ then } x := x - 1; y := y + 1; S \text{ else skip}, [x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 0] \rangle && (\text{WHILE}) \\
&\Rightarrow \langle x := x - 1; y := y + 1; S, [x \mapsto 3, y \mapsto 0] \rangle && (\text{IF-}\top) \\
&\Rightarrow \langle y := y + 1; S, [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 0] \rangle && (\text{COMP2 and ASSIGN}) \\
&\Rightarrow \langle \text{while } \neg(x < y) \text{ do } (x := x - 1; y := y + 1), [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 1] \rangle && (\text{COMP2 and ASSIGN}) \\
&\Rightarrow \langle \text{if } \neg(x < y) \text{ then } x := x - 1; y := y + 1; S \text{ else skip}, [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 1] \rangle && (\text{WHILE}) \\
&\Rightarrow \langle x := x - 1; y := y + 1; S, [x \mapsto 2, y \mapsto 1] \rangle && (\text{IF-}\top) \\
&\Rightarrow \langle y := y + 1; S, [x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 1] \rangle && (\text{COMP2 and ASSIGN}) \\
&\Rightarrow \langle \text{while } \neg(x < y) \text{ do } (x := x - 1; y := y + 1), [x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 2] \rangle && (\text{COMP2 and ASSIGN}) \\
&\Rightarrow \langle \text{if } \neg(x < y) \text{ then } x := x - 1; y := y + 1; S \text{ else skip}, [x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 2] \rangle && (\text{WHILE}) \\
&\Rightarrow \langle \text{skip}, [x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 2] \rangle && (\text{IF-}\perp) \\
&\Rightarrow [x \mapsto 1, y \mapsto 2] && (\text{SKIP})
\end{aligned}$$

Exercise 4.

Prove that regular expressions are closed under intersection.

Solution 4.

Any regular expression can be encoded into a DFA and vice versa. DFAs are closed under intersection. Therefore regular expressions are closed under intersection as well.