# CUHK RMSC4002 Tutorial 9

# Benjamin Chan

November 20, 2018

## (Optional) Reference

- 1. Machine Learning Course by Andrew Ng: https://www.coursera.org/learn/machine-learning
- 2. Deep Learning Specialization by Andrew Ng: https://www.coursera.org/specializations/deep-learning
- 3. Deep Learning Book by Ian Goodfellow, Yoshua Bengio and Aaron Courville: http://www. deeplearningbook.org/

### **Packages**

```
library(nnet)
                                                      # Feed-forward neural networks with one hidden lay
```

#### Artificial Neural Network

The famous Fisher's iris data set gives the measurements in centimeters of the variables sepal length and width and petal length and width, respectively, for 50 flowers from each of 3 species of iris. The species are iris setosa, versicolor, and virginica.

```
data(iris)
                                                       # data: load specified data sets
str(iris)
                150 obs. of 5 variables:
'data.frame':
$ Sepal.Length: num 5.1 4.9 4.7 4.6 5 5.4 4.6 5 4.4 4.9 ...
$ Sepal.Width : num 3.5 3 3.2 3.1 3.6 3.9 3.4 3.4 2.9 3.1 ...
$ Petal.Length: num 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.5 1.4 1.7 1.4 1.5 1.4 1.5 ...
 $ Petal.Width : num 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.1 ...
$ Species
               : Factor w/ 3 levels "setosa", "versicolor", ...: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
X <- iris[,1:4]</pre>
Y \leftarrow (iris[,5] == "setosa")*1 + (iris[,5] == "versicolor")*2 + (iris[,5] == "virginica")*3
```

# Linear Output

```
# 4-2-1 Neural Network
iris.nn <- nnet(X, Y, size = 2, linout = T)</pre>
                                                     # 2 units in hidden layer; linear output
# weights: 13
initial value 518.024625
iter 10 value 11.567190
iter 20 value 5.659790
iter 30 value 5.369651
iter 40 value 5.137939
iter 50 value 4.851909
iter 60 value 4.847075
iter 70 value 4.846536
iter 80 value 4.843398
iter 90 value 4.819621
```

```
iter 100 value 4.671845
final value 4.671845
stopped after 100 iterations
```

summary(iris.nn)

```
a 4-2-1 network with 13 weights options were - linear output units b->h1 i1->h1 i2->h1 i3->h1 i4->h1 1.45 -1.08 -0.46 1.44 -1.77 b->h2 i1->h2 i2->h2 i3->h2 i4->h2 -3.02 0.09 -0.53 0.12 2.31 b->o h1->o h2->o
```

The result is summarized as:

0.89 2.52 2.33

```
h_1 = 1.45 + (-1.08)x_1 + (-0.46)x_2 + (1.44)x_3 + (-1.77)x_4
h_2 = -3.02 + (0.09)x_1 + (-0.53)x_2 + (0.12)x_3 + (2.31)x_4
h'_1 = \frac{\exp(h_1)}{1 + \exp(h_1)}
h'_2 = \frac{\exp(h_2)}{1 + \exp(h_2)}
v = 0.89 + (2.52)h'_1 + (2.33)h'_2
```

# Summary of output

```
setosa versicolor virginica setosa 50 0 0 0 versicolor 0 47 3 virginica 0 1 49
```

## Improved Version

To avoid parameter estimates trapped at a local minimum of the error function, we can run several times from different sets of initial parameter values in order to get the optimal weights of ANN (hopefully the true global minimum).

```
return(ann1)
}
```

### Logistic Output

The csv file fin-ratio.csv contains financial ratios of 680 securities listed in the main board of Hong Kong Stock Exchange in 2002. There are six financial variables, namely, Earning Yield (EY), Cash Flow to Price (CFTP), logarithm of Market Value (ln MV), Dividend Yield (DY), Book to Market Equity (BTME), Debt to Equity Ratio (DTE). Among these companies, there are 32 Blue Chips which are the Hang Seng Index Constituent Stocks. The last column HSI is a binary variable indicating whether the stock is a Blue Chip or not.

```
d <- read.csv("./../Dataset/fin-ratio.csv")</pre>
                                                        # Output: Y
Y <- as.factor(d$HSI)
var <- names(d)[!names(d) %in% "HSI"]</pre>
                                                        # Exclude HSI
X <- d[,var]</pre>
                                                        # Input: X
# results = 'hide', Default: logistic output
fin.nn \leftarrow ann(X, Y, size = 2, maxit = 200, try = 10)
summary(fin.nn)
a 6-2-1 network with 17 weights
options were - entropy fitting
  b->h1 i1->h1
                 i2->h1 i3->h1
                                   i4->h1
                                           i5->h1
                                                    i6->h1
-152.75
           5.80
                    7.36
                           16.05
                                     0.30
                                             3.28
                                                     -1.17
  b->h2 i1->h2
                 i2->h2
                          i3->h2
                                   i4->h2
                                           i5->h2
                                                    i6->h2
   6.44
          17.17
                   14.96
                           -3.01
                                    -0.63
                                             1.70
                                                   -14.11
   b->0
          h1->o
                   h2->o
 -42.51
          46.27 -18.94
fin.nn$value
                                                        # Display the best value
[1] 5.996485
Prediction <- round(fin.nn$fit)</pre>
Reference <- d$HSI
                                                        # Ground-truth labels
table(Prediction, Reference)
                                                        # Classification table
          Reference
Prediction
             0
                  0
         0 647
             1 32
```

#### Measure of Performance

Note that:

Accuracy = 
$$\frac{\text{True Positive} + \text{True Negative}}{\text{Total Observation No}} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + TN + FP + FN}$$

Precision =  $\frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{True Positive}} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$ 

Recall =  $\frac{\text{True Positive}}{\text{True Positive} + \text{False Negative}} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP}$ 

$$F_1 = \left(\frac{\text{Recall}^{-1} + \text{Precision}^{-1}}{2}\right)^{-1} = 2 \cdot \frac{\text{Precision \cdot Recall}}{\text{Precision + Recall}}$$

(Accuracy <- sum((Prediction == Reference))/length(Prediction))

[1] 0.9985294

(Precision <- sum(Prediction == 1 & Reference == 1)/sum(Prediction == 1))

[1] 0.969697

(Recall <- sum(Prediction == 1 & Reference == 1)/sum(Reference == 1))

[1] 1

(F1 <- 1/((1/Precision + 1/Recall)/2))

[1] 0.9846154

### Remark

Training error rate does not reflect the classification performance accurately. In fact, you can randomly choose some observations as training data and remaining observations as testing data.