

CMPUT401 Sprint Rubrics W2018

Sprint 1 - Evaluation Rubric				
	Excellent	Unsatisfactory	Satisfactory	Good
Requirements Specification (20%)	Explanation of why use cases (or user stories) 1) In a standard template. 2) Numbered and appropriately annotated with roles and tests (assertions). 3) Complete set of user stories (use cases) and actors, clearly demonstrating that how the client's requirements will be met. 4) Prioritized, clearly identifying the ones necessary for the MVP.	Problems in more than two of the criteria.	Substantial problems in one or two of the criteria.	Minor problems in one or two of the criteria.
	20%	0%	10%	15%
UI Navigation Diagram (15%)	1) The navigation diagram covers all use cases and their execution path; all user stories/use cases should be associated with a path through the navigation diagram. 2) A description of the functionalities supported by each screen is provided (no detailed screen design necessary)	Problems in both criteria.	Substantial problems in of the criteria.	Minor problems in one or two of the criteria.
	15%	0%	5%	10%
High-level Design (15%)	1) Correct UML component and class models (other notations should be clearly documented and motivated) 2) Useful associated textual descriptions for each diagram. 3) Documentation of key APIs between the components.	Problems in more than two of the criteria.	Unclear separation of concerns. Important entities missing Inconsistent diagrams	Clearly sketches important entities. Some inconsistency. Some concerns are not separated. Diagrams don't follow a key.
	15%	0%	5%	12%
Release Planning (20%)	1) Clear responsibility assignment 2) Clear understandable plan documentation (Storymap, Gantt chart) 3) Plan documented in the issue tracker (phases and issues for the next release, assigned to individuals)	Substantial problems in more than two of the criteria.	Substantial problems in one or two of the criteria.	Minor problems in one or two of the criteria.
	20%	0%	5%	15%
Project Overview (15%)	1) Project Description is clear and concrete 2) Clear glossary. 3) List of similar products.	Problems in more than two of the criteria.	Substantial problems in one or two of the criteria.	Minor problems in one or two of the criteria.
	15%	0%	5%	10%
Weekly Meetings and Demos (10%)	1) Meeting minutes on the team wiki. 2) Evidence that the team works well with the client. 3) The team works well with the TA (asks questions/advice, reports progress meaningfully, addresses feedback). 4) Team has made real progress since the beginning.	Problems in more than two of the criteria.	Substantial problems in one or two of the criteria.	Minor problems in one or two of the criteria.
	10%	1%	5%	8%
Wildcard (5%)	The wildcard percentage will be assigned to one or multiple items in the rubric (entirely or split between many items) depending on the life-cycle of each project. Example #1: if the client introduces several- or heavily modifies- requirements in this sprint, the TA will assign the wildcard percentage to the requirement specification and the user interface items to reflect the team's additional effort updating the documentation.			